

**BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION OF THE
GWF TRACY PEAKER PROJECT
IN SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY**

(GWF ENERGY LLC)

DOCKET No. 01-AFC-16

**APPLICATION COMPLETE
(DATA ADEQUATE)
OCTOBER 17, 2001**

COMMITTEE ERRATA TO PRESIDING MEMBER'S PROPOSED DECISION

On May 31, 2002, the Committee published the Presiding Member's Proposed Decision (PMPD) for the Tracy Peaker Project. The following list of Errata identifies certain edits and other non-substantive changes that clarify the evidence of record described in the PMPD. Most of these edits were based on the parties' comments on the PMPD.

Comments were submitted by Applicant, Staff and Intervenors Robert Sarvey, Irene Sundberg, Charles Tusso, Larry Chang and the City of Tracy. Applicant and Staff proposed specific textual corrections to the PMPD that are included in the Errata to reflect the evidence of record. The Energy Commission's Final Decision will incorporate the changes identified in the Errata, as well as other minor editorial corrections as deemed appropriate.

I. Under *INTRODUCTION*

A. Page 2:

1. Paragraph 2, second sentence is revised to read as follows: "Project construction will create a peak workforce of about 178 workers over an eight-month period. During operation, the project will utilize two existing employees, who will be dispatched from other facilities owned by Applicant and will commute to the project site as needed."
2. Paragraph 2, last sentence: delete both references to "schedule"; insert "operation date"

- B. Page 7, first full paragraph, insert as the last sentence: "On December 11, 2002, Applicant submitted a Wet Weather Construction Contingency Plan (Exhibit 66) which the CEC Staff analyzed in its January 22, 2002, Staff Assessment."**

II. Under *PROJECT PURPOSE AND DESCRIPTION*

A. Page 14:

1. Second full paragraph, third sentence is revised to read as follows: “During operation, the project will utilize 2 existing employees, who will be dispatched from other facilities owned by Applicant and will commute to the project site as needed.”
 2. Third full paragraph: delete the first sentence beginning with “The Tracy Peaker Project will operate . . .”
- B. Page 15, first full sentence: delete both references to “schedule”; insert “operation date”

III. Under *COMPLIANCE AND CLOSURE*

- A. Page 36, second full paragraph: delete the last sentence beginning with “Otherwise failure to meet . . .”

IV. Under *ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT*

A. *POWER PLANT EFFICIENCY*

1. Page 61, first full paragraph, third sentence: delete “85.4”; insert “84.5”
2. Page 62, Finding 4, first sentence is revised to read as follows: “The project will employ two GE Frame 7(EA) gas turbine generators nominally rated at 84.5 MW and an efficiency of 32.8 percent LHV.”

B. *POWER PLANT RELIABILITY*

1. Page 66, first paragraph, first sentence: delete the word “recycled”

C. *TRANSMISSION SYSTEM ENGINEERING*

1. Page 74, Finding 4, line 2: change “Vierra-Tarcy-Kasson” to “Vierra-Tracy-Kasson”
2. Page 77, **TSE-5** d): delete “CPUC Rule 21 and”
3. Page 77, **TSE-5** f), line 1: change “Vierra-Tesla-Kasson” to “Vierra-Tracy-Kasson”
4. Page 78, **TSE-5**, Verification a) and b): delete “CPUC Rule 21”
5. Page 79, **TSE-7**, Verification, line 6: delete “CPUC Rule 21”

V. Under **PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT**

A. **AIR QUALITY**

1. Page 89, AIR QUALITY Table 1: move the Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) standards from the “California Standard” column to the “Federal Standard” column
2. Page 91, footnote 16, line 3: delete “federal PSD”
3. Page 93, last paragraph, second sentence: delete “San Joaquin County is”; insert “The San Joaquin Valley Air Basin is considered to be in”
4. Page 94:
 - a. Second paragraph, first sentence is revised to read as follows: “While the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin is designated attainment for the state 1-hour and the federal annual NO₂ standards, NO₂ is still a concern as a precursor pollutant of ozone and PM₁₀.”
 - b. Second paragraph, third sentence: delete” PM₁₀”; insert “NO₂”
5. Page 95, second paragraph, first sentence is revised to read as follows: Staff refined the PM₁₀ cumulative modeling using refined emission source information from the TPP, the Tesla Power Plant Project, the East Altamont Energy Center project and the Adesa Auto Auction project, the last two of which were not available to the Applicant at the time of its analysis.”
6. Page 96
 - a. Last sentence: insert “for each CTG” after the word “process”
 - b. Add the following language after the last sentence: “Condition **AQ-C5** limits the commissioning duration and emissions, and requires that Applicant provide a monthly report to substantiate compliance with the condition.”
7. Page 99, line 3: delete “10-point”; insert “maximum 10 ppmvd”
8. Page 100:

