

California's Citizen Oversight Board  
**2<sup>nd</sup> ANNUAL REPORT**

# **PROPOSITION 39 CLEAN ENERGY JOBS ACT REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE**



Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor

**March 2017**

# California Citizen Oversight Board

Kate Gordon

**Chair**

James “Walkie” Ray

**Vice Chair**

David Dias

Mark Gold

Arno Harris

Gary Kremen

Randall Martinez

Chelina Odbert

**Board Members**

Robert Weisenmiller

Michael Picker

**Ex-Officio Members**

Jack Bastida

**Staff Member, Citizen Oversight Board**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER 1:</b> Background and Update on the California Clean Energy Jobs Act .....	7
<b>CHAPTER 2:</b> Citizen Oversight Board Mandates, Meeting History, and Audit Progress .....	11
<b>CHAPTER 3:</b> Clean Energy Jobs Act Programs: Energy Projects .....	14
K-12 Program (California Energy Commission) .....	15
Community College Program (California Community College Chancellor's Office) .....	25
<b>CHAPTER 4:</b> Loans and Technical Assistance Grants .....	31
Energy Conservation Assistance Account – Education (ECAA-Ed) Loans.....	32
Bright School Program .....	33
<b>CHAPTER 5:</b> Workforce Programs and Grants.....	34
California Workforce Development Board Apprenticeship Grants .....	34
California Community College Chancellor's Office Workforce Development Division Grants .....	38
California Conservation Corps - Energy Corps Program.....	40
<b>CHAPTER 6:</b> Jobs Numbers: Quality and Quantity.....	44
<b>CHAPTER 7:</b> Overall Findings and Recommendations .....	50

## INTRODUCTION

The Citizen Oversight Board is pleased to present its second annual report to the California legislature on California's Clean Energy Jobs Act (also known as "CCEJA"). The California Clean Energy Jobs Act was created through legislation after voters approved Proposition 39 in the November 6, 2012 statewide general election. The statute changed the corporate income tax code and allocated projected revenue for five fiscal years, beginning with fiscal year 2013-14 and ending in fiscal year 2017-18.

We included a detailed overview of the history and governance of the CCEJA in [last year's report](#) to the legislature, and will not repeat that information here.

But to briefly recap, the California Clean Energy Jobs Act was created under Proposition 39 (Prop 39) on November 6, 2012, in the statewide general election.<sup>1</sup> Post-election, the following legislative actions provided the structure and organization of the California Clean Energy Jobs Act:

- Enabling Legislation for Proposition 39: Senate Bill 73.<sup>2</sup>
- Subsequent legislation on CCEJA Citizen Oversight Board implementation: Assembly Bill 2227.<sup>3</sup>
- Regulatory Guidelines from the CEC: Proposition 39: California Clean Energy Jobs Act- 2013 Program Implementation Guidelines.<sup>4</sup>
- Regulatory Guidelines from the California Community Colleges: California Community College Proposition 39 Implementation Guidelines.<sup>5</sup>

The CCEJA as enacted encompasses programs from a number of state agencies, all focused on improving energy efficiency and promoting clean energy projects and jobs in our public schools and community colleges. These programs fall into three categories:

- Direct grants for energy audits, retrofits, and clean energy project development (administered by the California Energy Commission for K-12 schools and the California Community College Chancellor's Office for community colleges);
- Loans and technical assistance to support these projects (administered through existing loan programs of the California Energy Commission); and

---

<sup>1</sup> California Secretary of State. *Statement of Vote: November 6, 2012 General Election*. 2012

<sup>2</sup> Senate Bill 73. Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 29, Statutes of 2013

<sup>3</sup> Assembly Bill 2227, Quirk. Chapter 683, Statutes of 2014

<sup>4</sup> Bucaneg, Haile, Pierre duVair, Cheng Moua, Justin Regnier, Keith Roberts, Elizabeth Shirakh, Joseph Wang. 2013. *Proposition 39: California Clean Energy Jobs Act- 2013 Program Implementation Guidelines*. California Energy Commission. CEC-400-2014-022-CMF.

<sup>5</sup> California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office. Revised 2014. *California Community Colleges Proposition 39 Implementation Guidelines*. 2014

- Job training and workforce development programs intended to grow and maintain the state’s pool of qualified clean energy workers (administered through the California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office, the California Workforce Development Board, and the California Conservation Corps).

In this report, we provide to the legislature and public an overview of each participating agency’s programs and progress to date, as well as our overall findings and recommendations as the only body working across all the agencies. This report is divided into three categories of the Clean Energy Jobs Act to reflect the different programs: Energy Projects; Loans and Technical Assistance Grants; and Workforce Programs and Grants. We have included a separate chapter on Job Numbers: Quality and Quantity to reflect the California Workforce Development Board’s work to provide Proposition 39 job creation data, including both modeling and specific analysis of the currently-available payroll data coming out of these projects.

This report is only a summary; we have included as appendices each of the agency reports in full. Our report and all appendices are also publicly available on the California Energy Commission [website](#).

We hope this report gives insight into the complex set of programs and projects that make up the CCEJA, which is an important component of the state’s broader energy, climate, workforce, and education goals.



# CHAPTER 1: Background on the California Clean Energy Jobs Act

The main objectives of the California Clean Energy Jobs Act are laid out in the California Public Resource Code<sup>6</sup>, which states that the program is intended to:

- a) Create good-paying energy efficiency and clean energy jobs in California.
- b) Put Californians to work repairing and updating schools and public buildings to improve their energy efficiency and make other clean energy improvements that create jobs and save energy and money.
- c) Promote the creation of new private sector jobs improving the energy efficiency of commercial and residential buildings.
- d) Achieve the maximum amount of job creation and energy benefits with available funds.
- e) Supplement, complement, and leverage existing energy efficiency and clean energy programs to create increased economic and energy benefits for California in coordination with the California Energy Commission and the California Public Utilities Commission.
- f) Provide a full public accounting of all money spent and jobs and benefits achieved so the programs and projects funded pursuant to this division can be reviewed and evaluated.

In this report to the legislature, which covers the period from January 2016 until December 2017, the Citizen Oversight Board looks to these overarching objectives of energy efficiency and clean energy jobs when determining our recommendations and conclusions regarding the California Clean Energy Jobs Act.

## Update on timeline of the California Clean Energy Jobs Act

A complete timeline of the key events of the CCEJA is available in the board's first Proposition 39 Clean Energy Jobs Act report to the legislature located at this [link](#). Below is an update of that timeline that includes activities since March 2016.

### 2016

- March 2016: Citizen Oversight Board meeting

---

<sup>6</sup> California Public Resource Code § 26201

- March 2016: Citizen Oversight Board’s first annual report submitted to the legislature
- April 2016: California Community College Chancellor's Office releases revised implementation guidelines for community college program
- May 2016: Citizen Oversight Board meeting
- June 2016: California Community College Chancellor's Office releases 2016-17 Prop 39 allocations for community college districts
- July 2016: California Energy Commission revises program guidelines for K-12 program
- Sept 2016: Workforce Development Board awards Prop. 39 2.0 development grants in technical assistance, development, and training implementation
- Sept 2016: Energy Commission’s K-12 program receives annual project progress reports
- Sept 2016: Citizen Oversight Board meeting

**2017**

- Feb 2017: Citizen Oversight Board meeting to review agency reports on prior year activities
- Feb 2017: California Department of Education releases 2017 Proposition 39 fund allocation entitlement to LEAs
- March 2017: Citizen Oversight Board meeting to approve final report to the legislature

**Update on CCEJA Funding Allocations**

The California Clean Energy Jobs Act necessitated the creation of the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund. This fund, created in the State Treasury, provides funds for the programs of the California Clean Energy Jobs Act. The fund is capitalized each year from corporate tax receipts generated by the tax loophole closed by the original Proposition 39. Because the fund is dependent on actual tax receipts, the amount placed into the fund each year varies.

Senate Bill 73 establishes that CEC’s Local Educational Agency Proposition 39 Award Program, which focuses on K-12 schools, receives 89 percent of the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund funds allocated by the Superintendent of Public Instruction, while the California Community College Chancellor’s Office receives 11 percent allocated by the Chancellor of the California Community Colleges. The Citizen Oversight Board is tasked with providing a full accounting audit of the fund, which we commissioned from the Controller’s office in June of 2016. We expect to receive the audit report in May of 2017.

