Demand Analysis Working Group (DAWG)

Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Process



Ingrid Neumann August 1, 2019 California Energy Commission

SB 350 vs. AAEE

Element	SB 350 Projections	AAEE Projections
Purpose	Identify whether the potential of programmatic targets achieve the doubling goal	Create EE projections incremental to baseline demand forecast to serve resource planning and procurement needs

SB 350 vs. AAEE

Element	SB 350 Projections	AAEE Projections
Purpose	Identify whether the potential of programmatic targets achieve the doubling goal	Create EE projections incremental to baseline demand forecast to serve resource planning and procurement needs
Accounting framework	Fixed 2015 base year	Rolling base year relative each IEPR cycle
Treatment of Uncertainty	Used a single reference case in 2017 but for 2019 a limited scenario capability exists.	Elaborate scenario design to condense uncertainty of specific elements into scenarios ranging from conservative to optimistic

SB 350 vs. AAEE

Element	SB 350 Projections	AAEE Projections
Purpose	Identify whether the potential of programmatic targets achieve the doubling goal	Create EE projections incremental to baseline demand forecast to serve resource planning and procurement needs
Accounting framework	Fixed 2015 base year	Rolling base year relative each IEPR cycle
Treatment of Uncertainty	Used a single reference case in 2017 but for 2019 a limited scenario capability exists.	Elaborate scenario design to condense uncertainty of specific elements into scenarios ranging from conservative to optimistic
Use by Other Agencies	Some agencies use SB 350 as a proxy for a very high efficiency scenario.	Explicit agreements to use specific AAEE scenarios in various resource planning and transmission planning studies
Implications of Targets Falling Short of Goals	CEC searches for additional efforts that might close the gap	NA




Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Improvements from 2017 to 2019 IEPR Cycle

- Improved analysis of decay and re-participation
 - Using cumulative results from IOU P&G Study
 - Using POU model cumulative results from work on potential savings
 - expansion of the number of POU AAEE element scenarios from the one case that is submitted in the CMUA report
- Update and expand the Beyond Utility Program workbooks originally developed in the last IEPR cycle
 - Workbooks are embedded in a tool that assigns end use level decay based on EUL
 - total of 20 workbooks including:
 - Fuel Substitution
 - Conservation Voltage Reduction
 - Agricultural and Industrial Sectors
- Improved attribution to sector/end-use
- development of an hourly tool utilizing updated load shapes to generate 8760 hourly projections from annual AAEE savings for the ten year forecast period
- Improving natural gas demand analysis
 - building decarbonization is an emerging policy emphasis



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) 2019 Process Flow Overview






Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

	Lever	Scenario 1	Scenario 2 (CPUC Adopted)	Scenario 3	Scenario 4	Scenario 4
Global	Building Stock	2016 IEPR Mid-Case				
Inputs	Retail Prices	2016 IEPR Mid-Case				
	Res/Com ETs		100% of model results			
	AIMS ETs		Reference			
	In contrast on the second	capped at 50% of	capped at 75% of			
	Incentive Levels	incremental cost				
÷	C-E Measure Screening Threshold		0.85			
Jen	ET C-E Threshold		0.5			
Equipment	Cost-Effectiveness (C-E)	TRC using 2016 Avoided	TRC using 2016 Avoided	TRC using 2016 Avoided	PAC using 2016 Avoided	PAC using 2016 Avoided
Equ	Test	Costs	Costs + IOU proposed GHG	Costs + CPUC Staff	Costs	Costs
_	1650	0313	Adder	proposed GHG Adder	0313	0313
	Marketing & Outreach	Default calibrated value	Default calibrated value	Default calibrated value	Default calibrated value	Increased marketing strength
	Financing Programs	No modeled impacts	No modeled impacts	No modeled impacts	No modeled impacts	IOU financing programs broadly available to Res and Com customers
BROs	BROs Program Assumptions	Continued offering of existing BROs and sanctioned additions	Additional BROs interventions that show promise although verified data is limited			
Low Income	Low Income	First Time + Retreatment				
s	Scope of Impacts	IOU Attributable				
Codes and Standards	Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction
and		No Compliance				
l St	Standards Compliance	Enhancements	Enhancements	Enhancements	Enhancements	Enhancements
and	Title 24	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R				
es	Title 24	A&A 2018-2024 T20				
Po C						
	Federal Standards	2018-2024 On-the-books				

