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Preface

The California Energy Commission’s Public Interest Energy Research (PIER) Program supports public interest energy research and development that will help improve the quality of life in California by bringing environmentally safe, affordable, and reliable energy services and products to the marketplace.

The PIER Program conducts public interest research, development, and demonstration (RD&D) projects to benefit the electricity and natural gas ratepayers in California.

The PIER program strives to conduct the most promising public interest energy research by partnering with RD&D entities, including individuals, businesses, utilities, and public or private research institutions.

PIER funding efforts are focused on the following RD&D program areas:

- Buildings End-Use Energy Efficiency
- Energy-Related Environmental Research
- Energy Systems Integration
- Environmentally Preferred Advanced Generation
- Industrial/Agricultural/Water End-Use Energy Efficiency
- Renewable Energy Technologies
- Transportation

*Lighting Research Program Project 2.2 Task Light Utilizing New Materials to Reduce Thermal Stress on High Brightness LEDs* is one of three final reports for the Advanced Lighting Technology Element of the PIER Lighting Research Program (contract number 500-01-041). This project was conducted by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and managed by Architectural Energy Corporation. This report is an appendix to the final report for the PIER Lighting Research Program conducted by Architectural Energy Corporation. The information from this report contributes to the PIER Building End-Use Energy Efficiency program.

For more information about the PIER Program, please visit the Energy Commission’s website at [www.energy.ca.gov/pier/](http://www.energy.ca.gov/pier/) or contact the Energy Commission at 916-654-5164.
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Abstract

This report is an appendix to the final report developed under the Lighting Research Program, which supported the creation of new lighting technologies and products that can save energy, cut peak demand, and reduce air pollution for the residents of California. It comprised 15 research projects conducted in four major research areas and three market connection projects, and encompassed both residential and commercial sectors, as well as outdoor lighting associated with buildings.

This report describes the results of one of the Lighting Research Program projects: an effort by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory to develop a prototype light-emitting diode (LED) task lamp for general illumination purposes. The project successfully demonstrated that LED technology is sufficiently advanced to allow development of an energy-efficient task light that meets or exceeds the performance characteristics of comparable halogen and compact fluorescent fixtures. Specifically, the project team collaborated with industrial partners Luxo, Advance Transformer, Cree Lighting, and Permlight to develop a task lamp that used 10 1-watt LEDs to replace an 18-watt compact fluorescent lamp. The resulting lamp provides the same light distribution and workplane illuminance as the compact fluorescent fixture. As a result of this study, luminaire manufacturer Luxo Corporation intends to market a new product, the Esol task light, based on this prototype.

Keywords: LED, light-emitting diode, LED task lamp, Esol LED lamp, energy-efficient task lamp
Executive Summary

Introduction

Task lights - or small lamps that can sit on a table or desk to light rooms and help people perform specific tasks, such as reading - are commonplace in homes. A task lamp that featured highly efficient light-emitting diodes in place of the halogen lamp or compact fluorescent lamp typically used in task lighting would help consumers cut energy bills and, if widely used, could help the state save a considerable amount of energy.

Purpose

This effort applied previous basic and applied research on light-emitting diodes conducted by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory to develop an energy efficient, consumer acceptable task lamp using high brightness light-emitting diodes and new materials with high thermal conductivity that will enhance the lifetime and performance of light-emitting diodes.

Objectives

- Develop a thermally conductive substrate for mounting light-emitting diodes that enhances the operation of light-emitting diodes at high power loadings while maintaining the highest performance and reliability of the light-emitting diode.

- Incorporate the latest developments in light-emitting diodes, phosphors, and micro-optics to achieve the highest system performance.

- Design, prototype, and transfer technology to luminaire manufacturers for the production of a commercial-grade light-emitting diode task light with efficiency equal to or greater than comparable incandescent and compact fluorescent luminaires.

Project Outcomes

Thermal Transport Subsystems

Thermal management materials were studied to determine their potential for dissipating the heat generated by light-emitting diodes, thus enabling the use of high power without compromising performance and reliability. As expected, metal-core printed circuit boards performed significantly better than did the electronics industry standard, fiberglass-core printed circuit boards. Aluminum silicon carbide substrates compared favorably with metal-core boards. However, testing of a higher performance composite of aluminum silicon carbide and graphite was not possible. This latter material holds promise to significantly improve the thermal management of light-emitting diode applications. An emerging technology using carbone fibers was explored, but materials were not available for this study. The potential of this technology is equal to that of the aluminum silicon carbide/graphite composite and may prove ultimately to be a low-cost solution to the problem.
Development of a LED Task Lamp using Standard Technology: Prototype 1

A prototype light-emitting diode task lamp was assembled to simulate a common compact fluorescent task lamp. The prototype used commercially available materials: 1-watt light-emitting diodes mounted on copper-clad fiberglass-core boards connected to aluminum heat sinks. The light-emitting diodes replicated the light distribution of a comparable luminaire using compact fluorescent lamps. However, the luminous output of the light-emitting diode luminaire was only half as efficient as the comparable compact fluorescent lamps luminaire. These limitations indicated the need for the following in the light-emitting diode lamp:

- A more efficacious source (that is, a source that produces more illumination per amount of energy consumed) that incorporates better optical components in the construction of the source.
- A source with improved color qualities.
- Better optical control of the light emitted by the source.
- Improved thermal management of the light-emitting diode light sources by using board achieving better thermal conductivity and improving the thermal conduction path from the light-emitting diode to the mounting board.

Development of Light-Emitting Diode Task Lamp using Advanced Technologies: Prototype 2

Each of the four needed improvements listed above was addressed in the development of the Prototype 2 light-emitting diode task lamp. The problem of developing an energy efficient light-emitting diode task lamp was made even more challenging by the selection of a high performance compact fluorescent task lamp with an asymmetric light distribution as the model to be replicated.

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory worked with Cree to address the need for a more efficacious source with improved color qualities. Different phosphors and blends were tested to improve color, and different reflector designs were studied to improve the extraction efficiency and focus the distribution of the die/source assembly. The greatest increases in efficacy were realized die performance improvements made by Cree during this time period. Ultimately, the team selected a standard package with a Lambertian distribution because it had improved efficacy (greater than 30 lumens per watt) and was to be introduced into the market in 2004.

Greater attention was focused on optical components (also called optics, a category of components that includes lenses, mirrors, and reflectors) that provided better control of the emitted light from the high brightness Cree light sources. High efficiency non-imaging optics were designed that replicated the desired distribution pattern defined by the compact fluorescent lamp luminaire. The optics took the form of an asymmetric reflector that housed a single light-emitting diode source, where each reflector subassembly replicated the desired distribution pattern. Hence, the loss of one or more sources only decreased the delivered light intensity without affecting the distribution pattern, and no diffusers - which decrease performance - were required. The plastic reflector could easily be fabricated with injection
molding techniques. The result was the development of a very efficient optical system that helped to reduce the number of light-emitting diodes.

