

Michele Mercado - FW: Update on NRC Conference Call on State Role--Tentatively Scheduled for Friday at 10:00PST

From: "Butner, Gary (CDPH-RHB)" <Gary.Butner@cdph.ca.gov>
To: <susan.durbin@doj.ca.gov>
Date: 8/12/2008 12:39 PM
Subject: FW: Update on NRC Conference Call on State Role--Tentatively Scheduled for Friday at 10:00PST

From: Greger, Robert (CDPH-DFDRS-RHB)
Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2008 7:45 AM
To: Butner, Gary (CDPH-RHB)
Subject: FW: Update on NRC Conference Call on State Role--Tentatively Scheduled for Friday at 10:00PST

Another email for Brian Hembacher.

From: Greger, Robert (DHS-RHB)
Sent: Monday, January 27, 2003 2:39 PM
To: 'Barbara Byron'
Subject: RE: Update on NRC Conference Call on State Role--Tentatively Scheduled for Friday at 10:00PST

Ed is out-of-state all week. I am in training Friday a.m. from 9-12, and can't get out of the training. Your questions appear appropriate.

-----Original Message-----

From: Barbara Byron [mailto:Bbyron@energy.state.ca.us]
Sent: Monday, January 27, 2003 2:28 PM
To: JMcEnulty@chp.ca.gov; RGreger@dhs.ca.gov; Bob_Gerber@oes.ca.gov
Subject: Update on NRC Conference Call on State Role--Tentatively Scheduled for Friday at 10:00PST

NRC is attempting to arrange a conference call with California agencies (CHP, OES, DHS, and CEC) for this Friday at 10:00 a.m. PST. So far Bob Gerber from OES, Law and Mike Chaffee and Joe McEnulty from the CHP have confirmed that they could be on the call with NRC. Ben Tong is on vacation and DHS may be unavailable for the call. Rob and Ed, would you possibly be able to participate in the Friday call, even though you're in training?

A while back, California representatives asked NRC for information on the predicted consequences to public health and safety from a successful terrorist attack against nuclear facilities in California as well as against cobalt-60 or spent fuel shipments. California needs this kind of information to prepare accordingly. NRC issues threat advisories to licensees with certain actions recommended and/or required, yet there is no guidance provided for states on what's their appropriate response.

Some states have done their own analyses, and, based on these analyses, have decided to escort cobalt-60 shipments. NRC is conducting studies at Sandia Labs on the potential consequences from terrorist attacks. California needs this kind of information in order to decide what is the best use of our state's resources during heightened threat advisories, e.g., to protect bridges, refineries, nuclear power plants or to provide shipment escorts and whether current emergency response planning is adequate.

We plan to have **at least two telephone conference calls with NRC on this subject.**

The first call is to clarify our questions for NRC. The second call will be a confidential (STU phone) call in which sensitive information will be discussed.

The questions we've asked NRC are

1. What are the consequences of a successful attack against a spent fuel storage pool or dry cask storage, nuclear power plants, research reactors, large quantity shipments such as spent fuel or cobalt-60 shipments?

- 2 What is the appropriate state response when NRC issues threat advisories or ICM's to licensees?
- 3 If the nation goes to a heightened threat level (red or orange), should states consider escorting these shipments and providing additional security at nuclear power plants?

Do you have any other questions to add to this list?

Andy, do you plan to participate in the call? Ben Tong wont be on the call, since he is on vacation.

Barbara