





## California Energy Commission June 11, 2025 Business Meeting Backup Materials for Agenda Item No: 03c 2025 Energy Code Solar Photovoltaic and Battery Storage Cost-Effectiveness Determination for Trinity Public Utilities District

The following backup materials for the above-referenced agenda item are available as described below:

- 1. Proposed Order, attached below.
- Recommendation of the Executive Director on 2025 Energy Code Solar Photovoltaic and Battery Storage Cost-Effectiveness Determination for Trinity Public Utilities District, attached below.
- 3. CEQA analysis, attached below.

For the complete record, please visit: <u>California Energy Commission Docket Log 25-BSTD-02</u> at https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=25-BSTD-02.

To stay informed about this project and receive documents as they are filed, please subscribe to the Building Energy Efficiency Standards proceeding Topic, which can be accessed here: <a href="California Natural Resources Agency (govdelivery.com">California Natural Resources Agency (govdelivery.com</a>) at https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/CNRA/signup/31895. The Topic sends out email notifications and direct links when documents are filed in the proceeding docket.

ORDER NO: 25-0611-03c

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## STATE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

2025 Energy Code Solar Photovoltaic & Battery Energy Storage System Exemption Determination for Trinity Public Utilities District

Docket No.: 25-BSTD-02

PROPOSED ORDER DETERMINING
THAT 2025 ENERGY CODE SOLAR
PHOTOVOLTAIC & BATTERY
STORAGE REQUIREMENTS DO NOT
APPLY TO TRINITY PUBLIC
UTILITIES DISTRICT'S SERVICE
AREA

## I. INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On September 11, 2024, the California Energy Commission (CEC) adopted the 2025 Energy Code, contained in the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 1, Chapter 10, and Part 6, which includes solar photovoltaic (PV) requirements for newly constructed single-family buildings (Section 150.1(c)14) and low-rise multifamily buildings (Section 170.2(f)), and solar PV and battery energy storage system (BESS) requirements in nonresidential buildings (Sections 140.10(a), 140.10(b)), and high-rise multifamily buildings (Sections 170.2(g), 170.2(h)). High-rise multifamily buildings are multifamily buildings that have four or more habitable stories. These requirements, along with the rest of the 2025 Energy Code, go into effect January 1, 2026.

Section 10-109(k) of the 2025 Energy Code states, "The Commission may, upon written application or its own motion, determine that the photovoltaic or BESS requirements ... shall not apply, if the Commission finds that the implementation of public agency rules regarding utility system costs and revenue requirements, compensation for customerowned generation, interconnection fees, or other factors, causes the Commission's cost effectiveness conclusions, made pursuant to Public Resources Code 25402(b)(3), to not hold for particular buildings ... In cases where conditions have changed that potentially would alter Energy Commission determinations that previously have been made, the Energy Commission may reconsider those determinations on its own motion."

On June 10, 2022, Trinity Public Utilities District (PUD) submitted an application to the CEC for a determination whether the solar PV system requirements of the 2022 Energy Code, which went into effect January 1, 2023, should apply to newly constructed single-family and low-rise multifamily buildings in its service area. The CEC found that Trinity PUD's rules regarding residential rates, compensation, and charges for customer-

owned generation caused the CEC's 2022 Energy Code cost-effectiveness conclusions to not hold for these building types in Trinity PUD's service area. The CEC determined at the February 15, 2023, business meeting that the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements do not apply to newly constructed single-family and low-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.

On November 21, 2022, Trinity PUD also submitted an application to the CEC for a determination whether the solar PV system and battery energy storage system requirements of the 2022 Energy Code should apply to newly constructed nonresidential and high-rise multifamily residential buildings in its service area. The CEC found that Trinity PUD's rules regarding nonresidential rates, compensation and charges for customer-owned generation caused the CEC's 2022 Energy Code cost-effectiveness conclusions to not hold for these building types in Trinity PUD's service area. The CEC determined at the September 13, 2023, business meeting that the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage system requirements do not apply to newly constructed nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.

Since the CEC made these determinations, Trinity PUD has revised its residential and nonresidential rates, as well as compensation and participation charges for customerowned generation. On its own motion, pursuant to Section 10-109(k) of the 2025 Energy Code, CEC staff (staff) has completed a cost-effectiveness analysis of the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements based on Trinity PUD's revised rates, compensation and charges for customer-owned generation finding that the CEC's cost-effectiveness conclusions for the 2025 Energy Code do not hold for newly constructed single-family, nonresidential, low-rise multifamily, and high rise multifamily buildings in the Trinity PUD service area.

The 2025 Energy Code requires that newly constructed nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings required to have a solar PV system must also have a battery energy storage system. For buildings where staff's analysis does not find solar PV systems to be cost effective in Trinity PUD's service area, the 2025 Energy Code cost-effectiveness conclusions regarding battery energy storage systems would also not hold. The benefits of combined solar PV and battery energy storage systems would not occur and the cost effectiveness of the combination could not be shown.

Staff also notes that Trinity PUD does not offer either a program where solar PV generation is compensated through virtual energy bill credits, or a community solar program. Therefore, staff finds that high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD meet Exception 5 to Section 170.2(g) of the high-rise multifamily solar PV requirements in the 2025 Energy Code.

The CEC considered the Executive Director's Recommendation at its June 11, 2025, Business Meeting.

## **II. CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION FINDINGS**

Based on the entirety of the record, the CEC finds that:

- 1) On its own motion, pursuant to Section 10-109(k), staff prepared a costeffectiveness analysis of the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage requirements based on Trinity PUD's revised rates, compensation and charges for customer-owned generation.
- 2) Staff's analysis meets the requirements in Section 10-109(k) of the 2025 Energy Code.
- 3) On May 13, 2025, the CEC provided a copy of staff's cost-effectiveness analysis of the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage requirements for newly constructed buildings in Trinity PUD's service area to interested persons, provided an opportunity for public comment for 10 days, and considered all public comments received in developing the Executive Director's recommendation.
- 4) On May 27, 2025, the CEC provided an updated copy of the staff analysis that corrected a minor error as it was published on May 13, 2025.
- 5) The Executive Director reviewed the staff analysis and, on May 30, 2025, submitted a recommendation to the CEC to determine that the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage system requirements do not apply to newly constructed single-family, nonresidential, low-rise multifamily and high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.
- 6) The Executive Director reviewed staff's analysis and conclusion that the action is not a project, as defined, under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) or, in the alternative, if it is a project, it is exempt from CEQA pursuant to the common-sense exemption and recommends the CEC confirm this determination.
- 7) The Executive Director also recommends that the CEC direct staff to, on its own motion, reconsider the cost-effectiveness determinations for Trinity PUD's service area in each future code cycle.
- 8) The CEC has considered the Executive Director's recommendation and all relevant information regarding staff's analysis and finds that the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage system requirements do not apply to newly constructed single-family, nonresidential, low-rise multifamily and high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.

## III. CONCLUSION AND ORDER

The CEC has considered staff's analysis, the Executive Director's recommendation, all written comments submitted, oral comments made at today's business meeting, and CEC staff's responses to all comments on this matter.

