SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and Energy Commission Staff (hereafter jointly referred to as staff) conclude that if the applicant for the proposed Ivanpah Solar Electric Generating System (ISEGS) provides project construction safety and health and project operations and maintenance safety and health programs, as required by Conditions of Certification WORKER SAFETY -1, -2, -3, -4, -5, and -6, the project would incorporate sufficient measures to both ensure adequate levels of industrial safety and comply with applicable laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards (LORS). These proposed conditions of certification ensure that these programs, proposed by the applicant, will be reviewed by the appropriate agencies before they are implemented. The conditions also require verification that the proposed plans adequately ensure worker safety and fire protection and comply with applicable LORS.

Staff also concludes that the proposed project would not have impacts on local fire protection services that would be significant with respect to CEQA or NEPA. The fire risks at the proposed facility do not pose significant added demands on local fire protection services. Staff also concludes that the San Bernardino County Hazmat Team and the San Bernardino County Fire Department (SBCFD) are adequately equipped and staffed to respond to hazardous materials incidents at the proposed facility with an adequate response time, given the remote location of this project (Crawford 2008).

Conditions of Certification referred to herein serve the purpose of both the Energy Commission’s Conditions of Certification for purposes of CEQA and BLM’s Mitigation Measures for purposes of NEPA.

INTRODUCTION

Worker safety and fire protection are regulated through federal, state, and local LORS. Industrial workers at the facility both operate equipment and handle hazardous materials daily, and could face hazards resulting in accidents and serious injury. Protection measures are employed to eliminate or reduce these hazards or minimize their risk through special training, protective equipment, and procedural controls.

The purpose of this Worker Safety and Fire Protection section of this Final Staff Assessment/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (FSA/DEIS) is to assess the worker safety and fire protection measures proposed by the ISEGS applicant and determine whether the applicant has proposed adequate measures to:

- Comply with applicable safety LORS;
- Protect workers during the construction and operation of the facility;
- Protect against fire; and
- Provide adequate emergency response procedures.
### LAWS, ORDINANCES, REGULATION, AND STANDARDS

#### Worker Safety and Fire Protection Table 1
Laws, Ordinances, Regulations, and Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicable Law</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 U.S. Code sections 651 et seq (Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970)</td>
<td>This Act mandates safety requirements in the workplace, with the purpose of “[assuring] so far as possible every working man and woman in the nation safe and healthful working conditions and to preserve our human resources” (29 USC § 651).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 CFR sections 1910.1 to 1910.1500 (Occupational Safety and Health Administration Safety and Health Regulations)</td>
<td>These sections define the procedures for promulgating regulations and conducting inspections to implement and enforce safety and health procedures to protect workers, particularly in the industrial sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 CFR sections 1952.170 to 1952.175</td>
<td>These sections provide federal approval of California’s plan for enforcement of its own safety and health requirements, in lieu of most of the federal requirements found in 29 CFR §1910.1 to 1910.1500.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 CCR all applicable sections (Cal/OSHA regulations)</td>
<td>Requires that all employers follow these regulations as they pertain to the work involved. This includes regulations pertaining to safety matters during the construction, commissioning, and operation of power plants, as well as safety around electrical components, fire safety, and hazardous materials usage, storage, and handling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local (or locally enforced)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino County does not have additional LORS that apply to Hazardous Materials Handling, but administers the State of California programs as the CUPA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 Edition of California Fire Code and all</td>
<td>NFPA standards are incorporated into the California State Fire Code. The fire code contains general provisions for fire safety, including road and building access, water supplies, fire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
applicable NFPA standards (24 CCR Part 9) | protection and life safety systems, fire-resistive construction, storage of combustible materials, exits and emergency escapes, and fire alarm systems.
---|---
Title 24, California Code of Regulations (24 CCR § 3, et seq.) | The California Building Code is comprised of 11 parts containing building design and construction requirements as they relate to fire, life, and structural safety. It incorporates current editions of the International Building Code, including the electrical, mechanical, energy, and fire codes applicable to the project.

**SETTING**

Fire support services to the site will be under the jurisdiction of the San Bernardino County Fire Department (SBCFD). Station 53 is 40 miles from the project site, located at 65 Kingston Circle, Baker, California, and would be the first responder to ISEG, with a response time of approximately 45 minutes. San Bernardino County Fire Department also has a Mutual Aid Agreement with Clark County (Nevada) Fire Department for responses requiring more assistance.

