



# California Energy Commission Joint IEPR and Renewables Committee Workshop on Biopower in California

April 21, 2009



California Energy Commission  
Contract # 500-04-027  
KEMA Inc, Oakland, CA  
Workshop Presentation

# Table of Contents

## Cofiring of Coal Fired Power Plants with Biomass

### **1. Introduction**

### **2. Market Potential for Fuel Switch in WECC and CA**

### **3. Cofiring Technology Overview**

### **4. Biomass Supply Chain**

### **5. Biomass Pelletizing and Torrefaction**

### **6. Preliminary Estimate of Potential Co-firing in WECC**

### **7. Issues and Challenges**

# Introduction

- Co-firing has been introduced for years but so far few incentives have been put in place for co-firing of biomass on a large scale
- New drivers make co-firing an attractive option to consider:
  - Renewable Portfolio Standards
  - Greenhouse Gas trading
  - Fuel Switching
  - Life-extension / repowering options
  - Cheaper (opportunity) fuels
  - RECs
  - Job creation

# Table of Contents

## Cofiring of Coal Fired Power Plants with Biomass

**1. Introduction**

**2. Market Potential for Fuel Switch in WECC and CA**

**3. Cofiring Technology Overview**

**4. Biomass Supply Chain**

**5. Biomass Pelletizing and Torrefaction**

**6. Preliminary Estimate of Potential Co-firing in WECC**

**7. Issues and Challenges**

# WECC Coal-fired Capacity by Coal Type (Including California)

<b>Plant Type</b>	<b># of Units</b>	<b>Nameplate Capacity (MW)</b>
<b>Anthracite Coal, Bituminous Coal</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>15,980</b>
<b>Lignite Coal</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Subbituminous Coal</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>19,070</b>
<b>Waste/Other Coal *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Coal Synfuel**</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>35,200</b>

\*Anthracite Culm, Bituminous Gob, Fine Coal, Lignite Waste, Waste Coal

\*\*Coal-based solid fuel that has been processed by a coal synfuel plant, and coal-based fuels such as briquettes, pellets, or extrusions, which are formed from fresh or recycled coal and binding materials

Source: EIA, "Existing Generating Units in the United States by State, Company and Plant, 2007," January 2009.

<http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/electricity/epa/epat2p2.html>

## Assumptions

This analysis only included coal-fired plants located in the United States. Those located in Canada and Mexico were excluded, although these facilities are eligible to meet California's RPS requirements, provided they deliver electricity into California. We also assumed that the entire states of Montana and New Mexico are in WECC, though small portions of these states fall outside WECC; likewise, South Dakota and Texas were fully excluded, though small portions of these states are located within WECC.

We excluded three facilities that are already engaging in co-firing by utilizing wood/wood waste as secondary fuels.

# Cofiring Market Potential

The research team analyzed the potential in GW using biomass as a fuel:

KEMA made two estimates of co-firing potential at coal-fired plants in the Western Electric Coordinating Council (WECC) region:

Low-cost co-firing – 1.5% of coal generation

High-cost co-firing – 10% of coal generation (this percentage can be increased to typically 30%)

Low-cost co-firing applications are those in which plant operators simply mix biomass feedstocks with coal without modifying the boilers at the facility. The capital costs are simply those required to receive and handle the biomass fuel.

High-cost co-firing applications can require significant modifications and capital upgrades to boiler systems due to upgrades in fuel handling equipment and burners. These allow a far greater level of co-firing.

We have applied the same two coefficients (1.5 percent and 10 percent) to all facilities. If we had data on the specific types of boilers employed at each facility, we could conduct a more in-depth analysis with more specific co-firing coefficients for each boiler type.

