From: Lanny [mailto:lannysemail@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2012 2:58 PM
To: Solorio, Eric@Energy
Cc: CA52DHima@mail.house.gov; anthonyyoung@sandiego.gov; CarlDeMaio@sandiego.gov; JerrySanders@sandiego.gov; kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov; martiemerald@sandiego.gov; SherriLightner@sandiego.gov; toddgloria@sandiego.gov; davidalvarez@sandiego.gov; loriezapf@sandiego.gov; J Dale@ci.santee.ca.us; JMinto@ci.santee.ca.us; J Ryan@ci.santee.ca.us; RVoepel@ci.santee.ca.us; RMcNelis@ci.santee.ca.us; dianne.jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov; Senator.Kehoe@sen.ca.gov; susan.davis@mail.house.gov; senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator@feinstein.senate.gov; assemblymember.jones@assembly.ca.gov; gail.ramer@asm.ca.gov
Subject: Oppose CEC record-Docket #11-AFC-03 Quail Brush Power Generation Project

Please see attachment for public docket.

Thank you
Dear Mr. Solario:

I am a homeowner with two small children just south of the proposed Quail Brush Power Generation project, and am very concerned about a the pending project. I am concerned that a large corporation may entice an official of your stature with all the project benefits without a true reflection of the risks and third party costs associated to the same proposal.

The Quail Brush Generation Project (QBGP) Risks include, and may not be limited to, the following:
- Increased fire danger (which could ignite thousands of acres near my home and potentially kill my family)
- Increased air pollution (which directly increased the probability of lung cancer in my two young children)
- Increased noise (we already have to listen to the trucks at the dump)
- Decreased property values (estimated at 7% which is equivalent to $24,000 for my property alone)
  - This also decreases my ability to sell my home which I would be forced to do if this project is approved.
- Decreased view of Mission Trails Regional Park (for everyone who travels near the area on the freeway and pedestrians at the park)

Additionally, the proposed QBGP is not compatible with many of the City of San Diego’s laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards (LORS):
1) The East Elliott Community Plan appropriately and correctly sets aside the area as Park, Open Space, and Recreation.
2) The Municipal Code designates the site as single-family residential (RS-1-8).
3) The City’s Multi-Species Conservation Program (MSCP) Subarea Plan is not congruent with industrial zoning.
4) The Mission Trails Design District identifies the area for natural habitat preservation and trail expansion. It is an important wildlife corridor.

As California state and local taxpayer, I strongly object to any further spending of taxpayer dollars in pursuit of a project that violates these consequential local land use provisions and puts my family at an increased risk of death and disease. MTRP is one of the most popular parks in San Diego County, and is the primary reason why I purchased this home. Its unparalleled scenic views, camping, fishing, Native American historical sites, equestrian area, hiking/biking trails, and the San Diego River watershed would be within yards of the conflicting natural gas power plant – and it is clearly not respecting the homeowners and tax payers in the area.

I urge the CEC to implement the NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE while considering conservation and energy storage.

With Sincere Regards,

Concerned Parent & Tax Payer
Rumson Drive Homeowner
Santee, CA 92071