In San Bernardino County, hazardous materials permits and spills are handled and investigated by SBCFD. Because of the highly remote and rural area of ISEG, services are limited and spread out. San Bernardino County Firefighters receive specialized training to address emergency responses to industrial hazards. The response time to the project site, with full resources capabilities including for large-scale hazardous materials spills, would be 3 to 4 hours. Hazardous materials service is provided out of the SBCFD station in the town of Fontana, Station #78.

**ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS AND DISCUSSION OF MITIGATION**

**METHOD AND THRESHOLD FOR DETERMINING SIGNIFICANCE**

Two issues are assessed in **WORKER SAFETY AND FIRE PROTECTION**:

1. The potential for impacts on the safety of workers during demolition, construction, operations, and closure and decommissioning activities; and

2. Fire prevention/protection, emergency medical response, and hazardous materials spill response during demolition, construction, operations, and closure and decommissioning activities.

Worker safety is essentially a LORS compliance matter and if all LORS are followed, workers will be adequately protected. Thus, the standard for staff’s review and determination of significant impacts on worker health is whether the applicant has demonstrated adequate knowledge of and commitment to implementation of all pertinent and relevant Cal-OSHA standards.

Staff reviews and evaluates the on-site fire-fighting systems proposed by the applicant, as well as the time needed for off-site local fire departments to respond to a fire,
medical, or hazardous material emergency at the ISEGS site. If on-site systems do not follow established codes and industry standards, staff recommends additional measures. Staff reviews local fire department capabilities and response times, and interviews local fire officials to determine if they feel they are adequately staffed, and equipped to respond to the needs of a power plant. Staff then determines if the presence of the power plant would cause a significant impact on a local fire department. If it does, staff will recommend that the applicant mitigate this impact by providing additional resources to the fire department.

DIRECT/INDIRECT IMPACTS AND MITIGATION

Proposed Project - Worker Safety

Industrial environments are potentially dangerous during both construction and operation. Workers at the proposed project will be exposed to loud noises, moving equipment, trenches, and confined space entry and egress. Workers may sustain falls, trips, burns, lacerations, and other injuries. They may be exposed to falling equipment or structures, chemical spills, hazardous waste, fires, explosions, and electrical sparks or electrocution. It is important that ISEGS has well-defined policies and procedures, training, and hazard recognition and control to minimize these hazards and protect workers. If the facility complies with all LORS, workers will be adequately protected from health and safety hazards.

A Safety and Health Program will be prepared by the applicant to minimize worker hazards during construction and operation of the project. “Safety and Health Program,” for staff, refers to measures that will be taken to ensure compliance with the applicable LORS during the construction and operation of the project.

Construction Safety and Health Program

ISEGS includes the construction and operation of a hybrid, combined-cycle, natural gas-fired power plant and solar thermal generating equipment. For the Power Block, workers will be exposed to hazards typical of construction and operation of a gas-fired simple-cycle facility, while the solar component will present similar construction risks and minimal operational risks to workers.

Construction safety orders are published at Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, section 1502 et seq. These requirements are promulgated by Cal/OSHA and apply to the construction phase of the project. The construction safety and health program will include the following:

- Construction injury and illness prevention program (8 CCR § 1509);
- Construction fire prevention plan (8 CCR § 1920);
- Personal protective equipment program (8 CCR §§ 1514 - 1522); and
- Emergency action program and plan.
Additional programs under General Industry Safety Orders (8 CCR §§ 3200 to 6184), Electrical Safety Orders (8 CCR §§2299 to 2974) and Unfired Pressure Vessel Safety Orders (8 CCR §§ 450 to 544) will include:

- Electrical safety program;
- Motor vehicle and heavy equipment safety program;
- Forklift operation program;
- Excavation/trenching program;
- Fall protection program;
- Scaffolding/ladder safety program;
- Articulating boom platforms program;
- Crane and material handling program;
- Housekeeping and material handling and storage program;
- Respiratory protection program;
- Employee exposure monitoring program;
- Hand and portable power tool safety program;
- Hearing conservation program;
- Back injury prevention program;
- Hazard communication program;
- Heat and cold stress monitoring and control program;
- Pressure vessel and pipeline safety program;
- Hazardous waste program;
- Hot work safety program;
- Permit-required confined space entry program; and
- Demolition procedure (if applicable).

