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Background:
2013 Nonresidential ACM Reference Method

- CEC will develop a suite of acceptable modeling results and/or empirical energy performance data
  - For specific nonresidential building types, energy systems and efficiency measures
- This Reference Method will be generated from multiple energy analysis software tools and building heat transfer research studies
- This Reference Method will be used as the basis of comparison during the nonresidential compliance software certification process
Current Code Requirements

- **Prescriptive Requirements**
  - No specific requirements exist for radiant systems

- **Performance approach – Nonresidential ACM**
  - **For Primary Systems**
    - No system type defined for radiant cooling
  - **For Perimeter Systems**
    - Independent HVAC systems (typically heating only) which serve perimeter zones
    - They do not connect to the primary system but supply heating/cooling through separate air outlets or heat transfer surfaces.
    - **System 12:** Convective/radiant. Zone perimeter system may be a convective or radiant system, such as baseboard or radiant ceiling panels.
Summary of Code Change Proposals

- **Performance Approach:**
  - Add Optional System Type Description in Nonresidential Alternative Calculation Method (ACM)
  - Proposed design only
    - Does not change the system map for choosing the standard design
  - Floor-based hydronic low-temperature radiant systems
  - Develop defaults/limits for the ACM model
Typical Practice

- For compliance calculations, one can model the radiant system using other system types as proxies
  - Built-up single zone with cooling coil but no fan
  - Four Pipe Fan Coil with adjustments to fan energy
  - Induction Cooling with adjustments to fan energy
Potential for Radiant Cooling

- Radiant Cooling systems being used for high-efficiency designs in commercial buildings
  - PNNL identifies radiant cooling as a strategy to meet 50% better than code performance relative to ASHRAE 90.1-2004
  - Wal-Mart has installed radiant cooling systems in conjunction with dedicated outdoor air systems (DAOS) on several stores
  - Newer products significantly reduce time and labor needed for installing radiant tubes in floors
  - Need for more accurate modeling of radiant cooling system savings when it comes to compliance with Title 24
Data / Findings

• Interviews with Manufacturers/Designers
  • System Types
  • Costs (materials and installation)
  • Energy Savings (calculations and field data)
  • Design Practice regarding sizing and controls

• Review Simulation/Analysis Tools
  • Engineering Analysis Tools
  • EnergyPlus
  • ASHRAE Tool-kit (RP 1383)

• Simulation Inputs
  • Defaults and allowable range of inputs
Summary of Interviews

- **11 Individuals Interviewed:**
  - 4 Design Engineers, 5 Manufacturers, 2 Owners’ Reps
- **System Types Available for Floor-based Installations:**
  - PEX pipe systems
  - PEX pipe “mats”
  - Radiant “panels”
- **Building Types Suitable for Radiant Cooling:**
  - Institutional
    - Airports, museums, universities, churches
  - Commercial
    - “Big-Box” retail
    - Office buildings with lobby or foyer
  - Any building with high solar gains
  - Projects going for LEED
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Summary of Interviews

- **Design Criteria:**
  - Pipe size – ½”- ¾” (5/8” is most common)
  - Pipe Spacing - 6”-9” (up to 12” for heating)
  - Controls for supply water temperature and flow rate
    - Mostly variable temperature with constant flow
    - Some use variable temperature and variable flow for optimized control
    - Some use constant temperature, constant flow as well
  - Thermostatic control of system operation
    - Zone air temperature most commonly used
    - Sometimes based on radiant temperature
  - Time of use
    - Limited use of pre-cooling or night-cooling to charge the mass before occupied hours or outside of peak hours
    - Optimum start or adaptive control used by some
Summary of Interviews: Control Choices

- Four System Operation Choices
  - Constant Volume, Constant Temperature
  - Constant Volume, Variable Temperature
  - Variable Volume, Constant Temperature
  - Variable Volume, Variable Temperature
Summary of Interviews