Table 1 below has been updated from last year’s report to show fiscal year 2016/17:

**Table 1: Funding Allocations for Programs of the Clean Energy Jobs Act**

<b>Program</b>	<b>State Agency</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Budget (in millions)</b>
<b><i>Energy Project Grants and Loans</i></b>			
Local Educational Agency K-12 Proposition 39 Award Program	California Energy Commission / California Department of Education	Energy Efficiency and clean energy projects	2013/14 -\$381 2014/15-\$279 2015/16-\$313.4 2016/17-\$398.8
Community College Proposition 39 Energy Program	California Community College Chancellor's Office	Energy Efficiency and clean energy projects	2013/14-*\$47 2014/15-*\$39 2015/16-*\$38.7 2016/17-*\$49.3
Energy Conservation Assistance Act Education Subaccount	California Energy Commission	Leverage: K-12 school support-0% and 1% loans	2013/14-**\$28 2014/15-**\$28 2015/16-\$0 2016/17-\$0
Bright School Program	California Energy Commission	Leverage: K-12 school and college support-technical assistance	<i>**Receives 10% of Energy Conservation Assistance Act Education Subaccount funds</i>
<b><i>Workforce Training Grants</i></b>			
Proposition 39 Pre-Apprenticeship support, training and placement grants	California Workforce Development Board	Job training/workforce development	2013/14-***\$3 2014/15-***\$3 2015/16-***\$3 2016/17-***\$3

<b>Program</b>	<b>State Agency</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Budget (in millions)</b>
Energy Corps Apprenticeship Program	California Conservation Corps	Job training/workforce development	2013/14-\$5 2014/15-\$5 2015/16-\$5.4 2016/17-\$5.5
Community College Workforce and Economic Development Division Programs	California Community College Chancellor's Office	Job training/workforce development	<i>*Receives 11.8% of CCCC Proposition 39 Energy Program funds</i>
<b><i>Job data collection and analysis</i></b>			
Proposition 39 Jobs Reporting	California Workforce Development Board	Job Data Collection and Analysis	<i>***Unfunded mandate, uses funding from Prop 39 Pre-Apprenticeship support, training and placement grants</i>
<b><i>Citizen Oversight Board staff and audit functions</i></b>			
Citizen Oversight Board		Staff and audit functions	<i>Not funded through Prop 39</i>

## **CHAPTER 2: Citizen Oversight Board Mandates, Meeting History, and Audit Progress**

The Citizen Oversight Board is composed of nine members: three members appointed by each the Treasurer, the Controller, and the Attorney General. The California Public Utilities Commission and California Energy Commission also each designate an ex-officio (non-voting) member to serve on the board. Currently the board has eight members, as we are waiting for a replacement appointment from the State Treasurer's Office.

### **Mandates of the Citizen Oversight Board**

Assembly Bill 2227<sup>7</sup> (2014) lays out the Citizen Oversight Board's main responsibilities and adds these to the Public Resource Code<sup>8</sup>

Those duties include:

- 1) Annually review all expenditures from the Job Creation Fund
- 2) Commission and review an annual independent audit of the Job Creation Fund and of a selection of projects completed to assess the effectiveness of the expenditures in meeting the objectives of this division
- 3) Publish a complete accounting of all expenditures each year, posting the information on a publicly accessible Internet Web site
- 4) Submit an evaluation of the program to the Legislature identifying any changes needed to meet the objectives of this division

As such, the two major responsibilities of the Citizen Oversight Board are to produce an annual program audit of the California Clean Energy Jobs Act, and to provide an annual report to the legislature evaluating the overall program. This report serves to meet the latter responsibility; we discuss the progress on the audit below.

### **Meeting History of the Citizen Oversight Board**

Below is a brief description of Citizen Oversight Board meetings that took place in 2016 and early 2017. Full agendas and minutes of the board are publicly available online at

---

<sup>7</sup> Assembly Bill 2227, Quirk, Chapter 683, Statutes of 2014

<sup>8</sup> Public Resource Code Section 26210-26217

[http://www.energy.ca.gov/efficiency/proposition39/citizens\\_oversight\\_board/](http://www.energy.ca.gov/efficiency/proposition39/citizens_oversight_board/). (Note that the complete meeting history of the board starting in 2015 is available in last year's legislative report.)

- **January 11, 2016:** The board heard reports from stakeholders and local education agencies on the K-12 program; report on the annual report received from the California Community College Chancellor's Office; update on the jobs report from the California Workforce Development Board. The board also formed committees to focus on this legislative report and the formal audit.
- **March 29, 2016:** The board met to review final reports from relevant agencies and approve last year's report to the legislature, among other activities.
- **May 20, 2016:** The board met to discuss entering into contract with the State Controller's Office to perform an independent audit of the job creation fund. The board voted to enter into the contract.
- **September 22, 2016:** The board met to discuss the adoption of a conflict of interest code for the board. The board also heard from the TomKat Center Stanford University Fellows Solar Schools Project, which provides K-12 schools information for solar electricity generation potential.
- **February 9, 2017:** The board met to review and vote on accepting the annual reports from the various agencies of the California Clean Energy Jobs Act including the California Energy Commission, California Community College Chancellor's Office, California Conservation Corps and the California Workforce Development Board. Also, the Board voting on accepting the jobs report from the California Workforce Development Board.
- **March 21st, 2017:** The board met to approve this year's legislative report.

## **Audit Progress of the Citizen Oversight Board**

In addition to the annual evaluation of the program to the legislature, the Citizen Oversight Board is also responsible for conducting an annual independent audit of the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund.

The Citizen Oversight Board entered into an interagency contract with the California State Controller's Office on June 30<sup>th</sup>, 2016 to provide an independent audit of the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund for three years: fiscal years 2014, 2015, and 2016.

The audit of the fund will be divided into two separate audit reports. The first report will be a financial audit looking at the financial statements of the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund of the California Department of Education conducted in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The second audit will be a performance audit of the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund aimed at reviewing a selection of completed projects from the Energy Commission K-12 Proposition 39 energy program and the

Community College Chancellor's Office Proposition 39 energy program to determine whether projects are consistent with the California Public Resource Code and program guidelines.

The California State Controller's Office has selected the sites to be audited and will complete its fieldwork of visiting the sites in February 2017, with its final reports being issued in May 2017. The Citizen Oversight Board will make those audit reports available to the public and legislature and post them online when complete.

## CHAPTER 3: Clean Energy Jobs Act Programs: Energy Projects

The California Department of Education estimates that about 70% of classrooms in the state are more than 25 years old, about 30% of them are at least 50 years old, and about 10% are at least 70 years old.<sup>9</sup> The age of the facilities, combined with deferred maintenance and regular wear and tear, has resulted in many buildings that are prime candidates for energy efficiency measures.

By implementing energy efficiency measures, many California schools have been able to reduce energy costs by as much as 30%, providing a savings on one of the few expenses for schools that can be lowered without affecting classroom instruction.<sup>10</sup> In providing grants and loans up front to California's public schools and community colleges for energy retrofits and clean energy generation, the state can provide long-term cost savings that can be used in local operations or maintenance budgets. Under the CCEJA, these grants and loans are distributed by the Energy Commission's K-12 School Energy Program and the California Community College Chancellor's Office Energy Program.




Photo Caption: LED Lighting installed at Kern Community College in Bakersfield, CA. Source: California Community College Chancellor's Office

---

<sup>9</sup> California Department of Education, School Facilities and Transportation Planning Division. Role in School Siting and Plan Approval. <http://sgc.ca.gov/pdf/April2016CouncilMeetingDOE-Presentation.pdf>

<sup>10</sup> U.S. EPA 2008b)


## K-12 Program (California Energy Commission)

Since program funding began flowing in January 2014, the Proposition 39 K-12 program has supported eligible energy efficiency measures and clean energy generation at schools within a Local Education Agency (LEA), defined as: public school districts, individual charter schools, county offices of education, and state special schools (e.g. schools serving students with special needs, such as sight- or hearing-impaired students). As of June 2016, public schools have been allocated approximately \$773 million, charter schools \$188 million, county offices of education \$13 million, and special schools at \$300,000.

Altogether, the Energy Commission's K-12 program makes up the bulk of funds of the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund. In terms of percentage these funds take up about 83% of the total amount of the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund in these first four years. The investments made to the K-12 program have allowed the California Energy Commission to support energy-savings projects all across the state, as can be seen in figure 1 below.

A full report from the California Energy Commission is attached as Appendix A. Below is a brief summary of some highlights from the report.

**Figure 1: Climate Investment Map of California**



Caption: Climate Investment Map of California showing the locations (indicated in purple) of the K-12 Prop 39 program. Source: CalEPA, [www.climateinvestmentmap.ca.gov](http://www.climateinvestmentmap.ca.gov)

## Funding Allocations to Local Education Agencies

Pursuant to SB 73, funding under the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund is allocated to Local Education Agencies (LEAs) on a formula basis. This formula-based method is 85% based on average daily attendance (ADA), also known as a “per-pupil allocation”; and 15% based on the number of students’ eligible for free and reduced-priced meals (FRPM) in the prior year.<sup>11</sup> This allocation formula includes a minimum funding award level for the LEAs with the fewest students, and ultimately places each local education agency in a four-tiered system, as illustrated below in Table 2.

**Table 2: Minimum Funding Award Levels**

Tier Levels	Average Daily Attendance Prior Year	Minimum Funding Awards
Tier 1	100 or fewer	\$15,000 plus FRPM
Tier 2	101-1,000	Based on prior year ADA or \$50,000 (whichever amount is larger) plus FRPM
Tier 3	1,001 to 1,999	Based on prior year ADA or \$100,000 (whichever amount is larger) plus FRPM
Tier 4	2,000 or more	Based on prior year ADA plus FRPM

Source: California Energy Commission

Funds allocated under this formula are available to LEAs, but to access the funds, each LEA must submit an energy expenditure plan to the California Energy Commission (CEC). Only when these plans are reviewed and approved will the CEC request that the California Department of Education distribute the requisite funding directly to the local education agencies to complete their energy projects.

Each energy expenditure plan includes information detailing the LEA’s proposed energy

<sup>11</sup> California Education Code § 46303.

efficiency measures including energy savings, energy cost savings, measure costs, rebates, and other non-repayable funds. The plan must also include an estimate of job creation benefits, with any apprenticeship programs and trainee information included if applicable.




Photo Caption: Solar Panel Project completed at Sierra View Elementary School in North Highlands, CA. Source: California Energy Commission

## **Cumulative Results: K-12 Program Funding Status**

The CEC's full report compiles the results from April 2014, when the first energy expenditure plans were approved, through the end of June 2016. In that time a total of 914 LEAs have submitted 981 energy expenditure plans for funding. Those expenditure plans equal projects that will be installed on 3,519 school sites across the state.

