Quail Brush Genco, LLC
Ms. Lori Ziebart
Project Manager
9405 Arrowpoint Boulevard
Charlotte, NC 28273

Regarding: QUAIL BRUSH GENERATION PROJECT (11-AFC-3), Staff's Data Requests 90-93

Dear Ms. Ziebart,

Pursuant to Title 20, California Code of Regulations, section 1716, the California Energy Commission staff requests the information specified in the enclosed data requests. The information requested is necessary to: 1) more fully understand the project, 2) assess whether the facility will be constructed and operated in compliance with applicable regulations, 3) assess whether the project will result in significant environmental impacts, 4) assess whether the facilities will be constructed and operated in a safe, efficient and reliable manner, and 5) assess potential mitigation measures.

These data requests are being made in the technical area of Biological Resources. Written responses to the enclosed data requests are due to the Energy Commission staff on or before November 29, 2012.

If you are unable to provide the information requested, need additional time, or object to providing the requested information, please send a written notice to the Committee and me within 20 days of receipt of this request. The notification must contain the reasons for the inability to provide the information or the grounds for any objections (see Title 20, California Code of Regulations, section 1716 (f)).

If you have any questions regarding the enclosed data requests, please call me at (916) 651-0966.

Sincerely,

Eric Solorio
Siting Project Manager

Enclosure (Data Request Packet)
cc: Docket (11-AFC-3)
QUAIL BRUSH GENERATION PROJECT
(11-AFC-3)

Energy Commission Staff’s Data Requests 90-93

October 30, 2012
BACKGROUND
The proposed project is located on a parcel that is currently within the Multiple Habitat Planning Area (MHPA). This area is designated as an area for preservation in the Multiple Species Conservation Plan (MSCP). The proposed project would develop greater than 25% of the parcel where the power plant is sited and therefore a boundary line adjustment would be required. Under the City's MSCP Subarea Plan, an adjustment to the City's MHPA boundary is allowed only if the new MHPA boundary results in an exchange of lands that are functionally equivalent or higher in biological value. Page 96 Section 5.1.4 of the Biological Resources Survey Report (August 6, 2012) describes the need for a boundary line adjustment for the project. However, this section does not contain all the necessary information as required in the MHPA Boundary Line Adjustment process (See pg 5-9 section 5.4.2 of the MSCP). The MSCP requires the determination of functionally equivalent or higher biological value to be based on site-specific information (both quantitative and qualitative) that addresses the six boundary adjustment criteria outlined in Section 5.4.2 of the Final MSCP Plan and listed below.

DATA REQUESTS

90. Please provide a map showing the existing MHPA boundary, as approved in the MSCP, the proposed encroachment (in red) and proposed addition (in green).

91. Please provide an MHPA exchange table showing (by habitat tier and acreage) what is proposed to be removed and what is proposed to be added to the MHPA as well as the net change in acreage. The table should include the following columns/rows or equivalent: a) Tier; b) Habitat; c) Existing MHPA; d) Proposed Encroachment; e) Proposed Addition; and f) Net Change.

92. Please provide a written analysis of the adjustment's consistency with the six boundary adjustment criteria listed below:

   a. Effects on significantly and sufficiently conserved habitats (i.e., the exchange maintains or improves the conservation, configuration, or status of significantly and sufficiently conserved habitats, as defined in Section 4.2.4 of the MSCP);

   b. Effects on covered species (i.e., the exchange maintains or increases the conservation of covered species);

   c. Effects on habitat linkages and function of preserve areas (i.e., the exchange maintains or improves any habitat linkages or wildlife corridors);

   d. Effects on preserve configuration and management (i.e., the exchange results in similar or improved management efficiency and/or protections of biological resources);
e. Effects on ecotones or other conditions affecting species diversity (i.e., the exchange maintains topographic and structural diversity and habitat interfaces of the preserve);

f. Effects on species of concern not on the covered species list (i.e., the exchange does not significantly increase the likelihood that an uncovered species will meet the criteria for listing under either the federal or state Endangered Species Acts).

BACKGROUND
The City of San Diego MSCP Subarea Plan has a requirement to help prevent the introduction of invasive non-native species into the MHPA (Section 1.5.2 General Management Directives, Invasive Exotics Control and Removal). The AFC and subsequent documents submitted by the applicant do not discuss invasive non-native species. Refer to the above mentioned section and include all necessary information when responding to the data request below.

DATA REQUEST

93. Please discuss the project’s potential to introduce invasive plants and animals harmful to the MHPA, and possible measures to mitigate any risk that is identified.
APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE
QUAIL BRUSH GENERATION PROJECT

DOCKET NO. 11-AFC-03
PROOF OF SERVICE
(Revised 10/29/2012)

APPLICANT
Cogentrix Energy, LLC
C. Richard “Rick” Neff, Vice President
Environmental, Health & Safety
9405 Arrowpoint Boulevard
Charlotte, NC 28273
rickneff@cogentrix.com

Cogentrix Energy, LLC
John Collins, VP Development
Lori Ziebart, Project Manager
Quail Brush Generation Project
9405 Arrowpoint Blvd.
Charlotte, NC 28273
johncollins@cogentrix.com
loriziebart@cogentrix.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT
Bingham McCutchen LLP
Ella Foley Gannon
Camarin Madigan
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
ella.gannon@bingham.com
camarin.madigan@bingham.com

