

02-REN-1038

**DOCKET**

11CAI-03

DATE AUG 12 2011

RECD. AUG 12 2011

RE: California Energy Commission Docket No. 11-CAI-03, Docket No. 02-REN-1038

As a ratepayer I was filled with enthusiasm when a company GRIDNOT made available to me a chance to get access to funds I had been in paying for years. Their approach was almost charitable and allowed many low income people, who would never have been able to afford this type of investment, to participate with a new CEC approved technology. They promised to hire local people and were providing exciting new opportunities for sales and marketing people to excel. It was almost too good to be true, that the money I paid in was actually going to benefit me instead of some state contractor or utility company.

I live in the Mojave desert where the wind blows daily due to the geography and massive temperature swings. The wind is not always appreciated, although the thought of using it's clean energy to lower my rising energy bills has given me a different perspective. I was looking forward to getting my system quickly and was disheartened by the CEC's sudden suspension of the Emerging Renewables Program on March 4th.

Soon after, GRIDNOT announced that they were not going to be using the Dyocore product due to it's poor field performance. Their field testing had proven another CEC listed turbine, the TLG-1800-GT. GRIDNOT confirmed that my application had made it in before the March 4th deadline and the state assured them they would process it and I would be able to change the turbines to another CEC listed and approved product.

I was told the new technology was more costly to install, however GRIDNOT wanted to maintain the original spirit of their program. They went to work and developed a program that would allow them to lease the turbines to me and take advantage of federal grants only available this year. They were able to save the day and still provide systems to low income families. I just had to wait until the state could sort itself out and process the applications.

Now I find out that Dyocore has a complaint filed against it and my application may be called into question.

I request that my R1 reservation be changed to the one attached to this letter. The CEC gave us the Dyocore turbines as approved equipment, and both our vendor GRIDNOT and I have acted in good faith. My rebate application should be processed and approved based on the rules of the program it was signed under. Any possible future changes to the program should not affect our participation in the program and our application should be modified to the new TLG equipment and a reservation granted. I hope that the CEC will help me ensure my application is complete and allow me access to this program without discrimination or because of Dyocore's or the CEC's mistakes. I believe a priority should be placed on approving my long delayed application.

Thank you,