The AFC includes adequate outlines for each of the above programs (BSE2007a, section 5.16.4.4.1). Prior to the project’s start of construction, detailed programs and plans will be provided pursuant to Condition of Certification WORKER SAFETY-1.

Operations and Maintenance Safety and Health Program

Prior to the start-up of ISEGS, an operations and maintenance safety and health program will be prepared. This program will include the following programs and plans:

- Injury and illness prevention program (8 CCR § 3203);
- Fire prevention program (8 CCR § 3221);
- Personal protective equipment program (8 CCR §§ 3401 to 3411); and
- Emergency action plan (8 CCR § 3220).
In addition, the requirements under General Industry Safety Orders (8 CCR §§ 3200 to 6184), Electrical Safety Orders (8 CCR §§2299 to 2974) and Unfired Pressure Vessel Safety Orders (8 CCR §§ 450 to 544) will apply to this project. Written safety programs for ISEGS, which the applicant will develop, will ensure compliance with those requirements.

The AFC includes adequate outlines for an injury and illness prevention program, an emergency action plan, a fire prevention program, and a personal protective equipment program (BSE2007a, section 5.16.4.4.2). Prior to operation of ISEGS, all detailed programs and plans will be provided pursuant to Condition of Certification Worker Safety-2.

Safety and Health Program Elements

As mentioned above, the applicant provided the proposed outlines for both a Construction Safety and Health Program and an Operations Safety and Health Program. The measures in these plans are derived from applicable sections of state and federal law. The major items required in both Safety and Health Programs are as follows:

**Injury and Illness Prevention Program (IIPP)**

The IIPP will include the following components (BSE2007a, section 5.16.4.4):

- Identify persons with the authority and responsibility for implementing the program;
- Establish the safety and health policy of the plan;
- Define work rules and safe work practices for construction activities;
- Establish a system for ensuring that employees comply with safe and healthy work practices;
- Establish a system to facilitate employer-employee communication;
- Develop procedures for identifying and evaluating workplace hazards and establish necessary program(s);
- Establish methods for correcting unhealthy/unsafe conditions in a timely manner;
- Determine and establish training and instruction requirements and programs;
- Specify safety procedures; and
- Provide training and instruction.

**Fire Prevention Plan**

The California Code of Regulations requires an operations fire prevention plan (8 CCR § 3221). The AFC outlines a proposed fire prevention plan that is acceptable to staff (ISEGS007a, section 6.18.3.1). The plan will include the following:

- Determine general program requirements;
- Determine fire hazard inventory, including ignition sources and mitigation;
- Develop good housekeeping practices and proper materials storage;
• Establish employee alarms and/or communication system(s);
• Provide portable fire extinguishers at appropriate site locations;
• Locate fixed fire fighting equipment in suitable areas;
• Specify fire control requirements and procedures;
• Establish proper flammable and combustible liquid storage facilities;
• Identify the location and use of flammable and combustible liquids;
• Provide proper dispensing and determine disposal requirements for flammable liquids;
• Establish and determine training and instruction requirements and programs; and
• Identify contacts for information on plan contents.

Staff proposes that the applicant submit a final fire prevention plan to the California Energy Commission compliance project manager (CPM) for review and approval and to the SBCFD for review and comment to satisfy proposed conditions of certification WORKER SAFETY-1 and WORKER SAFETY-2.

Personal Protective Equipment Program
California regulations require personal protective equipment (PPE) and first aid supplies whenever hazards in the environment, or from chemicals or mechanical irritants, could cause injury or impair bodily function through absorption, inhalation, or physical contact (8 CCR sections 3380 to 3400). The ISEGS operational environment will require PPE.

All safety equipment must meet National Institute of Safety and Health (NIOSH) or American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standards and will carry markings, numbers, or certificates of approval. Respirators must meet NIOSH and Cal/OSHA standards. Each employee must be provided with the following information about protective clothing and equipment:
• Proper use, maintenance, and storage;
• When protective clothing and equipment are used;
• Benefits and limitations; and
• When and how protective clothing and equipment are replaced.