Finally, the team enhanced the thermal management of the light source by using solder to improve the thermal attachment of the light-emitting diode packages to an aluminum metal-core board. The metal-core boards were attached to aluminum sheets that acted as both heat sink and the housing envelope. The thermal conductivity was significantly improved and resulted in sources well below a junction temperature of 100°C (212°F). Multiple heat sink designs were developed and some were constructed, but the performance of the present design was sufficient to preclude the need for a heat sink.

The result was a lightweight light-emitting diode task lamp that operated at 12 watts of light-emitting diode power and had the distribution and intensity of the 18-watt compact fluorescent luminaire that it was replacing. This prototype demonstrated that a high efficiency task lamp can be constructed with light-emitting diodes, using available materials and good optical design.

The results of this program have stimulated Luxo to generate an esthetically improved version of this concept using their award-winning Arketto task lamp equipped with three 3-watt (9-watt total) light-emitting diodes to replace a 40-watt halogen lamp. The Light-Emitting Diode Arketto lamp provided the same light output and distribution as the halogen version, while offering significant energy savings and vastly improved lamp life to the end user. Luxo intends to market a new product, the Esol task lamp, based on the Arketto light-emitting diode lamp.

**Recommendations**

This project demonstrated the first light-emitting diode task light to meet the light performance characteristics of a compact fluorescent luminaire while consuming less than 75 percent of the energy. The project also identified the limitations of the technology and product development activities that would accelerate light-emitting diode task lamp commercial acceptance and functionality:

- Develop materials with higher thermal conductivity for higher power light-emitting diode light sources
- Explore the incorporation of complementary red light-emitting diodes with white light-emitting diode primary to improve color performance
- Develop cost-effective power sources to control one or more types of light-emitting diodes
- Develop non-imaging optics that have high efficiency and can provide a more focused beam from the light-emitting diode light sources

**Conclusions and Recommendations**

As this project has demonstrated, light-emitting diodes have reached a level of performance that will allow their incorporation into lighting products for general lighting applications. In
such applications, light-emitting diodes can compete on an energy efficiency and cost-competitive basis with other task lighting solutions.

This project further demonstrated that light-emitting diode lighting benefits should not be weighed entirely upon the efficacy (lumen per watt) rating of the source, but rather on efficiency of the lighting system in delivering light to the user’s task area.

Further development in the technologies of light-emitting diode heat management, color quality, cost-effective intensity control, and optical control remain critical issues to be addressed. As these technologies are enhanced, they will allow higher power levels and the wider implementation of the light-emitting diodes to more lighting applications.

Programs to accelerate the knowledge and acceptance of this program could be facilitated through the emerging technology and rebate programs of California utilities.

**Benefits to California**

This product provides an energy efficient solution to task lighting. Luxo has taken advantage of the form factor of the small light-emitting diode source size to significantly reduce the space requirements necessary for the luminaire, thus making its adoption for general use more likely. The general adoption of task lighting greatly benefits California by reducing replacing ambient electric lighting with a more efficient luminaire to for some lighting applications. Specifically, using light-emitting diode-based task luminaire instead of ambient lighting for task lighting in offices would provide California the significant benefit of reducing the large electric load for commercial lighting.

In addition, the technologies employed in this program have a strong basis in the industries of California, with manufacturers located in Santa Barbara, San Jose, and Santa Rosa. Hence, this product incorporates technologies developed in California that will be marketed throughout the United States—enhancing both revenues for the companies and job creation within the state.
1.0 Introduction

1.1. Background and Overview

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) is actively involved in lighting research. For example, LBNL researchers are investigating current practices in commercial spaces relative to the use of task and ambient lighting in a project supported by the U.S. Department of Energy. One project activity includes an analysis of the marketplace relative to task and ambient lighting. This task was subcontracted to a nationally known lighting designer and researcher, Naomi Miller, to give an independent evaluation of the current technology and design practice for task and ambient lighting systems. A final report from Ms. Miller is publicly available; results from the draft report defined two distinct markets for task lights: stand-alone portable task lamps and furniture incorporated task lamps. The stand-alone portable task lamps are functionally characterized by a standard 120-volt (V) AC cord and plug, and they incorporate controls and a power supply. The furniture task lamps are integrated into the design of the office furniture and generally have less spatial flexibility and less control of the light distribution.

In addition to conducting the above research, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) has been performing basic and applied research in the development of light-emitting diodes (LEDs), phosphors for LEDs, subassemblies, and respective light sources and luminaires over the last four years. The Department of Energy, through various programs and a subcontract with Cree Lighting, has funded this work. This project described in this report builds on experience developed in these previous efforts.

Key findings and directions from this prior research include the following:

- The task lighting application offers an immediate opportunity for introducing solid-state light sources such as LEDs. In task lighting, LEDs can provide end-user benefits in energy efficiency and optical properties not achievable with current sources.
- LED thermal characteristics are critical to LED performance: improved thermal management of the die temperatures can improve LED performance.
- Further improvements in LED performance can be achieved with improved die processing, phosphors, and micro-optics.

Currently, an LED-based task light has not penetrated into the general illumination market. A luminaire using LED technology would represent a state-of-the-art task light. In addition, the technological improvements in thermal management and LED device performance demonstrated in this project will have application to virtually all future LED luminaires.

1.2. Project Objectives

The goal of this project is to accelerate the use of energy efficient LED technology for general lighting applications by developing a task lamp using high brightness LEDs in a consumer acceptable light fixture and utilizing new materials with high thermal conductivity that will enhance lifetime and performance of the LEDs.
The objectives of this project are to:

- Develop a thermally conductive sub-straight onto which LEDs are mounted that enhances the operation of LEDs at high power loadings while maintaining highest performance and reliability of the LED.
- Incorporate the latest developments in LED, phosphor, and micro-optics to achieve the highest system performance.
- Design, prototype, and transfer technology to luminaire manufacturers for the production of a commercial grade LED task light with efficiency equal to or greater than comparable incandescent and compact fluorescent light (CFL) luminaires.

1.3. Project Tasks

The project’s work scope involved the following technical tasks:

2.2 Task 1. Development of Thermal Transport Subsystem
2.2 Task 2. Design Concept Development with Manufacturers
2.2 Task 3. Design LED Subsystem and Prototype LED-based Task Light
2.2 Task 4. Technology Transfer Activities
2.2 Task 5. Production Readiness Plan
2.2 Task 6. Monthly Progress Report
2.2 Task 7. Annual Report
2.2 Task 8. Final Report
2.0 Project Outcomes

2.1. Summary of Results
The subsections below provide a high-level summary of results for the major project tasks. Subsequent sections provide details on these results.

