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In the Matter of:
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FOR THE PALEN SOLAR POWER
PROJECT
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PREHEARING CONFERENCE STATEMENT
INTERVEOR CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

Pursuant to the September 21, 2010 NOTICE OF PREHEARING
CONFERENCE AND EVIDENTIARY HEARING ORDER and the September 28, 2010
REVISION TO NOTICE OF EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS, NOTICE OF
ADDITIONAL, EVIDENTIARY HEARING DATE, and REVISED COMMITTEE
SCHEDULE, Intervenor Center for Biological Diversity (the “Center”) provides this
Prehearing Conference Statement.

As the Committee is aware, key issues continue to evolve and change as staff and
the applicant provide new information regarding the proposed project, survey results, etc.
and as the workshops are continuing. Revisions are still ongoing. The Center does not
object to the ongoing process in which the parties continuing to assess the environmental
impacts of the project and staff and the parties are revising conditions and providing
additional information. However, such a process does make it difficult for the Center to
evaluate the issues and topic areas as the discussions continue to evolve and the Staff’s
assessment of various issues and topics is revised and supplemented. As a result, the
Center reserves the right to respond to any new information submitted by the Applicant
or the Staff on all topics including, but not limited to, survey information and analysis of impacts to biological resources, water resources, site configuration, the alternatives analysis, license conditions and/or mitigation/avoidance measures.

The Center intends to provide testimony and rebuttal testimony, and reserves the right to provide additional prehearing testimony and documentary evidence along with written rebuttal up to and including and evidentiary hearings on this matter. Given the extremely short schedule, the Center also requests that the Committee allow testimony at the hearings to encompass issues that arguably could have been raised on rebuttal.

a) The topic areas that are complete and ready to proceed to Evidentiary Hearing;

The Center is preparing to proceed to hearing on the following topics on October 27, 2010: Air Quality, Alternatives, Biological Resources and Soil & Water Resources. However, the Center does not view these topics as complete and ready for final hearing given the lack of adequate identification and analysis of the impacts of the proposed project provided in the Revised Staff Assessment (“RSA”). The Center is particularly concerned with the inadequacies in the identification and analysis of impacts to biological resources, inadequacies in the water resources analysis (particularly as to cumulative impacts), inadequacies in the alternatives analysis, and lack of adequate mitigation measures for the impacts of the project.

b) The topic areas that are not complete and not yet ready to proceed to Evidentiary Hearing, and the reasons therefor;

The Center believes that there remain fundamental unresolved issues in many areas including Project Description, Purpose and Need, Air Quality, Biological Resources, Alternatives Analysis, Soil and Water Resources. As noted above, the Center does not view these topics as complete and ready for final hearing given the lack of
adequate identification and analysis of the impacts of the proposed project provided in
the RSA. The Center is particularly concerned with inadequacies in the identification and
analysis of impacts to biological resources, inadequacies in the identification and analysis
of impacts to water resources, lack of adequate identification of specific, enforceable
mitigation measures and plans, and the lack of robust alternatives analysis. Given these
inadequacies in the RSA, it is impossible to evaluate at this time whether the project will
fully comply with relevant federal and state laws.

While the Center is well aware that the Commission proceeds under a certified
regulatory program that is intended to be the CEQA equivalent and which provides some
flexibility to the Commission (see § 21080.5; CEQA Guidelines § 15251(j).), that
program does not allow the Commission to shift the Commission’s duty to provide for
adequate CEQA review, including identification and analysis of environmental impacts
and alternatives, onto other parties or members of the public. It is the Commission’s
§§ 21000, 21002; Sierra Club v. Bd. of Forestry (1994) 7 Cal.4th 1215, 1236; Joy Road
Area Forest and Watershed Association v. Cal. Dept. of Forestry and Fire Protection

c) The topic areas that remain disputed and require adjudication, and the precise
nature of the dispute for each topic;

The topic areas that the Center disputes and which require adjudication include,
but are not limited to: Air Quality, Alternatives, Biological Resources and Soil &
Water Resources. Given the short time since the RSA was issued and the ongoing
workshops, the Center has not yet fully determined the nature of the dispute for each of
the topics.
Many of the disputed issues identified by the Center involve both legal and factual disputes while others are predominantly legal issues. The Center therefore respectfully reserves the right to address each disputed issue, and any other disputed issues identified at the prehearing conference at later stages of this process including in briefing following the evidentiary hearing.

d) The identity of each witness sponsored by each party (note: witnesses must have professional expertise in the discipline of their testimony); the topic area(s) which each witness will present; a brief summary of the testimony to be offered by each witness; qualifications of each witness; the time required to present direct testimony by each witness; and whether the party seeks to have the witness testify in person or telephonically;

