

From: "Landes, David" <DCL3@pge.com>
To: "Jason Orta" <Jorta@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 7/24/2007 2:56 PM
Subject: RE: Errata for RPS Verification Report

CC: <psd@cpuc.ca.gov>, "Simon, Sean A." <SVN@cpuc.ca.gov>, "Heather Raitt" <...
Jason OK, I didn't know how the CRES figures were factored in. So, yes, incorporating that correction, I agree with the 8,574,976 MWh figure for PG&E's 2004 RPS procurement. Thx, Dave

From: Jason Orta [mailto:Jorta@energy.state.ca.us]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2007 2:30 PM
To: Landes, David
Subject: RE: Errata for RPS Verification Report

Thanks for reviewing the errata that I sent you.

I was doublechecking my numbers and PG&E's filings and I found a few things.

The 2004 procurement from the CRES Biomass facility listed on the RPS Track form was 107,736 MWh. In a letter that you sent me on February 23rd, you revised the CRES procurement to 92,030 MWh, resulting in a reduction of claimed procurement from CRES in 2004 by 15,706 MWh.

With this correction, the total RPSeligible procurement for PG&E is 2004 that I have is 8,574,976 MWh. The March 2007, May 2007 drafts, and the July 2007 reported this number as 8,559,274 MWh. The difference between 8,574,976 and 8,559,270 MWh is 15,706 MWh. I guess when I incorporated the revision you sent me for CRES in February 2007, I subtracted 15,706 from 8,574,976 MWh instead of subtracting it from 8,590,682.

In short, I have 8,574,976 MWh for procurement for 2004. Let me know if you have any questions.

Jason J. Orta
Renewable Energy Program
California Energy Commission
(916) 6535851

>>> "Landes, David" <DCL3@pge.com> 7/24/2007 10:30 AM >>>

Jason Thanks for sending this errata to us. I see that the SPI Burney situation has been cleared up so that we receive all our reported RPSeligible procurement from this facility; thanks, that's great. However I do find one problem/mistake that (unless I'm missing something) still needs correcting: In Table 7, PG&E RPS Procurement, the "Total Procurement" and "Procurement Eligible Towards the APT" entries for 2004 are both given as 8,559,270 MWh, when the correct amount is/should be 8,590,682 MWh as was reported in PG&E's 2004 CECRPS Track form. The corresponding "Percent of Retail Sales" figures should also be corrected to be 11.81% too. See attached markup of the errata. I'm not sure exactly why or how this incorrect 8,559,270 figure came about, and can only speculate that it was a simple typo or similar mistake that occurred sometime in the process of correcting/resolving the original entries associated SPI Burney and Robin Williams Solar/DG facilities, that were later corrected/removed? In looking back at some of your earlier emails, the correct 8,590,682 MWh figure was included in the initial versions you sent out (12/20/2006, 2/14/2007, 2/22/2007), but was changed to the incorrect 8,559,270 MWh figure in the version included in your 3/06/2007 email? Sorry that I didn't notice this earlier. Please review and advise whether you concur, and that the errata and Verification Report will be changed to reflect the 8,590,682 MWh figure.

Thanks,
Dave Landes
PG&E
(415.973.9326)

From: Jason Orta [mailto:Jorta@energy.state.ca.us]
Sent: Monday, July 23, 2007 4:42 PM
To: psd@cpuc.ca.gov; Susannah Churchill; SVN@cpuc.ca.gov
Subject: Errata for RPS Verification Report

Attached to this email are errata to the Renewables Committee Draft RPS Verification Report that incorporates additional comments received from PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E. These errata, if adopted by the Energy Commission along with Renewables Committee Draft RPS Verification Report (also attached), would make some revisions to the Committee Draft.

The Renewables Committee Draft RPS Verification Report and the associated errata are scheduled to be heard at the Energy Commission's August 1st Business Meeting.

If you have any questions about the attached, please let me know.

Thank you.

Jason J. Orta
Renewable Energy Program
California Energy Commission
(916) 6535851