In the matter of: QUAIL BRUSH GENERATION PROJECT

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 20, section 1716(d) and (g), on behalf of Quail Brush Genco, LLC (the “Applicant”), we respectfully submit this Petition to Compel Data Request Responses from Intervenor Sunset Greens Homeowners Association (“SGHOA”). The information sought by the Applicant in Data Requests 1 - 18 (Set One) to SGHOA (the “Data Requests”) is relevant to the proceeding because it relates to the environmental impacts that SGHOA claims, without support, could result from construction and operation of the proposed Quail Brush Generation Project (“the proposed Project”). The requested information cannot be readily obtained by the Applicant, but should be reasonable available to SGHOA. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Committee compel SGHOA to file complete responses in a timely fashion.
I. BACKGROUND

On September 13, 2012, the Applicant served the Data Requests on the SGHOA through its designated representative Phillip M. Connor. In the Data Requests, the Applicant sought from SGHOA data and rationale underlying: (1) SGHOA’s unsupported conclusions regarding noise impacts of the proposed Project; (2) SGHOA’s unsupported contentions regarding impacts on property values caused by the proposed Project; (3) SGHOA’s speculation that the Applicant and the power purchaser, San Diego Gas and Electric Company (“SDG&E”) would operate the proposed Project more often than just during times of peak electricity demand, which would lead to increased air quality and public health impacts; (4) SGHOA’s intimations that the proposed Project would increase fire risk to communities located near the Project site; and (5) SGHOA’s unsupported conclusions regarding the proposed Project’s impact on local traffic.

If SGHOA had been “unable to provide or” wished to “object to providing the information requested,” it had the opportunity to so notify the Applicant and the Commission within 20 days of being served with the Data Requests. See 20 CCR § 1716(f). SGHOA neglected to do so. Accordingly, responses to the Data Requests were due no later than October 15, 2012, the first weekday following 30 days after submission of the data request. See 20 CCR § 1716(f). SGHOA has not provided any response whatsoever to the Data Requests.

II. ARGUMENT

A. The Information Sought in the Data Requests Is Appropriate for the Discovery Process

Any party, including an applicant, in a powerplant licensing proceeding “may request from a party . . . information which is reasonably available to the responding party and cannot otherwise be readily obtained, and which is relevant to the proceeding or reasonably necessary to make any decision on the notice or application.” 20 CCR § 1716(d). As explained below, the information sought by the Applicant of SGHOA meets each of these requirements and, accordingly, SGHOA should have provided complete responses to the Data Requests by October 15, 2012. See id. § 1716(f).
1. **The Information Sought in the Data Requests Cannot Be Readily Obtained by the Applicant, but Is Reasonably Available to SGHOA**

As noted above, in the Data Requests, the Applicant sought data and rationale underlying conclusions and suggestions contained in several public statements made by the SGHOA. Because the Applicant is not privy to the rationale underlying the statements or the means by which conclusions were reached by SGHOA, the information sought in the Data Requests cannot be readily obtained by the Applicant outside of the discovery process. In contrast, SGHOA is perfectly situated to explain why it has made various representations in the public record.

2. **The Information Sought is Relevant to the Proceeding**

In reviewing an Application for Certification (“AFC”), the Commission must determine whether or not approval of a proposed project will comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”). See Pub. Res. Code § 25519. CEQA requires evaluation of whether a project would create significant impacts on the environment. See, e.g., id. § 21002. Information relating to the proposed Project’s anticipated impacts on the environment are accordingly relevant to this AFC review proceeding. Each request included in the Data Requests at issue relates to environmental impacts that SGHOA alleges may occur as a result of the proposed Project. The Data Requests thus fall within the appropriate scope for discovery.

SGHOA has made numerous statements in the public record regarding anticipated impacts of the proposed Project in the areas of noise, air quality, public health, socioeconomics, and traffic. During the course of the Commission’s consideration of the proposed Project’s AFC, each of these impact areas must be extensively evaluated. See 20 CCR §§ 1742, 1742.5, Appendix B (g)(4), (5), (7) - (9). The Commission, its Staff, Intervenors, the Applicant, and the general public will be disserved in their attempts to understand the actual impacts of the proposed Project if SGHOA is permitted to make bold, conclusory statements that stand in direct contradiction to evidence presented elsewhere in the administrative record without being compelled to justify its conclusions. In order to provide for a complete record regarding the anticipated impacts of the proposed Project and make a determination on the AFC, the Commission should require responses from SGHOA.
B. SGHOA Has Not Fulfilled its Duties and Responsibilities as a Party in this Proceeding

Section 1712(c) of the Commission’s regulations provide that any entity which requests and is granted Party status in a powerplant licensing proceeding accepts certain duties. First among these is “the responsibility to comply with the requirements for filing and service of documents,” which encompasses the obligation to respond to data requests submitted pursuant to section 1716(d) of the regulations. Although the SGHOA voluntarily sought to become a party to this proceeding, and was granted Party status by the Committee on May 9, 2012, it has not fulfilled the responsibilities that accompany this role. SGHOA should not be permitted to exercise all the rights of a party without also being required to meet the minimum obligations of a party to this proceeding.

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Committee compel SGHOA to respond to the Data Requests properly served upon it by the Applicant on September 13, 2012.
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