Therefore, the CEC concludes the following in accordance with Sections 10-109(k) of the 2025 Energy Code:

- Trinity PUD revised rates, compensation, and charges for customer owned hydro-electric generation result in the Commission's solar PV and BESS cost effectiveness conclusions in the 2025 Energy Code to not hold for newly constructed buildings in the Trinity PUD service area.
- 2) The 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage system requirements do not apply to newly constructed single-family, nonresidential, lowrise multifamily and high-rise multifamily buildings in the Trinity PUD's service area.
- 3) The CEC directs staff to, on its own motion, reconsider the cost-effectiveness determinations for Trinity PUD's service area in each future code cycle.
- 4) The CEC delegates the authority and directs CEC staff to take, on behalf of the CEC, all actions reasonably necessary to carry out the above direction.
- Approval of this determination is not a project, as defined, subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and, alternatively, if it is a project, it is exempt pursuant to the common sense exemption under section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines; and
- 6) Any interested person may obtain a copy of the staff report by accessing TN# 263394 at CEC Docket Log 25-BSTD-02 at https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=263394&DocumentContentId =100004.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

## **CERTIFICATION**

The undersigned Secretariat to the CEC does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an order duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the CEC held on June 11, 2025.

AYE: NAY: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:		
	Dated:	
	Secretariat	







## **MEMORANDUM**

TO: CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

**FROM:** DREW BOHAN

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** 

**CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION** 

715 P STREET

**SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814** 

**SUBJECT:** CEC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RECOMMENDATION TO DETERMINE THAT 2025 ENERGY CODE

SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC AND BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS DO NOT

APPLY TO TRINITY PUBLIC UTILITIES DISTRICT'S SERVICE AREA

**DATE:** MAY 30, 2025

## **BACKGROUND**

On September 11, 2024, the California Energy Commission (CEC) adopted the 2025 Energy Code, contained in the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 1, Chapter 10, and Part 6, which includes solar photovoltaic (PV) requirements for newly constructed single-family buildings (Section 150.1(c)14) and low-rise multifamily buildings (Section 170.2(f)), and solar PV requirements and battery energy storage system (BESS) requirements for newly constructed nonresidential buildings (Sections 140.10(a), 140.10(b)), and high-rise multifamily buildings (Sections 170.2(g), 170.2(h)). High-rise multifamily buildings are multifamily buildings that have four or more habitable stories. These requirements, along with the rest of the 2025 Energy Code, go into effect January 1, 2026.

Section 10-109(k) of the 2025 Energy Code states, "The Commission may, upon written application or its own motion, determine that the photovoltaic or battery storage requirements ... shall not apply, if the Commission finds that the implementation of public agency rules regarding utility system costs and revenue requirements, compensation for customer-owned generation, interconnection fees, or other factors, causes the Commission's cost effectiveness conclusions, made pursuant to Public Resources Code 25402(b)(3), to not hold for particular buildings ... In cases where conditions have changed that potentially would alter Energy Commission determinations that previously have been made, the Energy Commission may reconsider those determinations on its own motion."

On June 10, 2022, Trinity Public Utilities District (PUD) submitted an application to the CEC for a determination whether the solar PV system requirements of the 2022 Energy Code, which went into effect January 1, 2023, should apply to newly constructed single-family and low-rise multifamily buildings in its service area. The CEC

found that Trinity PUD's rules regarding residential rates, compensation, and charges for customer-owned generation caused the CEC's cost-effectiveness conclusions to not hold for these building types in Trinity PUD's service area. The CEC determined at the February 15, 2023, business meeting that the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements do not apply to newly constructed single-family and low-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.

On November 21, 2022, Trinity PUD also submitted an application to the CEC for a determination whether the solar PV system and battery energy storage system requirements of the 2022 Energy Code should apply to newly constructed nonresidential and high-rise multifamily residential buildings in its service area. The CEC found that Trinity PUD's rules regarding nonresidential rates, compensation and charges for customer-owned generation caused the CEC's cost-effectiveness conclusions to not hold for these building types in Trinity PUD's service area. The CEC determined at the September 13, 2023, business meeting that the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements do not apply to newly constructed nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.

Since the CEC made these determinations, Trinity PUD has revised its residential and nonresidential rates, as well as compensation and participation charges for customer-owned generation. On its own motion pursuant to Section 10-109(k) of the 2025 Energy Code, CEC staff (staff) has completed a cost-effectiveness analysis of the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements based on Trinity PUD's revised rates, compensation and charges for customer-owned generation finding that the CEC's cost-effectiveness conclusions for the 2025 Energy Code do not hold for newly constructed single-family, nonresidential, low-rise multifamily, and high rise multifamily buildings in the Trinity PUD service area.

The 2025 Energy Code also requires that newly constructed nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings required to have a solar PV system also have a battery energy storage system. Where staff's analysis does not find solar PV systems to be cost effective in Trinity PUD's service area, the 2025 Energy Code cost-effectiveness conclusions regarding battery energy storage systems would also not hold. The benefits of combined solar PV and battery energy storage systems would not occur and the cost effectiveness of the combination could not be shown.

Staff also notes that Trinity PUD does not offer either a program where solar PV generation is compensated through virtual energy bill credits, or a community solar program. Therefore, staff finds that high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD meet Exception 5 to Section 170.2(g) of the high-rise multifamily solar PV requirements in the 2025 Energy Code.

## **SEQUENCE OF EVALUATION**

- On its own motion pursuant to Section 10-109(k), staff prepared a cost-effectiveness analysis finding that applying the Trinity PUD's current residential and nonresidential rates, compensation, and charges for customer-owned generation to the cost-effectiveness analysis for the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements results in the requirements not being cost-effective for all newly constructed building types within the Trinity PUD service area. The analysis shows that the energy cost savings generated from meeting the solar photovoltaic requirements (the benefits) are lower than the cost of the solar photovoltaic system (the costs), resulting in a benefit-to-cost ratio of less than 1.0., see Staff Report titled "2025 Energy Code Solar Photovoltaic Cost-Effectiveness Analysis for Trinity Public Utilities District," attached as Appendix A.
- On May 13, 2025, the CEC provided a copy of staff's cost-effectiveness analysis to interested persons and provided an opportunity for public comment for 10 days. A public notice was posted to docket

- number <u>25-BSTD-02</u>. The notice provided an opportunity for public comment, and any comments received by May 27, 2025, were considered. No comments were received.
- On May 27, 2025, the CEC provided an updated copy of the staff analysis that corrected a minor error as it was published on May 13, 2025.

### **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

Pursuant to the 2025 Energy Code, section 10-109, I recommend the CEC determine that the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage system requirements do not apply to newly constructed single-family, nonresidential, low-rise multifamily and high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.

Drew Bohan Executive Director

California Energy Commission

Date: May 30, 2025

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Available at https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=25-BSTD-02

**Date**: May 27, 2025

## Me moran dum

To: California Energy Commission

From: Muhammad Saeed

Senior Electrical Engineer

**Efficiency Division** 

California Energy Commission

715 P Street

Sacramento, California 95814

**Subject:** Basis for Determination that exempting the Trinity Public Utilities District's service area from the 2025 Energy Code Solar PV and Battery Energy Storage System Requirements is either not a Project for Purposes of, or is Exempt under, the California Environmental Quality Act.

## I. CEQA.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub. Resources Code, § 21000 et seq.; see also CEQA Guidelines, Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15000 et seq.) requires that state agencies consider the environmental impact of their discretionary decisions. However, discretionary approvals that do not fit the definition of a "project" are not subject to CEQA. Additionally, CEQA designates certain projects exempt from its requirements. Of relevance here, and discussed further below, is the definition of a project (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15378) and the common sense exemption (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, §15061(b)(3)).

