From: Susan Sutton-Mazzocco
To: Korosec, Suzanne@Energy; Energy - Docket Optical System;
Subject: Comments on Petition for Societal Cost-Benefit Evaluation of Net Energy Metering
Date: Friday, June 28, 2013 10:20:50 AM

Docket No. 13-IEP-1
Request for Party
Comments on Joint Petition
For Societal Cost-Benefit
Evaluation of California's
Net Energy Metering Program

California Energy Commission
DOCKETED
13-IEP-1
TN # 71465
JUNE 28 2013

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: Comments on Petition for Societal Cost-Benefit Evaluation of Net Energy Metering
Date: Fri, 28 Jun 2013 08:45:51 -0700
From: Susan Sutton-Mazzocco <susansm@netptc.net>
To:docket@energy.ca.gov, Suzanne.Korosec@energy.ca.gov

To whom it may concern,

I am strongly in favor of a cost-benefit evaluation of California's net energy metering program. I believe the evaluation will have many positive findings. It will surely make clear the link between clean energy production and clean air. Our air is filthy in California and net metering is a huge step in the right direction towards improving our
air quality. The cost of improving something so vital really has no price tag too high.

The state of California needs to do everything it can to promote Clean Energy. Paying a fair price to people who put solar panels on their roofs for the energy they produce would encourage more roof top solar. Putting solar on roofs in the cities where the electricity is needed is far more environmentally sound than putting it in large solar banks in undeveloped and remote landscapes.

In closing, please do a cost-benefit evaluation of California's net energy metering program. I look forward to reviewing the results of the
study. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Susan Sutton-Mazzocco