As of June 30, 2016, nearly \$827 million had been approved for LEAs, with \$673 million for energy projects and \$154 million set aside for energy planning. The total allocation thus far for the program totals \$973 million. The remaining allocation of funds is \$147 million.

### **Figure 2: Proposition 39 K-12 Program Overall Funding Status as of June 30, 2016**



Source: California Energy Commission

In last year’s report to the legislature, we reported relatively few completed projects given a variety of factors, including the slow start to the program (due to legislative and administrative rulemaking schedules); the fact that most LEAs perform these projects during the summer months; and the fact that a project is not considered “complete” until the LEA has collected over a year of post-project utility data. This year we see far more completed projects, giving a better illustration of the overall Prop 39 program performance. Table 3 below is a summary of Prop 39 project spending, including on projects still in the construction phase, as of June 30, 2016.



Photo Caption: HVAC being installed at Nuvview Elementary School in Nuevo, CA. Source: California Energy Commission

**Table 3: Proposition 39 K-12 Cumulative Spending as of June 30, 2016**


<b>Energy Expenditure Plan (EEP) Status</b>	<b># of EEPs</b>	<b>Prop 39 Funds Spent (in millions)</b>	<b>Total Amount Spent (in millions)</b>
EEPs with Final Project Completion Reports:	52	\$27	\$34
EEPs with Overdue Project Competition Reports	8	TBD	TBD
EEPs with Completed Projects: <i>(Final Reports Due after 6/30/16, next year's reporting period)</i>	183	\$104	\$134
EEPs Still in Construction	733	\$151	\$172
EEPs with Overdue Annual Reports	5	TBD	TBD
Energy Planning Funding - <i>Reported at time of EEP Approval</i> - <i>Reported in Final Completion Reports</i>	N/A	\$35 \$1.5	N/A
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>981</b>	<b>\$318.5</b>	<b>\$340</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

The percentage of amount actually spent vs. amount approved with energy expenditure plans continues to rise. In the last six months, the amount spent by LEAs has nearly tripled, from \$109 million reported in December 2015, to more than \$318 million as of June 30, 2016. This trend is expected to continue as LEAs move projects towards completion. Figure 3 below

compares the total funding approved and spent from the two reporting periods.

**Figure 3: Prop 39 Funding Approved and Spent by Reporting Period**




Source: California Energy Commission

### Participation Rates of LEAs

California houses a total of 2,136 LEAs, including 947 public school districts, 1,128 charter schools, 58 county offices of education, and three special schools which provide comprehensive educational programs for blind, visually impaired, or hearing impaired students. The majority of funding for approved energy expenditure plans has gone to public school districts, followed by charter schools. Figure 3 shows each type of school and the funding status of energy expenditure funding, energy planning funding, and remaining funds to be spent. As we noted last year, charter schools continue to have a lower participation rate than the K-12 schools. This may be due to a number of factors including the fact that many charter schools rent their facilities; some charter schools are in operation for only a short time; and the lack of technical knowledge within some of these smaller schools on energy-related issues.

**Figure 4: K-12 Funding Approved by Type of LEA as of June 30, 2016**



Source: California Energy Commission

Another concern we had in last year’s report was that the smaller schools and those serving disadvantaged communities might not be able to fully participate in the program due to a lack of technical capacity. However, we are happy to note in the attached CEC report that in the Prop 39 K-12 program both the disadvantaged LEAs (those with more than 50 percent of the student population participating in the free and reduced meal program, or FRMP) and the remaining LEAs in California each have a participation rate of 43%, meaning that disadvantaged LEAs are participating at the same rate as other LEAs with regards to this program. Table 4 highlights the participation rates of disadvantaged LEAs and remaining LEAs.

**Table 4: Disadvantaged LEA Participation as of June 30, 2016**

Socioeconomic Subgroup	Number of LEAs	LEAs Participating	Participation Rate
<b>Disadvantaged LEAs</b>	1,323	564	43%
<b>Remaining LEAs</b>	813	350	43%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>2,136</b>	<b>914</b>	<b>43%</b>

Source: California Energy Commission



Photo Caption: Solar Panels Installed at Price Charter Middle School in San Jose, CA.  
Source: California Energy Commission

## **Greenhouse gas emission reduction and Energy Savings**

While the Proposition 39 campaign goals were primarily focused on clean energy jobs and energy savings, the program has the additional benefit of supporting California's larger goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions. With 52 energy expenditure plan reports completed, the California Energy Commission has calculated that this program has resulted in a total annual energy savings of 13,805 megawatt hours and 54,641 therms throughout the state, reducing greenhouse gas emissions by roughly 5,080 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent annually. This is equal to the annual energy consumption of 2,053 homes, with a total annual energy cost savings of \$2,444,579. The completed projects also have the benefit of creating jobs, which are calculated by the California Workforce Development Board and detailed in Chapter 7. Table 5 below shows the cumulative summary from the previous progress report to this year.

**Table 5: Cumulative Summary of K-12 Final Project Completion Reports**

	Previous Report (as of December 2015)	Current Report (as of June 2016)
<b>Number of Completed EEPs</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>52</b>
<b><i>Spending</i></b>		
Total Gross Project Cost	\$8.6 million	<b>\$34 million</b>
Prop 39 Share	\$6.2 million	<b>\$27 million</b>
Leveraged Funding	\$2.4 million	<b>\$7 million</b>
<b><i>Annual Energy Savings</i></b>		
kWh Savings	3,005,227	<b>13,804,252</b>
Therm Savings	3,352	<b>54,641</b>
GHG Emissions Reduction	1,056 tons	<b>5,080 tons</b>
Number of Household Energy Use Equivalent Saved	<b>420 homes</b>	<b>2,053 homes</b>
<b>SIR</b>	<b>1.26</b>	1.44

Source: California Energy Commission

## **Types of Energy Measures Approved**

As we discussed in last year’s report, the majority of funding from the California Clean Energy Jobs Act has been spent on lighting and HVAC projects, because these offer the best savings-to-investment ratio and because many schools are in real need of upgrades in these areas. This year’s report from CEC shows a slight shift away from the strong focus on lighting, which is the real “low-hanging fruit” for many LEAs and also community colleges, and toward larger and more expensive HVAC and other efficiency projects. Renewable energy generation is still a very

small share of the Prop 39 project expenditures, despite regulatory changes by the CEC that made solar PV in particular easier to fund using these grants. Table 6 shows the costs and percentage of each category of energy measure approved as of June 30, 2016.

**Table 6: Summary of the Total Energy Measure Categories as of June 30, 2016**

<b>Energy Measure Category</b>	<b>Total # of Measures Approved</b>	<b>Total % of Measures Approved</b>	<b>Total Project Cost of Measures Approved</b>	<b>Total % of Project Cost of Measures Approved</b>
<b>Lighting</b>	6,092	48%	\$273,615,541	35%
<b>HVAC &amp; Lighting Controls</b>	2,762	22%	\$73,061,454	9%
<b>HVAC</b>	1,926	15%	\$305,305,978	39%
<b>Other Energy Efficiency Measures</b>	1,521	12%	\$37,411,311	5%
<b>Self-Generation (PV)</b>	297	2%	\$83,988,166	12%
<b>Commissioning (BMCx) and Retro-Commissioning (RCx)</b>	121	1%	\$2,859,187	>.01%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>12,719</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$776,241,637</b>	<b>100%</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

All in all, we are impressed by the increase in spending by LEAs since last year's report, and by the geographic diversity of the projects taking advantage of this program. As we note in the recommendation section, we see some opportunities for improvement, most importantly in ensuring that the program encumbrance deadline is extended to ensure all schools can participate in the current program. We also recommend the entire program be re-upped and funded for another five years.

## **Community College Program (California Community College Chancellor's Office)**

The California Community Colleges is the largest system of higher education in the nation, with 2.1 million students attending 113 community college campuses, with over 67% of students from diverse ethnic backgrounds.<sup>12</sup> Many times these colleges not only consist of a main campus, but also include a large number of additional facilities throughout the state. As with K-12 school facilities, the age of many of these buildings has provided fertile ground for energy-efficiency projects, which can help improve the overall building envelope, yield monetary savings for community college districts, and create a better educational environment for students across California.

### **Identifying Projects**

At the time Proposition 39 became available to the Community College Chancellor's Office, that office already had a backlog of potential energy efficiency projects based on a 2013 "Call for Projects" list. This already-established list, along with a pre-existing project backlog established in 2012, and the fact that funding for the community colleges is all distributed out of one central office rather than spread across over a thousand individual school sites (as is the case with the K-12 funding), allowed the Facilities Planning & Utilization division of Community College Chancellor's Office to begin allocating funding to projects on a very fast track.<sup>13</sup> To date, the Community College Chancellor's Office Energy Program has identified 735 energy projects, of which 254 have been completed.

Using its existing "Call for Projects" list, the Facilities Planning & Utilization Office had already developed a sustainably template for college districts to identify, screen, prioritize, and implement projects. This template follows California's "loading order" of energy resources

---

<sup>12</sup> California Community College Chancellor's Office. California Community Colleges Key Facts. <http://californiacommunitycolleges.cccco.edu/policyinaction/keyfacts.aspx>

<sup>13</sup> California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office. Revised 2014. California Community Colleges Proposition 39 Implementation Guidelines. 6. 2014

established in 2003 in the state’s first Energy Action Plan, and requires districts to prioritize energy efficiency and demand response projects, followed by renewable energy generation, distributed generation, combined heat and power applications, and clean and efficient fossil-fired generation.