## II. The CEC's 2025 Building Energy Efficiency Standards

On September 11, 2024, the California Energy Commission (CEC) adopted the 2025 Building Energy Efficiency Standards (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 1, Chapter 10, and Part 6; also known as the California Energy Code), which will go into effect January 1, 2026. The 2025 Energy Code requires the installation of solar photovoltaic (PV) systems for newly constructed single-family buildings (Section 150.1(c)14), nonresidential buildings (Section 140.10(a)), low-rise multifamily buildings (Section 170.2(f)), and high-rise multifamily buildings (Section 170.2(g)) and battery energy storage systems (BESS) for nonresidential buildings (Section 140.10(b)) and high-rise multifamily buildings (Section 170.2(h)).

Title 24, part 1, section 10-109(k), Photovoltaic System Requirement Determination, states, "The Commission may, upon written application or its own motion, determine that the photovoltaic requirements or BESS requirements... shall not apply, if the Commission finds that the implementation of public agency rules regarding utility system costs and revenue requirements, compensation for customer-owned generation, interconnection fees, or other factors, causes the Commission's cost-effectiveness conclusions, made pursuant to Public

Resources Code 25402(b)(3), to not hold for particular buildings... In cases where conditions have changed that potentially would alter Energy Commission determinations that previously have been made, the Energy Commission may reconsider those determinations on its own motion"

## III. The Proposed Action

The CEC Executive Director recommends that the CEC, on its own motion, approve CEC's staff (staff) determination, pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 1, Section 10-109(k). The commission previously approved the Trinity Public Utilities District's (TPUD) 10-109(k) applications for both the 2019 and 2022 Energy Code cycles determining that solar PV requirements did not apply to newly constructed buildings within the TPUD service area. Staff have provided an updated cost effectiveness analysis for the 2025 Energy Code cycle, incorporating the TPUD's revised rates, compensation, and charges for customer-owned hydroelectric generation, and concluded that the CEC's 2025 Energy Code solar PV and BESS cost effectiveness conclusions continue to not hold for any newly constructed buildings within the TPUD service area. Thus, recommending a CEC determination that the 2025 Energy Code solar PV and BESS requirements do not apply to newly constructed single-family, nonresidential, low-rise multifamily and high-rise multifamily buildings within the TPUD's service area.

## IV. The proposed determination is not a project within the meaning of CEQA.

A "project" is defined as the "whole of an action, which has a potential for resulting in either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment..." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15378(a).) The CEC has considered the application of CEQA to this proposed determination and find that the approval of the Executive Director's recommendation does not meet CEQA's definition of a "project" as it is not an activity that may cause a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change to the environment.

The only two possible outcomes of this action are either (1) denying the Executive Director's recommendation, which would require newly constructed buildings within the TPUD service area to comply with the 2025 Energy Code solar PV and BEES standards, or (2) approving the recommendation, which would result in solar PV systems and BESS not being required for any newly constructed buildings within the TPUD's service area for the 2025 Energy Code. Neither potential outcome of this decision has the possibility of causing a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change to the environment. Outcome (1) results in newly constructed buildings having PV and BESS, which provides renewable energy. Outcome (2) results in newly constructed buildings being supplied power by existing hydropower, also a renewable energy. TPUD supplies 100% renewable hydropower to its customers.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, no matter the outcome, the proposed determination is not a "project" within the meaning of Section 15378. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15378(a).)

Trinity PUD Trinity Dam Renewable Energy, Hydroelectricity

## V. Even if the proposed determination is a project under CEQA, it is subject to the Common Sense Exemption.

If it is found that the proposed determination is a "project" within the meaning of Section 15378, the proposed determination would still be exempt from CEQA under the so-called common-sense exemption. CEQA only applies to projects that have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15061(b)(3).) A "significant effect on the environment" is defined as a substantial, or a potentially substantial, adverse change in the environment, and does not include an economic change by itself. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21068; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15382.) CEC staff performed an updated life-cycle cost-effectiveness analysis to determine if the TPUD's recent public agency rules would cause solar PV systems to not be cost effective, specifically as applied to all newly constructed buildings with their service area. Staff concluded that the TPUD's revised residential and nonresidential rates as well as their compensation and participation charges for customer owned hydroelectric generation results in solar PV not being cost effective as applied to the TPUD's service area and thus recommend that the CEC determine that the 2025 Energy Code solar PV and BEES requirements do not apply within the TPUD service area.

Even if this determination is to be considered a "project" under CEQA, the common sense exemption would apply, as it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this determination will have a significant effect on the environment. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15061(b)(3).) As discussed above, the denial or approval of this determination will either result in: 1) no action being taken by either party or 2) exempting newly constructed buildings within the TPUD's service area from the 2025 Energy Code solar PV system and BESS requirements. In either outcome, power for the new buildings will come from renewable generation. Outcome (1) results in newly constructed buildings having PV and BESS, which provides renewable energy. Outcome (2) results in newly constructed buildings being supplied power by existing hydropower, also a renewable energy. For these reasons, the CEC's determination regarding the applicability of the 2025 Energy Code's solar PV and BESS requirements to newly constructed buildings within the TPUD's service area would not be subject to CEQA under the common sense exemption of section 15061(b)(3).

## VI. Conclusion.

As provided above, the proposed determination to exempt TPUD service area from the 2025 Energy Code solar PV and BESS requirements for new constructions has no potential for causing significant effect on the environment. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the proposed determination may have a significant effect on the environment. Therefore, this action is not a "project" under section 15378(a) of the CEQA Guidelines or, alternatively, would be exempt pursuant to the common sense exemption under section 15061(b)(3).

APPENDIX A: 2025 Energy Code Solar Photovoltaic Cost-Effectiveness Analysis for Trinity Public Utilities District Staff Report







California Energy Commission

## STAFF REPORT

# 2025 Energy Code Solar Photovoltaic Cost-Effectiveness Analysis for Trinity Public Utilities District

May 2025 | CEC-400-2025-003

## **California Energy Commission**

Sahar Daemi Muhammad Faisal Saeed **Authors** 

Bill Pennington **Efficiency Division Advisor** 

Gypsy Achong
Branch Manager
BUILDING STANDARDS BRANCH

Will Vicent

Deputy Director

EFFICIENCY DIVISION

Michael J. Sokol **Director EFFICIENCY DIVISION** 

Drew Bohan **Executive Director** 

## **DISCLAIMER**

Staff members of the California Energy Commission prepared this report. As such, it does not necessarily represent the views of the Energy Commission, its employees, or the State of California. The Energy Commission, the State of California, its employees, contractors and subcontractors make no warranty, express or implied, and assume no legal liability for the information in this report; nor does any party represent that the uses of this information will not infringe upon privately owned rights. This report has not been approved or disapproved by the Energy Commission nor has the Commission passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the information in this report.

## **ABSTRACT**

The California Energy Commission (CEC) adopted the 2025 Energy Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 1, Chapter 10, and Part 6) on September 11, 2024, with effective date of January 1, 2026. The 2025 Energy Code continues to require the installation of solar photovoltaic systems for newly constructed single-family, nonresidential, and multifamily buildings.

The CEC has previously found that the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements were not cost-effective in Trinity Public Utilities's (TPUD) service area due to TPUD's residential and nonresidential rate structures, including net energy metering (NEM) compensation and participation charges for customer-owned generation. As a result, the CEC determined that the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements do not apply to newly constructed buildings in the Trinity PUD service area.