	<b>GW</b>	<b>GWh</b>	<b>Low-cost Co-firing (GWh)</b>	<b>High-cost Co-firing (GWh)</b>
<b>California</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>24,901</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>2,490</b>
<b>Rocky Mountain Power Area</b>	<b>16.9</b>	<b>117,181</b>	<b>1,758</b>	<b>11,718</b>
<b>Northwest Power Pool</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>83,491</b>	<b>1,252</b>	<b>8,349</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>225,574</b>	<b>3,384</b>	<b>22,557</b>

# WECC Coal Based Generation Capacity (33GW / 225,574GWh)

(Source: EIA)

WECC Analysis		2006	2007	2008	2009
<b>Region</b>	<b>Coal-based Electric Generating Capacity (GW)</b>				
California	Electric Power	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
California	End Use	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
California	Total	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Rocky Mountain	Electric Power	16.8	16.8	16.9	18.1
Rocky Mountain	End Use	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Rocky Mountain	Total	16.9	16.9	17.0	18.1
Northwest	Electric Power	11.4	11.4	11.6	11.6
Northwest	End Use	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Northwest	Total	11.7	11.7	11.9	11.9
		<b>33.0</b>			
<b>Region</b>	<b>Coal-based Generation (GWh)</b>				
California	Electric Power	24,876	24,250	27,172	26,952
California	End Use	652	652	652	652
California	Total	25,528	24,901	27,824	27,604
Rocky Mountain	Electric Power	118,585	116,817	122,945	122,066
Rocky Mountain	End Use	353	364	364	364
Rocky Mountain	Total	118,938	117,181	123,309	122,430
Northwest	Electric Power	75,576	82,222	78,103	76,928
Northwest	End Use	1,233	1,269	1,576	1,576
Northwest	Total	76,809	83,491	79,679	78,504
		<b>225,574</b>			

# CA Coal Based Generation Capacity (440MW, 2,895 GWh)

About 9,000 GWh of additional biomass/biogas needed to meet 20 percent of California's 33 percent by 2020 RPS goal

Plant Name	Nameplate Capacity (MW)	Summer Capacity (MW)	Net Generation (Annual GWh)	Capacity Factor	Co-firing		
					(low)	(high)	(high)
					2%	10%	30%
ACE Cogeneration Facility	108	101	729	77%	15	73	219
Stockton Cogen	60	54	434	83%	9	43	130
Port of Stockton District Energy Fac	54	44	268	57%	5	27	80
Mt Poso Cogeneration	62	52	417	77%	8	42	125
Rio Bravo Poso	38	33	291	87%	6	29	87
Argus Cogen Plant (Unit 1, bituminous)	28	25	173	72%	3	17	52
Argus Cogen Plant (Unit 2, synfuel)	28	25	173	72%	3	17	52
TXI Riverside Cement Power House (Unit 1)	12	11	70	66%	1	7	21
TXI Riverside Cement Power House (Unit 2)	12	11	70	66%	1	7	21
Rio Bravo Jasmin	38	33	272	81%	5	27	82
<b>Total:</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>2,895</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>869</b>

Sources: US DOE, Energy Information Administration, Form EIA-860. "Annual Electric Generator Report."  
(Existing generating units as of December 31, 2007)

# Table of Contents

## Cofiring of Coal Fired Power Plants with Biomass

**1. Introduction**

**2. Market Potential for Fuel Switch in WECC and CA**

**3. Cofiring Technology Overview**

**4. Biomass Supply Chain**

**5. Biomass Pelletizing and Torrefaction**


**6. Preliminary Estimate of Potential Co-firing in WECC**

**7. Issues and Challenges**

# Technology Aspects

- Co-firing up to 30% is technically feasible without significant modification, with following considerations:
  - Enough biomass available
  - Suitable area for feedstock storage
  - Suitable area for pre-processing unit
  - No unit derating
  - No undue degradation of combustion properties
- Based on our modeling the most dominant economic parameters are:
  - The biomass price and current coal price
  - The specific investment costs and
  - The CO<sub>2</sub> price
- Examples of break even biomass prices seen from 1.7 to 2.1 US\$/MMBtu without consideration of RPS and Carbon allowance costs