The PPE program ensures that employers comply with applicable requirements for PPE and provides employees with the information and training necessary to protect them from potential hazards in the workplace, and will be required as per proposed Conditions of Certification WORKER SAFETY-1 and -2.

Emergency Action Plan
California regulations require an emergency action plan (8 CCR § 3220). The AFC contains a satisfactory outline for an emergency action plan (BSE2007a, section 5.16.4.4).
The outline lists the following features:

- Establishes emergency procedures for the protection of personnel, equipment, the environment, and materials;
- Identifies fire and emergency reporting procedures;
- Determines response actions for accidents involving personnel and/or property;
- Develops response and reporting requirements for bomb threats;
- Specifies site assembly and emergency evacuation route procedures;
- Defines natural disaster responses (for example, earthquakes, high winds, and flooding);
- Establishes reporting and notification procedures for emergencies (including on-site, off-site, local authorities, and/or state jurisdictions);
- Determines alarm and communication systems needed for specific operations;
- Includes a spill response, prevention, and countermeasure (SPCC) plan;
- Identifies emergency personnel (response team) responsibilities and notification roster;
- Specifies emergency response equipment and strategic locations; and
- Establishes and determines training and instruction requirements and programs.

An emergency action plan will be required as per proposed Conditions of Certification WORKER SAFETY-1 and -2

**Written Safety Program**

In addition to the specific plans listed above, additional LORS called “safe work practices” apply to the project. Both the construction and operations safety programs will address safe work practices in a variety of programs. The components of these programs include, but are not limited to, the programs found under the heading “Construction Safety and Health Program” in this staff assessment.

In addition, the project owner would be required to provide personnel protective equipment and exposure monitoring for workers involved in activities where contaminated soil and/or contaminated groundwater exist, per staff’s proposed Conditions of Certification WORKER SAFETY-1 and -2.

These proposed conditions of certification ensure that workers are properly protected from any hazardous wastes presently at the site.

**Safety Training Programs**

Employees will be trained in the safe work practices described in the above-referenced safety programs.
Additional Safety Issues

This solar power plant will present a unique work environment that includes a solar field located in the high desert. The area under the solar arrays must be kept free from weeds and thus herbicides will be applied as necessary. Exposure to workers via inhalation and ingestion of dusts containing herbicides poses a health risk. Finally, workers will regularly inspect the solar array for broken or non-functioning mirrors by driving up and down dirt paths between the rows of mirrors and even under the mirrors. Cleaning and servicing the mirrors will also be conducted on a routine schedule. All these activities will take place year-round and especially during the summer months of peak solar power generation, when outside ambient temperatures routinely reach 115 °F and above.

The applicant has indicated that workers will be adequately trained and protected, but has not included precautions against exposure to herbicides. Therefore, to ensure that workers are indeed protected, staff has proposed additional requirements found in Condition of Certification WORKER SAFETY-6. This requirement consists of the following provisions:

- The development and implementation of Best Management Practices (BMP) for the storage and application of herbicides used to control weeds beneath and around the solar array.

A BMP requiring proper herbicide storage and application, as recommended in Condition of Certification WORKER SAFETY-6, will mitigate potential risks to workers from exposure to herbicides and reduce the chance that herbicides will contaminate either surface water or groundwater. Staff suggests that a BMP follow either the guidelines established by the U.S. EPA (EPA 1993), or more recent guidelines established by the State of California or U.S. EPA. Condition of Certification BIO-13 also guides the application of herbicides in accordance with the Weed Management Plan.

Additional Mitigation Measures

Protecting construction workers from injury and disease is one of the greatest challenges today in occupational safety and health. The following facts are reported by NIOSH:

- More than seven million persons work in the construction industry, representing 6% of the labor force. Approximately 1.5 million of these workers are self-employed;
- Of approximately 600,000 construction companies, 90% employ fewer than 20 workers. Few have formal safety and health programs;
- From 1980-1993, an average of 1,079 construction workers were killed on the job each year, with more fatal injuries than any other industry;
- Falls caused 3,859 construction worker fatalities, or 25.6% of the total, between 1980 and 1993;
- 15% of workers' compensation costs are spent on construction-related injuries;
• Ensuring safety and health in construction is a complex task involving short-term work sites, changing hazards, and multiple operations and crews working in close proximity to one another;

• In 1990, Congress directed NIOSH to conduct research and training to reduce diseases and injury among construction workers in the United States. Under this mandate, NIOSH funds both intramural and extramural research projects.