In this report, CEC staff has evaluated Trinity PUD's current residential and nonresidential rate structures and found that the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements are not cost-effective for newly constructed buildings in the Trinity PUD service area. Based on this analysis, CEC staff recommends that the CEC determine that the CEC's cost-effectiveness conclusions in the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage system requirements do not hold for Trinity PUD and, therefore, do not apply in Trinity PUD's service area.

**Keywords**: Solar photovoltaic determination, Battery energy storage system determination, 10-109(k), solar PV requirement, solar, PV, battery energy storage system, BESS, cost effectiveness, Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Trinity Public Utilities District.

Please use the following citation for this report:

Daemi, S. and Saeed Faisal, M. 2025. *2025 Energy Code Solar Photovoltaic Cost Effectiveness Determination for Trinity Public Utilities District*. California Energy Commission. Publication Number: CEC-400-2025-003.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Abstract		
Table of Contents		ii
List of Tables		ii
List of Equations  Background  Recommendation	3	ii
CHAPTER 1: Trinity Public Utilities District		6
Background Key inputs Sources Used	6	
CHAPTER 2: Staff Analysis		8
OverviewCalculating PV Size and Annual ProductionClimate Zone	10	
Inputs Used for Life-Cycle Cost-Effectiveness Calculation PV Cost-per-Watt	12	
Energy Escalation  Discount Rate  Life-Cycle Analysis Period	14	
Present Value of Cost Savings Present Value of PV System Cost	14 15	
Life-Cycle Cost-Effectiveness Results		
CHAPTER 3: ConclusionStaff Recommendation		18
GLOSSARY		19
APPENDIX A: Resources		A-1
		Page
Table 1: Weighted Average PV Size and Production for Residential Proto (PVWatts®)	stem (30% ITC) types	13 16

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## **Background**

On September 11, 2024, the California Energy Commission (CEC) adopted the 2025 Energy Code, contained in the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 1, Chapter 10, and Part 6, which includes solar photovoltaic (PV) requirements for newly constructed single-family buildings (Section 150.1(c)14) and low-rise multifamily buildings (Section 170.2(f)), and solar PV requirements and battery energy storage system (BESS) requirements for newly constructed nonresidential buildings (Sections 140.10(a), 140.10(b)), and high-rise multifamily buildings (Sections 170.2(g), 170.2(h)). High-rise multifamily buildings are multifamily buildings that have four or more habitable stories. These requirements, along with the rest of the 2025 Energy Code, go into effect January 1, 2026.

Section 10-109(k) of the 2025 Energy Code states, "The Commission may, upon written application or its own motion, determine that the photovoltaic or battery storage requirements ... shall not apply, if the Commission finds that the implementation of public agency rules regarding utility system costs and revenue requirements, compensation for customer-owned generation, interconnection fees, or other factors, causes the Commission's cost effectiveness conclusions, made pursuant to Public Resources Code 25402(b)(3), to not hold for particular buildings ... In cases where conditions have changed that potentially would alter Energy Commission determinations that previously have been made, the Energy Commission may reconsider those determinations on its own motion."

On June 10, 2022, Trinity Public Utilities District (PUD) submitted an application to the CEC for a determination whether the solar PV system requirements of the 2022 Energy Code, which went into effect January 1, 2023, should apply to newly constructed single-family and low-rise multifamily buildings in its service area. The CEC found that Trinity PUD's rules regarding residential rates, compensation, and charges for customer-owned generation caused the CEC's cost-effectiveness conclusions to not hold for these building types in Trinity PUD's service area. The CEC determined at the February 15, 2023, business meeting that the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements do not apply to newly constructed single-family and low-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.

On November 21, 2022, TPUD also submitted an application to the CEC for a determination whether the solar PV system and battery energy storage system requirements of the 2022 Energy Code should apply to newly constructed nonresidential and high-rise multifamily residential buildings in its service area. The CEC found that Trinity PUD's rules regarding nonresidential rates, compensation and charges for customer-owned generation caused the CEC's cost-effectiveness conclusions to not hold for these building types in Trinity PUD's service area. The CEC determined at the September 13, 2023, business meeting that the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic

requirements do not apply to newly constructed nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.

Since the CEC made these determinations, Trinity PUD has revised its residential and nonresidential rates, as well as compensation and participation charges for customerowned generation. On its own motion pursuant to Section 10-109(k) of the 2025 Energy Code, CEC staff (staff) has completed a cost-effectiveness analysis of the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements based on Trinity PUD's revised rates, compensation and charges for customer-owned generation.

Staff's analysis based on Trinity PUD's revised rates, compensation, and charges for customer-owned generation, demonstrates that the cost-effectiveness conclusions for the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements do not hold for newly constructed single-family, nonresidential, low-rise multifamily, and high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.

The 2025 Energy Code further requires that newly constructed nonresidential and highrise multifamily buildings required to have a solar PV system also have a battery energy storage system. Since the staff analysis in this report does not find solar PV systems to be cost effective in Trinity PUD's service area, the 2025 Energy Code cost-effectiveness conclusions regarding battery energy storage systems would also not hold. The benefits of combined solar PV and battery energy storage systems would not occur and the cost effectiveness of the combination could not be shown.

## Recommendation

Staff finds that applying Trinity PUD's current residential and nonresidential rates, compensation, and charges for customer-owned generation to the cost-effectiveness analysis for the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements results in the requirements not being cost-effective for all newly constructed building types within the Trinity PUD service area. The analysis shows that the energy cost savings generated from meeting the solar photovoltaic requirements (the benefits) are lower than the cost of the solar photovoltaic system (the costs), resulting in a benefit-to-cost ratio of less than 1.0, see Chapter 2 for more details. Therefore, the cost-effectiveness conclusions for the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements do not hold for any newly constructed building types in Trinity PUD's service area. Because the solar PV system requirements do not apply, CEC staff has also found that the cost-effectiveness conclusions for the 2025 Energy Code battery energy storage system requirements – which depend on the installation of PV systems – also do not hold for Trinity PUD's service area.

Based on the analysis presented, staff recommends that the CEC determine that the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage system requirements do not apply to newly constructed single family, nonresidential, low-rise multifamily and high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.

Staff notes that Section 10-109(k) currently requires re-evaluation of the cost-effectiveness of the solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage system requirements with every update of the Energy Code. As long as the current trends in Trinity PUD's electricity rates favor fixed charges over volumetric charges, staff anticipates that the cost-effectiveness conclusions in this report will hold for future code cycles in Trinity PUD's service area. Due to Trinity PUD's unique circumstances, staff recommends that the CEC commit to re-evaluating its cost-effectiveness determinations in future code cycles under its own motion.

## **CHAPTER 1: Trinity Public Utilities District**

## **Background**

Trinity PUD serves most of Trinity County, covering 2,100 square miles of mountain terrain and serving about 7,200 customers. It distributes and sells 100 percent hydropower to its customers.

Trinity PUD divides its residential and nonresidential service territory into two geographic zones, Geographic Zone A and Geographic Zone B, which historically have had different rates. The difference in historical rates between the two zones was based on which part of the Trinity PUD distribution system served each zone at the time distribution assets were acquired from investor-owned utilities. All debts associated with the purchase of the older parts of the distribution system have been paid (Geographic Zone A). The other parts of the distribution system were acquired through a bond purchase in 1993, and those bonds were expected to be paid as of March 2023 (Geographic Zone B).

