

Energy - Docket Optical System

From: Haas, Julie@DWR
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2013 4:39 PM
To: Loyer, Joe@Energy
Subject: RE: Bulletin progress

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

California Energy Commission

DOCKETED
13-IEP-1P

TN 70882

MAY 17 2013

Hi Joe,

The GHEW Standards are a work in progress (roughly ~75% complete), so I can't provide them to you for review yet. I am guessing that it won't be ready for public review until the end of June, but that's just a guess.

I think we should talk so I understand what information would be most helpful to you. But in the meantime, I wanted to send you the following links about the latter, in case they might be of use to you:

The Well Completion Reports (WCR) are confidential per Water Code Section 13752: <http://info.sen.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=13001-14000&file=13750.5-13755>

Many people have suggested making the WCRs publicly available and online. 2011 Senate Bill SB263 (25-14) would have done just that: http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/11-12/bill/sen/sb_0251-0300/sb_263_cfa_20110705_133059_asm_comm.html

--The bill gives some of the history about why they were made confidential in the first place.

The issue is also mentioned in this LAO report:

http://www.lao.ca.gov/reports/2010/rsrc/groundwater/groundwater_032410.aspx -see Figure 8 "California Lagging Other Western States in Groundwater Management" and the paragraph immediately below it.

Hope these are helpful – I sent them because you mentioned something about not being the only thing out there and I definitely think you are not alone on this topic.

I look forward to talking to you soon – I think I will send out an appointment for a call so we don't keep missing each other.

Julie

Julie Haas, PE
Senior Water Resources Engineer
California Department of Water Resources
Division of Integrated Regional Water Management
Regional Planning Branch
(916) 651-0140
Julie.Haas@water.ca.gov

From: Loyer, Joe@Energy
Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2013 9:49 AM
To: Haas, Julie@DWR
Subject: Bulletin progress

Hey Julie,

I'd like to start reviewing the Bulletin soon.
When are you expecting it to be released for public comment?

Plus, I'd like to talk to about the potential for DWR to create and maintain a well-log data base on-line.

-Joe

From: Haas, Julie@DWR
Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2013 2:28 PM
To: Loyer, Joe@Energy
Subject: RE: Draft Policy Document

Hi Joe,

I just wanted to let you know that the draft policy looks fine to me. Since it is an early draft, I didn't pass it up my chain of command for review. I look forward to seeing the next version and what changes if any were proposed at the working group today.

Thanks,

Julie

Julie Haas, PE
Senior Water Resources Engineer
California Department of Water Resources
Division of Integrated Regional Water Management
Regional Planning Branch
(916) 651-0140
Julie.Haas@water.ca.gov

From: Loyer, Joe@Energy
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 9:38 AM
To: Ashuckian, Dave@Energy; Barker, Kevin@Energy; Bohan, Drew@Energy; Brent Morelli; Brett Lehman; Burgoyne, Daniel@DGS; Dan Bernstein; Mehl, Dave@ARB; David Maul; Dennis Murphy; Geiszler, Eurlyne@Energy; Green, Lynette@Energy; Miranda, Hazel@Energy; Hazlyn Fortune; Hoellwarth, Craig@Energy; Jeff Guy; Jim Charters; John Kreber; John Townsend; Haas, Julie@DWR; Kent Penning; Korosec, Suzanne@Energy; Lisa Meline; Loyer, Joe@Energy; Manuel Alvarez; Marco Alves, PE; Mark Morelli; Marvelli, Mia@DGS; Mike Keese; Oglesby, Rob@Energy; Patrick Splitt; Paul Bony; Phil Henry - California Geo; Sandy Goldberg; Sara Arce; Sean Dillon; Steve Kavanaugh; Susan Nichol
Subject: Draft Policy Document

Hello Fellow Members of the AB2339 Working Group,

The attached file is the first draft of the policies that they Energy Commission may publish in the 2013 IEPR. This is only the first draft; it is open to additions or deletions.

If you do want to add a policy, please keep a few things in mind.

1. Make sure that the policy that you want to add is something that the Energy Commission can do. For example, we cannot dictate a fee schedule to a local jurisdiction that they would use for boreholes. If you are not sure, suggest the policy and I will research it.
2. Provide a short (3-6 paragraphs) summary of the issue being addressed.
3. Discuss any federal, State or local governments that may have jurisdiction.
4. Identify supporters and detractors as best you can.

5. Include benefits to industry, consumers and the environment as best you can.
6. Keep in mind that the Energy Commission is resource constrained at the moment and large projects will not generally be well received. That said, if it needs to be done (and done by us); make the case and we will likely find a way.

If anyone cannot attend the Thursday WebEx meeting, please send me you edits and notes. Use the track-changes feature in WORD if you can. Please submit all edits, including additions and deletions of draft policies, as an attached file not in the body of an email.

To fellow State Agency Representatives,

You will note that several draft polices indicate that the Energy Commission will work with your agency to perform a task or achieve a goal. Please remember that this is only a draft and that, in many instances, this is the first opportunity we have had to discuss such specifics. If the policy or goal is unreasonable or otherwise unattainable, please give me a rationale as to why and, if possible, suggest an alternative. For example, Issue 3 of the draft policies is included to discuss why an RPS credit cannot be granted to a utility-based loop lease program, and will not be included in the final policy document.

If there are any issue you need to discuss with me prior to our meeting on Thursday, please email or call.

Thank you,
Joe Loyer
916-654-4811