

**FIGURE 6.13-8
SCENIC ATTRACTIVENESS EVALUATION FORM FOR
SENSITIVE VIEW AREA AND KOP NO. 2**

Landform	H (5)	H/M (4)	M (3)	<u>M/L (2)</u>	L (1)
Vegetation	H (5)	H/M (4)	M (3)	<u>M/L (2)</u>	L (1)
Water	H (5)	H/M (4)	M (3)	M/L (2)	<u>L (1)</u>
Color	H (5)	H/M (4)	M (3)	<u>M/L (2)</u>	L (1)
Adjacent Scenery	H (5)	H/M (4)	M (3)	<u>M/L (2)</u>	L (1)
Scarcity	H (5)	H/M (4)	M (3)	M/L (2)	<u>L (1)</u>
Modifications*	H (2)	H/M (1)	M (0)	M/L (-2)	<u>L (-4)</u>
Scenic Attractiveness Class C (6)					


Scenic Quality Classifications
A = 19 or more
B = 12 to 18
C = 11 or less

Notes:

Evaluation score is bold and underlined

H = High; M = Moderate; and L = Low

* = Explains cultural modifications present in the landscape, ranging from negative intrusions (-4) to those that complement the scenic quality and promote visual harmony (2)



- Narrative Landscape Description and Photograph.** This image was taken from the closest park/recreation area (McFadden Park), located approximately 0.45-mile northwest from site (Figure 6.13-12, see also Figure 6.13-1 for KOP location). As this is the nearest recreation area to the site with minimally screened views to the CPP site, it was chosen as a representative KOP. This view represents the “worst case” recreational user views in the project area. Recreational users of McFadden Park are considered to have foreground/middleground views to the site; however views to the project site from McFadden Park are limited by vegetation and structural screening, and exist predominately along the eastern boundary of the park. The viewshed has been modified with the presence of existing transmission and telephone lines/poles, the existing communications tower, elevated floodlight poles and buildings adjacent to the park and the CPP site. The ESIL from this area can be characterized as low.