In April 2025, staff accessed Trinity PUD's rules regarding utility system costs, compensation, and charges for customer-owned generation and noticed some changes since the cost-effectiveness analysis of the 2022 Energy Code photovoltaic and battery energy storage system requirements in Trinity PUD's service areas. Trinity PUD uses two types of rates to cover their costs of providing service:

- Volumetric rates are proportional to the amount of energy use;
- Fixed rates are independent of energy use.

## **Key Inputs**

Trinity PUD's rules regarding customer-owned generation currently in effect are as follows (See Appendix A for links to Trinity PUD's rules.:

- Trinity PUD's current tariffs reduced the volumetric electricity rate (\$/kilowatt-hour [kWh]) for residential and nonresidential customers. Specifically, starting February 11, 2024, rates for customers in Geographic Zone A and Geographic Zone B are the same. Customers in single-family and low-rise multifamily buildings pay \$0.04682 per kWh, and customers in nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings pay \$0.06519 per kWh.
- Electricity generation exports from customer-owned solar photovoltaic (PV) systems are compensated at full retail rate.

- Trinity PUD's current rules require customers with solar PV systems to pay an administrative charge of \$20 per month. This administrative charge was \$10 per month when cost effectiveness of the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements was evaluated.
- Trinity PUD does not offer either a program where solar PV generation is compensated through virtual energy bill credits, or a community solar program. Therefore, high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD meet Exception 5 to Section 170.2(g) of the high-rise multifamily solar PV requirements.

Documentation submitted by Trinity PUD for analysis of cost-effectiveness of the 2022 Energy Code solar PV requirements for newly constructed nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings indicated that volumetric charges for residential and nonresidential tariffs are not expected to increase for the next 30 years. The nonresidential analysis considered no escalation in volumetric charges. However, the cost-effectiveness analysis of the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements for newly constructed single family and low-rise multifamily buildings used a 2.7 percent escalation rate. In this analysis, staff assumes that volumetric charges will remain the same for the next 30 years for all buildings, including residential and nonresidential.

Note that fixed charges do not impact cost-effectiveness of Energy Code measures because they are the same for a building with or without the measure. Cost-effectiveness typically increases as volumetric rates increase because the value of the energy saved increases.

Documentation submitted by Trinity PUD for analysis of cost-effectiveness of the 2022 Energy Code solar PV requirements for newly constructed nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings indicated that volumetric charges for residential and nonresidential tariffs are not expected to increase for the next 30 years. The nonresidential analysis considered no escalation in volumetric charges. However, the cost-effectiveness analysis of the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements for newly constructed single family and low-rise multifamily buildings used a 2.7 percent escalation rate. In this analysis, staff assumes that volumetric charges will remain the same for the next 30 years for all buildings, including residential and nonresidential.

## **Sources Used**

In April 2025, staff studied the impact of Trinity PUD's current residential and nonresidential rates, and compensation and charges for customer-owned generation on the cost-effectiveness analysis of the 2025 Energy Code solar PV requirements.

### Staff considered:

Updated residential and nonresidential energy rate schedules.

Updated net-energy-metering charges.

## CHAPTER 2: Staff Analysis

## **Overview**

Analysis of the cost-effectiveness of the 2025 Energy Code solar PV requirements for newly constructed single-family, low-rise multifamily, nonresidential, and hotel/motel buildings in Trinity PUD's service area relied largely on four primary sources of technical information:

- 2025 Energy Code Accounting Methodology Report<sup>2</sup>
- 2025 California Energy Code Technical Measure Report Photovoltaic and Battery Storage System Update and Expansion<sup>3</sup>
- Staff Review and Analysis for Trinity Public Utilities District's Application for a Solar Photovoltaic Determination for Single-Family and Low-Rise Multifamily Buildings, November 2022<sup>4</sup>
- Staff Review and Analysis for Trinity Public Utilities District's Application for a Solar Photovoltaic Determination for Non-Residential and High-Rise Multifamily Buildings, August 2023<sup>5</sup>

The first two reports describe the CEC's life-cycle cost method used to evaluate proposed changes to the 2025 Energy Code and, specifically, the energy cost-savings method used for determining the cost-effectiveness of the solar PV requirement. The 2025 California Energy Code Technical Measure Report Photovoltaic and Battery Storage System Update and Expansion was used to update the solar PV system cost of nonresidential buildings. The solar PV system cost for single-family and low-rise multifamily buildings was obtained from the Staff Review and Analysis for Trinity Public Utilities District's Application for a PV Determination for Single-Family and Low-Rise Multifamily Buildings. Staff developed a spreadsheet to perform calculations for assessing the cost-effectiveness of the 2025 Energy Code solar PV requirements in Trinity PUD service area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> California Energy Commission. March 2024. 2025 Energy Code Accounting Methodology Report. CEC-400-2024-004. https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=255318-1&DocumentContentId=91004.

<sup>3</sup> California Energy Commission. May 2024. 2025 California Energy Code Technical Measure Report

Photovoltaic and Battery Storage System Update and Expansion.

https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=256201&DocumentContentId=91986.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> California Energy Commission. November 2022. *Staff Review and Analysis for Trinity Public Utility District's Application for a Solar Photovoltaic Determination for Single Family and Low-rise Multifamily Buildings*. CEC-400-2022-018. https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=248203&DocumentContentId=82509 
<sup>5</sup> California Energy Commission. August 2023. *Staff Review and Analysis for Trinity Public Utility District's Application for a Solar Photovoltaic Determination for Non-Residential and High-Rise Multifamily Buildings, August 2023* https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-06/CEC-400-2023-006.pdf

Key changes from the cost effectiveness analysis of the 2022 Energy Code solar PV requirements for Trinity PUD service area are the following:

- CEC staff used PVWatts®, a calculator developed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) to estimate the annual solar PV generation (kWh) for the required solar PV system size of each prototype building. This approach is consistent with staff's 2022 Energy Code solar PV cost-effectiveness analysis for nonresidential buildings and high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area. For the 2022 single-family and low-rise residential building analysis, staff used CBECC-Res, the 2022 California Building Energy Code Compliance Residential compliance software. For this analysis, staff used the PVWatts® calculator instead of CBECC-Res tor obtain the PV production (kWh) because the weather data of CBECC-Res for Climate Zone 16 is based on Blue Canyon (Placer County), which is not fully representative of Trinity PUD's service area. PVWatts® enables solar generation to be determined using weather data for Weaverville, the largest city within Trinity PUD's service area. Also, CBECC-Res uses the public domain algorithm that NREL provides that underlies PVWatts®.
- Staff updated the nonresidential net-present value costs for solar PV systems to
  match the costs used in the cost-effectiveness analysis for the solar photovoltaic and
  battery energy storage requirements for the 2025 Energy Code. Staff derived the
  residential net-present value costs from the cost effectiveness determination of the
  2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements in Trinity PUD service area.

## **Life-Cycle Cost-Effectiveness Determination**

Staff evaluated whether Trinity PUD's rules would cause the cost-effectiveness of the 2025 Energy Code solar PV requirements not to hold. The CEC used Trinity PUD's residential and nonresidential rates, net-energy-metering (NEM) compensation rules, and the inputs described below to evaluate cost-effectiveness.