Photo Caption: New LED lighting being installed at El Camino Community College in Torrance, CA Source: California Community College Chancellor's Office

## Funding Overview

The Community College Chancellor’s Office was allocated 37.5 million for fiscal year 2014-15 and approximately 38.7 million for fiscal year 2015-16 from the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund. The Workforce & Economic Development division of the Community College Chancellor's Office also runs workforce programs that will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 5. Below in table 7 is the Community College Chancellor’s Office allocation of funds.

**Table 7: Community College Chancellor’s Office allocation of Funds for fiscal years 2014-15 and 2015-16 (through June 30, 2016)**

<b>CCCO Division Allocation</b>	<b>Allocation percentage (rounded)</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>
<b>Facilities Planning &amp; Utilization – District Allocation</b>	84%	\$31,595,000	\$32,672,000
<b>Facilities Planning &amp; Utilization - Consulting Contract</b>	3%	\$1,115,000	\$1,115,000
<b>Workforce &amp; Economic Development</b>	13%	\$4,790,000	\$4,950,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>37,500,000</b>	<b>38,737,000</b>

Source: California Community College Chancellor’s Office

Funds are allocated to individual community college districts based upon each district's percentage of total system-wide full-time equivalent students.

### **Energy Savings and Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction**

The Community College Chancellor’s Office projects have generated a savings of 30 million kilowatt-hours and nearly 317,000 gas therms resulting in \$4 million in energy cost savings. This is the equivalent of powering more than 5,000 homes. The completed projects have also

created 300 one-year jobs in construction and construction related fields and eight training years in the community served by the districts. Still, much more savings is expected to take place in the coming years, as another 481 projects within the Community College Chancellor’s Office are currently moving towards completion. Table 8 gives the summary of the Community College completed projects for fiscal years 2014-15 and 2015-16.

**Table 8: Cumulative Summary of Community College Final Project Reports to Date**

	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>
Completed projects	102	152
<b><i>Spending</i></b>		
Total Gross Project Cost	\$24.3 million	\$30.6 million
Total Prop 39 Share	\$ 16.6 million	\$ 18.6 million
Total Leveraged Funding with incentives	\$ 3.2 million	\$ 2.8 million
Total Districts Share of Cost to complete projects	\$4.4 million	9.3 million
<b><i>Annual Energy Savings</i></b>		
kWh Savings	13,653,884	16,249,388
Therm Savings	175,042	141,524
GHG Emission Reduction	5457 tons	6430 tons
Number of Household Energy Use Equivalent Saved	2334 homes	2611 homes
		Numbers rounded

Source: California Community College Chancellor’s Office



Photo Caption: New LED lighting at Porterville College in Porterville, CA  
Source: California Community College Chancellor's Office

## Types of Energy Projects

Similar to the California Energy Commission's K-12 program, many college districts have opted to first start with projects that are easy to install and have a faster return on investment. Again, these are mostly lighting and HVAC projects.

The last two years of data show a similar trend to the California Energy Commission's K-12 program emerges. As more time consuming multi-year projects are finished, there is a greater balance of project types, away from lighting only and toward more HVAC, controls, and other efficiency projects. As with the K-12 program, self-generation projects such as solar PV make up a very small percent of all Community College projects—likely because of the required loading order which prioritizes efficiency. Table 9 contains the count and percentage of each type of project for fiscal year 2014-15 and 2015-16.

**Table 9: Summary of Energy Measure Categories (through June 30, 2016)**

Project Type	FY-2014-15		FY-2015-16		Total	
	Count	Percentage of Year 2 Projects	Count	Percentage of Year 3 Projects	Total Count	Percentage of Total Projects
<b>Lighting</b>	65	64%	90	59%	155	61%
<b>HVAC</b>	19	19%	25	16%	44	17%
<b>Controls (combined lighting and HVAC controls)</b>	11	11%	32	21%	43	17%
<b>Self-Generation</b>	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%
<b>MBCx/RCx</b>	1	1%	2	1%	3	1%
<b>Other energy measures</b>	3	3%	2	1%	5	2%
<b>Tech Assist</b>	3	3%	0	0%	3	1%
<b>Total Projects</b>	102	100%	100%	100%	254	100%

Source: California Community College Chancellor's Office

## CHAPTER 4: Loans and Technical Assistance Grants

In order to help LEAs better leverage Prop 39 funds, and to drive more private investment to energy efficiency and clean energy projects across the state, Senate Bill 73 included a section allowing program funding to be allocated to assistance grants. For the first 2 years of the program, funds were given to the State Energy Conservation Assistance Account-Education (ECAA-Ed) loan program and a part of ECAA-Ed, the Bright School Program, both implemented by the California Energy Commission for these purposes. Additional information for both of these programs is available in the Energy Commission's report in Appendix A.

### ECAA-Ed and Bright Schools Funding

Funding allocated to the Energy Commission from the Job Creation Fund in fiscal years 2013-14 and 2014-15 for no-interest revolving loans and technical assistance equaled \$56 million. No funding was allocated in fiscal years 2015-16 and 2016-17. Table 10 shows the funding received.

**Table 10: ECAA-Ed and Bright Schools Program Allocations**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>ECAA-Ed Financing (loans)</b>	<b>Bright Schools (technical assistance)</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>2013-14</b>	\$25,291,524	\$2,708,476	\$28,000,000
<b>2014-15</b>	\$25,200,000	\$2,800,000	\$28,000,000
<b>2015-16</b>	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$50,491,524</b>	<b>\$5,508,476</b>	<b>\$56,000,000</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

## Energy Conservation Assistance Account – Education (ECAA-Ed) Loans

The California Energy Commission’s ECAA program is a revolving loan fund providing low interest and no-interest financing to eligible entities for energy efficiency, demand reduction, and generation projects. The program’s current interest rate has been set to zero percent.

Any LEA or community college district with projects in the Energy Commission’s K-12 energy project program or California Community College Chancellor's Office community college energy program is eligible to apply for ECAA-Ed loans. As of June 30, 2016, 29 ECAA-Ed loans were approved by the Energy Commission, representing \$46.4 million of the total \$50.4 million allocated to the loan program. \$4.72 million remains in the ECAA-Ed account and is available to LEAs and community college districts. Within the 29 approved loans, 10 have completed their projects, and one has filed a completion report due 12-15 months after completion. To date, there have been no defaults on the repayment of funds from completed projects.

A complete list of the recipients of the ECAA-Ed loan program is available in the California Energy Commission’s report in Appendix A.



Caption: Bright School Audit at Leggett Valley School in Leggett, CA.

Source: California Energy Commission

## Bright School Program

Ten percent of the funds allocated by the California Clean Energy Jobs Act to ECAA-Ed, in the first two years the program was funded, were dedicated to assist eligible entities with technical assistance in order to identify qualifying energy efficiency, demand reduction, and generation projects. The technical assistance program under ECAA-Ed is known as the Bright School Program; this program assists public and nonprofit K-12 schools in identifying energy saving projects in existing and planned facilities before applying for their Prop 39 energy project funds.

Technical assistance for energy audits can be particularly expensive, creating a barrier for smaller schools that need an energy audit to apply for Prop 39 energy project funds. The Bright School program allows smaller schools to pay for technical assistance up to \$20,000 per LEA or community college district. This allows smaller schools, without the upfront cost, to identify energy-savings projects and plan renovations for use with Prop 39 energy project funds.

The Bright Schools Program has delivered energy audits to 260 sites across the state, with their energy measure recommendations representing an estimated annual savings of nearly 22,000 MWh of electricity and 261,439 therms of natural gas. This has resulted in more than a \$3.6 million in utility costs savings for program participants.

The program expenditures as of June 30, 2016 are detailed in Table 11 below and a complete list of the program participants with detailed annual savings can be found in the California Energy Commission's report in Appendix A.

**Table 11: Bright Schools Program Encumbrance and Expenditures as of June 30, 2016**

<b>Total Allocation</b>	<b>\$5,600,000</b>
<b>Contract Amount Encumbered</b>	<b>\$5,508,476</b>
<b>Expenditures as of 6/30/16</b>	<b>\$2,306,676</b>
<b>Contract Balance</b>	<b>\$3,201,800</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

## CHAPTER 5: Workforce Programs and Grants

As California strengthens its climate and clean energy goals, there will be increasing demand for trained and qualified energy workers to fill new positions. The California Clean Energy Jobs Act, for example, creates a new demand for clean energy workers by allowing K-12 schools and community colleges to hire project evaluation and construction teams where they might not otherwise have done so. Because of this, one of the CCEJAs main priorities is to allocate workforce development grants and programs in order to better prepare California's future workforce meet the skills and demand from businesses supporting energy efficiency and clean energy sectors.

The CCEJA workforce training programs take a multi-faceted approach to helping prepare California's workforce for clean energy jobs by focusing on basic skills for those interested in clean energy and efficiency careers. The three programs include the California Workforce Development Board Apprenticeship Grants, the California Community College Chancellor's Office Workforce Development Division Grants, and the California Conservation Corps Energy Corps Program.

These three workforce programs have different goals. The California Workforce Development Board Apprenticeship Grants offer paid pre-apprenticeship programs to at-risk youth, veterans, and disadvantaged job seekers, aimed at building the basic skills needs to join apprenticeship programs for the skilled trades. This training is specifically focused on building and maintaining a skilled, diverse workforce across California's trades. The California Community College Chancellor's Office of Workforce Development Division Grants offers workforce development programs in energy, construction, and utilities for current community college students, often through regional partnerships that also include representatives from the building trades and construction industry. Finally, the largest program, the California Conservation Corps Energy Corp, trains young adults age 18 to 25 and recently returned veterans up to age 29 in the classroom and through onsite energy surveys and retrofits. The program is focused mainly on training youth for entry-level jobs in energy fields. A more detailed look at the workforce programs of the CCEJA is included in Appendix C and D.