Scenarios quantified in the Final 2018 CPUC P&G Study



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast



Scenario 2 (CPUC Adopted)
2016 IEPR Mid-Case
2016 IEPR Mid-Case
100% of model results
Reference
capped at 50% of
incremental cost
0.85
0.5
TRC using 2016 Avoided
Costs + IOU proposed GHG
Adder
Default calibrated value
No modeled impacts
Continued offering of
existing BROs and
sanctioned additions
First Time + Retreatment
IOU Attributable
No Compliance Reduction
No Compliance
Enhancements
2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R
A&A
2018-2024 T20

2018-2024 On-the-books

- Scenarios quantified in the Final 2018 CPUC P&G Study
 - Scenario 2 adopted by the CPUC



IOU AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock			Mid Demand Case			
	Retail Prices			Mid Demand Case			
	Res/Com ETs			100% of model results			
	AIMS ETs			Reference			
	Incentive Levels			Reference			
	C-E Measure Screening						
_	Threshold			0.85			
	ET C-E Threshold			0.5			
	Cost-Effectiveness Test			mTRC(GHG Adder #1)			
	Marketing & Outreach			Reference			
	Financing Programs			Reference			
	BROs Program Assumptions			Reference			
	Low Income			First Time + Retreatment			
	Compliance Reduction			No Compliance Reduction			
	Standards Compliance			No Compliance Enhancements			
	Title 24			2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A			
	Title 20			2018-2024 T20			
	Federal Standards			On-the-books			

 Design IOU AAEE Scenarios around the base case chosen by the CPUC from the IOU P&G Study Scenarios




IOU AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Retail Prices	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Res/Com ETs	50% of model Results	50% of model Results	100% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results
	AIMS ETs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Incentive Levels	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	C-E Measure Screening						
Post-process P&G	Threshold	1	1	0.85	0.75	0.75	0.75
results to eliminate	ET C-E Threshold	0.85	0.85	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
duplication with	Cost-Effectiveness Test	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	PAC
baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	Financing Programs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	BROs Program Assumptions	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Low Income	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + 150% Retreatment
Use P&G C&S model	Compliance Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction
results directly for IOUs and allocate	Standards Compliance	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements
"statewide" shares to	Title 24	No additional Codes	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A
each POU or POU	Title 20	2018 T20	2018 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20
grouping	Federal Standards	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books

- Design IOU AAEE Scenarios around the base case chosen by the CPUC from the IOU P&G Study Scenarios
 - eliminate duplication with baseline forecast



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast





Code and Standards Savings Contributions Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Retail Prices	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Res/Com ETs	50% of model Results	50% of model Results	100% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results
	AIMS ETs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Incentive Levels	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	C-E Measure Screening						
Post-process P&G	Threshold	1	1	0.85	0.75	0.75	0.75
results to eliminate	ET C-E Threshold	0.85	0.85	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
duplication with	Cost-Effectiveness Test	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	PAC
baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	Financing Programs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	BROs Program Assumptions	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Low Income	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + 150% Retreatment
Use P&G C&S model	Compliance Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction
results directly for IOUs and allocate	Standards Compliance	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements
"statewide" shares to	Title 24	No additional Codes	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A
each POU or POU	Title 20	2018 T20	2018 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20
grouping	Federal Standards	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books

• Use CPUC P&G C&S model results for IOU territory C&S savings

- Total savings not just attributable
- Scale up to "statewide savings" and allocate shares to each POU or POU grouping
 - Essential for the small POU's inside CAISO planning area