2.1.1. Thermal transport subsystems
Thermal management materials were studied to determine their potential for dissipating the heat generated by operating LEDs, thus enabling high power loadings to be used without compromising performance and reliability. As expected, metal-core printed circuit boards performed significantly better than did the electronics industry standard, fiberglass-core printed circuit boards. Aluminum silicon carbide (AlSiC) substrates compared favorably with metal-core boards; however, testing of a higher performance composite of AlSiC and graphite was not possible. This latter material holds promise to significantly improve the thermal management of LED applications. An emerging technology using carbine fibers was explored but materials were not available for this study. The potential of this technology is equal to the AlSiC/graphite composite and may prove ultimately to be a low cost solution to the problem.

2.1.2. LED task lamp developing using standard technology: Prototype 1
A prototype LED task lamp was assembled to simulate a common compact fluorescent task lamp. The prototype used commercially available materials: 1-watt (W) LEDs mounted on copper clad fiberglass-core boards connected to aluminum heat sinks. The LEDs replicated the CFL lamp distribution; however, the luminous output of the LED luminaire was only half as efficient as that of the comparable CFL luminaire. The limitations identified in this prototype were the need for:

- A more efficacious source, incorporating better optical components in the construction of the source.
- A source with improved color qualities.
- Better optical control of the light emitted by the source.
- Improved thermal management of the LED light sources by using a board having better thermal conductivity and by improving the thermal conduction path from the LED to the mounting board.

2.1.3. LED task lamp development using advanced technologies: Prototype 2
The team addressed each of the four improvement needs listed in the development of the Prototype 2 LED task lamp. The problem of developing an energy efficient LED task lamp was made even more challenging by the selection of a high performance CFL task lamp with an asymmetric light distribution as the model to be replicated.

LBLN worked with Cree’s support to address the need for a more efficacious source with improved color qualities. Different phosphors and blends were tested to improve the color, and different reflector designs were studied to improve the extraction efficiency and focus the
distribution of the die/source assembly. Improvements in the performance of the die made by Cree during this time period yielded the greatest increases in efficacy. Ultimately, a standard package with a Lambertian distribution was selected because it had improved efficacy of greater than 30 lumens per watt (lpw). It was introduced into the market within 2004.

The project team also focused on optics that provided better control of the emitted light from the high brightness Cree light sources, designing high efficiency non-imaging optics that replicated the desired distribution pattern defined by the CFL luminaire. The optics took the form of an asymmetric reflector that housed a single LED source, where each reflector subassembly replicated the desired distribution pattern. Hence, the loss of one or more sources only decreased the delivered light intensity without affecting the distribution pattern, and no diffusers were required that decrease performance. The plastic reflector could easily be fabricated with injection molding techniques. The result was the development of a very efficient optical system that helped to reduce the number of LEDs.

Finally, the team obtained improved thermal management of the light source by using solder to enhance the thermal attachment of the LED packages to an aluminum metal-core board. These metal-core boards were attached to aluminum sheets that acted as both heat sink and the housing envelope. The thermal conductivity was significantly improved and resulted in sources well below a junction temperature of 100°C (212°F). Multiple heat sink designs were developed and some were constructed, but they proved unnecessary with the performance of the present design.

The result was a lightweight LED task lamp that operated at 12 W of LED power and had the distribution and intensity of the 18-W CFL luminaire that it was replacing, demonstrating that a high efficiency task lamp can be constructed with LEDs, using available materials and good optical design.

The results of this program have stimulated Luxo to generate an esthetically improved version of this concept using their award winning Arketto task lamp to house three 3-W (9 W total) LEDs to replace a 40 Watt halogen lamp, providing the same light output and distribution while offering very significant energy savings and vastly improved lamp life to the end user. Luxo intends to market a new product, the Easol task light, based on the Arketto LED lamp.

2.2. Development of Thermal Transport Subsystem

This section describes the results of the exploration of novel heat-sinking technologies for use in LED-integrating fixtures, specifically the desktop task lamp.

As a transition is made from standard 5-millimeter (mm) LED packages to high-power lamps, operating at powers of 1–5 W, the issue of thermal management becomes increasingly vital to the efficiency and lifetime of the diodes. Increased junction temperatures can degrade:

- The wiring used in connecting the LED chip to the package
- The phosphor used in converting the blue or UV light to white emission
- The encapsulant material used in the lens
Furthermore, an increased junction temperature results in both reduced luminous efficiency (particularly for aluminum indium gallium phosphide [AlInGaP] based red and amber LEDs) and a red shifting of the emission wavelength.

It is essential that heat be removed from the LED package. LumiLeds rates the maximum temperature of the junction at 120°C (248°F) and the package at 105°C (221°F). This is typically accomplished by mounting the LED onto a larger, thermally conductive heat-sink. The use of fiber-core polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) is common in surface mounting electronic components, but results in junction temperatures well above the recommended values. In this study, researchers compare standard fiber-core boards to two types of metal-core boards, aluminum and copper, as well as to more novel structures incorporating graphite and carbon wires.

2.2.1. Experimental Setup

The LEDs used in this study were off-the-shelf 1-W white Luxeon packages from LumiLeds. The emission pattern was either batwing or Lambertian, although there should be no effect of that pattern on the thermal characteristics of the device. The packages were then mounted onto the boards using a thermally conductive, yet electrically insulating, silicone joint compound (Ther-o-link 1000, Aavid Thermal Technologies, 0.73 W/meter [m]°C).

To begin analyzing the thermal characteristics of an operating device, a calibration is made of the voltage drop across the LED as a function of ambient temperature. The device is placed in an oven, and 1 milliamp (mA) of current is passed through the diode. The voltage across the junction is measured as the temperature of the oven is varied, allowing for the device to reach an equilibrium temperature at each point. Figure 1 shows the experimental setup used in generating the calibration curves.

To determine junction temperature at an operating current of 300–350 mA, the device is pulsed, with the current in the LED varying between the desired final operating current and 1 mA. The period of the pulse is set at 100 millisecond (msec) with an off-time (I = 1 mA) of 6.8 msec. It is assumed that the off-time is sufficiently short so that no cooling occurs during that interval. The voltage drop across the device at a current of 1 mA is then compared to the calibration curve to backout the operating temperature; a specific voltage drop is correlated to a specific junction temperature. Figure 2 shows a calibration curve for a Luxeon Lambertian package. The schematic of the split-current circuit diagram is shown in Figure 3.
2.2.2. Results

2.2.2.1. Baseline material: fiberglass-core PCB

The most common PCB material is a fiberglass-core board with a copper trace for mounting. Luxeon LEDs were mounted on this board using the Ther-o-link material (Figure 4), and the junction temperature measured in the manner detailed in the previous section for both 50 mA and 300 mA operation.