A measure is cost-effective if the life-cycle benefits exceed the life-cycle costs; when this occurs, the benefit-to-cost ratio is greater than 1.0. The ratio is calculated by dividing the total present value of the life-cycle cost benefits by the present value of the total incremental costs. Specific to the solar PV measure, this ratio would be the present value of cost savings divided by the present value of the solar PV system costs.

## **Equation 1: Benefit-to-Cost Ratio**

Benefit-to-Cost Ratio =  $\frac{\text{Present Value of Cost Savings}}{\text{Present Value of PV System Costs}}$ 

## **Calculating Solar PV System Size and Annual Production**

For newly constructed buildings, the 2025 Energy Code requires a solar PV system size calculated by Equation 150.1-C for single-family, Equation 170.2-C for low-rise multifamily, Equation 170.2-D for high-rise multifamily, and Equation 140.10-A for specified nonresidential buildings. However, high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD meet Exception 5 to Section 170.2(g) of the high-rise multifamily solar PV requirements because Trinity PUD does not offer either a program where solar PV generation is compensated through virtual energy bill credits, or a community solar program. Therefore, cost-effectiveness for high-rise multifamily buildings was not evaluated. The electric generation throughout the year resulting from the required solar PV system size is determined by using PVWatts®.

To determine the cost-effectiveness of the 2025 Energy Code solar PV requirement, staff used the following building prototypes that were used for developing the 2025 Energy Code:

- Single-family: a weighted average for the 2,100- and 2,700-square-foot prototype homes.
- Low-rise multifamily: an 8-unit low-rise, residential, multifamily prototype building. Nonresidential: hotel, office, school, restaurant, retail, sports and recreation, events and exhibits, library, religious worship, and warehouse. A small retail prototype building was used to match the small retail buildings usually built in the Trinity PUD service area. The buildings met all standard design requirements.

Staff used the NREL's PVWatts® calculator included in Appendix A, to determine the solar production for Weaverville. Staff used the same inputs for the PVWatts® Calculator as used with CBECC:

Location: Weaverville, CA

Module Type: Standard

Array Type: Fixed Open Rack

System Losses: 15.85%

Soiling (%):	2	
Shading (%):	2	
Snow (%):	0	
Mismatch (%):	0	
Wiring (%):	2	
Connections (%):	0.5	
Light-Induced Degradation (%):	1.5	
Nameplate Rating (%):	1	
Age (%):	5	
Availability (%):	3	

Tilt: 22.6 degrees (roof slope of 5:12)

Azimuth: 170 degrees

The results for solar PV system sizes and annual generation are shown in Table 1 and Table 4.

## **Climate Zone**

Trinity PUD's current electric service area is entirely in Climate Zone 16. A small, remote area of potential growth for Trinity PUD's service territory is in Climate Zone 2, but Trinity PUD service lines do not extend there. Table 1 and Table 4 show the solar PV system size and annual electricity production for single-family and low-rise multifamily prototypes, and nonresidential prototypes, respectively, for Weaverville, which is a city in Trinity PUD service territory in Climate Zone 16.

Table 1: Weighted Average PV Size and Production for Residential Prototype Homes (PVWatts®)

	2,100 Square Foot Prototype (42%)	2,700 Square Foot Prototype (56%)	Weighted Average	8 unit Low- Rise Multifamily
PV Size (kW)	2.46	2.81	2.61	14.08
Annual Production (kWh)	3,516	4,016	3,725	20,118

Source: CEC staff

## **Inputs Used for Life-Cycle Cost-Effectiveness Calculation**

Except where noted, inputs for the following parameters in the life-cycle cost calculation were consistent with those used to determine the cost-effectiveness of the solar PV system measure proposal for newly constructed single-family and low-rise multifamily buildings during the 2019 Energy Code development in 2016. The inputs are also consistent with those used in the cost-effectiveness analysis of nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings during the 2025 Energy Code development. The inputs for these parameters are unchanged by Trinity PUD's rules for residential and nonresidential rates and solar PV compensation and charges.

## **Solar PV System Cost per Watt**

The solar PV system cost-per-watt input of \$3.08 per watt in 2020\$ (for an average 5 kW solar PV system size) for single-family and low-rise multifamily buildings was obtained from the 2019 Measure Proposal Rooftop Solar PV Systems. This cost was adjusted for inflation to \$2.747 per watt in 2026\$ and includes the 30 percent Investment Tax Credit (ITC) from the Inflation Reduction Act enacted in 2022. This cost includes the solar PV module, inverter, structural balance of system, electrical balance of system, supply chain costs, sales tax, installation labor, permitting, inspection, interconnection, customer acquisition, general and administrative overhead, and net profit to the installer.

The stand-alone solar PV system cost-per-watt in 2026\$ input for nonresidential buildings was obtained from the 2025 *California Energy Code Technical Measure Report Photovoltaic and Battery Storage System Update and Expansion,*<sup>4</sup> which is listed in Table 2. It includes the 30 percent ITC from the Inflation Reduction Act enacted in 2022.

\_

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  Energy and Environmental Economics, Inc. 2019 Measure Proposal Rooftop Solar PV Systems., https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=222201&DocumentContentId=27371  $^7$  2.74(\$/Watt) = 2.20(\$/Watt)(With 3% inflation from \$2.63/Watt to \$3.14/Watt and then applying 30% ITC to \$2.20/Watt) + 0.54 (\$/Watt)(Operation and Maintenance With 3% inflation from \$0.45/Watt to \$0.54/Watt). See the 2019 Measure Proposal Rooftop Solar PV Systems p. 39 for 2020 costs.

Table 2: Nonresidential Lifetime Present Value Costs for Photovoltaic System (30% ITC)

(30 ) = 10)					
Lifetime					
Present Value					
of Costs					
(2026 \$/W)					
2.93					
2.64					
2.31					
2.1					
1.99					
1.91					
1.74					

Source: CEC staff

Complete information regarding solar PV system cost per watt can be found in Section 4.3.1 of the 2025 California Energy Code Technical Measure Report Photovoltaic and Battery Storage System Update and Expansion<sup>8</sup> report.

## **Energy Escalation**

Staff obtained the estimated escalation rate for electricity rates of 2.7 percent used in the cost-effectiveness analysis of the 2022 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements for newly constructed single family and low-rise multifamily buildings from the 2019 *TDV Methodology Report.*<sup>9</sup> The report references the *2015 Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR)*.

However, Trinity PUD submitted documentation in its November 21, 2022, application for nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings, which stated that no increases in volumetric energy charges were anticipated for residential and nonresidential tariffs for the next 30 years. <sup>10</sup> The 2022 CEC determination for nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings was based on staff's analysis that used an escalation rate of zero percent in the revised analysis. Staff also used a zero percent escalation rate assumption for the analysis in this report. As noted above, cost-effectiveness typically increases as volumetric rates increase because the value of the energy saved increases.