### **California Workforce Development Board Apprenticeship Grants**

The California Workforce Development Board Apprenticeship Grant Program has received \$3 million per year for the last four fiscal years from the California Clean Energy Jobs Act to implement and support energy efficiency-focused pre-apprenticeship training pilot programs. Local apprenticeship communities, local Building Trades Councils, workforce development boards, community colleges, the California Conservation Corps, and other community-based organizations have all worked together to ensure these grants create opportunities for

disadvantaged California jobs seekers to earn industry-valued credentials and enter into pre-apprenticeship with a goal of a state-certified apprenticeship or direct employment in the energy efficiency and construction sectors.



Caption: Nichelle H., Apprentice with Laborers Local 261.  
Source: California Workforce Development Board

## Grants Awarded

The California Workforce Development Board uses CCEJA funds to provide grants in three program areas: technical assistance & capacity building, development, and training implementation. The first round of grants was awarded in February of 2014 and is continuing to fund six training implementation projects around the state. In 2016, the CWDB apprenticeship grant program launched its Prop 39 2.0 grants to add nine new grantees to build upon the first round of grants.

The first round of grants for technical assistance and capacity training, which were sent out in February 2014, were made to the California Labor Federation- WED Program and the Emerald Cities Collaborative, while the second round of grants in September of 2016 were made to the East Bay Alliance for Sustainable Economy (Ebase) and the California Labor Federation-WED Program.<sup>14</sup>

The second type of grants, grants for development, were provided in February 2014 to the Workforce Investment Board of Santa Cruz County and the Marin County Building Trades, while the second round of grants in September of 2016 went to Orange County Development Board and the North Central Counties Consortium (NCCC).<sup>15</sup>

Finally, the first set of training implementation grants were provided in February 2014 to six entities including the Fresno Regional Workforce Investment Board, Los Angeles Trade Technical College, Richmond Workforce Investment Board, Sacramento Employment and

---

<sup>14</sup> Proposition 39 Pre-Apprenticeship Support, Training and Placement Grants, [https://cwdb.ca.gov/files/2016/08/Prop39\\_2.0\\_1-10-17-Web\\_AnnouncementV2.pdf](https://cwdb.ca.gov/files/2016/08/Prop39_2.0_1-10-17-Web_AnnouncementV2.pdf)

<sup>15</sup> Ibid.1

Training Agency, San Francisco Conservation Corps, and work2future (Silicon Valley Workforce Investment Network).<sup>16</sup> Each of these entities was also awarded an additional round of funding in early 2017 to extend their programs.<sup>17</sup> In September of 2016, another five training implementation programs were also awarded, including the Urban Corps of San Diego, Rising Sun Energy Center, Flintridge Center, Monterey County Economic Development, and the Marin County Building Trades.

Table 12 contains the amount awarded to each of the grantees in the latest round of California Workforce Development Board Proposition 39 2.0 awards.

**Table 12: Proposition 39 2.0 Awards awarded September 2016**

<b>Grantees</b>	<b>Awarded</b>
<b><i>Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Grants</i></b>	
East Bay Alliance for Sustainable Economy (Ebase)	\$150,000
California Labor Federation-WED Program	\$149,980
<b><i>Development Grants</i></b>	
Orange County Development Board	\$50,000
North Central Counties Consortium (NCCC)	\$50,000
<b><i>Training Implementation Grants</i></b>	
Urban Corps of San Diego	\$339,343.50
Rising Sun Energy Center	\$400,000
Flintridge Center	\$304,962

<sup>16</sup> Ibid. 1

<sup>17</sup> California Workforce Development. Proposition 39 1.0 Awards. <https://cwdb.ca.gov/files/2016/08/Prop-39-1.0-Ext-1-5-17.pdf>

Monterey County Economic Development	\$400,000
Marin County Building Trades	\$347,600
<b>Total Awarded</b>	<b>\$2,191,885.50</b>

Source: California Workforce Development Board

### Performance of the Training Implementation Programs

The overall goal statewide for training implementation programs is to create pathways for individuals from disadvantaged and underserved communities to gain middle-class careers in the union building trades. The California Workforce Development Board’s funded programs provide job seekers, which include at risk youth, women, veterans, and other disadvantaged groups, multiple avenues to employment.

In order to achieve this, a successful program provides much more than just the base curriculum. Services range from financial literacy and benefits coordination to case-management, mentoring, and peer support. In addition, the 11 pilot programs address prerequisites to apprenticeship, helping job seekers get GEDs as well as helping with driver’s license requirements. With these services, as well as the Multi-Craft Core Curriculum and active involvement in the local building trades has led to success in the attainment of industry-valued credentials and retention in employment or state-certified apprenticeship with increased income and benefits.

The first six grants for training implementation have been active for almost three years, since that time significant improvement has been made in reaching target numbers. Table 13 below gives a performance snapshot as of December 31, 2016 on the enrollment rates, completed training (which includes MC3 training), and job placement percentages.

More information is available in Appendix C. *Note that a more detailed look at job creation under the California Clean Energy Jobs Act is provided in Chapter 7.*

**Table 13: Performance Snapshot of Training Programs as of Dec. 31, 2016**

Project	Enrollment	Completed Training	Placement
Fresno Regional Workforce	85%	73%	81%

Investment Board-			
Los Angeles Trade Technical College	74%	42%	100%
Richmond Workforce Investment Board	104%	98%	71%
Sacramento Employment and Training Agency	98%	64%	100%
San Francisco Conservation Corps	96%	64%	54%
work2future (Silicon Valley Workforce Investment Network)	88%	98%	73%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>78%</b>

Source: California Workforce Development Board

## California Community College Chancellor's Office Workforce Development Division Grants

While the bulk of funds to the California Community College Chancellor's Office go towards the Facilities Planning Utilization Unit for energy projects, about 13% of these funds are allocated towards the Workforce and Economic Development Division for use in job training and workforce development projects.<sup>18</sup> For fiscal year 2014/15 the program received \$4.79 million, and for fiscal year 2015/16 \$4.95 million.<sup>19</sup> With these funds, the Workforce and Economic Development Division have awarded five grants through an application process around the state. The grants are designed to improve the skills of community college students to properly install and maintain energy efficient systems and equipment. The grants are divided into purchasing new equipment, creating and improving the curriculum for students, and providing professional development for faculty to support collaboration.

### Grants Awarded

<sup>18</sup> Chancellor's Office Workforce & Economic Development Division. California Community Colleges 2013-2016 Summary on Prop 39. March 16, 1

<sup>19</sup> Ibid., 1

The five grants awarded are divided into five regional groups, with one college district acting as the lead fiscal agent for each group.<sup>20</sup> Table 14 below is the five regional areas and the funding each area receives for Year 2 of the community college grant program.

**Table 14: Allocation of Grant Funds by Region as of June 30, 2016**

<b>College Region</b>	<b>Equipment Purchased</b>	<b>Program Improvement</b>	<b>Total Awarded</b>
<b>North/Far North</b> - (Butte, Consumnes River, American River, Mendocino, Sacramento City, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou)	\$365,520	\$50,294	\$415,814
<b>Bay Area</b> - (Cabrillo, Diablo Valley, Foothill, Laney, Las Positas, San Jose City, San Mateo, Santa Rose, Skyline)	\$50,830	\$249,170	\$300,000
<b>Central/Mother Lode/Coast</b> - (Allan Hancock, Antelope Valley, Bakersfield, Canyons, Cerro Coso, Columbia, Cuesta, Fresno City, Merced, Modesto Junior, Moorpark, Oxnard, Porterville, Reedley, San Joaquin Delta, Santa Barbara, Sequoias, Taft, West Hills Coalinga)	\$190,969	\$164,166	\$355,135
<b>Los Angeles/Orange County</b> - (East Los Angeles, El Camino, Glendale Community, Los Angeles Trade-Technical, Los Angeles Valley, Mt. San Antonio, Rio Hondo, Santa Monica, Santiago Canyon)	\$147,160	\$1,384,014	\$1,531,174
<b>San Diego/Imperial/Desert</b> -(Barstow, Chaffey, Desert, Imperial Valley, MiraCosta, Norco, Palo Verde, Palomar, Riverside City San Bernardino Valley, San Diego City, Victor Valley)	<b>\$201,421</b>	<b>\$65,487</b>	<b>\$266,908</b>
		<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,869,031</b>

Source: California Community College Chancellor's Office

<sup>20</sup> Division of Workforce and Economic Development *RFA Specification Number 13-177*, Dec 13, 4

This allocation can also be broken out by the type of investments made to meet California's energy efficiency and renewable energy mandates. Table 14 shows the \$2,869,031 investments made in Year 2 divided by education and training program categories.

## Performance of Grants

One way to demonstrate the positive achievements of a college level grant program is by the number of successful student completions per year. For Year 2 of the community college grant program more than 5,000 students completed degrees, certificates or industry certifications. The number of approved certificates and degrees were distributed as follows:

- 772 AA/AS Degrees
- 800 Certificates (6-8 units)
- 1,247 Certificates (>18 units)
- 2,590 Industry/Apprenticeship Certificates

The approved certificates and degrees earned in energy efficiency courses distributed regionally include: **533** from the North/Far North region, **725** from the Bay Area region, **1,055** from the Central/Mother Lode/Coast region, **2,133** from the Los Angeles/Orange County region and **963** from the San Diego/Imperial/Desert region. The California Community Colleges do not track the specific job placements of these students after graduation.