# There are four Biomass injection point possibilities




1. Co-milling of biomass with coal
2. Separate milling, injection in pf-lines, combustion in coal burners
3. Separate milling, combustion in dedicated biomass burners
4. Biomass gasification, syngas combusted in furnace boiler

Each co-firing route has its own (unique) operational requirements and constraints and specific demands on fuel quality

# Process flow scheme



# Other Efficiency and Emissions improvements to consider



Technology Areas to "Clean" Fossil Power Generation

Fuel	Combustion	Gas Emissions	Solid Waste
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Fuel Pre-treatment</li> <li>● Pulverization / Fluidized Beds</li> <li>● Biomass / Co firing</li> <li>● Compression / Pellets</li> <li>● Fuel-mix/Conversion</li> <li>● Fuel conditioning</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Advance Combustion</li> <li>● Dry Low NOx burners</li> <li>● Thermal optimization</li> <li>● IGCC</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Electrostatic precipitators (ESP)</li> <li>● Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR)</li> <li>● Flue gas Desulphurization (FGDS)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Fly ash reprocessing</li> <li>● Bottom ash reprocessing</li> <li>● Recycle fly ash – cement, road surfacing</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● CO2 Pre-combustion Gasification or partial Oxydation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Post Combustion – CO2 separation</li> <li>● CO2 Separation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Oxyfuel- O2/CO2 recycle</li> <li>● CO2 Dehydration &amp; Compression</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● CO2 Storage</li> </ul> <p><b>Sequestration Under Development</b></p>

# Table of Contents

## Cofiring of Coal Fired Power Plants with Biomass

**1. Introduction**

**2. Market Potential for Fuel Switch in WECC and CA**

**3. Cofiring Technology Overview**

**4. Biomass Supply Chain**


**5. Biomass Pelletizing and Torrefaction**

**6. Preliminary Estimate of Potential Co-firing in WECC**


**7. Issues and Challenges**

# Sources and uses of wood

## From Forests to Final Products




# Forest Resource Data Screening Process




# Sample GIS-Based Resource Intensity Map


Resource Intensity Maps can be used to site biomass torrefaction facilities, based on convergence of available biomass resources.




# Lumber Mill Locations



# Pulp & Paper Mill Generating Capacity




# Current CHP Facilities Utilizing Biomass



# Biomass Potential as a percentage of TWh generated in each state

**Biomass Potential as a Percentage of Total Generation**



Source: U.S. DOE Energy Information Administration, Jan. 2009

# Table of Contents

## Cofiring of Coal Fired Power Plants with Biomass

**1. Introduction**

**2. Market Potential for Fuel Switch in WECC and CA**

**3. Cofiring Technology Overview**

**4. Biomass Supply Chain**

**5. Biomass Pelletizing and Torrefaction**

**6. Preliminary Estimate of Potential Co-firing in WECC**

**7. Issues and Challenges**

# Biomass Pelletizing and Torrefaction



Woody Biomass



Torrefied




Pelletized

Pelletized biomass is an efficient fuel source for heating and energy generation, and is now being utilized in Europe and the eastern US.

# Torrefaction

Torrefaction = Roasting

Every morning millions of people drink coffee, made from roasted coffee beans....



Fibrous Biomass can be torrefied in a similar fashion

# Thermal Processing of Wood / Biomass/ Agri-Waste



<b>Temp. (°C)</b>	80 - 140	~140 - 350	~350 - 650	650 - 900 <sup>(1)</sup>	800 - 900
<b>Volatiles <sup>(2)</sup> remaining</b>	100%	75% – 90%	0 – 15%	0%	0%
<b>Fixed Carbon remaining</b>	100% FC	100% FC	90 – 100% FC	0 – 10% FC	0% FC
<b>Process Oxygen</b>	Low	0% O <sub>2</sub>	Sub-stoichiometric O <sub>2</sub>	Sub-stoichiometric O <sub>2</sub>	Excess O <sub>2</sub>
<b>Off-Gas</b>	Water Vapour	Some CO, CO <sub>2</sub> , Organic Acids	CO/CO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>x</sub> H <sub>y</sub>	CO/CO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>x</sub> H <sub>y</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub> + H <sub>2</sub> O
<b>Solids</b>	Dry Product	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Roasted product (smokeless fuel)</li> <li>• Embrittled &amp; hydrophobic</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Char product</li> <li>• Most volatiles driven off</li> <li>• FC and ash remains</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ash product</li> <li>• Low residual FC</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ash product</li> </ul>