At 50 mA (92% duty cycle), the voltage was 2.56 V, corresponding to a junction temperature of 40°C (104°F). At 300 mA (92% duty cycle), the voltage difference was 2.44 V, corresponding to a junction temperature of 120°C (248°F). Extrapolating this to 350 mA yields a junction temperature of 136°C (276°F) at that current.
2.2.2.2. **Metal-core PCB: copper (Bergquist Co.)**

The metal-core board consists of a copper trace laid upon a thin insulator layer on top of a thicker metal heatsink. The insulator should be as thermally conductive as possible while providing electrical isolation. The underlying metal core is typically either copper or aluminum. Copper offers a better thermal conductivity (260 W/m°C, versus 173 W/m°C for Al) and a smaller thermal expansion coefficient (18 parts per million [ppm]/°C vs. 24 ppm/°C for Al). The drawback for using Cu is the added weight penalty. Measurement of a Luxeon LED mounted to a copper-core board using the Ther-o-link compound yielded a V of 2.34 V (at 350 mA), corresponding to a junction temperature of 126°C (258°F).

2.2.2.3. **Permlight Board**

Through collaboration with Permlight, LBNL has acquired 1.5x1.5 inch² (in²) boards with a graphite core surrounded by a silicon carbide ceramic substrate impregnated with aluminum (AlSiC). Mounting the LED using the Ther-o-link compound yielded a V of 2.42 V (at 350 mA), corresponding to a junction temperature of 127°C (260°F). However, a problem arose when a Luxeon LED was soldered to a copper pattern on the Permlight board. Upon probing, it became apparent that the circuit was shorted due to the porosity of the insulating silicon dioxide (SiO₂) overcoat.

2.2.2.4. **Additional comparison between Permlight and copper**

A comparison between the Permlight board and a Bergquist copper-core board of similar area showed nearly identical thermal spreading characteristics. Heat was applied to the center of each board by using a soldering iron, and the temperature measured at a corner via thermocouple. During the measurements, each board was placed on a large aluminum block that served as a heatsink. The temperature of the aluminum did not change significantly during the course of the measurement. Figure 5 shows the temperature at the corner as a function of time for the copper-core, Permlight, and fiber-core boards. Additionally, a measurement of the temperature at the center of the board was made at the 5-minute mark. For both the copper-core and Permlight board, the value was 29.4°C (85°F)—the same as at the corner—while for the fiber-core board, the center temperature was higher 33.9°C (93°F) than that of the corner: 31.0°C (87°F).
Given the expense of the Permlight board, its similarity in performance to a copper-core board, and the problem of porosity in the insulating layer, it is unclear whether it is worthwhile to incorporate this material in the final design of the task-lamp.

Analysis of the Permlight thermal management material demonstrated that the material received from Permlight’s vendor had not included the highly conductive graphite material. The material supplied, AlSiC, had the thermal characteristics that would be projected for the standard substrate. This mistake in the materials was not discovered until after the project was completed. It is still expected that AlSiC containing graphite would have thermal properties far superior to metal core boards.

2.2.2.5. Additional materials

Nisvara

Nisvara Inc. offers a potentially better mounting material with its carbon fiber based heat transport system. The company’s IP revolves around both the production of the carbon fiber matrix in a variety of shapes, and the ability to connect to the fibers via a copper interface. Theoretically, the transport system (connecting to a spatially removed thermal ground) is lighter in weight than aluminum, and can conduct four times better than copper. A number of challenges remain for the company to go into full production of these products.

Optimized aluminum package

Measurements were made on a Luxeon Star package, which incorporates an aluminum base. For the Star, a $V$ of 2.49 V (300 mA, 92% duty cycle) was recorded, corresponding to a junction temperature of 80°C (176°F). It should be noted that the thermal conductivity of aluminum is lower than that of copper, and as such one could expect a higher operating junction temperature. However, this value is not surprising, given the use of a poor thermal conductor.
to accomplish the mating between the LED and the board in the preceding experiments. In their package, LumiLeds uses a 0.01-in thick adhesive with a thermal conductivity of around 1 W/m°C. The Ther-o-link (0.73 W/m°C) used in LBNL experiments was approximately 0.5 mm thick (0.02 in). It is likely that a better mounting design—both in terms of material used and thickness—will yield junction temperatures below 80°C (at 300 mA).

2.2.3. Conclusions and suggestions for future work
Based on the measurement shown in Figure 5, copper is clearly the best available choice for the case of non-integrated mounting boards. The Bergquist board shows properties on par with the Permlight board, but at a lower cost and greater (and easier) availability. Measurement of the junction temperature on the different boards confirms this assessment, although it also indicates that the best design requires proper mounting of the LED onto the board, as in the case of the Luxeon Star.

It is quite likely that the resulting high junction temperatures (well above 100°C [212°F] at 350 mA) are due to the Ther-o-link compound used in the mounting. One possible solution is to incorporate a high thermal conductivity epoxy. Tra-Con Inc., for example, produces a diamond epoxy (Supertherm 2003) with a thermal conductivity of 2.57 W/(m°C)—a factor of three higher than that of the Ther-o-link compound—while remaining electrically insulating. However, this material must be kept at −40°C (−104°F) for storage. In the Cree packages, it may be possible to solder the base of the lamp to the board directly (assuming that, unlike in the Luxeon lamp, the base is isolated from the diode). A typical thermal conductivity of solder is around 50 W/m°C, almost two orders of magnitude better than that of Ther-o-link, and 20 times better than that of an epoxy.

2.3. Prototype 1 Task Light
The design of Prototype 1 was based on the low cost commercially available Luxo Ledu CFL desk lamp, shown in Figure 6. The lamp head contains a 13 W compact fluorescent lamp, with a reflector behind it to direct as much of the light towards the task (see Figure 6, inset).

![Figure 6. Luxo Ledu CFL desk lamp. Inset: Close-up of the lamp head and reflector.](image-url)
The unit offers full three-dimensional positioning of the lamp head and a long arm to allow placement of the base out of the way on the desktop surface. The CFL ballast is built into the arm of the lamp, rather than into the base. The 6 x 8-in base is made of plastic and is weighted to give stability. A goniometer was used to measure the far-field angular light output distribution and total luminous output. Figure 7 shows the distribution patterns along the principal axes of symmetry of the lamp head.

![Figure 7. The angular light output of the Luxo CFL desk lamp along the long axis of the lamp (black) and the short axis (red) Notably, the reflector is designed to direct light out in front of the fixture itself, so the fixture will intrude less into the workspace. The total light output from the fixture was 530 lumens, and the fixture draws a total of 15.7 W from the wall plug, giving a total fixture efficiency of 33.7 lpw. The light distribution pattern on the desk surface from this lamp, positioned 16 inches above the desk, is shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8. Light distribution pattern on the desk surface from the Luxo CFL desk lamp. "c" denotes the center of the lamp, which was 16 in above the measurement surface. Each contour line represents 10 footcandles (fc). Peak luminance is 75.5 fc.](image_url)
### 2.3.1. Design of Prototype 1

To convert the CFL fixture to an LED fixture, researchers retained the structure of the original and simply replaced the CFL head with several LEDs on a circuit board and heat sink. The LED ballast was built into the base plate of the fixture in the prototype, rather than in the arm. The resulting design is shown in Figure 9.