POU AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Retail Prices	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Res/Com ETs	50% of model Results	50% of model Results	100% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results
	AIMS ETs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Incentive Levels	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	C-E Measure Screening						
Post-process P&G	Threshold	1	1	0.85	0.75	0.75	0.75
results to eliminate	ET C-E Threshold	0.85	0.85	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
duplication with	Cost-Effectiveness Test	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	PAC
baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	Financing Programs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	BROs Program Assumptions	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Low Income	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + 150% Retreatment
Use P&G C&S model	Compliance Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction
results directly for IOUs and allocate	Standards Compliance	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements
"statewide" shares to	Title 24	No additional Codes	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A
each POU or POU grouping	Title 20	2018 T20	2018 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20
	Federal Standards	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books
create cumulative savings from first year	POU Programs			Referer	nce		

use the one POU AAEE Scenario submitted in CMUA Potential Study



Beyond Utility AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

	Compliance Reduction			Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction
Extract Results from workbook based	Standards Compliance	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements
Modeling and allocate					2019 T24 NR A&A		2019 T24 NR A&A
"statewide" shares to	Title 24			2019 T24 NR A&A	plus T24 NC ratchets	plus T24 NC ratchets	plus T24 NC ratchets
each utility	Title 20				SB 350 T20 < 2025 start	SB 350 T20 < 2025 start	SB 350 T20 scaled down
	Federal Standards				SB 350 Fed < 2025 start	SB 350 Fed < 2025 start	SB 350 Fed scaled down
Scale and Extend workbook based Analyses of Beyond Utility Programs Using Energy Scaling Factor Approach and then allocate "statewide" shares to each utility	Savings from additional SB 350 programs that are not utility programs or standards that are considered likely	Prop 39	Prop 39	Ргор 39	Prop 39		Prop 39, Local Government Ordinances, Local Government Challenge, GGRF: Low Income and GGRF: Water-Energy Grant, DGS Energy Retrofits, ECAA, PACE, Benchmarking, and BROs

- allocated "statewide" C&S shares to utility from workbook based analysis
 - Considered C&S future ratchets by Building Sector as well as New vs. A&A not previously considered in IOU P&G Study




Beyond Utility AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

	Compliance Reduction			Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction
Extract Results from workbook based	Standards Compliance	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements
Modeling and allocate "statewide" shares to	Title 24			2019 T24 NR A&A	2019 T24 NR A&A plus T24 NC ratchets	2019 T24 NR A&A plus T24 NC ratchets	2019 T24 NR A&A plus T24 NC ratchets
each utility	Title 20				SB 350 T20 < 2025 start	SB 350 T20 < 2025 start	SB 350 T20 scaled down
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Federal Standards				SB 350 Fed < 2025 start	SB 350 Fed < 2025 start	SB 350 Fed scaled down
Scale and Extend workbook based Analyses of Beyond Utility Programs Using Energy Scaling Factor Approach and then allocate "statewide" shares to each utility	standards that are considered likely	Prop 39	Prop 39	Prop 39	Prop 39	Prop 39	Prop 39, Local Government Ordinances, Local Government Challenge, GGRF: Low Income and GGRF: Water-Energy Grant, DGS Energy Retrofits, ECAA, PACE, Benchmarking, and BROs

- allocated "statewide" C&S shares to utility from workbook based analysis
 - Considered C&S future ratchets by Building Sector as well as New vs. A&A not previously considered in IOU P&G Study
- scaled and extended Beyond Utility programs and allocated "statewide" share to each utility



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast



 eliminate any other duplication between savings streams

 eliminate duplication with baseline forecast



Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Retail Prices			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Res/Com ETs			100% of model results			
	AIMS ETs			Reference			
	Incentive Levels			capped at 50% of incremental cost			
	C-E Measure Screening			1			
	Threshold			1			
	ET C-E Threshold			1			
Post-process P&G results to eliminate	Cost-Effectiveness Test			TRC using 2019 Avoided Costs			
duplication with baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach			Default calibrated value			
	Financing Programs			No modeled impacts			
	BROs Program Assumptions			Reference			
	Low Income			Reference			