The hazards associated with the construction industry are well documented. These hazards increase in complexity in the multi-employer worksites typical of large, complex industrial projects like gas-fired power plants. In order to reduce and/or eliminate these hazards, it has become standard industry practice to hire a construction safety supervisor to ensure a safe and healthful environment for all workers. This has been evident in the audits of power plants recently conducted by the staff. The Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has also entered into strategic alliances with several professional and trade organizations to promote and recognize safety professionals trained as construction safety supervisors, construction health and safety officers, and other professional designations. The goal of these partnerships is to encourage construction subcontractors to improve their safety and health performance; to assist them in striving to eliminate the four major construction hazards (falls, electrical, caught in/between, and struck-by hazards) that account for the majority of fatalities and injuries in this industry and have been the focus of targeted OSHA inspections; to prevent serious accidents in the construction industry through implementation of enhanced safety and health programs and increased employee training; and to recognize subcontractors that have exemplary safety and health programs.

There are no OSHA or Cal-OSHA requirements that an employer hire or provide for a construction safety officer. OSHA and Cal-OSHA regulations do, however, require that safety be provided by an employer and the term “Competent Person” appears in many OSHA and Cal-OSHA standards, documents, and directives. A “Competent Person” is defined by OSHA as an individual who, by way of training and/or experience, is knowledgeable of standards, is capable of identifying workplace hazards relating to the specific operations, is designated by the employer, and has authority to take appropriate action. Therefore, in order to meet the intent of the OSHA standard to provide for a safe workplace during power plant construction, staff proposes Condition of Certification WORKER SAFETY-3, which would require the applicant/project owner to designate and provide for a project site construction safety supervisor.

As discussed above, the hazards associated with the construction industry are well documented. These hazards increase in complexity in the multi-employer worksites typical of large, complex industrial projects like gas-fired power plants.

Accidents, fires, and a worker death have occurred at Energy Commission-certified power plants in the recent past because of both the failure to recognize and control safety hazards and the inability to adequately monitor compliance with occupational safety and health regulations. Safety problems have been documented by Energy
Commission staff in safety audits conducted in 2005, at several power plants under construction. The findings of the audit include, but are not limited to, safety oversights like:

- Lack of posted confined-space warning placards/signs;
- Confusing and/or inadequate electrical and machinery lockout/tagout permitting and procedures;
- Confusing and/or inappropriate procedures for handing over lockout/tagout and confined space permits from the construction team to the commissioning team, and then to operations;
- Dangerous placement of hydraulic elevated platforms under one another;
- Inappropriate placement of fire extinguishers near hotwork;
- Dangerous placement of numerous power cords in standing water on the site, increasing the risk of electrocution;
- Inappropriate and unsecure placement of above-ground natural gas pipelines inside the facility, but too close to the perimeter fence; and
- Lack of adequate employee or contractor written training programs that address the proper procedures to follow in the event of the discovery of suspicious packages or objects either onsite or offsite.

In order to reduce and/or eliminate these hazards, it is necessary for the Energy Commission to require a professional Safety Monitor on-site to track compliance with Cal-OSHA regulations and periodically audit safety compliance during construction, commissioning, and the hand-over to the operations staff. These requirements are outlined in Condition of Certification WORKER SAFETY-4. A Safety Monitor, hired by the project owner but reporting to the Chief Building Official (CBO), BLM’s Authorized Officer and the Compliance Project Manager (CPM), will serve as an extra set of eyes to ensure that safety procedures and practices are fully implemented during construction at all power plants certified by the Energy Commission. During audits conducted by staff, most site safety professionals welcomed the audit team and actively engaged them in questions about the team’s findings and recommendations. These safety professionals recognized that safety requires continuous vigilance and that the presence of an independent audit team provides a “fresh perspective” of the site.