- a. First paragraph, second sentence: delete the word “and” after the word “Tesla”; insert “and Adesa Auto Auction” after the phrase “East Altamont Energy Center”
- b. Footnote 18, add the following sentence: “ Air Quality Table 30 does not include separate results for the Adesa Auto Auction; however, the maximum total impacts include the minor PM₁₀ contributions from the Adesa Auto Auction facility.”

9. Page 101, second line: delete the word “power”

10. Page 102:

- a. First full sentence, line 3: insert “PM₁₀” before the phrase “emission reduction credits”
- b. Third paragraph, line five: insert “per turbine” after the word “hour”
- c. Third paragraph, line six: insert “per turbine” after the word “hour”

11. Page 103, line 12: change “temperation air” to “tempering dilution air”

12. Page 105, first paragraph: delete the last sentence beginning with “The Commission accepts Applicant’s . . .”; insert the following: “In addition, Applicant will prepare and implement a plan for reduction in actual operating hours for the TPP from the current maximum of 8000 hours per year. The Commission hereby accepts Applicant’s voluntary conditions and adopts them as Conditions **AQ-78** (local emission reduction plan) and **AQ-79** (plan for reduction in hours of operation).”

13. Page 107:

- a. Finding 6, line 2: insert “and an oxidation catalyst” after the words “SCR technology”
- b. Finding 11: insert “significant adverse” before the words “cumulative impacts”

14. Page 112:

- a. **AQ-C4** is revised as follows:

“AQ-C4 The project owner shall surrender to the District emission offsets in the following amounts, in addition to those listed in Condition **AQ-62**, to fully mitigate project emissions:

Pollutant	Required Offsets (lbs/quarter)			
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
CO	35,768	35,768	35,852	35,852
PM ₁₀	7,300	7,300	7,300	7,300
VOC	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
SO ₂	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800

This condition serves to augment the ERC requirements listed in District condition **AQ-62**, by adding the CEQA mitigation proposed by the Applicant for PM₁₀, VOC, CO and SO₂ emissions. Also, in order to provide additional mitigation of construction PM₁₀ emissions the project owner shall surrender the PM₁₀ emission offsets, required in this condition, and those required in condition **AQ-62**, prior to initiating construction.

Verification: At least 5 days prior to commencing construction, the project owner shall provide to the CPM a copy of the documentation from the District proving that the PM₁₀ emission offsets have been surrendered, and at least 15 days prior to initial turbine startup, the project owner shall provide to the CPM a copy of the documentation from the District proving that all of the emission offsets, as required in this condition and condition **AQ-62**, have been surrendered.”

b. Add new Conditions **AQ-C5** and **AQ-C6** as follows:

“AQ-C5 The project owner shall limit commissioning emissions, not including startup and shutdown emissions after SCR Catalyst and CEM Certification, and commissioning duration of the following commissioning activities to the following:

Initial Commissioning Activities	Firing Duration (Hours per turbine)	CO	NO _x	VOC	NH ₃
		Lbs/hr per turbine			
First Fire	8	136	84	10	0
Full Speed, No Load Operation	12	136	84	10	0
Synchronization and Load Test	50	136	84	18	0
Turbine Optimization “Load Tests”	24	108	66	B	0
Operation with SCR Catalyst / CEM Certification	48	B	66	B	20 _A

A – Limit provided as ppm @ 15 percent O₂ over a 24 hour rolling average.

B – Normal operating hourly emission limits as provided in condition **AQ-20** apply.

The commissioning activities occurring after the “Operation with SCR Catalyst/CEM Certification” activity (i.e., Final Plant Tuning, Performance Test, and Reliability Run activities) are required to meet the emission limits provided in **AQ-20** and **AQ-24**.

Initial commissioning activities shall accrue towards the quarterly and annual emission limits provided in **AQ-23**, respectively.