<sup>8</sup> Saeed, Muhammad Faisal, Sahar Daemi, and Bill Pennington. May 2024. <u>2025 California Energy Code</u> <u>Technical Measure Report Photovoltaic and Battery Storage System Update and Expansion</u>. California Energy Commission, https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=256201&DocumentContentId=91986.
9 Ming, Zachary, Victoria Clark, Snuller Price, Brian Conlon, Hilary Staver, Brian Horii, and Eric Cutter (Energy and Environmental Economics, Inc.); Nikhil Kapur and Dimitrois Contoyannis (NORESCO). February 2017. <u>2019 TDV Methodology Report Time Dependent Valuation of Energy for Developing Building Efficiency Standards: 2019 Time Dependent Valuation (TDV) Data Sources and Inputs.</u> California Energy Commission, https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=216062&DocumentContentId=23878, p. 33.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Trinity Public Utilities District. July 2023. Letter to the California Energy Commission regarding Trinity Public Utilities District's plans for retail rate escalation.

https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=251152&DocumentContentId=86091

## **Discount Rate**

Staff used a real discount rate of 3 percent based on the 2025 Energy Code Measure Proposal Template (March 2023)<sup>11</sup> All cost-effectiveness analyses completed for the 2022 and 2025 Energy Code requirements used a 3 percent real (inflation-adjusted) discount rate to calculate the net-present value. It is a long-standing practice for the cost-effectiveness analysis of Energy Code requirements to use a 3 percent real discount rate.

## **Life-Cycle Analysis Period**

The life-cycle analysis period of 30 years was obtained from the 2025 Energy Code Accounting Methodology Report. 12 All cost-effectiveness analyses completed for the 2022 and 2025 California Energy Code requirements used a life-cycle analysis period of 30 years. It is long-standing practice for the cost-effectiveness of Energy Code requirements to use a life-cycle period of 30 years.

## **Present Value of Cost Savings**

The first-year energy cost savings were determined by considering:

The annual solar PV generation determined by the PVWatts® calculator for Weaverville for each nonresidential building prototype, including the small retail building prototype, and each residential building prototype.

The Trinity PUD residential and nonresidential energy rate schedules and Trinity PUD's rules on compensation for customer-owned generation and charges. Trinity PUD's solar PV compensation rules allow all customers with solar PV systems to receive monetary credit for all electricity generated and exported by the solar PV system. The monetary credit is equal to energy rates specified in the customer's rate schedule.

Table 1 shows the solar PV system size and annual production for the single-family and multifamily prototypes. Table 3 shows the first-year energy cost savings and 30-year net-present value of the energy cost savings for the single-family and multifamily prototypes subject to the 2025 Energy Code. Table 4 shows the building conditioned floor area (square feet), solar PV system size, annual generation and the first year energy cost savings and 30-year net-present value of the energy cost savings for each of the specific nonresidential building prototypes subject to the 2025 Energy Code. The energy cost savings for 30 years in both tables were determined by multiplying the annual generation (kWh) shown in Table 1 and Table 4 by the residential and nonresidential rates currently adopted by Trinity PUD for each of the 30 years. See Appendix A for links to Trinity PUD's current electricity rates. The energy cost savings

1&DocumentContentId=91004

<sup>11</sup> Vicent, Will and RJ Wichert, March 2024, 2025 Energy Code Accounting Methodology Report. https://www.energy.ca.gov/media/353812California Energy Commission.

https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=255318-1&DocumentContentId=91004 <sup>12</sup>California Energy Commission. https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=255318-

for each residential and nonresidential building type were not escalated over the 30-year period. The administrative charge included in the Trinity PUD NEM rules (NEM charge) of \$240/year (\$20/month) was subtracted each year from the energy cost savings to determine a net annual energy cost savings.

On July 14, 2023, <sup>13</sup> Trinity PUD submitted documentation for the 2022 CEC determination for nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings, which stated that no increases in volumetric energy charges are anticipated for residential and nonresidential tariffs for the next 30 years. Staff also notes that volumetric energy charges have decreased since this communication.

Staff calculated the NPV of the annual energy cost savings for each year of the 30-year period, resulting in the total 30-year present value of energy cost savings as shown in Table 3 and Table 4 for each building category. The following equation calculates the present value of total future cost savings based on the annual cost savings, the discount rate, the growth (escalation) rate, and the number of periods compounded.

## **Equation 2: Present Value**

Present Value = 
$$\frac{P}{r-g} \times \left[1 - \left(\frac{1+g}{1+r}\right)^n\right]$$

P = annual cost savings

r = discount rate = 3%

g = growth (escalation) rate per period of = 0%

n = number of periods of analysis period = 30 years

CEC staff used the net present value function (NPV) in Microsoft Excel® to perform the calculation.

## **Present Value of Solar PV System Cost**

The present value of solar PV system cost is determined by the solar PV system size and PV cost per watt, as described earlier. The present value of the solar PV system cost is determined by multiplying the solar PV system size as determined by the 2025 Energy Code solar PV requirements for Weaverville by the lifetime present value solar PV system costs per watt for the solar PV system size that is applicable to each building category prototype (interpolating when necessary). As a result, the life-cycle cost of solar PV systems for different building types are calculated. Table 3 and Table 4 show the net present value solar PV system costs per watt and the net present value total cost.

## **Life-Cycle Cost Effectiveness Results**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Trinity Public Utilities District. July 2023. Letter to the California Energy Commission regarding Trinity Public Utilities District's plans for retail rate escalation.

https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=251152&DocumentContentId=86091

CEC staff developed a spreadsheet applying Trinity PUD's retail energy rates and NEM compensation and charge rules, including all equations and assumptions discussed in the previous sections. As shown in Table 3 and Table 4, the net savings (net present value energy cost savings minus net present value total solar PV system cost) are negative, and the benefit-to-cost ratio is less than 1.0 for every residential and nonresidential building type. Thus, this analysis determines that solar PV systems are not cost-effective for any newly constructed building type in the Trinity PUD service area. Trinity PUD's Public Benefit Discount rate schedule available to schools was not applied in this report because that case is also not cost-effective, and the result does not affect the determination.

**Table 3: PV Cost-Effectiveness for Trinity PUD for CEC Residential Prototypes** 

			naciiciai i i ococy po
Residential Building Type	2,100 Square Foot	2,700 Square Foot	8 unit Low-Rise
	Prototype	Prototype	Multifamily
First Year Energy Cost Savings (\$)	-75	-51	701
After Deducting Annual NEM			
Charges (\$240)			
30 year Net Present Value Energy	-1,477	-1,018	13,758
Cost Savings (\$)			
30 year Net Present Value PV	2.7465	2.74	2.74
Cost (\$/W)			
30 year Net Present Value Total	6,727	7,695	38,51437,284
PV Cost (\$)			
Benefit to Cost Ratio	-0.22	-0.13	0.36

Note: All costs in 2026\$.