## California Conservation Corps - Energy Corps Program

The final CCEJA contribution to preparing California's future workforce to meet the skills and demand from the growing energy efficiency and clean energy sectors is the California Conservation Corps - Energy Corps Program. Founded by Governor Brown in 1976, the California Conservation Corps is made up of four dedicated energy centers, 26 operating locations, and 14 local conservation corps around the state. Its Corpsmembers have spent more than 30 years engaging in Energy Efficiency (EE) and Renewable Energy (RE) projects and programs throughout California.



Photo Caption: California Conservation Corp classroom.  
Source: California Conservation Corps

## Funding Allocation

Over a period of four fiscal years, the CCC has been allocated a total of \$ 21.073 million to provide energy industry services on behalf of K-12 LEAs throughout California. Table 15 shows the fiscal allocations to the Energy Corps program from the Job Creation Fund.

**Table 15: Allocation of Funds by Fiscal Year**

Fiscal Year	Allocation	Funds Encumbered
2013-14	\$5,007,000	4,050,000
2014-15	\$5,000,000	4,374,000
2015-16	\$5,406,000	5,319,000
2016-17	\$5,660,000	
Total	\$21,073,000	

Source: California Conservation Corps

## Energy Corps Industry Training

Corpsmembers (young adults age 18 to 25 and recently returned veterans up to age 29) and Staff are trained by industry experts, CCC Staff, and Energy Corps partners to operate and understand clean energy efficiency and renewable energy projects. Training consists of both traditional classroom based learning environments and extensive on-the-job work experience

for both Energy Opportunity Surveys and energy efficiency lighting and control retrofit installations.

From the beginning of the program through February 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2017 the Energy Corp program has trained 553 Corpsmembers and 37 Staff to perform Energy Opportunity Surveys. The program has also trained 301 Corpsmembers and 22 Staff to perform energy efficient lighting and control retrofit installations. Table 16 provides details on the number of trainees and hours for each calendar year of the program.

**Table 16: Energy Corps Energy Surveys Provided to LEAs**

Year	Projects surveyed	LEAS surveyed	Buildings surveyed	Square footage surveyed
2013	5	129	47	218,776
2014	979	244	9,677	53,773,464
2015	161	19	1,397	9,115,576
2016	192	16	1,532	6,563,081
Total	1,337	408	12,653	69,670,897

Source: California Conservation Corps



Photo Caption: California Conservation Corpsmember conducting energy opportunity survey. Source: California Conservation Corps

## **Retrofit Services**

Some Corpsmembers go beyond energy surveys to provide actual retrofit services on specific projects. In mid-2015 the Energy Corps began providing CCEJA-funded energy efficient lighting retrofit installation for local education agencies throughout the state.

## **Energy Industry Employment**

While the CCC does not keep detailed statistics on job placement, to date there have been 49 Energy Corps Corpsmembers that have self-reported having been hired directly into positions in energy industry companies before the end of their planned tenure with the California Conservation Corps. Another 52 Energy Corps Corpsmembers have been hired away from other companies. The performance of Corpsmembers working with energy companies on energy efficient projects has been positively received, resulting in Energy Corpsmembers being awarded scholarships from energy industry companies that have worked directly with the Corpsmembers.

## CHAPTER 6: Jobs Numbers: Quality and Quantity

By its very name, the California Clean Energy Jobs Act makes it clear that although reducing energy and saving money for schools are important objectives, the program is laser focused on workforce development and job creation in the energy efficiency and clean energy sectors in California. As noted earlier, the program provides job training funds across several agencies to advance this objective. It also includes a mandate to quantify job creation from the program, a task undertaken by the California Workforce Development Board.

Proposition 39 is unusual in that injects completely *new* funds into the state economy—funds that were freed up through closing a tax loophole, not by moving monies from one industry sector or initiative to another. As such, jobs created from programs using these funds are truly new jobs for the state of California.

To evaluate the program’s job creation objectives, the Workforce Development Board is required—but not separately funded—to collect, analyze, and report on job creation on an annual basis. To support this analysis, the Workforce Development Board has moved funding out of its existing training and apprenticeship budget. Because of this funding limitation and a corresponding lack of internal capacity, the Workforce Development Boards report address the job impact of only the Energy Commission’s K-12 energy program, which comprises over 80% of the funds from the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund.

This section contains an overview of the job results from the California Workforce Development Board. These jobs are calculated using an IMPLAN model that estimates job creation and economic value based on the Proposition 39 investment of \$752 million into specific industries, from the beginning of the program through June 2016. Attached in Appendix E of this report is the California Workforce Development Board’s Job Creation and Quality report that contains more detailed information on methodology.

### Job Creation Results

Jobs created from California Energy Commission’s K-12 energy program are measured by modeling the economic impact created by the actual disbursement of funds, not the final program expenditures, as these are not reported until a full year after project completion. Using this accounting approach, 977 projects have been approved as of the third quarter of 2016. This accounts for \$705.4 million. Approximately 98% of these funds support construction activities while the remaining 2% support energy managers and training- a reality that refutes early critics of the program, who believed that the majority of funds were being spent on consultants rather than actual projects.

The impact of the California Energy Commission’s K-12 energy program on the local, regional,

and state economies is greater than the total of the program’s direct spending costs from payroll. In other words, it is important to not only look at direct jobs (e.g. construction workers on-site), but also jobs that are created indirectly (e.g. transportation of equipment), and jobs that are induced (e.g. food and retail workers brought on at nearby venues to meet demand created by construction crews). As of the last quarter of 2016 with the investment of \$705.4 million, the program created 4,417 direct jobs or 5.9 jobs per million dollars of investment. The Workforce Development Board has estimated an additional 1,934 indirect and 3,705 induced jobs created by the project, resulting in a total job creation figure of 10,056. Figure 5 below shows the employment impact created from California Energy Commission’s K-12 program.

**Figure 5: Employment Impact from February 2014 until June 2016 of Energy Commission’s K-12 Energy Program**



Source: California Workforce Development Board, IMPLAN Economic Impact Software. Note that jobs here are calculated as “job-years”: that is, one full-time job for a year. This is a standard way of calculating construction jobs.

Jobs are not the only indicator of economic activity: the direct investment of \$752 million into California Energy Commission’s K-12 energy program shows it actually stimulated an additional \$361 million in indirect spending and \$587 million in induced spending, for a total economic of reaching \$1.7 billion. This increase in economic activity in turn has generated an additional \$88 million in state and local tax revenue from sales, personal, and corporate income, and property taxes. Table 17 shows the economic and fiscal impact of the California Energy Commission’s K-12 energy program on California.

**Table 17: Economic and Fiscal Impact Calculated as of June 2016**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Employment Numbers</b>	<b>Economic Activity Generated</b>
Direct jobs	4,417 jobs	\$751.7 million
Indirect jobs	1,934 jobs	\$361 million
Induced jobs	3,705 jobs	\$587 million
Tax Revenue	N/A	\$88.2 million
<i>Multipliers</i>	<i>2.277</i>	<i>2.262</i>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>10,056 jobs</b>	<b>\$1.7 billion</b>

Source: California Workforce Development Board

## **Job Quality Results**

When looking at job creation, it is as important to focus on job *quality* as on job *quantity*. One of the original goals of Prop 39 was to create high-quality jobs in California: jobs that pay family-supporting wages, with benefits, and that provide career ladders to even better opportunities both within and outside the clean energy field.

In order to figure out the quality of jobs created by this program, the California Workforce Development Board reviewed certified payroll records that have been reported to the California Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) as part of compliance with prevailing wage laws. This information includes the job classification of each worker, the hourly wage rate, and the number of hours each employee worked on each project.

The main job classifications and their relative distribution on California Energy Commission’s K-12 energy program show that much of the workforce needs to be highly skilled in specialty trades, such as skilled electricians, plumbers, pipefitters, sheetmetal workers, carpenters, glaziers, and roofers. This is not surprising given the large number of lighting and HVAC projects, as well as lighting controls and other energy efficiency measures. Table 18 below shows the distribution of hours worked for each job category.

**Table 18: Job Categories of the K-12 Program**


<b>Building System</b>	<b>Job Category</b>	<b>Percentage of Hours Worked</b>
HVAC	Plumbers/Pipefitters	6%
	Sheetmetal Workers	8%
Lighting	Electricians	18%
Building Envelope	Carpenters	16%
	Asbestos Workers	4%
	Flooring Workers	2%
	Glaziers	1%
	Painters and Plasterers	5%
	Roofers	5%
General Construction Support	Laborers	21%
Other	Cement, HVAC, Iron, Operating, other Skilled and Unskilled construction workers	14%

Source: California Workforce Investment Board

Another important aspect of job creation is the training opportunities and apprenticeships earned as a result of the California Energy Commission’s K-12 energy program. Apprentices working in trades for public work contractors not only gain occupational skills, but are also given a good salary while completed on-the-job training. State certified apprenticeships allow

public work contractors to have employees ready with the skills and expertise required for their field. Figure 6 below shows the distribution of the training level of workers working on California Energy Commission’s K-12 energy program.

**Figure 6: Distribution of Workers by Training Level (K-12 Program)**



Source: California Workforce Development Board

Those workers employed to work on California Energy Commission’s K-12 energy program projects are generally well-paid and include health and retirement benefits, meeting California’s prevailing wage policy. According to the data from the Department of Industrial Relations, wages range from \$48.22 an hour for journey electricians to \$36.32 per hour for laborers. Table 19 shows the average wage rate for each type of job category.

**Table 19: Average Hourly Wage Rate by Job Category**

<b>Job Category</b>	<b>Average Wage Rate*</b>
<b>Electricians</b>	\$48.22
<b>Carpenters</b>	\$44.47
<b>Sheetmetal Workers</b>	\$44.73
<b>Plumbers/Pipefitters</b>	\$45.87
<b>Laborers</b>	\$36.32
<b>Others</b>	\$40.39
<b>Apprentices</b>	\$24.75

\*Average wages weighted by hours worked, adjusted to 2016 dollars.

