

Stanley Tom <stanley.Tom@valleyair.org>

01/16/2007 04:30 PM

To

"John_Lague@urscorp.com" <John_Lague@urscorp.com>

cc

bcc

Subject

RE: c7220, 1062518 SOx for PM10 Interpollutant Analysis

History:

This message has been replied to and forwarded.

John,

I just received confirmation that a SOx for PM10 interpollutant offset ratio of 1.87:1 (without distance ratio) will be used for the Panoche project. The difference between the submitted analysis and District analysis is due to rounding.

Unfortunately, I have yet to get a chance to review the submitted project. Therefore, I am unable to give you final SOx and PM10 emissions for the Panoche project. Once I get the review finalized, I can send you confirmation of the exact amount of SOx and/or PM10 emissions that need to be offset for this project.

Stanley Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: John_Lague@urscorp.com [mailto:John_Lague@urscorp.com]

Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 11:27 AM

To: stanley.Tom@valleyair.org

Cc: rweiss@houston.rr.com; davdjenk@gmail.com

Subject: Fw: c7220, 1062518 SOx for PM10 Interpollutant Analysis

Thanks, Stanley

We understand that there is a distance factor of 1.5 for these projects. However it looks like Chowchilla is in Madera County. As shown in the documents I sent you before Christmas, the interpollutant ratio analysis that was done for the Panoche project (also used for the Bullard and Starwood project applications) was derived using District data specific to Fresno County where all three sites are located, and that produced an interpollutant ratio of 1.8. I hope that will be taken into account in evaluating the validity of the ratio we are proposing. So our combined ERC multiplier for these projects would be $1.8 \times 1.5 = 2.70$, i.e., a little bit lower than 2.81. Do you agree this would be adequate in these cases? The proponents for these projects really need to know where this is going to end up. As you pointed out, the credits that are available are going fast and becoming more expensive.

Thank you and best regards - jsl

John Lague
Senior Air Quality Consultant
URS Corporation
1615 Murray Canyon Road, Suite 1000
San Diego, California 92108
Phone: (619) 294-9400
Fax: (619) 293-7920

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential. If you receive this message in error or are not the intended recipient, you should not retain, distribute, disclose or use any of this information and you should destroy the e-mail and any attachments or copies.

----- Forwarded by John Lague/SanDiego/URSCorp on 01/09/2007 11:02 AM -----

Stanley Tom
<stanley.Tom@vall
eyair.org> To
"John_Lague@urscorp.com"
01/09/2007 09:17 AM <John_Lague@urscorp.com>
cc

Subject
RE: c7220, 1062518 SOx for PM10
Interpollutant Analysis

John,

I am currently working on a project in Chowchilla where we have established a SO_x for PM₁₀ ratio of 1.87:1 (not including distance ratio). If using a distance ratio of 1.5:1, the overall interpollutant offset ratio would be 2.81:1 (1.87 x 1.5). This project is still in progress and has yet to be fully approved. The applicants are currently looking for additional Sox credits and it sounds like they are going fast. Hope this helps.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Stanley Tom, P.E.
Senior Air Quality Engineer
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Phone: (559) 230-5914
Fax: (559) 230-6061

-----Original Message-----

From: John_Lague@urscorp.com [mailto:John_Lague@urscorp.com]
Sent: Friday, December 29, 2006 9:02 AM
To: Stanley Tom
Subject: Re: c7220, 1062518 SO_x for PM₁₀ Interpollutant Analysis

Hi, Stanley

Can you share anything at this point regarding the likelihood that the 1.8 interpollutant factor will be approved by SJVAPCD for projects in Fresno County? I have clients who very much need to know that as they try to nail down enough ERCs in a very fluid market. I recognize that the whole issue of allowing PM₁₀ emissions to be offset with SO₂ credits still has to be resolved with EPA, but am just trying to get an idea of how many credits these clients need to be buying if it is allowed, because if they don't buy what is available now they may be out of luck.

Thank you and Happy New Year - jsl

John Lague
Senior Air Quality Consultant
URS Corporation
1615 Murray Canyon Road, Suite 1000
San Diego, California 92108

Phone: (619) 294-9400
Fax: (619) 293-7920

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential. If you receive this message in error or are not the intended recipient, you should not retain, distribute, disclose or use any of this information and you should destroy the e-mail and any attachments or copies.

Stanley Tom
<stanley.Tom@vall
eyair.org>
12/15/2006 08:31
AM

To
"John_Lague@URSCorp.com"
<John_Lague@URSCorp.com>
cc

Subject
c7220, 1062518 SOx for PM10
Interpollutant Analysis

John,

Can you please send me an electronic copy of the SOx for PM10 interpollutant analysis showing a proposed ratio of 1.8:1?

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Stanley Tom, P.E.
Senior Air Quality Engineer
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Phone: (559) 230-5914
Fax: (559) 230-6061