Verification: The project owner shall submit, commencing one month from the time of gas turbine first fire, a monthly commissioning status report throughout the duration of the commissioning phase that demonstrates compliance with the duration and emission limit requirements of this condition. The monthly commissioning status report shall include CO and NO_x CEM data, and the duration and criteria pollutant emission estimates. VOC and NH₃ emissions during commissioning shall be based on CPM approved emission factors and calculation methodology. The monthly commissioning status report shall be submitted to the CPM until the report includes the completion of the initial commissioning activities. The firing duration limits provided in this condition may be increased upon CPM approval.”

“AQ-C6 The project owner shall submit to the CPM for review and approval any modification proposed by either the project owner or issuing agency to any project air permit.

Verification: The project owner shall submit the proposed air permit modification to the CPM within five (5) working days of its submittal by the project owner to an agency or receipt of proposed modifications from an agency. The project owner shall submit all modified air permits to the CPM within fifteen (15) days of their receipt.”

c. Insert the following text immediately prior to **AQ-1**:

“DISTRICT FINAL DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE CONDITIONS

SJVAPCD Permit No. UNIT N-4597-1-0 – 84.4 MW NOMINALLY RATED GENERAL ELECTRIC MODEL PG 7121 EA NATURAL GAS FIRED SIMPLE-CYCLE PEAK-DEMAND COMBUSTION TURBINE GENERATOR SERVED BY AN INLET AIR FILTRATION AND COOLING SYSTEM, DRY LOW-NOX COMBUSTORS, A SELECTIVE CATALYTIC REDUCTION (SCR) SYSTEM WITH AMMONIA INJECTION, AND AN OXIDATION CATALYST.

SJVAPCD Permit No. UNIT N-4597-2-0 – 84.4 MW NOMINALLY RATED GENERAL ELECTRIC MODEL PG 7121 EA NATURAL GAS FIRED SIMPLE-CYCLE PEAK-DEMAND COMBUSTION TURBINE GENERATOR SERVED BY AN INLET AIR FILTRATION AND COOLING SYSTEM, DRY LOW-NOX COMBUSTORS, A SELECTIVE CATALYTIC REDUCTION (SCR) SYSTEM WITH AMMONIA INJECTION, AND AN OXIDATION CATALYST.

The following Conditions of Certification apply per turbine unit unless otherwise identified.”

15. Page 113, Condition **AQ-4, Verification**: delete “quarterly reports of Condition **AQ-40**”; insert “annual reports of Condition **AQ-29**”
16. Page 126, following fourth full paragraph: delete the heading “**Reduction in Hours of Operation**”; insert “**AQ-79**” immediately prior to the sentence beginning with “In order to further benefit local air quality, . . .”

B. PUBLIC HEALTH

1. Page 132, Table 2, line 4, column 2: change “ 1.0×10^{-5} ” to “ 1.0×10^{-6} ”
2. Page 134, first paragraph, line 2: change “TEC” to “TAC”

C. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT

1. Page 141, paragraph 3, line 3: insert the word “sodium” before the word “hydroxide”
2. Page 143, first full paragraph, immediately prior to the last sentence beginning with “Consequently, many of the risks . . .” insert the following: “In addition, to protect against the spread of vapors during an intentional act of sabotage, as well as accidental release, Applicant will construct a containment berm around the double walled aqueous ammonia tanks. This bermed area will also drain to the underground containment structure located beneath the truck loading pad. (3/8/02 RT, pp. 48-49.)”
3. Page 145:
 - a. Finding 1, line 3: insert the word “sodium” before the word “hydroxide”
 - b. **HAZ-2, Verification**, line 1: delete the word “construction”; insert the word “operation”
4. Page 146:
 - a. **HAZ-4**: delete second sentence; replace with the following: “At a minimum, the storage tank shall be double walled, the tanks and delivery area shall be protected by a secondary containment

berm or wall which shall drain to a below ground containment structure capable of containing the entire contents of the tank plus 125% of a worst case 24-hour rainfall, the ammonia pump station protected by a containment system, and the entire system protected by continuous tank monitors, temperature monitors, excess flow valves, and emergency block valves. ”

- b. **HAZ-6**, line 3: change “Patterson Pass Road” to “Mountain House Parkway”