Source: CEC staff

Table 4: PV Cost-Effectiveness for Trinity PUD for CEC Nonresidential Prototypes

				-				
School	Retail	Office	Hotel	Warehouse	Religious	Sports and	Events	Library
					Worship	Recreation	and	
							Exhibits	
24,413	9,375	5,502	42,554	52,045	6,889	3,439	8,892	12,996
9	30	14	74	20	27	6	30	37
12.777			99.025	27.305	36.142	8.366		49,647
,	-,	, -	,-	,	,	2,222	-,	-,-
593	2,398	1,009	6,215	1,540	2,116	305	2,395	2,996
11,621	47,011	19,786	121,825	30,184	41,476	5,985	46,942	58,732
2.67	2.27	2.55	2.00	2.41	2.29	2.86	2.27	2.21
25,400	68,229	36,288	146,948	48,987	61,642	17,796	68,151	81,567
0.46	0.69	0.55	0.83	0.62	0.67	0.34	0.69	0.72
	24,413 9 12,777 593 11,621 2.67	24,413 9,375  9 30 12,777 40,474  593 2,398  11,621 47,011  2.67 2.27  25,400 68,229	24,413       9,375       5,502         9       30       14         12,777       40,474       19,167         593       2,398       1,009         11,621       47,011       19,786         2.67       2.27       2.55         25,400       68,229       36,288	School         Retail         Office         Hotel           24,413         9,375         5,502         42,554           9         30         14         74           12,777         40,474         19,167         99,025           593         2,398         1,009         6,215           11,621         47,011         19,786         121,825           2.67         2.27         2.55         2.00           25,400         68,229         36,288         146,948	24,413       9,375       5,502       42,554       52,045         9       30       14       74       20         12,777       40,474       19,167       99,025       27,305         593       2,398       1,009       6,215       1,540         11,621       47,011       19,786       121,825       30,184         2.67       2.27       2.55       2.00       2.41         25,400       68,229       36,288       146,948       48,987	School         Retail         Office         Hotel         Warehouse Worship           24,413         9,375         5,502         42,554         52,045         6,889           9         30         14         74         20         27           12,777         40,474         19,167         99,025         27,305         36,142           593         2,398         1,009         6,215         1,540         2,116           11,621         47,011         19,786         121,825         30,184         41,476           2.67         2.27         2.55         2.00         2.41         2.29           25,400         68,229         36,288         146,948         48,987         61,642	School         Retail         Office         Hotel         Warehouse Worship         Religious Worship         Sports and Recreation           24,413         9,375         5,502         42,554         52,045         6,889         3,439           9         30         14         74         20         27         6           12,777         40,474         19,167         99,025         27,305         36,142         8,366           593         2,398         1,009         6,215         1,540         2,116         305           11,621         47,011         19,786         121,825         30,184         41,476         5,985           2.67         2.27         2.55         2.00         2.41         2.29         2.86           25,400         68,229         36,288         146,948         48,987         61,642         17,796	School         Retail         Office         Hotel         Warehouse Worship         Religious Worship         Sports and Recreation         Events and Exhibits           24,413         9,375         5,502         42,554         52,045         6,889         3,439         8,892           9         30         14         74         20         27         6         30           12,777         40,474         19,167         99,025         27,305         36,142         8,366         40,420           593         2,398         1,009         6,215         1,540         2,116         305         2,395           11,621         47,011         19,786         121,825         30,184         41,476         5,985         46,942           2.67         2.27         2.55         2.00         2.41         2.29         2.86         2.27           25,400         68,229         36,288         146,948         48,987         61,642         17,796         68,151

Note: All costs in 2026\$.

Source: CEC staff

## **CHAPTER 3:** Conclusion

## **Staff Recommendation**

CEC staff's analysis shows that applying Trinity PUD's current rules to the cost-effectiveness analysis used for the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements results in the 2025 requirements not being cost-effective for all newly constructed building types in the Trinity PUD service area. The analysis showed that the cost savings generated from meeting the solar photovoltaic requirements were lower than the cost of the solar photovoltaic system, resulting in a benefit-to-cost ratio of less than 1.0. Therefore, the cost-effectiveness conclusions for the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic requirements do not hold for all newly constructed building types in Trinity PUD's service area.

Based on the analysis presented, staff recommends that the CEC determine that the 2025 Energy Code solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage system requirements do not apply to newly constructed single family, nonresidential, low-rise multifamily and high-rise multifamily buildings in Trinity PUD's service area.

Note that the 2025 Energy Code establishes requirements for newly constructed nonresidential and high-rise multifamily buildings to have battery energy storage systems paired with a required solar PV system. If the CEC determines there would be no solar PV system requirements for such buildings in Trinity PUD's service area, it follows that the battery energy storage system requirements are also not cost-effective and, therefore, the battery energy storage system requirements should also not apply.

Staff notes that Section 10-109(k) currently requires re-evaluation of the cost-effectiveness of the solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage system requirements with every update of the Energy Code. As long as the current trends in Trinity PUD's electricity rates favor fixed charges over volumetric charges, staff anticipates that the cost-effectiveness conclusions of this report will hold for future code cycles in Trinity PUD's service area. Due to Trinity PUD's unique circumstances, staff recommends that the CEC commit to re-evaluating its cost-effectiveness determinations in future code cycles under its own motion.

## **GLOSSARY**

**CBECC, CBECC-Res** are the 2022 California Building Energy Code Compliance software packages. CBECC models nonresidential buildings, while CBECC-Res models residential buildings.

**Climate zones** are the 16 geographic areas of California for which the California Energy Commission has established typical weather data, prescriptive packages and energy budgets.

**Escalation rate** is the annual rate of increase in electricity cost per watt.

**National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL)** is a government-owned facility funded through the United States Department of Energy with areas of research and development in renewable electricity, energy productivity, energy storage, systems integration, and sustainable transportation.

**Performance approach** is an approach to show compliance with the 2019 Energy Standards by using an approved software program to model a proposed building and compare it to a calculated energy budget.

**PVWatts**® is a calculator developed by NREL that estimates the energy production and cost of solar photovoltaic systems.

**Volumetric charges** are charges by the load serving entity through which the <u>costs</u> of providing the service are recovered proportionally to the amount of use.

## APPENDIX A: Resources

Trinity PUD's current residential rates specified in "Residential Service Rate Schedule 1" <a href="https://www.trinitypud.com/pdf/rates/01-residential-service-a.pdf">https://www.trinitypud.com/pdf/rates/01-residential-service-a.pdf</a>

Trinity PUD's current commercial rates specified in "General Service/Commercial Service Rate Schedule 3" <a href="https://www.trinitypud.com/pdf/rates/03-general-commercial-service-a.pdf">https://www.trinitypud.com/pdf/rates/03-general-commercial-service-a.pdf</a>

Trinity PUD's current net energy metering rules in "Renewable Electric Generating Facility Net Metering Rate Schedule 17" <a href="https://www.trinitypud.com/pdf/rates/17-renewable-electric-and-solar.pdf">https://www.trinitypud.com/pdf/rates/17-renewable-electric-and-solar.pdf</a>

2022 Trinity Public Utilities District Solar PV Determination Application documents and other information submitted to the California Energy Commission Docket <a href="https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=22-BSTD-04">https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=22-BSTD-04</a>.

2022 Time Dependent Valuation of Energy for Developing Building Efficiency Standards. 2022 Time Dependent Valuation (TDV) and Source Energy Metric Data Sources and Inputs. <a href="https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=233345&DocumentContentId=65837">https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=233345&DocumentContentId=65837</a>

2019 Time Dependent Valuation of Energy for Developing Building Efficiency Standards. 2019 Time Dependent Valuation (TDV) and Source Energy Metric Data Sources and Inputs <a href="https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/getdocument.aspx?tn=216062">https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/getdocument.aspx?tn=216062</a>.

2025 Energy Code Accounting Methodology

https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=255318-1&DocumentContentId=91004

2025 California Energy Code Technical Measure Report Photovoltaic and Battery Storage System Update and Expansion.

 $\underline{https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=256201\&DocumentContentId=91986}\;.$ 

2025 Building Energy Efficiency Standards <a href="https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/2025-building-energy-efficiency">https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/2025-building-energy-efficiency</a>.

Frequently Asked Questions on the 2019 Solar PV Requirements

https://www.google.com/url?client=internal-element-

<u>cse&cx=001779225245372747843:ctr4z8fr3aa&q=https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/202</u>0-

National Renewable Energy Laboratory's (NREL) PVWatts® calculator https://pVV 5/1vwatts.nrel.gov/