 Design IOU AAEE Scenarios around the base case chosen by the CPUC from the IOU P&G Study Scenarios



Code and Standards Savings Contributions Scenario Design - for CED 2019 Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2) Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock		2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Retail Prices		2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Res/Com ETs		100% of model results			
	AIMS ETs		Reference			
	Incentive Levels		capped at 50% of incremental			
			cost			
	C-E Measure Screening		1			
	Threshold		1			
	ET C-E Threshold		1			
Post-process P&G results to eliminate	Cost-Effectiveness Test		TRC using 2019 Avoided Costs			
duplication with baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach		Default calibrated value			
	Financing Programs		No modeled impacts			
	BROs Program Assumptions		Reference			
	Low Income		Reference			
	Compliance Reduction		No Compliance Reduction			
	Standards Compliance		No Compliance Enhancements			
Use P&G C&S model			2019 (NR/R x NC/A&A) + 2022			
results directly for	Title 24		(NR x NC/A&A)			
IOUs and allocate "statewide" shares to			Through 2019 + Selected Stds.			
each POU or POU	Title 20		Through 2022			
grouping			Through 2023 (excluding 2020			
			GSL Std) + 2026 Water Source			
	Federal Standards		Heat Pump			

- Use CPUC P&G C&S model results for IOU territory C&S savings
 - Total savings not just attributable
- Scale up to "statewide savings" and allocate shares to each POU or POU grouping
 - Essential for the small POU's inside CAISO planning area



POU AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2019 Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Retail Prices			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Res/Com ETs			100% of model results			
	AIMS ETs			Reference			
	Incentive Levels			capped at 50% of incremental			
				cost			
	C-E Measure Screening			1			
	Threshold			1			
	ET C-E Threshold			1			
Post-process P&G results to eliminate	Cost-Effectiveness Test			TRC using 2019 Avoided Costs			
duplication with baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach			Default calibrated value			
	Financing Programs			No modeled impacts			
	BROs Program						
	Assumptions			Reference			
	Low Income			Reference			
	Compliance Reduction			No Compliance Reduction			
	Standards Compliance			No Compliance Enhancements			
Use P&G C&S model				2019 (NR/R x NC/A&A) + 2022			
results directly for IOUs and allocate	Title 24			(NR x NC/A&A)			
"statewide" shares to				Through 2019 + Selected Stds.			
each POU or POU	Title 20			Through 2022			
grouping				Through 2023 (excluding 2020			
				GSL Std) + 2026 Water Source			
	Fd IS d d			p			
Post-process CMUA	Expand Measure List			Reference			
P&G Study Results to	Incentive Level			Reference			
yield scenario variations built around	Promotional Expenditures			Reference			
the submitted	Behavioral Programs			Reference			
potential savings	Early Retirement			Reference			
	Programs						

Design POU AAEE Scenarios around the one scenario of potential saving submitted by the CMUA



Beyond Utility AAEE Scenario Design – for CED 2019 Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction						
workbook based	Standards Compliance						
Modeling and allocate	Title 24						
"statewide" shares to	Title 20						
each utility	Federal Standards						
Scale and Extend							
workbook based	Execise various options						
Analyses of Beyond	within individual						
Utility Programs Using	program workbooks to						
Energy Scaling Factor	generate statewide						
Approach and then	savings scenarios and/or						
allocate "statewide"	scale projections with						
shares to each utility	override assumptions						

Large contractual effort this cycle to update and expand the Beyond Utility Program workbooks

- Inputs are loaded to the maximum savings potential to measure progress towards SB 350 savings goals
- Even for the high plus scenario developed in 2017 the Beyond Utility Program Savings were scaled down from this maximum savings potential
- Workbooks vary in level of sophistication but all have various savings parameters that can be adjusted
 - staff is able to design scenarios using low, mid, and high 2017 IEPR econ/demo drivers
 - Conservative, reference, and aggressive savings estimates defined for each program in the individual workbooks
 - individual weights are assigned for each of the BU programs included