VI. Under ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

A. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

1. Page 157, following first full paragraph insert the following: “The Applicant’s Wet Weather Construction Contingency Plan triggered intensive surveys for individuals, or the habitat, of the listed California Tiger Salamander and the Western Spayed-foot Toad. This survey effort was summarized in a December 25, 2001 letter from Mark Jennings, Ph.D. (Exhibit 73), and in a December 28, 2001 Report (Exhibit 72). Both Staff and Applicant concluded that neither the listed species nor their habitat would be significantly impacted by the implementation of the Wet Weather Construction Contingency Plan. (See also the cross-examination of Staff Witness, Natasha Nelson, 3/6/02 RT, pp. 161-162).”
2. Page 158, second full paragraph, line 6: insert “Council of Governments” after the word “County”
3. Page 159, correct Table 2 as follows

Biological Resources Table 2
Estimates of Temporary and Permanent Habitat Losses
 (GWF 2001~~b~~c)

Project Feature	Temporary Disturbance (Acres)	Permanent Disturbance (Acres)
Access Road	1.5	1.9
<u>Temporary Access Road</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Water Supply Line	0.6	0.0
Power Plant Fenced Area	0.0	9.0*
PG&E Switchyard Fenced Area	0.0	1.3
Construction laydown/Parking	5.2 18.4	0.0
Total	7.3 22.4	12.2

*Includes the GWF switchyard. (Source: Ex. 17. p.3.2-11, Staff’s Biological Resources Table 2.)”

4. Page 160, line 4: insert “acres” after the number “34.6”
5. Page 162:
 - a. Second full paragraph, line 1: delete “written testimony”, insert “an unsworn written report”
 - b. Second full paragraph, line 2: after “Smallwood“ insert the following footnote: “Dr. Smallwood’s report was not made available to Staff until the day scheduled for hearing on Biological Resources, and Dr. Smallwood was not present at the hearing. Both Staff and Applicant objected to receipt of any evidence from Dr. Smallwood. The Committee admitted Dr. Smallwood’s report subject to hearsay objections (i.e., as administrative hearsay). (3/6/02 RT, pp. 167, 176-178.)”
 - c. Second full paragraph, line 2: insert “177-178; and see Ex. 18” after the number “167”
6. Page 163, first paragraph, third sentence is revised to read as follows: “The project turnout intake is screened by design, which reduces impacts to fish and invertebrates. (*Ibid.*)”
7. Page 164:
 - a. Finding 1: delete “exist on the project site”; insert “were identified during surveys of the project site and linear facilities”
 - b. Finding 3, line 2: delete “sensitive; insert “open space”
8. Page 168, **BIO-5**: delete the last sentence of the first paragraph which begins “Any changes to the adopted BRMIMP must . . .”
9. Page 169, **BIO-5**:
 - a. Second full paragraph: delete “**Verification**” heading
 - b. End of second full paragraph, add the following sentence: “Any changes to the approved BRMIMP must be approved by the CPM in consultation with SJCOG, Inc. and appropriate agencies to ensure no conflicts exist.”
10. Page 170:
 - a. **BIO-6**, item 12, is revised to read as follows:

“12. Report all inadvertent deaths of sensitive species to the appropriate project representative within 24-hours and have a consultation with the CPM, SJCOG, and other appropriate agencies within two weeks of the event. Injured animals will be reported to the USFWS and/or CDFG, and the project owner will follow the instructions that are provided by USFWS and/or CDFG.”

b. **BIO-7** is revised to read as follows:

“**BIO-7** Prior to the beginning of site mobilization, the project site, the laydown and parking area, the permanent road improvement, the temporary access road, and water pipeline route must be surveyed by a qualified biologist in accordance with USFWS and CDFG protocols for San Joaquin kit fox, Western burrowing owl, and other sensitive species listed in **Table 1**.

Verification: Surveys by a qualified biologist shall be conducted thirty (30) days prior to site or related facility mobilization. Two weeks prior to site or related facility mobilization, the Designated Biologist will submit to the CPM a report detailing the methodology and results of the surveys for approval.”

c. **BIO-9**, line 2: delete “19.5”; insert “34.6”

11. Page 171:

a. **BIO-10** is revised to read as follows;

”**BIO-10** The TPP site and worker parking and staging areas shall be fenced in a manner to exclude moderately small mammals (2 to 10 pounds). The design shall be incorporated into the BRMIMP. The fence around the construction site should be patrolled daily by on-site staff prior to the start of each days construction activities. The Designated Biologist must be on-site during all construction activities if a suitable fence design cannot be installed. The permanent fence for the TPP should be capable of excluding moderately small mammals and be placed as far as feasible from the Delta Mendota Canal and the Union Pacific Railroad. Where fencing cannot be located outside of the 300-foot buffer from the Delta Mendota canal's water edge, the interior areas will be considered a loss to a kit fox corridor and a conservation easement on GWF's lands should be established at a 1:1 (impact:mitigation) ratio. The permanent fence around the TPP site shall be inspected by on-site staff monthly, and by the Designated Biologist during his/her visits, and repairs made within one week of identifying the problem.