Source: California Workforce Development Board

Overall the California Workforce Development Board analysis shows that the investment into clean energy and energy efficient projects in California creates multiple benefits for the California economy. As the investments to energy projects continues to be made, more benefits are sure to be seen in the near future.

## CHAPTER 7: Citizen Oversight Board Findings and Recommendations

As should be clear from the section's above, Proposition 39's administration involves multiple agencies and institutions across the states. The Citizen Oversight Board is the only body involved in the program that has the opportunity to work across agencies, whether through our preparation for this report, our public meetings, or our audit process.

As such, we are in a good position to offer high-level findings and recommendations for the Proposition 39 program. We hope these recommendations are useful to both legislators and agency staff.

### Findings

In general, we are pleased with the progress that has been made this past year under the California Clean Energy Jobs Act—the implementing legislation of Proposition 39. Last year we had relatively few completed projects to review, given the delay between the November 2012 vote on Proposition 39 and the actual legislation and regulations required to put that program into effect. This year, we were able to review significantly more completed projects, and are impressed with their geographic diversity, energy savings, and job creation. In particular, we are very happy to see that the participation rates are similar between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged LEAs, possibly reflecting the fact that CEC staff took seriously our recommendation from last year on better outreach to smaller, less well-resourced schools.

We are also extremely impressed with the job training aspects of this program across the CCC, community colleges, and Workforce Development Board—especially the latter, which has demonstrated real progress in placing youth, veterans, and formerly incarcerated Californians into pre-apprenticeship programs that put them into a position to gain high-quality, career-track jobs in the building trades.

We believe this program is creating real value for the state of California, and is contributing to the state's larger education, energy, climate, and economic development goals. As we note below, **we strongly believe the program should be extended for an additional five years to allow for continued progress toward these goals in the coming years.**

## Recommendations:

- 1) Extend the CCEJA program another five years, and fix the encumbrance dates to allow for maximum participation.** We believe the California Clean Energy Jobs Act has demonstrated real success and that the legislature should consider extending and funding it past the initial 5-year period. Under such an extension, the legislature would also need to extend the “encumbrance period” for an additional year beyond the new 5-year term. This would address an issue that is a problem under the current program as well.

As the CEC notes in its attached report: “The June 30, 2018, encumbrance date in statute limits the use of funding in the final 2017-18 fiscal year. A local educational agency must submit an EEP to the Energy Commission no later than August 1, 2017, to complete the steps necessary for encumbering funds by the end of the 2017-18 fiscal year.” Because of this deadline, LEAs have to submit their EEPs *before* they have any information from the state Department of Education about exactly how much money they are entitled to apply for. As the CEC notes, “This deadline effectively shortens the program by eleven months, cutting projected benefits such as job creation, energy and cost savings, and non-energy health benefits. The program is more than halfway through the funding period, yet fewer than half of eligible 2,136 LEAs have requested energy project funding. If this trend continues, funds will remain unencumbered as of June 30, 2018.” Our recommendation, then, is to extend the entire program by 5 years, plus an additional year for encumbrance, or—in the event of non-extension—to at least tack on an additional encumbrance period to the current program.

- 2) Revisit Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) for schools meeting specific conditions.** One issue we’ve heard about the current program is that the savings-to-investment ratio (SIR) is hard to meet for schools that are located in areas with publicly-owned utilities, which often have very low energy rates. It can also be hard to meet for those schools that are not up to code and lack existing funds for basic maintenance. We would like to encourage the legislature and CEC to explore the idea of giving more flexibility in the Clean Energy Jobs Act definition of “cost-effectiveness” in these areas, so that schools with truly pressing non-energy issues (e.g. asbestos) would be able to include fixing these issues as non-energy benefits in the savings-to-investment (SIR) calculation.
- 3) Re-invest in loan and technical assistance programs.** We have been very encouraged by the performance of the loan and technical assistance programs, ECAA-Ed and Bright Schools—programs that were not funded after the second year of the program. ECAA-Ed allows for more funding to be targeted at a broader range of schools, and also—

importantly for a state interested in fiscal discipline—are self-perpetuating, as schools pay back funds out of their energy savings. As the attached CEC report makes clear, not one of the participating schools has defaulted on a loan, underscoring the fiscal value of the program. We recommend reinstating funding for the current ECAA-Ed program and increasing it for any program extension. In addition, we know from our three years of watching this program that many schools, especially those in more remote or disadvantaged areas, would benefit from more technical assistance from the CEC as they struggle through the program application. We recommend that the legislature reinstate funding for the Bright Schools program, which provides this kind of technical assistance.

- 4) Increase support to Workforce Development Board for jobs analysis.** Job training and creation were key reasons for the passage of Proposition 39 and were behind the legislation, appropriately titled the “Clean Energy JOBS Act.” Job numbers from the program have also been the source of political focus. Currently, the Workforce Development Board is responsible for “counting” the jobs from Prop 39, but they are expected to do so without any appropriated funding. Moreover, they are only in a position now to try and calculate jobs from the K-12 program. We recommend the WDB receive funding and capacity support in this endeavor, tied to a requirement to provide jobs analysis not just for the K-12 program, but for the other agency programs as well. Ideally this would include analysis on job placement in the training programs provided by CCC, the community colleges, and the pre-apprenticeship programs as well.
- 5) Increase support to California Energy Commission for program administration.** Similarly, the CEC, which currently administers the largest chunk of funding over the most disparate grantees in the CCEJA, lacks appropriated funding to do this work. We recommend the CEC receive funding and capacity support to continue its work in processing EEPs, providing technical support to schools struggling with the SIR and other elements of program applications, providing program information and assistance on the CEC website, and generally serving as the front line of the program to the state’s K-12 schools.
- 6) Identify ways to increase participation rates of charter schools.** We continue to be concerned about the low participation rates of charter schools, which are their own independent LEAs under the terms of this program. We recommend that the legislature and CEC work together to identify barriers to charter school participation, and to consider ways to increase involvement especially from those charter schools that are located within, and using the facilities of, public school districts.
- 7) Target unspent or new program funds for “innovation grants”.** As can be seen from the CEC’s report on K-12 projects to date, there are currently a number of schools that

have not accessed their CCEJA program funds. While some of these schools may do so by banking funds at the end of the program, there still may be unspent funds after the first five years are completed. We recommend potentially targeting some portion of these funds for “innovation grants” to schools wanting to reach stretch goals, such as zero-net-energy or 100% renewable energy goals. We have seen through the CEC and community college reports that most Prop 39 funds are spent on fairly low-hanging fruit like lighting and HVAC, in part because of the need to meet the savings-to-investment ratio. Targeting a portion of funds to more ambitious goals, and relaxing the SIR on these specific projects, could provide the state with models for other schools and colleges to follow in the future. Note that we also recommend that ECAA-Ed and Bright Schools (mentioned in recommendation #4, above) are also good programs to consider funding in the event that funds remain unspent at the end of this first 5-year period.

- 8) Target unspent or new program funds for inventory of K-12 facilities.** While we are impressed by the geographic reach of the Prop 39 program this year and the efforts CEC has made to include more schools of varying sizes, we remain concerned that some of the smaller schools with the most pressing energy issues may not be applying for funds. Ideally we would like to recommend that outreach and funds be better targeted to these schools—but at the moment, there is no existing inventory of California K-12 facilities that includes their age, maintenance history, energy use, or any other relevant details. We recommend the legislature consider funding such an inventory as a key input to this program, especially if the program is extended another five years.
- 9) Target unspent or new program funds for manual on best practices.** Finally, as we come toward the conclusion of the first 5-year program period, we recommend that the legislature allocate some funding (possibly out of unspent program funds) to a third-party organization to review a cross-section of completed projects and provide a handbook, or manual, to schools across California that lays out the best opportunities for energy efficiency and self-generation projects, the key issues to consider (financing, capacity, technical know-how, etc.) in approaching such a project, and detailed case studies for some of the schools that have put together truly effective projects across a variety of technologies, geographies, and school size. We believe such a guide would increase the reach of this program even in the event that there is no longer-term extension.

Thank you so much for your consideration of this report, and of our recommendations. We truly appreciate the ability to serve the state of California in our capacity as the Citizen Oversight Board for this important and far-reaching program.

**APPENDIX A: ENERGY COMMISSION - PROPOSITION 39: CALIFORNIA  
CLEAN ENERGY JOBS ACT, K-12 PROGRAM AND ENERGY CONSERVATION  
ASSISTANCE ACT 2013-2015 PROGRESS REPORT**

California Energy Commission  
**COMMISSION REPORT**

# **Proposition 39: California Clean Energy Jobs Act, K-12 Program and Energy Conservation Assistance Act 2015-2016 Progress Report**

**California Energy Commission**

Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor

January 2017 | CEC-400-2017-001-CMF



# California Energy Commission

Robert B. Weisenmiller, Ph.D.

**Chair**

**Commissioners**

Karen Douglas, J.D.

J. Andrew McAllister, Ph.D.

David Hochschild

Janea A. Scott

Marites Antonio

Haile Bucaneg

Joji Castillo

Cheng Moua

Armando Ramirez

Elizabeth Shirakh

Michelle Vater

**Primary Authors**

Elizabeth Shirakh

**Project Manager**

Elise Brown

**Office Manager**

**LOCAL ASSISTANCE & FINANCING OFFICE**

Dave Ashuckian, P.E.