![Prototype 1 LED task lamp](image)

**Figure 9. Prototype 1 LED task lamp**

### 2.3.2. LEDs for light generation

The LEDs used in Prototype 1 were 1-W Luxeon emitter packages from LumiLeds. These LEDs give off approximately 25 lm when running at full current (1 W of power in), and they represent the current standard for high power white LEDs in the marketplace. The packages are approximately 1 centimeter (cm) in diameter, with solderable leads on each side and a metal plug in the middle that conducts heat away from the LED die to the surrounding material. The LEDs can be sold with a high- or low-dome casing, which give different spatial light output, either Lambertian (high-dome) or bat-wing (low-dome). The light distribution pattern is available on the LumiLeds website, [www.lumileds.com](http://www.lumileds.com). To equal the total luminous output of the Luxo CFL desk lamp, approximately 20 Luxeon 1-W LEDs are needed. It was decided to lower this number to 10 LEDs, because excessive heat would arise from packing 20 LEDs into such a small area.

### 2.3.3. Output distribution simulations

To approximate the light distribution from the Luxo lamp (Figure 7), both Lambertian and bat-wing style LEDs were used in the construction of Prototype 1. The relative number and orientation of each type were determined by fitting the curves in Figure 7 with a combination of the output curves of the two types of LEDs. The result, shown in Figure 10, requires the use of 7 Lambertian packages and 3 bat-wing packages, placed at an angle of 30 degrees from one another (see Figure 11).
2.3.4. Thermal management of the LEDs

The thermal management of the LEDs is critically important for several reasons. If the LED junctions overheat, the device lifetime will shorten dramatically, and the efficiency will decrease. If the temperature rises enough, the LED will fail altogether. The maximum working junction temperature for a LumiLeds Luxeon emitter is 135°C (275°F). In addition, the fixture temperature must stay below a reasonable level, so that the user is not burned by incidental contact with the lamp head.

Since Prototype 1 was designed to use the current standard technology, researchers mounted the LED emitter packages onto a standard fiberglass-core copper-clad circuit board. The heat sink plug in the emitter package was connected to the board using thermally conductive grease (Ther-o-link 1000 joint compound). These boards were then mounted to aluminum heat sinks using a thermally conductive silicone adhesive (MG chemicals #1032). The resultant LED “lamp” is shown in Figure 11. The LED lamp head, owing mostly to the heat sinks, is significantly heavier than the original plastic Luxo CFL lamp head. However, it was still possible to connect the new lamp head to the original fixture arm, to maintain flexible lamp placement. In a completely new design, the support for the LED lamp head would be stronger. Nonetheless, the prototype design allowed the full range of motion and was relatively stable.
2.3.5. LED Ballast

The ballast used for the LEDs was an Advance Transformer Xitatum series ballast, which supplies a constant 350 mA and up to 12 W of output power. The Advance ballast is more than 90% efficient and is the best available constant current driver for LEDs on the market. The original plan, which called for the ballast in the lamp head with the LEDs, was no longer feasible due to the increased head weight from the heat sinks. The ballast was built into the base in the current design, simply because there was easily available space for the device. It would be a minor modification to include the ballast at the base of the fixture arm, as in the Luxo CFL lamp design, so the fixture base becomes simply a counterweight.

2.3.6. Lamp housing

Because LED light sources produce a good deal of glare, the LED lamp was assembled and placed within a thin aluminum housing. The LED boards with the heat sink mounts were screwed directly into the outer casing, giving additional thermal mass for better heat dissipation. However, convective flow of air through the heat sinks and over the board surface provided most of the cooling of the LED boards. A vent was made in the top surface of the housing, which still allowed the air to flow over the fins of heat sink to give the required convective cooling.

Because of the individual point-source nature of the LED emitters, the light source cast multiple shadows. To avoid this potentially distracting problem, a diffuser was added to the lamp housing. The diffuser was held in place by three clamps, which allowed the diffuser to rest below the LEDs and smooth out the light emitted from the lamp. To prevent the diffuser from completely sealing the lamp head, which would limit the airflow and cause the temperature to rise, small gaps were left between the diffuser and the walls of the lamp housing. The full lamp head is shown in Figure 12.
2.3.7. Analysis of Prototype 1

After final assembly, Prototype 1 was measured for comparison with the Luxo CFL fixture. First, the output distribution was measured with the goniometer. The results of that measurement are shown in Figure 13. According to the researchers, the small dip in the center of the distribution in the axial direction for Prototype 1 is due to the relatively large spacing between the LEDs, which was necessary to keep the heat load down to a manageable level. Further, the transverse distribution of Prototype 1 does not show the same asymmetric profile seen in the Luxo CFL fixture, as was expected from the simulations. This discrepancy is due to constraints placed by the lamp housing. The LEDs were mounted inside the housing each with a 15 degree angle from vertical. In fact, to obtain the asymmetric distribution, the LEDs should have been mounted slightly off center, to achieve the same effect as tilting the lamp head forward by about a 10 degree angle. This could easily be addressed with slight modifications to the lamp housing design.

Figure 13. Goniometer measurements of Prototype 1. The dip in the middle of the distribution appears to be due to the uneven spacing of the LEDs.
In addition, the light distribution pattern on a desk surface from the prototype lamp was measured. These measurements were performed with the lamp head 16 inches above the desk surface. The results are shown in Figure 14. Notice that the distribution falls off more rapidly at the edges than does the distribution of the Luxo CFL lamp (Figure 8). Also, the decrease in intensity just in the center of the distribution appears to be due to the spacing of the individual LED sources, as discussed above. The total luminous output of the prototype was approximately half the output of the Luxo lamp, at 211 lm.

The unit draws 13.3 W from the wall plug, giving a fixture efficiency of 15.9 lm/W, significantly lower than that of the CFL fixture. However, intensity of light sent to the central region of the prototype lamp is nearly as high as that of the Luxo lamp. The CFL lamp gave a maximum of 75 footcandles (fc) on the desk surface at the center of the distribution, whereas the LED prototype gives 60 fc. Therefore, it appears that the prototype lamp is almost as bright in the direct task-lit area, but that light falls off much faster with distance away from the center point.