● Design Criteria:
  ● Condensation control
    ● Radiant systems control sensible loads only
    ● Dedicated outdoor air system to handle latent loads
    ● Limit slab surface temperature to dew point temperature plus 1-3 degrees
  ● Occupant Comfort
    ● Slab surface temperature maintained at or above 66°F to prevent floors from being too cold
Summary of Interviews

● Analysis Procedures
  ● Rules of Thumb
    ● Manufacturers will tailor systems to meet loads
      – Load calculations provided by mechanical engineers
    ● Manufacturers use finite element analysis or other proprietary tools
  ● System Design Tools
    ● Energy Plus for system modeling
    ● Trane Trace for sizing loads
    ● Custom software used by mechanical engineers/designers
Simulation Tools Review

● Engineering Analysis
  ● Rules of thumb for heat exchange rate from radiant slab to space
    ○ 15-16 btu/sqft in best conditions
    ○ 8-12 btu/sqft heat transfer is typical
    ○ 4-5 btu/sqft worst case scenario
  ● Typical design process
    ○ Spreadsheet-based tools to size system output
    ○ Pipe size and spacing (best practices) used to develop water temperature and flow-rate profiles
      − Parametric analysis of pipe sizing and spacing to get desired temperature and flow-rates
      − If system is under-sized, then supplemental cooling is needed with air-side system
Simulation Tools Review

- Compliance with DOE-2.1E
  - Several options to model
    - None explicit in modeling radiant heat exchange
  - Example project
    - Building with floor-based hydronic radiant system
      - Using compliance model for a recently constructed building
    - Option 1 – Modeled as Four Pipe Fan Coil
      - Compliance margin at 12% above code
        - Cooling uses 14% less energy than standard design
    - Option 2 – Modeled as Induction Unit
      - Compliance margin at 16% above code
        - Cooling uses 28% less energy than standard design
  - Engineering calculations predict energy savings beyond code at ~30% for all end-uses
Simulation Tools Review

- EnergyPlus

  - Full-featured energy analysis software has built-in modules for several radiant technologies
    - High-temperature radiant systems
      - Hydronic or electric
    - Low-temperature radiant systems
      - Hydronic or electric
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- Low-temperature hydronic radiant systems
  - Define construction where piping is embedded
  - Define pipe diameter, spacing and location
  - Define schedules and controls
    - Variable flow module
    - Constant flow module
  - Control radiant systems based on various control temperatures
    - Space air temp, Mean radiant temp, Operative temp, Outdoor dry bulb temp, Outdoor wet bulb temp
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Energy Simulation Tools Review:
EnergyPlus

- “Variable Flow” Modeling*

* The variable flow module assumes constant temp

● “Variable Temperature” Modeling

Diagram showing the relationship between inlet water temperature, heating, cooling, control temperature (MAT, MRT, etc.), control temperature schedule value, and system off.
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Effect of CHW Supply Temperature

CHW Supply and Zone Temps

- Modeled Slab Temp - 55 °F CHW
- Modeled Zone Temp - 55 °F CHW
- Modeled Slab Temp - 65 °F CHW
- Modeled Zone Temp - 65 °F CHW
- Modeled Slab Temp - 70 °F CHW
- Modeled Zone Temp - 70 °F CHW

Temperature (°F)

Date & Time

2:00 AM 4:00 AM 6:00 AM 8:00 AM 10:00 AM 12:00 PM 2:00 PM 4:00 PM 6:00 PM 8:00 PM 10:00 PM 12:00 AM 2:00 AM
Effect of CHW Supply Temperature

Supply - Return Temperature Drop

- Modeled CHW Delta T - 55 °F CHW
- Modeled CHW Delta T - 65 °F CHW
- Modeled CHW Delta T - 70 °F CHW
Specifics of Code Change Proposals

