Kathy Peasha
11615 Kirkwood Street
Herald, CA 95638
Phone: 209-748-5277
Fax: 209-748-5277 (Please call ahead.)



State of California
State Energy Resources
Conservation and Development Commission

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| In the Matter of: | ) | Docket No. 01-AFC-19 |
| Application for Certification for the | ) | DATA REQUEST |
| SMUD COSUMNES POWER PLANT | ) | of Kathy Peasha |
| | ) | Set 2 |

TO: Applicant Sacramento Municipal Utilities District (SMUD)
Intervenor Kathy Peasha requests that you answer the following data requests within 30 days. All the information sought is relevant to the proceeding and is in the control of the applicant and not readily available from other sources. In answering these data requests, you are required to furnish full and complete answers.

Background

Following the recent SMUD 4 day workshops many suggestions were made regarding the "lay down area" on the South side of Clay East Road. The residents of Herald agree that consideration of existing paved areas within Rancho Seco property, on the North side of Clay East Road, should be an alternative consideration (primarily, an unused paved parking lot on the Northeast corner of the Rancho Seco property).

The Applicant also provided the residents and CEC with cost analysis of a needed road running North to South to access the site and the cost analysis of a suggested roadway running parallel to Clay East Road while staying within the north side of Clay East Road. The nearby residents agree that keeping the proposed CPP within the limits of the North side of Clay East Road would be beneficial to the safety of the community and traffic to CCP would be eliminated on Clay East Road altogether. If "lay down area" is within the means of the paved area and the Phase II site, safety of the CCP's operations traffic would not be a factor for the residents and community traffic.

The 20-acrea proposal for "lay down" South of Clay East Road, also has many biological factors which the residents owning wells and ponds in the vicinity have concerns.

Data Request

1. Please provide a cost analysis of the proposed "lay down area" for the south side of Clay East Road.

2. Please reply to any reasons for Northeast Rancho Seco property "lay down area" not to be considered.

Background:

Prior to my filing data request, Mr. Colin Taylor met with me at my residence July 3 with information regarding informal data requests in consideration of the workshops held at Rancho Seco property.

New questions arise from the information that was provided to me:

Re: T8T-1, Page 11 - reference Traffic

Data Request

1. Please provide the width of the fire-break road. Please show culverts which flow from Clay Creek and any swales which already are present and in place which divert water under Clay East Road.

2. Please provide information concerning the contamination of mine tailings which would raise health and safety concerns for workers.

3. Please provide the area (square acreage) for the four proposed "lay down" areas provided on CPP traffic flow map provided to me.

4. Please provide the progress of the 404 permit, ie. What type of permit, individual or nationwide?

Noise

Ambient noise for this community does not include seasonal, agricultural noise. The Applicant's sound report dated July 1, 2002 fails to refer to the presence of seasonal tractor noise from the vineyards. I personally noted the presence of sounds from vineyard tractors during the report period. Agricultural noise was observed by me from 10pm to 1am, June 19 and 20 which then I retired; and 4.30am to 6am June 20 which then I left for work.

I can not confirm agricultural noises at the times of sleeping nor the time after I left for work.

Data Request

1. Since Applicant's report states "there was no night time noise from neighboring agricultural operations" but I observed and heard agricultural noise during the data gathering period. Please explain how the L90 noise levels can be accurate?

July 11, 2002 Kathy Peasha