The Center intends to present or rely on expert witnesses on the following topics. The Center requests that if necessary expert witnesses be allowed to testify by telephone. While in-person testimony is preferred and at this time both of the Center’s witnesses are available to testify in person in Sacramento on October 27, 2010, given the expedited schedule and other time limitations phone testimony may be necessary. All experts listed below will submit testimony and their qualifications by October 6, 2010. The Center reserves the right to submit additional testimony by way of rebuttal or initial testimony on any late-filed information or changes that emerge from the workshops or otherwise before the evidentiary hearing for each topic. Below the Center provides a summary of the topic areas and time estimates for the witnesses already identified.

- **Bill Powers: Alternatives:** Mr. Powers’ direct testimony is estimated to take no more than 30 minutes.
• **Ileene Anderson: Impacts to Sensitive Plants and Wildlife:** Ms. Anderson’s direct testimony is estimated to take no more than 45 minutes.

e) **Topic areas upon which a party desires to cross-examine witnesses, a summary of the scope of each such cross-examination (including voir dire of any witness’ qualifications), and the time desired for each such cross-examination;**

**Biological Resources:** CEC Staff, CDFG staff, FWS staff, BLM staff, Applicant witnesses, and witnesses presented by other Intervenors regarding assessment of impacts to biological resources and appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation strategies.

**Soils and Water Resources:** CEC Staff, BLM Staff, Applicant witnesses regarding the impacts to soil and water resources and any contrary conclusions; the appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation strategies; and the efficacy and enforceability of mitigation measures suggested.

**Air Quality:** CEC Staff, BLM Staff, Applicant witnesses, and witnesses presented by other Intervenors regarding the impacts to air quality; the appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation strategies; and the efficacy and enforceability of mitigation measures suggested.

**Alternatives:** CEC Staff, BLM Staff, Applicant witnesses, and witnesses presented by other Intervenors regarding identification and selection of alternatives for review; the analysis of those alternatives; and the analysis of the feasibility of alternative sites.

f) **A list identifying exhibits and declarations that each party intends to offer into evidence and the technical topics to which they apply (as explained in the following section on Formats for Presenting Evidence);**
The Center will provide a list of exhibits and the exhibits with the opening testimony and rebuttal testimony; declarations will be provided with the testimony from Bill Powers and Ileene Anderson. The Center reserves the right, if necessary, to provide additional exhibits at hearing.

g) Topic areas for which the Applicant will seek a commission override due to public necessity and convenience pursuant to Pub. Res. Code § 25525.
N/A

h) Proposals for briefing deadlines, impact of vacation schedules, and other scheduling matters; and
N/A

i) For all topics, any proposed modifications to the proposed Conditions of Certification listed in the Revised Staff Analysis (RSA) based upon enforceability, ease of comprehension, and consistency with the evidence.

Center has reviewed the Proposed Conditions of Certification in the RSA for enforceability, comprehension, and consistency. However, given the short time since the RSA was issued the Center is not at this time prepared to provide specific language for any proposed modifications to any of the Proposed Conditions of Certification.

The Center is concerned that Conditions for all biological resources are inadequate because the identification and analysis of impacts is incomplete and inadequate. The same is true in other areas including air quality and impacts to soil and water resources. The Center also asserts that failure to adequately identify and analyze impacts and then engage with the alternatives analysis is fatal to this proposal. The alternatives analysis should have provided additional information on ways to avoid and minimize impacts in addition to the site reconfiguration proposed by the Applicant. The failure to adequately engage those initial steps has resulted in a proposed project that
unlawfully includes significant but avoidable impacts. Given this circumstance, the Center asserts that approving the project as proposed is unlawful. If the Commission nonetheless intends to approve the project as proposed it must at minimum ensure full mitigation of all impacts under the CESA, ESA, and other laws. While the staff has provided some good proposed conditions in the RSA because most of the mitigation measures and plans relied on are not fully developed and do not have enforceable standards they fail to provide the required specificity needed.

Overall, many of the proposed conditions appear to be unlawfully vague and do not meet the CEQA requirements that mitigation measures be specific, feasible, and enforceable. In addition, the environmental impacts that may be caused by the proposed mitigation measures are not addressed. The Center reserves the right to provide proposed modifications for each of the conditions and additional proposed conditions after hearings or in briefing.

Dated: October 4, 2010
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Lisa T. Belenky, Senior Attorney
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351 California St., Suite 600
San Francisco, CA 94104
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Fax: 415-436-9683
lbelenky@biologicaldiversity.org
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