![Figure 14. Light distribution pattern on the desk surface from the LED prototype desk lamp. “c” denotes the center of the lamp, which was 16 inches above the measurement surface. Each contour line represents 8.12 fc. Peak luminance is 60.3 fc.](image)

Finally, the temperature of the LED prototype lamp was measured, in order to ensure that the LED junctions did not overheat. The air inside the LED housing reached a steady 45°C (113°F) after 30 minutes of operating. The boards on which the LEDs were mounted reached 85°C (185°F) during that same time. Assuming 25°C/W (77°F/W) for the difference between the board and the junction, as estimated by LED experts for the emitter packages, the junction temperature for these LEDs was approximately 110°C (230°F). This is hot, but still below the maximum specified temperature. The outside of the lamp housing became warm to the touch, but not hot, and it definitely would not burn skin or other office material.
2.3.8. Improvements for Prototype2

2.3.8.1. Heat sink choice
Thermal conductivity measurements on a number of mounting boards have indicated that a copper-core PCB allows for greater conduction and heat dissipation than does the fiberglass-core equivalent. As such, the first step in improving on the thermal characteristics of the prototype would be the replacement of the circuit board, as discussed earlier with a metal-core board.

2.3.8.2. LED mounting
The thermal conductivity of the Ther-o-link compound used to mount the LED packages to the PCB board is only 0.73 Watt/m°C. To improve the connection between the LED and the board and to ensure proper heat dissipation, it is recommended that a thermally conductive epoxy be used instead. Tra-Con, Inc., produces a die-attach epoxy that is both thermally conductive (2.57 Watt/m°C, a factor of three improvement over Ther-o-link) and electrically insulating—a necessity when used Luxeon or Cree lamp packages. The disadvantage of this material is the need for storage at −40°C (−104°F) to prevent curing.

2.3.8.3. LED efficacy
The efficacy of the LEDs limited the performance of Prototype 1 and consequently increased the thermal management requirements of the system. The efficacy can be increased by improving the following components of the LED assembly:

- Optics for the reflector surrounding the die
- Extraction efficiency from the die into the encapsulant
- Quantum efficiency of the phosphors
- Quantum efficiency of the die

2.3.8.4. Optics
As discussed earlier, the light distribution still exhibited some of the effects of using discreet point sources, such as multiple shadows. Although using a diffuser alleviates some of these problems, the result can be a loss in light output. An alternative approach is the design of non-imaging optics that can be used over each separate LED, or over the aggregate, to direct and mix the light to produce the desired desktop pattern. Ultimately, this would result in a luminaire design that is truly tailored around LEDs.

2.4. Prototype 2 Task Light
Using the lessons learned in the development of the Prototype1 task lamp, each of the four improvement needs listed above were addressed in the development of the Prototype 2 LED task lamp. The problem of developing an energy efficient LED task lamp was made even more challenging by the selection of a high performance CFL task lamp with an asymmetric light distribution as the model that was to be replicated.
The design of the LED task lamp was based upon the photometric performance of the Luxo 01A Vision asymmetric task luminaire (Figure 15).

![LED task lamp with two rows of five lamps each. Each row can rotate around its axis.](image)

The intent of the design was to maintain the existing illuminance pattern of this luminaire on the workplane, while replacing the compact fluorescent lamp with LEDs as the light source.

### 2.4.1. Design of advanced LED subsystem

During the course of developing their XLamp package, Cree Lighting sent LBNL a number of unencapsulated XB900 chips, wire-bonded and centered in a reflector cup. The goal of this study was to develop a method for mixing a cerium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet (Ce:YAG) phosphor into a silicone encapsulant, and dispense the mixture into the reflector such that the final emission was both white and Lambertian. This report will describe the methodology for the encapsulation process, as well as detail some of the results.

The Ce:YAG phosphor used was provided by Cree Lighting. The two-part (1:1) silicone encapsulant (OE4000) was provided by Dow Corning as their highest refractive index (nanometer = 1.466 at 632 non-liquid-upon-curing material). It has been indicated that Dow Corning will eventually have a non-liquid encapsulant with nanometer = 1.52.

The process is as follows:

- Mix phosphor (at given weight percent) into OE4000
- Ultrasonic for 5 minutes
- Remove trapped air bubbles by placing mixture in vacuum for 60 minutes (approximately)
- Place drop of mixture in reflector cup (researchers did not have accurate control of the amount; use of a micropipette would provide the necessary control)
- Pull on the LED in vacuum for 10 minutes (again, to remove trapped air bubbles)
- Cure encapsulant at 80°C (176°F) for a minimum of 2 hours
Figure 16 shows the angular intensity distribution of an unencapsulated XB900 die in a reflector at 90 degrees, as compared to the typical distribution for an encapsulated die. Although the shape of the phosphor/silicone meniscus varied as a result of the size of the mixture drop that was placed in the reflector, the intensity distribution was relatively insensitive to the final curvature.

LBNL tested mixtures with varying percentages (10%, 7.5%, 5%, and 2.5%) of the Ce:YAG phosphor by weight to OE4000 for color temperature. Both the 5% and 2.5% mixtures resulted in bluish emission off the Planckian curve. For the 7.5% blend, the color temperature was approximately 4700K, with a color rendering index (CRI) of 65. For 10%, the temperature averaged 4300K, and the CRI was 66. Figure 17 shows the variation in CRI and correlated color temperature (CCT) as a function of angle for a XB900 die encapsulated in a 10% by weight phosphor mixture.

Researchers also measured encapsulated dies provided by Cree Lighting, both with and without a polycarbonate lens. Figure 18 shows the goniometer data for the Cree LEDs; Figure 19 shows the variation in CCT and CRI with angle.

During this development phase, LBNL was in continuous communication with the Cree development group in Santa Barbara, California, who were concurrently developing encapsulated die in a standard format, without focusing micro-optics. In the final prototype, this standard format product with a Lambertian distribution was used. This decision was motivated by the desired to use materials that would be available in the market within a short period of time. Since there was little optical control of the new Cree source, the optics would be controlled by the development of an efficient asymmetric reflector. The color temperature of the Cree light source (≥ 5500 K) was higher than desired, but efficacy of the source, 30–35 lm/W, was significantly higher than that of the LEDs used in Prototype1.
CCT and CRI as a function of off-axis angle

Figure 17. CCT and CRI uniformity for 10% phosphor encapsulation

Angular distribution of relative luminous intensity (%)

Figure 18. Angular distribution for the XB900 chip in a reflector; with lens (red) and phosphor only (black)

CCT and CRI as a function of off-axis angle (CREE)

Figure 19. CCT and CRI uniformity for LEDs provided by Cree Lighting. ‘Full’ indicates phosphor plus polycarbonate lens
2.4.2. Task lamp design

The LED task luminaire designed by LBNL contains 10 LED lamp units, in two rows of 5 lamps each, as shown in Figure 20. This figure is a three-dimensional simulation of the lamp reflector viewed looking up into the reflector cups; the small black dot indicates where the LED would be located. Each row of lamps is able to rotate ± 10 degrees around the central axis of the reflector, and the two rows rotate simultaneously. Figure 21 demonstrates the two extremes of the rotation, looking at the end of the rows.