Verification: The fence design will be incorporated into the final BRMIMP. The BRMIMP shall be submitted to the CPM for approval at least 60 days prior to start of any site or related facility mobilization activities. If the CPM determines the fence cannot exclude small mammals including the San Joaquin kit fox, a designated biologist will remain onsite during all construction activities. During operation, the Designated Biologist shall describe the fence’s condition in the Annual Compliance Report.”

b. Add new Condition **BIO-11** as follows:

“BIO-11 The Landscaping Plan plant list shall be limited to species that do not provide abundant nesting habitat or perch points for raptors. Along the Delta Mendota Canal side (southwest side) of the site, the use of trees shall be avoided and shrubs shall be either close to the facility's fenceline or widely scattered. The north, east and south sides of the site may be planted with a narrow (<100 foot) band of trees. The western and northwestern sides may be planted with a narrow band of moderately sized (<50 foot tall) native trees or shrubs. All areas that cannot be landscaped to resemble annual grasslands or valley oak woodland will be considered a loss of open space and habitat credits from the San Joaquin Council of Governments, Inc. shall be purchased (see Biological Resources Condition of Certification BIO-9). The Landscape Plan shall be made part of the BRMIMP.

Verification: The Landscaping Plan shall be appended to the final BRMIMP and shall be submitted to the CPM for approval at least 30 days prior to construction. If necessary, provide a copy of the check issued to San Joaquin Council of Governments, Inc., verifying funds have been paid.”

B. **SOIL AND WATER RESOURCES**

1. Page 173, second paragraph, second to last sentence: change “site grading and drainage plans” to “Erosion Control Plan”
2. Page 181, **SOIL & WATER 3**, line 2: change “approval or” to “approval of”
3. Page 181, **SOIL & WATER 4**: Delete Condition **SOIL & WATER 4** in its entirety

C. **CULTURAL RESOURCES**

1. Page 189, **CUL-1**, second to last paragraph: delete “**Verification**” heading which immediately precedes the sentence beginning with “(4) At least 10 days prior, . . .”
2. Page 190, **CUL-2**: delete “Protocol” from first full paragraph and change “(1)” to “(2)”; change “(2)” to “(3)” before the second paragraph and “(3)” to “(4)” before the third paragraph
3. Page 191, **CUL-4**, second paragraph: delete “Protocol” heading
 - a. Second full paragraph: delete “**Verification**” heading

- b. **CUL-5**: insert “(1)” between **CUL-5** and the start of the paragraph
- 4. Page 192, **CUL-5**: delete “Protocol” from first full paragraph and change “(1)” to “(2)”; change “(2)” to “(3)” before the second paragraph, change “(3)” to “(4)” before the third paragraph and change “(4)” to “(5)” before the forth paragraph

D. GEOLOGY AND PALEONTOLOGY

- 1. Page 195, first paragraph, line 7: delete “and surface water hydrology”
- 2. Page 196:
 - a. First paragraph, line 8: insert “or linear facilities” after the word “footprint”
 - b. First paragraph, line 8: delete “extremely”

VII. Under LOCAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

A. LAND USE

- 1. Page 208:
 - a. First paragraph, line 5: delete “0.8” after the word “located; insert “1.2”
 - b. First paragraph, line 7: delete sentence beginning with “To the north . . .”; insert the following sentence: “Immediately north of the site are the Owens-Brockway Glass Container manufacturing plant, the Nutting-Rice warehouse, and the Tracy Biomass Power Plant.”
 - c. First paragraph, line 10: delete “0.9”; insert “1.5”
 - d. Delete last sentence beginning with “Applicant indicates the lot line . . .”; insert the following sentence as the last sentence of the paragraph “Applicant has submitted proof that the lot line adjustment has been approved and recorded. (See Ex. 75.)”
- 2. Page 214, first paragraph, add the following footnote at the end of the last sentence: “Intervenors specifically cite the testimony of Ben Hulse, Director of the San Joaquin County Community Development Department, in arguing non-compliance with County