1. Depends on Ash Characterization.

2. As per Proximate Analysis.

Source: Torbed, Topell

# Torrefaction of Biomass

It takes Nature 60 million years

With Compact Bed Reactors it can take  
60 seconds !



- 🔄 Wood Chips
- 🔄 Grass
- 🔄 Straw
- 🔄 Rice husks




Pulverized,  
Torrefied Wood

Torrefied Wood Pellets  
“Bio-Pellets”



# Technology for Torrefaction of Wood Chips is available

- Capacity: 60,000 tonnes / year
- Design for Polow Energy Systems in Netherlands
- Construction start scheduled for 2009
- Product destined for power plant in Germany
- Wood Chips Feed Moisture: 40%
- Modular plants sited in biomass rich areas can process feedstock for efficient rail transport



# Table of Contents

## Cofiring of Coal Fired Power Plants with Biomass

**1. Introduction**

**2. Market Potential for Fuel Switch in WECC and CA**

**3. Cofiring Technology Overview**

**4. Biomass Supply Chain**

**5. Biomass Pelletizing and Torrefaction**

**6. Preliminary Estimate of Potential Co-firing in WECC**

**7. Issues and Challenges**

# Preliminary Estimate of Potential Co-firing in WECC (Co-firing Biomass in Coal Power Plant Units)

- Total biomass potential in WECC is approx 33GW
- Based on existing assets with 100% biomass firing:
  - Approx 10 GW of coal units in WECC areas
  - Approx 0.5GW of coal units generate in CA
- Based on conservative 10% to 30% cofiring of these plants:
  - Between 1GW to 3 GW of Biomass power could be generated (replacing coal)
- Estimates assume biomass feedstock is readily available.

# Coal-fired Power Plants in WECC (Out-of-State) Contracting with California Utilities

Plant Name <sup>1</sup>	State	Nameplate Capacity (MW) <sup>2</sup>	Summer Capacity (MW) <sup>2</sup>	2006 Claims (GWh) <sup>1</sup>
Four Corners (Units 4 and 5)	NM	1,636	1,500	5,647
San Juan (Units 3 and 4)	NM	1,110	1,002	2,016
Navajo	AZ	2,409	2,250	3,180
Boardman Plant	OR	601	585	499
Deseret (Hunter)	UT	1,472	1,320	76
Deseret (Bonanza)	UT	500	458	309
Intermountain Power	UT	1,640	1,800	10,503
<b>Total</b>		<b>9,368</b>	<b>8,915</b>	<b>22,230</b>

1. Source: California Energy Commission, SB 1305 Data

2. EIA, "Existing Generating Units in the United States by State, Company and Plant, 2007"

# Table of Contents

## Cofiring of Coal Fired Power Plants with Biomass

**1. Introduction**

**2. Market Potential for Fuel Switch in WECC and CA**

**3. Cofiring Technology Overview**

**4. Biomass Supply Chain**

**5. Biomass Pelletizing and Torrefaction**

**6. Preliminary Estimate of Potential Co-firing in WECC**

**7. Issues and Challenges**

# Issues and Challenges

- Maximize the job creation by building a supply chain
  - Infrastructure for biomass transportation
  - Construct torrefaction and pelletizing facilities
- Operators challenge and incentives to implementation
- Torrefaction and air quality issues
  - Extract potential for low NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>x</sub> from biomass firing
  - Fouling of SCR with biomass flue gas constituents

# End

## Questions and Discussion