Figure 20. LED task lamp with two rows of five lamps each. Each row can rotate around its axis.

Figure 21. Inward and outward rotation of LEDs around the central axis

The design of the reflector cups was developed using a ray tracing simulation program, TracePro, with the intent of simulating the illuminance pattern of the Luxo 01A Vision asymmetric task luminaire (Figure 15). The illuminance pattern was not segmented into different areas for the separate LEDs, but rather each reflector replicated the entire distribution
pattern. Hence, the reflectors have a cumulative effect in raising the overall illuminance within the pattern, and the failure of one source will not be disruptive to that distribution pattern.

The design of the reflector cups assumed a point source with a Lambertian distribution. Measurements in the far field of the LEDs being used verified this assumption. The calculations also assumed that each source would have an efficacy of 25 lpw and that the reflector was 90% efficient. The resultant simulations indicate that 10 1-W LEDs in separate reflectors would provide the same illuminance as the 18 W CFL in the Luxo 01A Vision task lamp. Each reflector has the dimension of 46 X 32 X 22 millimeters (mm) (Law).

Once the simulation program generated a solid model of the reflector cup having the desired optical properties, the information was transferred to fabrication equipment. The prototype reflector pieces for the task lamp were fabricated by a stereo-lithography (SLA) process and modified to be able to take the new Cree XLamp prototype mounted on a heat sink (Figure 22).

Two different types of coatings were applied to the reflector surfaces to test the output with both specular and diffuse reflectance. In case of the specular coating (Figure 23), the surface of the reflector was smoothed by the application of two very thin ultraviolet (UV)-cured epoxy layers prior to aluminizing the surface. No treatment was applied to the other reflector before application of the diffuse white paint coating (Figure 24).
2.4.3. Results of testing and simulations

Testing performed on the SLA reflectors measured the spatial intensity distribution pattern relative to the simulated reflector. Figure 25 compares the measured values for the specular reflector to the simulated values for the centerline distribution.
The simulated distribution has a broader distribution with a maximum value further from the optical axis of the source, the “0” set point, than does the measured distribution. The difference in the observed and simulated distributions may be attributed to several factors:

- The simulation assumed a point source. Given the size of the source and its close proximity of the source to the reflector walls, this assumption is not entirely valid, introducing some error into the simulation.

- The surfaces of the reflector were not as smooth as those used in the simulations. This is visible in the photographs of both reflector surfaces (Figures 23 and 24), being more pronounced in the white diffuse reflector where a smoothing epoxy was not used.

- The accuracy of the dimensional replication of the solid model is limited by the SLA prototyping process and hence limits the development of exact replicas of the simulated reflectors.

![Figure 25](image_url)

**Figure 25. Specular reflector: simulated and measured spatial output**

Figure 25 represents the results of a single reflector. Because the five reflectors are aligned in a row, the resultant distribution will be broader, as is the simulation distribution, because of the spatial separation of the sources.
Figure 26 compares the distribution of the specular reflector to the reflector using a diffuse white paint. The paint used was not designed for this application and has a lower reflectance than may be anticipated for commercial products designed for luminaires. The maximum of the diffuse coating is shifted further toward the optical axis, demonstrating that the reflector is directing less of the light than is the specular surface. The width of the distribution curve of the diffuse white coating at full width half maximum is much broader than that of the specular reflector and equals that generated for the simulated reflector. It may be possible to combine these two effects by having a reflector cup with the specular portion near the source and the diffuse reflector at the outer edges to realize a distribution closer to the simulated design.

Simulations of the spatial distributions were also calculated for the 10-LED luminaire at a source height of 400 mm with the two rows of reflectors in different orientations. These simulations demonstrate the change in distribution and intensity that would be realized by this rotational dimension of flexibility. Figures 27, 28, and 29 provide the distribution pattern as the rows are rotated from 8 degrees inward, to 0 degrees rotation and to 8 degrees outward, respectively. If the rows are turned inward, the hot spot illuminance level increases, while the illuminated area decreases, as shown in Figure 27. Figure 28 represents the distribution pattern for the reflectors normal to the illuminated surface, generating a pattern similar to the Luxo luminaire to which the prototype was designed. The outward rotation of the rows of reflectors facilitates the illumination of a larger area and consequently decreases the overall illuminance level (Figure 29). Relative to the light intensity, a dimmable driver will also provide an additional element of flexibility in control of the light intensity and in comfort for the end user.
Figure 27. Simulated output showing how turning the reflector rows inward increases illuminance levels

Figure 28. Simulated output showing illuminance levels when the sources are normal to the illuminated surface

Figure 29. Simulated output showing how rotation of the reflector rows outward allows a larger area to be illuminated
In conclusion, the results shown above for the prototype reflectors are the culmination of multiple runs of designs modified to reach a distribution that simulates the design criteria for the lamp. Minor modifications may be made to the existing reflector cup design, but further effort will be focused on developing the circuit board layout, integration of the heat sink into an esthetically pleasing design, integrating the LED driver into the design, and assembly of a prototype lamp.

Using the attributes of the LED source, LBNL will produce the same distribution and output as a singular 18-W CFL with 10 1-W LEDs. Since both use electronic ballasts with comparable efficiency, having a power loss of about 15% of the power of the sources, the resulting energy use would be:

LED Luminaire: \( (10 \times 1\) W: LEDs) + (10W X .15: ballast) = 11.5 W
CFL Luminaire: \( (1 \times 18\) W: CFL) + (18W X .15: ballast) = 20.7 W

This assumes that the light distribution and intensity given in the Luxo product literature accurately represents product performance. The second assumption is that researchers can make all of the LED reflectors as efficient as the one silvered prototype made to date. This would be no problem for a manufacturer, but LBNL’s prototype tooling is not as reproducible as a production process. However, researchers believe that the project objectives are obtainable since the designs are based upon a 25 lpw source, and they should have sources with significantly higher efficacies.

2.4.4. Design of the LED cooling structure for the prototype task lamp

It will be necessary to attach coiling fins onto the side of the two LED light bars that form LEDs to ensure their optimum performance. Each light bar is composed of five individual reflectors housing a single 1-W LED. The top of the light bars will be an aluminum core circuit board that supports the electrical connection to the LEDs and provides the conductive thermal path to the aluminum fins, which are convectively cooled by airflow up through the fins.
To follow are seven designs (shown in Figure 31) being considered for the head of the task lamp:

- **Arrangement 1**: This design is the simplest application of fins, which are attached directly to the side of the light bars.

- **Arrangement 2**: This design reduces the fin surface area by shaping the fins in a triangle that blends with the top of the lamp.

- **Arrangement 3**: In this design, a contour is added to the fins to give a softer appearance.