**Proposed Project - Fire Hazards**

During construction and operation of the proposed ISEGS there is the potential for small fires, major structural fires and wild fires. Electrical sparks, combustion of fuel oil, natural gas, hydraulic fluid, mineral oil, insulating fluid at the project power plant switchyard or flammable liquids, explosions, and overheated equipment, may cause small fires. Major structural fires in areas without automatic fire detection and suppression systems are unlikely at power plants. Fires and explosions of natural gas or other flammable gasses or liquids are rare. Compliance with all LORS will be adequate to ensure protection from all fire hazards associated with the project. Wild fires that would use local vegetation as its fuel and could have potential effects on workers and project facilities are not expected to be caused by the project. If wild fires are external to
the ISEGS project boundaries, they would not be the responsibility of the project owner to suppress. The applicant has proposed a number of wild fire protective measures that would help reduce the potential for harm to plant personnel and damage to facilities as discussed below under Operations. However, the applicant plans to remove all vegetation in the vicinity of the solar power towers, power blocks, substation and administration areas, and to cut and maintain vegetation in the solar fields to about 12 – 18 inches high. The access road along the perimeter fence lines will also serve as a fire break.

Staff reviewed the information provided in the AFC and spoke to a representative of the SBCFD to determine if available fire protection services and equipment would adequately protect workers, and to further determine the project’s impact on fire protection services in the area. The project will rely on both onsite fire protection systems and local fire protection services. The onsite fire protection system provides the first line of defense for small fires. In the event of a major fire, fire support services, including trained firefighters and equipment for a sustained response, would be provided by the SBCFD. Clark County (Nevada) Fire Department would be called upon if needed, and as available, through a Mutual Aid Agreement with SBCFD (BSE2007a, section 5.16.4.6) (Crawford 2008).

Construction
During construction, portable fire extinguishers will be located and maintained throughout the site; safety procedures and training will also be implemented (BSE2007a, section 5.16.4.4.1). Station #53 of the SBCFD in Baker, California, will provide fire protection backup for larger fires that cannot be extinguished using the project’s portable suppression equipment (BSE2007a, section 5.16.4.6).  

Operation
The information in the AFC indicates that the project intends to meet the fire protection and suppression requirements of the California Fire Code, all applicable recommended NFPA standards (including Standard 850, which addresses fire protection at electric generating plants), and all Cal-OSHA requirements. Fire suppression elements in the proposed plant will include both fixed and portable fire extinguishing systems.

The fire protection system would be designed to protect personnel and limit property loss and plant downtime in the event of a fire. The primary source of fire protection water would be stored in the 250,000 gallon raw water storage tank to be located in each power block. Approximately 100,000 gallons would be usable for plant process needs and 150,000 gallons would be reserved for fire protection. An electric jockey pump and electric motor-driven main fire pump would be provided to increase the water pressure to the level required to serve all fire fighting systems. In addition, a backup diesel engine-driven fire pump would be provided to pressurize the fire loop if the power supply to the electric motor-driven main fire pump fails. All fire protection systems would be focused on the power blocks, administration/warehouse building, and other areas of active operations. The applicant has proposed a number of wild fire protective measures that would help reduce the potential for harm to plant personnel and damage to facilities. These include removal of all vegetation in the vicinity of the solar power
towers, power blocks, substation and administration areas, and cutting and maintaining vegetation in the solar fields to about 12 – 18 inches high. The access road along the perimeter fence lines would also serve as a fire break.

In addition to the fixed fire protection system, smoke detectors, flame detectors, high-temperature detectors, appropriate class of service portable extinguishers, and fire hydrants must be located throughout the facility at code-approved intervals. These systems are standard requirements of the fire code, NFPA and staff has determined that they will ensure adequate fire protection.

The applicant would be required by conditions of certification WORKER SAFETY-1 and -2 to provide a final fire protection and prevention program to both staff and the SBCFD prior to the construction and operation of the project in order to confirm the adequacy of proposed fire protection measures.

Emergency Medical Services Response

A statewide survey was conducted by staff to determine the frequency of incidents requiring emergency medical services (EMS) and off-site fire-fighters for natural gas-fired power plants in California. The purpose of this analysis was to determine what impact, if any, power plants might have on local emergency services. Staff concludes that incidents at power plants requiring fire or EMS responses are infrequent and represent an insignificant impact on local fire departments. However, staff has determined that the potential for both work-related and non-work related heart attacks exists at power plants. In fact, staff’s research on the frequency of EMS response to gas-fired power plants shows that many of the responses for cardiac emergencies involved non-work related incidences, including visitors. The need for prompt response within a few minutes is well documented in the medical literature. Staff believes that the quickest medical intervention can only be achieved with the use of an on-site defibrillator often called an Automatic External Defibrillator or AED; the response from an off-site provider would take longer regardless of the provider location. This fact is also well documented and serves as the basis for many private and public locations including airports, factories, and government buildings, all of which maintain on-site cardiac defibrillation devices. Therefore, staff concludes that with the availability of modern cost-effective AED devices, it is proper in a power plant environment to maintain these devices on-site in order to treat cardiac arrhythmias resulting from industrial accidents or other non-work related causes. Therefore, an additional condition of certification, WORKER SAFETY-5, is proposed so that a portable AED will be located on site, and workers trained in its use.