Beyond Utility AAEE Scenario Design – for CED 2019 Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)	
Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction							
workbook based Modeling and allocate	Standards Compliance Title 24							
"statewide" shares to	Title 20							
each utility	Federal Standards						+	
Scale and Extend								
workbook based Analyses of Beyond	Execise various options within individual							
Utility Programs Using	program workbooks to		Devend Utility D					
Energy Scaling Factor Approach and then	generate statewide savings scenarios and/or		Beyond Utility Pl	rogram Workbooks				
allocate "statewide"	scale projections with		Tit	le 24				
shares to each utility	override assumptions		Tit	le 20				
			Fed A	ppliance				
				nent Ordinances	1			
				nagement District				
				ment Challenge				
				op 39				
				ne Weatherization				
				r Energy Grant				
			DGS Ener	rgy Retrofit				
			ECAA F	inancing				
			PACE F	inancing				
			Benchmarking an	d Public Disclosure				
			Behavioral, Retrocommiss	sioning, Operational Saving	s			
			Energy A	sset Rating				
			Smart Meter	Data Analytics				
			Fuel Su	bstitution				
			Agric	cultural				
			Indu	ustrial				
			Conservation V	oltage Reduction				



Beyond Utility AAEE Scenario Design – for CED 2019 Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)	
Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction							
workbook based	Standards Compliance							
Modeling and allocate "statewide" shares to	Title 24 Title 20							
each utility	Federal Standards							
Scale and Extend								
workbook based Analyses of Beyond	Exectise various optione within individual							
Utility Programs Using	program workbooks to		Poyond Utility Dr	ogram Workbooks				
Energy Scaling Factor Approach and then	generate statewide savings scenarios and/or		Beyond Othity Pr	Ografii WORDOOKS				
allocate "statewide"	scale projections with		Tit	le 24				
shares to each utility	override assumptions		Tit	e 20				
			Fed Ap	opliance				
				nent Ordinances	1			
			Air Quality Man	agement District				
			Local Governr	nent Challenge				
			Pro	op 39	1			
			GGRF: Low Incon	ne Weatherization	1			
			GGRF: Wate	r Energy Grant				
			DGS Ener	gy Retrofit				
			ECAA F	inancing				
			PACE F	inancing				
			Benchmarking an	d Public Disclosure				
			Behavioral, Retrocommiss	ioning, Operational Savings	s			
			Energy As	sset Rating				
			Smart Meter	Data Analytics				
			Fuel Sul	ostitution				
			Agric	ultural				
			Industrial					
			Conservation V	oltage Reduction				



Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction						
workbook based	Standards Compliance						
Modeling and allocate "statewide" shares to	Title 24 Title 20						
each utility							
each utility Scale and Extend workbook based Analyses of Beyond Utility Programs Using Energy Scaling Factor Approach and then allocate "statewide" shares to each utility	Federal Standards Execise various options within individual program workbooks to generate statewide savings scenarios and/or scale projections with override assumptions		Ti Ti Fed A Local Govern Air Quality Ma Local Govern Pr GGRF: Low Inco GGRF: Wate DGS Ene ECAA PACE Benchmarking at Behavioral, Retrocommis Energy A Smart Mete Fuel Su	Program Workbooks tle 24 tle 20 ppliance ment Ordinances nagement District ment Challenge op 39 me Weatherization er Energy Grant trgy Retrofit Financing Financing nd Public Disclosure sioning, Operational Saving: Asset Rating r Data Analytics ubstitution cultural ustrial			
				/oltage Reduction	1		