- Add Optional System Type Description in Nonresidential ACM
  - Modeling rules for low-temperature hydronic radiant systems
    - Constant flow systems with constant and variable supply temperature
    - Variable flow systems with constant supply temperature
      - EnergyPlus does not explicitly model variable temperature controls with variable flow systems.
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### Specifics of Code Change Proposals

#### Low-Temperature Radiant with Variable Flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Keyword</th>
<th>Acceptable Range by Software</th>
<th>Acceptable Range for Compliance Calculations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface Name or Radiant Surface Group Name</td>
<td>Walls, Floors, Ceilings</td>
<td>Floors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydronic Tubing Length</td>
<td>X &gt; 0, no max, no default, can auto size</td>
<td>No-autosizing allowed – need specific input. Max 350 ft/loop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydronic Tubing Inside Diameter</td>
<td>X &gt; 0, no max, default = ½”</td>
<td>½”-3/4”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Control Type</td>
<td>Operative Temperature, Mean Air Temp, Mean Radiant Temp, ODB, OWB</td>
<td>Mean Space Air Temp (use current NACM thermostat setpoints)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Cold Water Flow Rate</td>
<td>No default, no max</td>
<td>No default, no max</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Specifics of Code Change Proposals

- **Low-Temperature Radiant with Variable Flow**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Keyword</th>
<th>Acceptable Range by Software</th>
<th>Acceptable Range for Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cooling Control Throttling Range</td>
<td>Deg F - No min, no max</td>
<td>User inputs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling Control Temperature</td>
<td>No default</td>
<td>User inputs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation Control Type</td>
<td>Off, SimpleOff, VariableOff</td>
<td>SimpleOff, VariableOff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation Control Dewpoint Offset</td>
<td>No min or max</td>
<td>2 deg F above dew point</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Specifics of Code Change Proposals

- **Low-Temperature Radiant with Constant Flow**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Keyword</th>
<th>Acceptable Range by Software</th>
<th>Acceptable Range for Compliance Calculations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface Name or Radiant Surface Group Name</td>
<td>Walls, Floors, Ceilings</td>
<td>Floors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydronic Tubing Length</td>
<td>X &gt; 0, no max, no default, can auto size</td>
<td>No-autosizing allowed – need specific input. Max 350 ft/loop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydronic Tubing Inside Diameter</td>
<td>X &gt; 0, no max, default = ½”</td>
<td>½”-3/4”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Control Type</td>
<td>Operative Temperature, Mean Air Temp, Mean Radiant Temp, ODB, OWB</td>
<td>Mean Space Air Temp (use current NACM thermostat setpoints)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated Flow Rate</td>
<td>No default no max</td>
<td>User input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated Pump Power Consumption</td>
<td>No min, no max</td>
<td>User input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Efficiency</td>
<td>0-100%</td>
<td>T24 default for proposed design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Specifics of Code Change Proposals

### Low-Temperature Radiant with Constant Flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Keyword</th>
<th>Acceptable Range by Software</th>
<th>Acceptable Range for Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of Motor Inefficiencies to Fluid Stream</td>
<td>0.0-1.0 (Default =0)</td>
<td>User Input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling High Water Temperature</td>
<td>Max supply water temp. No limits.</td>
<td>User Input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling Low Water Temperature</td>
<td>Min supply water temp. No limits</td>
<td>Min – 55 deg F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation Control Type</td>
<td>Off, SimpleOff</td>
<td>SimpleOff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation Control Dewpoint Offset</td>
<td>No min or max</td>
<td>2 deg F above dewpoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of Motor Inefficiencies to Fluid Stream</td>
<td>0-1.0. Default =0</td>
<td>User Input</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outstanding Issues to Address

- Coordinate development of ACM rules
  - Radiant systems handle sensible loads only
  - Ventilation systems needed for fresh air
  - Need to coordinate with overall ACM development effort for addressing multiple systems
    - And developing a ‘loading order’ for system control
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QUESTIONS & COMMENTS