Facility Closure and Decommissioning

Upon final facility closure, no workers will remain at the site, except for those necessary to maintain security over any remaining hazardous materials until they are removed from the site. During decommissioning, worker safety would be ensured by the same CAL-OSHA and other regulations requiring safety plans and training for as were needed for construction and operations. A decommissioning Illness and Injury Prevention Plan would be included as part of the decommissioning plan.
Facility fire protection systems will remain functional while hazardous materials remain on site, and as long as feasible into the decommissioning process.

**No Project/No Action Alternative**

As Staff concludes that the proposed project would not have significant impacts on local fire protection services, it would not cause a significant impact on the public. Thus Staff concludes that the No Project/No Action alternative would not avoid or lessen a significant impact compared to the proposed project.

Staff concludes that if the applicant for the proposed ISEGS project provides project construction safety and health and project operations and maintenance safety and health programs, as required by proposed **WORKER SAFETY** conditions of certification, ISEGS would incorporate sufficient measures to ensure adequate levels of industrial safety and comply with applicable LORS. As worker safety is a LORS-conformity requirement, the No Project/No Action alternative consideration is not applicable to the worker safety topic.

**CUMULATIVE IMPACTS AND MITIGATION**

Staff reviewed the impacts on the fire and emergency service capabilities of the SBCFD attributable to the construction and operation of ISEGS in conjunction with other existing and foreseeable projects as listed in the **Cumulative Scenario** section. The limited fire risks and potential for hazardous materials incidents at the proposed facility do not pose significant added demands on local fire protection services. Staff concludes that the ISEGS would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact on existing local fire protection services.

**NOTEWORTHY PUBLIC BENEFITS**

Staff has not identified any noteworthy public benefits associated with the proposed project’s potential use of fire and emergency service capabilities of the SBCFD.

**PUBLIC AND AGENCY COMMENTS ON THE PSA**

No comments were received.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Staff concludes that if the applicant for the proposed ISEGS project provides project construction safety and health and project operations and maintenance safety and health programs, as required by conditions of certification **WORKER SAFETY -1**, and **-2**; and fulfills the requirements of conditions of certification **WORKER SAFETY-3** through-6, ISEGS would incorporate sufficient measures to ensure adequate levels of industrial safety and comply with applicable LORS. Staff also concludes that the proposed project would not have impacts on local fire protection services that would be significant with respect to CEQA or NEPA.
MITIGATION MEASURES/PROPOSED CONDITIONS OF CERTIFICATION

WORKER SAFETY-1  The project owner shall submit to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the Compliance Project Manager (CPM) a copy of the Project Construction Safety and Health Program containing the following:

- A Construction Personal Protective Equipment Program;
- A Construction Exposure Monitoring Program;
- A Construction Injury and Illness Prevention Program;
- A Construction Emergency Action Plan; and

The Personal Protective Equipment Program, the Exposure Monitoring Program, and the Injury and Illness Prevention Program shall be submitted to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM for review and approval concerning compliance of the program with all applicable Safety Orders. The Construction Emergency Action Plan and the Fire Prevention Plan shall be submitted to the San Bernardino County Fire Department for review and comment prior to submittal to the BLM’s Authorized Officer and CPM for approval.

Verification:  At least thirty (30) days prior to the start of construction, the project owner shall submit to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM a copy of the Project Construction Safety and Health Program. The project owner shall provide a copy of a letter to the BLM’s Authorized Officer and CPM from the San Bernardino County Fire Department stating the Fire Department’s comments on the Construction Fire Prevention Plan and Emergency Action Plan.