Modeling Approach	L	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction						
workbook based	Standards Compliance						
Modeling and allocate	Title 24						
"statewide" shares to	Title 20						
each utility	Federal Standards						
Scale and Extend							
workbook based	Execise various options						
Analyses of Beyond	within individual						
Utility Programs Using							
Energy Scaling Factor							
Approach and then	savings scenarios and/or						
allocate "statewide"	scale projections with						
shares to each utility	override assumptions				<u> </u>		

	T24 Building Standar	Appliance Standard	s ratchet end years		
New Construction Additions & Alterations			Alterations		
Residential Sector	Commercial Sector	Residential Sector	Commercial Sector	T20	Federal

	Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
		Building Stock			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
		Retail Prices			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
		Res/Com ETs			100% of model results			
		AIMS ETs			Reference			
		Incentive Levels			capped at 50% of incremental cost			
		C-E Measure Screening			1			
		Threshold						
		ET C-E Threshold		Doton	tial Dr	oaron		nde
	Post-process P&G results to eliminate	Cost-Effectiveness Test		FULEI	tial Pr	Uyran	I Javi	iyə
	duplication with baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach			Default calibrated value			
E I		Financing Programs			No modeled impacts			
		BROs Program Assumptions			Reference			
		Low Income			Reference			
		Compliance Reduction			No Compliance Reduction			
C		Standards Compliance			No Compliance Enhancements			
\mathbf{i}	Use P&G C&S model				2019 (NR/R x NC/A&A) + 2022			
	results directly for IOUs and allocate	Title 24			x NC/A&A)			
	"statewide" shares to			es and	hroug States and the	narne	Savin	
U	each POU or POU	Title 20				Maras		Y J
_	grouping				Through 2023 (excluding 2020			
E I		Es devel Oten develo			GSL Std) + 2026 Water Source			
_		Federal Standards			Heat Pump			
	Post-process CMUA	Expand Measure List Incentive Level			Reference			
N	P&G Study Results to	Promotional						
•••	yield scenario variations built around	Expenditures	PUU	l Poter	Reff er e	roorar	n Savi	nos
	the submitted	Behavioral Programs			nti Reference Reference Reference			
A	potential savings	Early Retirement			Reference			
		Programs						
	Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction						
K	workbook based	Standards Compliance						
	Modeling and allocate "statewide" shares to	Title 24						
	each utility	Title 20						
	Scale and Extend	Federal Standards	— Beve		ility P			
-	workbook based	Execise various options				giai		
	Analyses of Beyond	within individual						
\mathbf{U}	Utility Programs Using	program workbooks to						
	Energy Scaling Factor	generate statewide						
2	Approach and then allocate "statewide"	savings scenarios and/or scale projections with						
U	shares to each utility	override assumptions						
-	shares to each utility	override assumptions						



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) 2019 Process Flow Overview



* In development



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Hourly Tool Development

AAEE Hourly Tool * **Total 8760 Hourly AAEE Projections by year 2020-2030** by utility, sector, end use & scenario

- Have mapped 48 named end uses to new ADM load shape profiles and supplemented with Navigant load profiles used in 2017 CED Forecast as needed
- Input menu allows for selection of:
 - Forecast start and end years
 - Utility: IOU's, named POU's, North and South small POU groupings
 - Simple by Sector or Detailed by End Use
 - include or omit Transmission and Distribution Losses
- Outputs are 8760 hourly results for each scenario for each forecast year



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE)

2019 Process Schedule is compact! We appreciate comments/feedback as soon as you are able to share!

- 8/1 CEC presents <u>AAEE Process</u> overview at DAWG meeting
- 8/15 similar <u>AAEE Process</u> presentation at IEPR workshop
- 9/18? CEC presents proposed <u>AAEE Scenario Designs</u> at DAWG meeting <-could we set a date/time today?
- 9/26 CEC presents proposed <u>AAEE Scenario Designs</u> at IEPR workshop
- 10/1 internal deadline for CEC EE team to provide draft <u>AAEE Hourly Projections</u> results to CEC forecast team
- 11/1 deadline for <u>final AAEE Hourly Projections</u> for use in revised 2019 Demand Forecast