Hello,
I would like to make 3 Comments on the Quail Brush

1. Please have the applicant resubmit a version of the application that assumes a realistic usage, they have self admitted that by 2016 the plant will be at more then 68% of the time. Perhaps 80% or 85 is even a more realistic usage, given the need for energy and the large amount of money the investment firm will want to get back. Specifically a number of the sound measurements are during the day, with a higher usage this plant will run at night, when the ambient noise is much lower, therefore the mean increase in DBA will be higher then the 3dba that Santee zoning allows, and even higher then the 5dba that San diego zoning allows for.

2. Please hold another public hearing, there was not a resonable attempt to notify the public for the Jan 25 hearing. More people are informend now, so the hearing should be re - held additionally a large number of documents on the site were date before the hearing, but posted after not giving the public a chance to review, ie cultural, paleo etc documents. This did not lend itself to a fair hearing both from attendence or material.

3. Please deny, or not approve the requests for confidentialaty for cultural and Paleo findings, if there is nothing sensitive on the site that would cause a resiting, then there is nothing to report in the documents and no chance of looting. In other words if there is nothing there, there is nothing to hide.

Thank You,
Kevin Brewster
A concerned citizen of California