WORKER SAFETY-2  The project owner shall submit to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM a copy of the Project Operations and Maintenance Safety and Health Program containing the following:

- An Operation Injury and Illness Prevention Plan;
- An Emergency Action Plan;
- Hazardous Materials Management Program;
- Fire Prevention Program (8 CCR § 3221); and
- Personal Protective Equipment Program (8 CCR §§ 3401-3411).

The Operation Injury and Illness Prevention Plan, Emergency Action Plan, and Personal Protective Equipment Program shall be submitted to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM for review and approval concerning compliance of the program with all applicable Safety Orders. The Operation Fire Prevention Plan and the Emergency Action Plan shall also be submitted to the San Bernardino County Fire Department for review and comment.
**Verification:** At least thirty (30) days prior to the start of first-fire or commissioning, the project owner shall submit to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM for approval a copy of the Project Operations and Maintenance Safety and Health Program. The project owner shall provide a copy of a letter to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM from the San Bernardino County Fire Department stating the Fire Department’s comments on the Operations Fire Prevention Plan and Emergency Action Plan.

**WORKER SAFETY-3** The project owner shall provide a site Construction Safety Supervisor (CSS) who, by way of training and/or experience, is knowledgeable of power plant construction activities and relevant laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards, is capable of identifying workplace hazards relating to the construction activities, and has authority to take appropriate action to assure compliance and mitigate hazards. The CSS shall:

- Have over-all authority for coordination and implementation of all occupational safety and health practices, policies, and programs;
- Assure that the safety program for the project complies with Cal/OSHA and federal regulations related to power plant projects;
- Assure that all construction and commissioning workers and supervisors receive adequate safety training;
- Complete accident and safety-related incident investigations, emergency response reports for injuries, and inform BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM of safety-related incidents; and
- Assure that all the plans identified in **WORKER SAFETY-1 and -2** are implemented.

**Verification:** At least thirty (30) days prior to the start of site mobilization, the project owner shall submit to BLM’s authorized officer and the CPM the name and contact information for the Construction Safety Supervisor (CSS). The contact information of any replacement (CSS) shall be submitted to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM within one business day.

The CSS shall submit in the Monthly Compliance Report a monthly safety inspection report to include:

- Record of all employees trained for that month (all records shall be kept on site for the duration of the project);
- Summary report of safety management actions and safety-related incidents that occurred during the month;
- Report of any continuing or unresolved situations and incidents that may pose danger to life or health; and
- Report of accidents and injuries that occurred during the month.

**WORKER SAFETY-4** The project owner shall make payments to the Chief Building Official (CBO) for the services of a Safety Monitor based upon a reasonable fee schedule to be negotiated between the project owner and the CBO.
Those services shall be in addition to other work performed by the CBO. The Safety Monitor shall be selected by and report directly to the CBO, and will be responsible for verifying that the Construction Safety Supervisor, as required in WORKER SAFETY-3, implements all appropriate Cal/OSHA and Commission safety requirements. The Safety Monitor shall conduct on-site (including linear facilities) safety inspections at intervals necessary to fulfill those responsibilities.

Verification: At least thirty (30) days prior to the start of construction, the project owner shall provide proof of its agreement to fund the Safety Monitor services to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM for review and approval.

WORKER SAFETY-5 The project owner shall ensure that a portable automatic external defibrillator (AED) is located on site during construction and operations and shall implement a program to ensure that workers are properly trained in its use and that the equipment is properly maintained and functioning at all times. During construction and commissioning, the following persons shall be trained in its use and shall be on-site whenever the workers that they supervise are on-site: the Construction Project Manager or delegate, the Construction Safety Supervisor or delegate, and all shift foremen. During operations, all power plant employees shall be trained in its use. The training program shall be submitted to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM for review and approval.

Verification: At least thirty (30) days prior to the start of site mobilization the project owner shall submit to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM proof that a portable AED exists on site and a copy of the training and maintenance program for review and approval.

WORKER SAFETY-6 The project owner shall prepare and implement a Best Management Practices (BMPs) for the storage and application of herbicides used to control weeds beneath and around the solar array. These plans shall be submitted to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM for review and approval.

Verification: At least thirty (30) days prior to the start of site mobilization, the project owner shall submit to BLM’s Authorized Officer and the CPM a copy of the Best Management Practices (BMPs) for the storage and application of herbicides.
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