- **Arrangement 4**: This design adds more dynamics to the shape, blending the fins to both the ends and the top of the light bar.

- **Arrangement 5**: This design combines the elements of arrangement 2 with a safety strip added to the ends of the triangle to reduce any sharp edges.

- **Arrangement 6**: This design combines the elements of arrangement 4 with an added safety strip. The safety strip could be made of colored plastic or metal to further the visual dynamics.

- **Arrangement 7**: This design is a more robust version of arrangement 6.

**Task Lamp Cooling Flange Designs**

![Arrangement #1](image1)
![Arrangement #2](image2)
Figure 31. Alternative designs for the LED cooling structure
Photo comparisons of CFL and LED configurations

Figure 32 below provides a number of photos that compare various aspects of the CFL and the LED prototype.
Figure 32. Various comparison photos of the CFL and the LED prototype
3.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

3.1. Recommendations

This project demonstrated the first LED task light to meet the light performance characteristics of a compact fluorescent luminaire while consuming less than 75% of the energy. Despite this significant success, the project also identified the limitations of the technology and where further product development would accelerate the commercial acceptance and functionality of the technology. As stated in the initial focus of the study, there is demand for materials that provide thermal management for LED technologies. Although current technology met the demands of the 10-W system utilized in this program, materials with higher thermal conductivity will be needed for higher power LED light sources. Programs that focus on developing cost-effective materials that can provide higher thermal conductivity would benefit not only this application, but all LED applications for lighting.

Color performance will continue to be a critical element for the acceptance of LED technology. Strides to improve color performance have recently been made, and manufacturers are producing sources with higher CRI and lower color temperatures. One unique approach that provides an alternative to improvements in existing phosphor technology calls for incorporating complementary red LEDs with the white LED primary. This combination of sources greatly improves the CRI, and varying the intensity of the red LED has the effect of changing the color temperature, allowing the end user to select the value desired. A recommendation would be to further explore this technology beyond the current prototype stage.

Prototype 2 and the Luxo Arketto lamp both lack any control of the luminous intensity of the source. The control of LEDs is simpler than that of other light sources, and the development of cost-effective power sources that control one or more types of LEDs would provide greater functionality and energy savings for luminaires using this technology.

Finally, the optics used in Prototype 2 were developed specifically to allow the application to meet a specific light distribution. Further development should be supported of non-imaging optics that are highly efficient and can provide a more focused beam from the LED light sources. Non-imaging optics can provide general improvement in the overall efficiency of all LED sources and can be generally applied to all lighting requirements.

3.2. Commercialization Potential

Taking the next step upon the conclusion of this project, Luxo has adapted the technology and incorporated it into the Arketto lamp. Luxo intends to market a new product, the Esol task light, based on the Arketto LED lamp. Luxo has been marketing the concept lamp throughout the United States. The lamp has received great attention from segments of the service community, such as 911 call centers, that operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. These facilities are seeking energy efficient products with longer lamp life to replace the halogen and fluorescent task lights currently used.
The high design aspect of the Arketto lamp has been enthusiastically received in the design community and is being considered as a task lamp for the New York Times building being constructed in Times Square.

The technology in general has great commercial potential. While Luxo sells high-end product lines into the commercial market, this technology could be adapted for availability in lower cost product lines. Support by the utilities in California through the Emerging Technology programs would provide wider exposure to the lighting community. A rebate subsidy would also accelerate the adoption of the technology by other manufacturers and the subsequent penetration of the technology in the market. Therefore, programs with the utilities would significantly improve the opportunity for commercialization of the product and, at the same time, encourage other manufacturers to provide competitive product.

3.3. Benefits to California
This product provides an energy efficient solution to task lighting. Luxo has taken advantage of the form factor of the small source size to significantly reduce the space requirements necessary for the luminaire. This results in a task light that is much less intrusive in the office environment, increasing the acceptance of the task light in the commercial office space. The technology can easily incorporate light control increasing the benefit to the end user and consequently further reducing the energy requirements of the space. In the case of Luxo Arketto lamp, a 40-W halogen lamp is replaced by 9 W of LEDs, realizing a true energy benefit with the incorporation of this technology. The general adoption of task lighting greatly benefits California by reducing the need of ambient electric lighting to provide lighting levels appropriate for all tasks. Therefore, the potential to reduce the electric load for lighting by the application of a user friendly task-ambient lighting system would be a significant benefit for commercial office spaces in California.

The technologies employed in this program have a strong basis in the industries of California. The LEDs used in Prototype 2 were developed by Cree Lighting in Santa Barbara and the LEDs being used by Luxo were developed by Lumileds in San Jose. The secondary optics used by Luxo will be manufactured in Santa Rosa. Hence, this product incorporates technologies that were developed in California and that will be marketed throughout the United States, having a positive impact on the creation revenues for California companies and the creation of jobs within the state.

3.4. Conclusions
Prototype 2 clearly demonstrated that LEDs have reached the level of performance that will allow their incorporation into lighting products for general lighting applications. This prototype also demonstrated that the technology can compete on an energy efficient basis, and the subsequent commercialization by Luxo illustrates that the technology can also compete on a cost competitive basis.

This project demonstrated that the benefits of LED lighting should not be weighed entirely upon the efficacy (lpw) rating of the source, but rather on efficiency of the lighting system to
deliver light to the application. In this project, an 18-W CFL was replaced by 10 W of LED lighting, and the luminaire manufacturer has commercialized the product into a current fixture design by replacing a 40-W halogen lamp with 9 W of LEDs. In both cases, the same illuminance on the work plane is provided in a comparable light distribution pattern. Hence, LEDs have reached the point where they can be considered appropriate for general lighting applications.

Further, heat management, color quality, cost-effective control of intensity, and improved optical control remain critical issues that, when addressed, will allow higher power levels and the wider implementation of the technology to more lighting applications.

Programs to accelerate the knowledge and acceptance of this program could be facilitated through the emerging technology and rebate programs of California utilities.
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5.0 Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>aluminum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlInGaP</td>
<td>aluminum indium gallium phosphide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlSiC</td>
<td>aluminum silicon carbide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT</td>
<td>correlated color temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce:YAG</td>
<td>cerium-doped yytrium aluminum garnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFL</td>
<td>compact fluorescent lamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cm</td>
<td>centimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRI</td>
<td>color rendering index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Commission</td>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fc</td>
<td>footcandle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in</td>
<td>inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBNL</td>
<td>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED</td>
<td>light-emitting diode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lm</td>
<td>lumen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lpw</td>
<td>lumens per watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mA</td>
<td>milliamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>millimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>msec</td>
<td>millisecond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIER</td>
<td>Public Interest Energy Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCBs</td>
<td>polychlorinated biphenyls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>parts per million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD&amp;D</td>
<td>research, development, and deployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>silicon dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLA</td>
<td>stereo-lithography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>volt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>watt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>