DOCKET
06-AFC-3
DATE OCT 12 2006
RECD. JAN 8 2006

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
REPORT OF CONVERSATION *Page 1 of 2*

Systems Assessment and Facilities Siting Division

FILE: (06-AFC-3)

PROJECT TITLE: South Bay Replacement Project

Telephone		X **Meeting Location:** EHC Offices	
NAME:	Bill Pfanner	**DATE:** October 12, 2006	**TIME:** 10:30
WITH:	Kerry Willis, Bill Pfanner. Susan Lee, Hedy Born, Alvin Greenberg, Environmental Health Coalition. Audubon Society. community representatives.		
SUBJECT:	Community Scoping Meetings for the South Bay Replacement Project		

COMMENTS

On October 12, 2006, staff from the California Energy Commission (CEC) met with members of the Environmental Health Coalition (EHC) and the Audubon Society and other community representatives to help scope the environmental analysis of the South Bay Replacement Project's Application for Certification (AFC). The following is a summary of the substantive comments and questions received during these scoping sessions.

- EHC requested that translators with headsets be made available at all public workshops and hearings to ensure that the Spanish speaking population is included in the public process.
- A wide range of Project Alternatives should be considered in the environmental review process, not limited to those Alternatives included in the AFC.
- A Health Risk Assessment should be prepared that is both qualitative and quantitative.
- The City of Chula Vista and the Harbor Police would both be responsible for responding to fire and police calls.
- Is there any type of insurance that the project proponents can provide to protect adjacent property owners if there is a catastrophic event?
- The Bay Front Master Plan looks at optional Land Use Plans. Will the CEC's analysis look at all Land Use options, not just the one proposing the South Bay Power Plant?
- One of the goals and objectives stated in the AFC is not to exceed the existing pollution levels with the new power plant. Can the CEC require that pollution thresholds be reduced, not just maintained at the current levels?
- What is the air velocity at the air intake for power plant cooling? Could it cause bird kills. Are there screening mechanisms to mitigate potential bird kill?
- What are the air pollution impacts on bay water quality and aquatic plant/animal life?
- The power plant is located 1000 feet from the bay. Are there sensors with automatic shut off to insure the proposed hazardous material containment arrangement works?
- The CEC should look at the "need" for the proposed project – both in terms of need at this location and need in the bigger power picture.
- The environmental analysis should look at the socioeconomic impact to land uses downwind from the power plant. Will it impact property values, encourage the growth of other industrial development thereby increasing pollution?

cc:	**Signed:** Bill Pfanner
	Name: Bill Pfanner