LORS. Hulse testified that section 9-816-6, which requires the County to give public notice and make certain findings as part of the site approval process, would be applicable to the project if it were under County jurisdiction. Hulse also explained, however, that his Staff did not include a discussion of section 9-816.6 in its September 18 letter to Commission staff because the project was under exclusive Commission jurisdiction and his staff therefore believed the Commission was responsible for issuing public notice and making findings regarding whether the proposed site was an appropriate location for the power plant. (3/38/02 RT, pp. 7-14.)

3. Page 217, first paragraph, first sentence now reads as follows: “In this case the County would have exclusive jurisdiction over the project site, but for the Commission’s exclusive jurisdiction under the Warren Alquist Act, and it is undisputed that the County would not have to ensure compliance with City of Tracy LORS in order to develop the project site, even though the site is within the City’s sphere of influence. (3/28/02 RT, pp. 47-48.)”
4. Page 213, second paragraph, first line: insert “Sundberg” after the word “Irene”
5. Pages 223-224, **LAND-2** is revised as follows:

LAND-2 To compensate for prime farmland land conversion impacts (i.e., the conversion of 10.3 acres of a 40 acre parcel), the project owner will provide \$56,500 to the American Farmland Trust (AFT) to establish the Tracy Peaker Project Trust Fund. The AFT and the San Joaquin County Planning Director, in conjunction with the California Energy Commission Compliance Manager (CPM) will decide how the funds will be disbursed for the protection of farmland in San Joaquin County.

In addition, the project owner shall develop for the approval of the Energy Commission CPM an agricultural mitigation plan describing long-term management of the remaining agricultural operation on the property. The mitigation plan shall include on-site preservation of any agricultural land on the property not converted for the power generation facility and details as to how the agricultural land on the subject property that is not converted for the power generation facility (i.e., approximately the remaining 29.7 acres of the proposed site parcel) is to be made available for farming.

The AFT would hold the mitigation fee in trust, in an interest bearing account, for a two-year period to allow San Joaquin County to develop a mitigation program for the loss of agricultural land, through purchase of conservation easements. At the end of the two years, the AFT shall distribute the funds to San Joaquin County, or in the event that San Joaquin County has not approved a program for the loss of agricultural land, then the AFT shall be allowed to retain the funds.

Protocol: The project owner shall submit the mitigation plan for the project to the Director of the San Joaquin County Planning Department for review and comment and the CPM for review and approval. The Director will have 30 calendar days to review and provide written comments to the CPM to review for approval. The 30-day review period shall begin the day the mitigation plan is submitted to the County Planning Department by the project owner.

Verification: Sixty (60) days prior to the start of site mobilization, the project owner shall provide a certified check to the AFT for \$56,500 and written verification to the CPM that the check has been provided to the AFT. The project owner shall also provide the CPM with the final agricultural mitigation plan.

The project owner shall provide to the CPM in a monthly compliance report a copy of the executed agricultural conservation easements.”

B. TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION

1. Page 233, paragraph 3 (Commuter Traffic), line 3: insert “worst case” after the word “day”
2. Page 234, first full paragraph, line 2: delete “the Wellhead 49 MW peaking power plant on S. Lammers Road in Tracy,”
3. Page 238, **TRANS-9, Verification**: insert “if required by the FAA” at the end of the **Verification**

C. VISUAL RESOURCES

1. Page 240:
 - a. Paragraph 3, line 3: delete “SCR reactors”; insert “air pollution control structures”
 - b. Paragraph 3, lines 5-6: delete “a 55-foot-high, 85-foot-long, 25-foot-wide air pollution control structure”
2. Page 241, fourth bullet, line 2: replace “KPO 6” with “KOP 6”
3. Page 245:
 - a. First paragraph, line 8: change “moderate” to “moderately low”
 - c. Third paragraph, last line: change “7” to “8”

4. Page 247, second paragraph, line 12: change “constrains” to “constraints”

By Order of the Committee:

Dated July 15, 2002, at Sacramento, California

ROBERT PERNELL
Commissioner and Presiding Member
Tracy Peaker Project Committee