October 4, 2012

Mr. Eric Solorio  
California Energy Commission  
Docket No. 11-AFC-3  
1516 9th St.  
Sacramento, CA 95814  

Cogentrix Quail Brush Generation Project - Docket Number 11-AFC-3, CAISO  
Response to Modification Request for the Quail Brush Power Project  

Docket Clerk:  

Pursuant to the provisions of Title 20, California Code of Regulation, and on behalf of Quail Brush Genco, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Cogentrix Energy, LLC, Tetra Tech hereby submits the CAISO Response to Modification Request for the Quail Brush Power Project for the Quail Brush Power Project (11-AFC-3). The Quail Brush Generation Project is a 100 megawatt natural gas fired electric generation peaking facility to be located in the City of San Diego, California.  

If you have any questions regarding this submittal, please contact Rick Neff at (704) 525-3800 or me at (303) 980-3653.  

Sincerely,  

Constance E. Farmer  
Project Manager/Tetra Tech  

cc: Lori Ziebart, Cogentrix  
    John Collins, Cogentrix  
    Rick Neff, Cogentrix  
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DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Constance Farmer, declare that on October 4, 2012, I served and filed a copy of the CAISO Response to Modification Request for the Quail Brush Power Project (11-AFC-03). This document is accompanied by the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/quailbrush/index.html].

The document has been sent to the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission’s Docket Unit or Chief Counsel, as appropriate, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)
For service to all other parties:

☑ Served electronically to all e-mail addresses on the Proof of Service list;

☑ Served by delivering on this date, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses NOT marked “e-mail preferred.”

AND

For filing with the Docket Unit at the Energy Commission:

☑ by sending an electronic copy to the e-mail address below (preferred method); OR

☐ by depositing an original and 12 paper copies in the mail with the U.S. Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, as follows:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION – DOCKET UNIT
Attn: Docket No. 11-AFC-3
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

OR, if filing a Petition for Reconsideration of Decision or Order pursuant to Title 20, § 1720:

☐ Served by delivering on this date one electronic copy by e-mail, and an original paper copy to the Chief Counsel at the following address, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid:

California Energy Commission
Michael J. Levy, Chief Counsel
1516 Ninth Street MS-14
Sacramento, CA 95814
mlevy@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

Constance Farmer

2
TO: All Parties  Date: October 3, 2012

RE: QUAIL BRUSH GENERATION PROJECT
Proof of Service List
Docket No. 11-AFC-03

Attached is the newly revised Proof of Service List for the above-mentioned project, current as of October 3, 2012.

Note that the presumptions about e-mail only service of documents on the parties have changed. Formerly, you had to affirmatively indicate your willingness to accept e-mail only service. Now all parties are presumed to accept e-mail only service unless they specifically inform us that they wish to receive paper copies.

Pursuant to the “General Orders Regarding Electronic Document Formats, Filing and Service of Documents and Other Matters” adopted in this proceeding, until a party indicates to the Presiding Member or Hearing Adviser that it requires a hard copy, an e-mailed copy of all electronic documents of 5 megabytes maximum file size is sufficient for service in this proceeding. No hard copy of an e-mailed document need be provided. Where a party is designated on the Proof of Service List for this proceeding as “hard copy required” or similar words, parties shall deliver a paper copy of all written material they file in this proceeding in person or by first class mail, or other equivalent delivery service, with postage prepaid to the person so designated. Regardless whether a party has indicated a preference for hard copies, documents larger than 50 pages may alternatively be sent in the form of an electronic file recorded on a compact disk rather than as a paper copy, provided that the party is offered the opportunity to request a paper copy.

Unless otherwise specified in a regulation, all materials filed with the Commission must also be filed with the Docket Unit. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 20, § 1209(d).) Some regulations require filing with the Commission’s Chief Counsel instead of the Docket Unit. For example, Section 1720 requires a petition for reconsideration to be filed with the Chief Counsel and served on the parties. Service on the attorney representing Commission staff does not satisfy this requirement. This Proof of Service form is not appropriate for use when filing a document with the Chief Counsel under Title 20, sections 1231 (Complaint and Request for Investigation) or 2506 (Petition for
Inspection or Copying of Confidential Records). The Public Advisor can answer any questions related to filing under these sections.

New addition(s) to the Proof of Service are indicated in **bold font** and marked with an asterisk (*).

Use this newly revised list for all future filings and submittals. This Proof of Service List will also be available on the Commission's project web site at:

http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/quailbrush/index.html

Please review the information and contact me at maggie.read@energy.ca.gov or (916) 654-3893, if you would like to be removed from the Proof of Service or if there are any changes to your contact information.

Maggie Read
Hearing Adviser's Office
September 19, 2012

John Collins  
Vice President, Development  
Cogentrix Energy, LLC  
9405 Arrowpoint Blvd.  
Charlotte, NC 28273

RE: Response to Modification Request for the Quail Brush Power Project  
(ISO Queue # 565)

Dear Mr. Collins:

The California Independent System Operator Corporation ("ISO") has completed its review of Cogentrix’s request dated June 22, 2012 to modify the Point of Interconnection ("POI") for the Quail Brush Power Project ("Project") to a new 138 kV switchyard that would loop San Diego Gas & Electric Company’s ("SDG&E") Mission-Carlton Hills 138 kV line.

Section 6.9.2.1 of the GIP (Appendix Y to the ISO tariff), provides that during the course of the Interconnection Studies the customer, PTO or the ISO may “identify changes to the planned interconnection that may improve the costs and benefits (including reliability) of the interconnection, and the ability of the proposed change to accommodate the Interconnection Request. To the extent the identified changes are acceptable to the applicable Participating TO(s), the CAISO and the Interconnection Customer, such acceptances not to be unreasonably withheld, the CAISO shall modify the Point of Interconnection...”

Cogentrix’s proposed alternative point of interconnection was referenced in the Phase II re-study. After the re-study report was sent out, the ISO and SDG&E evaluated the alternate POI and found that the alternate POI served to improve the costs and benefits of the interconnection. The proposed change of POI to the Mission-Carlton Hills 138 kV line is a modification of the Congentrix interconnection request. This letter is intended to memorialize that the change has been approved by the ISO and SDG&E. The modified method of service which implements this change will be reflected in an addendum to the Phase II re-study.

The ISO is focused on advancing projects in the queue to commercial operation. As part of this effort, the ISO will continue to request periodic updates pursuant to Section 5.7 of the LGIA to ensure that the Project is meeting its milestones and is on schedule to meet its COD. Please keep in mind that failure to meet the milestones, if not cured under the LGIA, may result in a breach of the LGIA.
Please feel free to contact Bruce McAllister at 916-608-7009 or bmcallister@caiso.com with any questions.

Kindest regards,

[Signature]

Deborah A. Le Vine
Director of Infrastructure Contracts & Management

cc: Mariam Mirzadeh (SDGE)
    Marlene Mishler (SDGE)