March 12, 2013

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Karen Douglas, Commissioner and Presiding Member
Robert B. Weisenmiller, Chair and Associate Member
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Bottle Rock Power, LLC (12-CAI-04)

Dear Commissioner Douglas and Chair Weisenmiller:

Complainant David Coleman objects to Bottle Rock Power's March 6, 2013 submittal of purchase order purportedly for completion of an engineering study establishing costs of decommissioning the Bottle Rock Power Plant. Bottle Rock's letter and "purchase order" fails to satisfy the requirements of the Committee's February 6, 2013, Decision Sustaining Complaint Against Bottle Rock Power, LLC. Neither the letter nor the purchase order specifies "the date by which the study will be completed and submitted to the Energy Commission" as required by paragraph 6 of the Findings, Conclusions and Orders.

Paragraph 6 requires that on or before March 8, 2013, Bottle Rock Power shall "file a new surety bond in the principal amount of $5 million, naming the California Energy Commission as obligee for closure and site restoration of the Bottle Rock Power Plant upon decommissioning." To invoke the stay, on or before March 8, 2013, Bottle Rock must "submit an engineering study establishing the costs of decommissioning the Bottle Rock Power Plant, or else provide documentation indicating that Respondent has entered into a contract for completion of such a study and specifying the date by which the study will be completed and submitted to the Energy Commission for use in acting upon the amendment application." (Emphasis added.)¹ The purchase order submitted by Bottle Rock fails to specify the date the study will be completed.

This is no mere oversight but instead a flagrant disregard of the Committee’s February 6, 2013 Order. Bottle Rock's March 6, 2013 letter and March 8, 2013 Petition selectively quote only a portion of paragraph 6 regarding the contract for a decommissioning study. Both documents deliberately omit the last part of the sentence which states: "and specifying the date by which the study will be completed and submitted to the Energy

¹
Commission for use in acting upon the amendment application.” Both documents ignore this requirement for the stay to be invoked.

Bottle Rock elected not to provide this required information. As Bottle Rock has failed to satisfy the requirements to invoke the stay of the bond requirement, Bottle Rock must submit a surety bond as required by the Committee’s February 6, 2013 Order. Bottle Rock is not only in violation of the May 2001 Order, Bottle Rock is now in violation the Committee’s February 6, 2013, Order.

The purchase order also does not satisfy the requirement that Bottle Rock provide documentation that it has entered into a contract for completion of such an engineering study establishing the costs of decommissioning the Bottle Rock Power Plant. (See February 6, 2013, Order at ¶ 6.) Bottle Rock did not attach a contract to the Purchase Order even though a Consulting Agreement is referenced at the bottom of the Purchase Order. Also, for unexplained reasons, the Purchase Order redacts the price. Finally, the Purchase Order’s “Item Description” inappropriately limits the scope of the decommissioning study.

As Bottle Rock has failed to meet the requirements to invoke a stay of the bond requirement as set forth in the February 6, 2013 Order, the Commission should direct Bottle Rock to file a new surety bond in the principal amount of $5 million without further delay.

Sincerely,

Donald B. Mooney
Attorney for David Coleman
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For service to all other parties and filing with the Docket Unit at the Energy Commission:
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Dated: March 12, 2013
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