ERRATA TO THE REVISED PRESIDING MEMBER’S PROPOSED DECISION

After reviewing the comments submitted by parties on October 6, 2006, we incorporate the following changes to the September 21, 2006 Revised Presiding Member’s Proposed Decision:

1. Page 148, second full paragraph, line 6: strike the words “location and”.

2. Page 149, third full paragraph, first sentence, change to conform with Condition of Certification AQ-SC9:

   “In response to Staff recommendations, Applicant agreed to provide an additional 13.730 tons per year…”

3. Page 154, last paragraph, line 4, at end of sentence insert footnote number for the following footnote:

   “Staff defends its approach on the grounds that PM10 is a regional, and not a site-specific, issue.”

4. Page 165, AQ-SC7, line 5 “…credits.

5. Page 166, first paragraph:

6. Page 174, AQ-20, line 5, change as follows:

   “For purposes of this condition, a startup…”

7. Page 175, AQ-21, line 5, change as follows:
“For purposes of this condition, a shutdown period of 30 minutes…”

8. Page 370, Efficiency paragraph, line 3:

“…result in an 8 percent increase in fuel efficiency…”

Dated October 10, 2006 at Sacramento, California.

- Original Signed by -

JACKALYNE PFANNENSTIEL
Commissioner and Presiding Member
Los Esteros Critical Energy Facility, Phase 2