HomeFed Fanita Rancho, LLC
Jeffrey A. Chine
Heather S. Riley
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP
501 West Broadway, 15th Floor
San Diego, CA 92101
jchine@allenmatkins.com
hriley@allenmatkins.com
jkaup@allenmatkins.com
vhoy@allenmatkins.com

INTERVENORS
Roslin Varghese
9360 Leticia Drive
Santee, CA 92071
roslinv@gmail.com

Rudy Reyes
8655 Graves Avenue, #117
Santee, CA 92071
rreyes2777@hotmail.com

 Preserve Wild Santee
Van Collinsworth
9222 Lake Canyon Road
Santee, CA 92071
savefanita@cox.net

Kevin Brewster
8502 Mesa Heights Road
Santee, CA 92071
ljzpup@yahoo.com

Center for Biological Diversity
John Buse
Aruna Prabhala
351 California Street, Suite 600
San Francisco, CA 94104
jbuse@biologicaldiversity.org
aprabhala@biologicaldiversity.org

APPLICANT’S CONSULTANTS
Tetra Tech EC, Inc.
Connie Farmer
Sr. Environmental Project Manager
143 Union Boulevard, Suite 1010
Lakewood, CO 80228
connie.farmer@tetratech.com

Tetra Tech EC, Inc.
Barry McDonald
VP Solar Energy Development
17885 Von Karmen Avenue, Ste. 500
Irvine, CA 92614-6213
barry.mcdonald@tetratech.com

Tetra Tech EC, Inc.
Sarah McCall
Sr. Environmental Planner
143 Union Boulevard, Suite 1010
Lakewood, CO 80228
sarah.mccall@tetratech.com

Tetra Tech EC, Inc.
Sarah McCall
Sr. Environmental Planner
143 Union Boulevard, Suite 1010
Lakewood, CO 80228
sarah.mccall@tetratech.com

Phillip M. Connor
Sunset Greens Home Owners Association
8752 Wahl Street
Santee, CA 92071
connorphil48@yahoo.com

*Mr. Rob Simpson, CEO
Helping Hand Tools
1901 First Avenue, Suite 219
San Diego, CA 92101
rob@redwoodrobor.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES
California ISO
e-recipient@caiso.com

City of Santee
Department of Development Services
Melanie Kush
Director of Planning
10601 Magnolia Avenue, Bldg. 4
Santee, CA 92071
mkush@ci.santee.ca.us

Morris E. Dye
Development Services Dept.
City of San Diego
1222 First Avenue, MS 501
San Diego, CA 92101
mdye@sandiego.gov

*indicates change
INTERESTED AGENCIES (cont.)
Mindy Fogg
Land Use Environmental Planner
Advance Planning
County of San Diego
Department of Planning & Land Use
5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310
San Diego, CA 92123
mindy.fogg@sdcounty.ca.gov

ENERGY COMMISSION – DECISIONMAKERS
KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioner and
Presiding Member
karen.douglas@energy.ca.gov

ANDREW McALLISTER
Commissioner and
Associate Member
andrew.mcallister@energy.ca.gov

Raoul Renaud
Hearing Adviser
raoul.renaud@energy.ca.gov

Eileen Allen
Commissioners’ Technical
Adviser for Facility Siting
eileen.allen@energy.ca.gov

Galen Lemei
Advisor to Commissioner Douglas
galen.lemei@energy.ca.gov

Jennifer Nelson
Advisor to Commissioner Douglas
jennifer.nelson@energy.ca.gov

David Hungerford
Advisor to Commissioner McAllister
david.hungerford@energy.ca.gov

Pat Saxton
Advisor to Commissioner McAllister
patrick.saxton@energy.ca.gov

ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF
Eric Solorio
Project Manager
eric.solorio@energy.ca.gov

Stephen Adams
Staff Counsel
stephen.adams@energy.ca.gov

ENERGY COMMISSION – PUBLIC ADVISER
Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser’s Office
publicadviser@energy.ca.gov
DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Diane L. Scott, declare that on October 30, 2012, I served and filed copies of the attached QUAIL BRUSH GENERATION PROJECT (11-AFC-03), Staff’s Data Requests 90-93, dated October 30, 2012. This document is accompanied by the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/quailbrush/index.html.

The document has been sent to the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission’s Docket Unit or Chief Counsel, as appropriate, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)

For service to all other parties:

X Served electronically to all e-mail addresses on the Proof of Service list;

___ Served by delivering on this date, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses marked “hard copy required” or where no e-mail address is provided.

AND

For filing with the Docket Unit at the Energy Commission:

X by sending an electronic copy to the e-mail address below (preferred method); OR

___ by depositing an original and 12 paper copies in the mail with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, as follows:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION – DOCKET UNIT
Attn: Docket No. 11-AFC-03
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512
docket@energy.ca.gov

OR, if filing a Petition for Reconsideration of Decision or Order pursuant to Title 20, § 1720:

___ Served by delivering on this date one electronic copy by e-mail, and an original paper copy to the Chief Counsel at the following address, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid:

California Energy Commission
Michael J. Levy, Chief Counsel
1516 Ninth Street MS-14
Sacramento, CA 95814
michael.levy@energy.ca.gov

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

Originally Signed By:
Diane L. Scott
Siting, Transmission and Environmental Protection Division