



Katy Walton on 09/03/99 04:34:33 PM

To: Lawrence Monsalud/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT  
cc:  
Subject: Re: SWMP Update Meeting - Rescheduled

DOUG HOGUE  
(909) 383-4557

Sure - Tom M and I will cover it.

If you and Matthew are checking out physical conditions on SR 127 in D-8 (San Bernardino County) then please coordinate with them. Let them know what we're planning on doing. They may want to be involved in some way or handle their section. I'd suggest you call Doug Hogue in Planning if you plan to be doing ANY field review in their portion. If all you plan to do is to talk with Jeff Frederickson, Mtce. supervisor in Shoshone, about the maintenance and issues on the D-8 portion (because I think he maintains most, if not all, of SR127) then you don't need to involve D-8. Please discuss with me next week your plans for the field review. Thanks, Katy  
Lawrence Monsalud



Lawrence Monsalud  
09/03/99 11:05 AM

To: Katy Walton/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT  
cc:  
Subject: Re: SWMP Update Meeting - Rescheduled

Katy,

Matthew and I will verify the data and/or information on SRs 127 & 178 on September 13-15, 1999. This will be in conflict with SWMP meeting. I would like to ask if I could skip or get somebody to attend this meeting for me. Thanks

Katy Walton on 09/01/99 05:18:39 PM



Katy Walton on 09/01/99 05:18:39 PM

To: Ken Keaton/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT  
cc: Lawrence Monsalud/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Tom Meyers/D03/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, D-9 Exec. Staff, Jim Kemp/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Tom Dayak/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Pete Conn/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Tim Shultz/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Mike Carrington/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT  
Subject: Re: SWMP Update Meeting - Rescheduled

Ken - D-9 would like to schedule a teleconference hook-up.



Brad Mettam  
09/10/99 04:43 PM

To: Douglas Hogue/D08/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT  
cc: Lawrence Monsalud/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Matthew Goike/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Katy Walton/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT  
Subject: Update on SR127 Risk Assessment

You should have received the fax of the email originally sent from Barbara Byron of the California Energy Commission to Inyo County. This discussion refers heavily to the information on that fax. If you don't have (or can't read) the fax, please let me know.

The description of the needs of the California Energy Commission (CEC) as described in the email are simpler than what we were told (and that prompted the elevating of the issue to HQ). You will note the CEC is asking for information in the following five categories:

- Roadway Conditions
- Traffic and Safety Conditions
- Special Operating Conditions
- Vehicle Operating Conditions
- Other Factors

What we have decided internally at District 9 is to gather information on the first three categories, in preparation to being asked to deliver the information to CEC by October. If someone in District 8 could gather parallel information on your portion of SR127, we would be willing to combine the information into a single document. The person you should use as a contact here at District 9 is Lawrence Monsalud. Please let me know if you will not be able to complete this information request in the timeframe required.

You will note that the information as outlined in the email does not include a request for a formal risk assessment. Working from what we understood in the original request, we had determined that the radiological risk portion of any such assessment would properly be done by a health physicist, and are not proposing that Caltrans undertake a full risk assessment.

Thank you for your assistance in this effort. Please let me know if you have any questions.



Jeff Frederiksen on 08/19/99 10:27:11 AM

To: Matthew Goike/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT  
cc:  
Subject: Re: LLW Data Collection 

Matthew,

The post mile for the dip section is approx. , 43.4 to 43.5. As for the frequency of flooding at that location, we really don't have any hard data available right now. However, since there are only two small CMP's to handle the main flow, it will flood more often than the crossings on SR127.

When you are in the area, please look me up. In my absence, Steve Whitfield, the leadworker here is your best resource.

Thanks,  
Jeff



Brad Mettam  
09/24/99 04:16 PM

To: Randy Wright/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT  
cc: Lawrence Monsalud/D09/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT  
Subject: Haz-mat incidents on SR 127

I spoke with Jay Smart on the telephone yesterday. He was on SR 127 with his people, and wanted to discuss potential future radiologic shipments on that route. He mentioned that there had been an increase in incidents/accidents involving HM carriers along SR 127. I asked him if there was documentation on that, and he referred me to you.

We are compiling information on the road condition, traffic, and safety related issues on SR 127, in preparation for pending decisions by the U.S. Department of Energy to use SR 127 for low-level radioactive waste (and possible future use for high-level waste shipments). Any information you have on incidents/accidents involving HM or HM carriers, especially information that shows an increase in the number of incidents/accidents would be very useful. Please contact me (x689) with any questions.

Brad Mettam  
D9 Planning