**Deputy Director**

**EFFICIENCY DIVISION**

Robert P. Oglesby

**Executive Director**

**DISCLAIMER**

Staff members of the California Energy Commission prepared this report. As such, it does not necessarily represent the views of the Energy Commission, its employees, or the State of California. The Energy Commission, the State of California, its employees, contractors and subcontractors make no warrant, express or implied, and assume no legal liability for the information in this report; nor does any party represent that the uses of this information will not infringe upon privately owned rights. This report has not been approved or disapproved by the Energy Commission nor has the Commission passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the information in this report.

## ABSTRACT

The California Energy Commission prepared this report to the Citizens Oversight Board in accordance with Proposition 39 (2012), Senate Bill 73 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 29, Statutes of 2013), adopted by the Legislature and signed into law by Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. on June 27, 2013. To alleviate the burden to local educational agencies, information required to be reported to the Citizens Oversight Board from local educational agencies is contained in this report and detailed in the appendices.

The *Proposition 39: California Clean Energy Jobs Act, K-12 Program and Energy Conservation Assistance Act 2015-2016 Progress Report* summarizes outcomes from the start of the Proposition 39 K-12 Program (December 19, 2013) through the end of the 2015-16 fiscal year (June 30, 2016). During that reporting period, 914 local educational agencies submitted 981 energy expenditure plans, representing \$673 million in funding and benefiting 3,519 project school sites. Much of the information contained in this report, such as energy savings and the amount of Proposition 39 funding spent, is self-reported data from the participating local educational agencies. Fifty-two energy expenditure plans have been completed with reported post installation energy savings (an additional eight have completed but are overdue in reporting post installation energy savings), 183 energy expenditure plans are also complete but are still collecting the required 12 months post installation energy savings data, and another 738 energy expenditure plans are still under construction.

**Keywords:** Proposition 39, California Clean Energy Jobs Act, Job Creation Fund, Senate Bill 73, Citizens Oversight Board, energy efficiency, clean energy, conservation, school, local educational agency

Antonio, Marites, Haile Bucaneg, Joji Castillo, Cheng Moua, Armando Ramirez, Elizabeth Shirakh, Michelle Vater. 2016. *Proposition 39: California Clean Energy Jobs Act, K-12 Program and Energy Conservation Assistance Act 2015-2016 Progress Report*. California Energy Commission, Efficiency Division. Publication Number: CEC-400-2017-001-CMF.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Abstract</b> .....	i
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	ii
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	iii
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	iii
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	1
Background and Purpose .....	1
Cumulative Results .....	1
Identifying Energy Savings.....	4
Program Challenges .....	5
Energy Conservation Assistance Act—Education Financing Program .....	7
<b>CHAPTER 1: Proposition 39 K-12 Program</b> .....	9
Background .....	9
Appropriations.....	10
Disadvantaged Local Educational Agencies .....	11
Approved Eligible Energy Measures .....	12
<b>LEA Reporting Results</b> .....	13
Reporting Schedule .....	13
Cost-Effectiveness Criteria: Savings-to-Investment Ratio.....	13
Identifying Energy Savings.....	13
Cumulative Summary .....	14
<b>Implementation Overview</b> .....	16
Program Implementation Summary .....	16
Program Implementation Updates and Resources .....	17
Response to Citizens Oversight Board Recommendations from March 2016 Report to the Legislature .....	18
Challenges .....	18
<b>CHAPTER 2: Energy Conservation Assistance Act—Education Subaccount</b> .....	20
<b>Financing Program</b> .....	20
Background .....	20
ECAA-Ed Funding.....	20
Approved Loans .....	20
Final Project Completion Report.....	21
Remaining Funds .....	21
Case Study—Chico Unified School District .....	21
<b>Bright Schools Program</b> .....	22
Background .....	22

Funding.....	22
Final Energy Audit Reports.....	23
APPENDIX A.....	25
APPENDIX B.....	26
APPENDIX C.....	27
APPENDIX D.....	28
APPENDIX E.....	29
APPENDIX F.....	30
APPENDIX G.....	31
APPENDIX H.....	32
APPENDIX I LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	33

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1: Proposition 39 K-12 Program Overall Funding Status as of June 30, 2016 .....	1
Figure 2: Cumulative Energy Expenditure (EEP) Plan Approvals .....	2
Figure 3: Prop 39 Funding Approved and Spent by Reporting Period .....	2
Figure 4: Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) with Approved Energy Expenditure Plans as of June 30, 2016.....	4
Figure 5: Proposition 39 K-12 Program Cost Effectiveness .....	5
Figure 6: Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) without Approved Energy Expenditure Plans as of June 30, 2016.....	6
Figure 7: Proposition 39 K-12 Program-LEA Distribution and Funding Allocation .....	9
Figure 8: K-12 Funding Approved by Type of LEA as of June 30, 2016.....	11
Figure 9: K-12 Program Timeline .....	17

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1: Proposition 39 K-12 Cumulative Spending as of June 30, 2016.....	3
Table 2: ECAA-Ed Financing Loan Status Overview as of June 30, 2016 .....	7
Table 3: Bright Schools Program Technical Assistance Overview as of June 30, 2016 .....	8

Table 4: Clean Energy Job Creation Fund Appropriations Overview of Energy Commission Appropriations (2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16) ..... 10

Table 5: EEPs Approved by Fiscal Year as of June 30, 2016..... 10

Table 6: Disadvantaged LEA Participation as of June 30, 2016 ..... 12

Table 7: Summary of Eligible Energy Measure Categories as of June 30, 2016 ..... 12

Table 8: Cumulative Summary of Final Project Completion Reports ..... 14

Table 9: Energy Use Intensity Summary..... 15

Table 10: ECAA-Ed and Bright Schools Program Allocations ..... 20

Table 11: Bright Schools Program Encumbrance and Expenditures ..... 23



# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Background and Purpose

The California Energy Commission administers three components of the California Clean Energy Jobs Act: a grant program (Proposition 39 K-12 Program), a revolving loan program (Energy Conservation Assistance Act – Education Subaccount Program), and a technical assistance program (Bright Schools Program). All three programs receive funds from the Clean Energy Jobs Creation Fund created by Proposition 39.

This is the Energy Commission’s second progress report to the Citizens Oversight Board. The report summarizes outcomes from the start of the Proposition 39 K-12 Program (December 19, 2013) through the end of the 2015-16 fiscal year (June 30, 2016). The first progress report covered December 19, 2013, through December 31, 2015. Future reports will continue to report from the inception of the program on a fiscal year cycle.


Other entities that receive funds from the California Clean Energy Jobs Act such as the California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office, the California Workforce Development Board, and the California Conservation Corps report independently to the Citizens Oversight Board.

## Cumulative Results

### December 13, 2013, through June 30, 2016

The Energy Commission approved the first energy expenditure plans in April 2014, and the program has grown rapidly since. As of June 30, 2016, nearly \$827 million had been approved—\$154 million for energy planning and \$673 million for energy projects. **Figure 2** illustrates the overall funding status of the program.


**Figure 1: Proposition 39 K-12 Program Overall Funding Status as of June 30, 2016**



Source: California Energy Commission

During this reporting period, 914 local educational agencies submitted 981 energy expenditure plans, representing \$673 million in program funding and benefiting 3,519 project school sites. **Figure 2** illustrates the cumulative energy expenditure plan approvals.

**Figure 2: Cumulative Energy Expenditure Plan (EEP) Approvals**




Source: California Energy Commission

Local educational agencies throughout California reported spending \$318 million of the \$827 million in approved funds. Projects are moving rapidly towards completion, as indicated by expenditure reporting, which nearly tripled in the last six months, from \$109 million reported in December 2015, to more than \$318 million as of June 30, 2016.

**Figure 3** compares the total funding approved and spent from the two reporting periods.

**Figure 3: Prop 39 Funding Approved and Spent by Reporting Period**



Source: California Energy Commission

### Spending Summary

The 981 approved energy expenditure plans reported 738 projects in the construction phase and 243 projects completed. Fifty-two local educational agencies have submitted final project completion reports with the required 12 months of post-installation energy-usage data. These 52 final reports represent \$34 million spent in total gross project cost. Of this amount, the Proposition 39 K-12 Program provided \$27 million and local educational agencies contributed the remaining \$7 million with leveraged funding.

There are 174 local educational agencies (representing 183 energy expenditure plans) with completed energy project installations that are collecting post installation energy-usage data. These agencies have reported gross project costs of \$134 million. The Proposition 39 K-12 Program provided \$104 million of this amount.

Finally, 738 energy expenditure plans are in the construction phase. The 714 local educational agencies with projects in this phase reported \$172 million in gross project costs. The Proposition 39 K-12 Program provided \$151 million of this amount.

Table 1 presents a cumulative summary of Proposition 39 K-12 funding.

**Table 1: Proposition 39 K-12 Cumulative Spending as of June 30, 2016**

Energy Expenditure Plan (EEP) Status	# of EEPs	Prop 39 Funds Spent <i>(in millions)</i>	Total Amount Spent <i>(in millions)</i>
<b>EEPs with Final Project Completion Reports:</b>	52	\$27	\$34
<b>EEPs with Overdue Project Competition Reports</b>	8	TBD	TBD
<b>EEPs with Completed Projects:</b> <i>(Final Reports Due after 6/30/16, next year's reporting period)</i>	183	\$104	\$134
<b>EEPs Still in Construction</b>	733	\$151	\$172
<b>EEPs with Overdue Annual Reports</b>	5	TBD	TBD
<b>Energy Planning Funding</b>			
- <i>Reported at time of EEP Approval</i>	N/A	\$35	N/A
- <i>Reported in Final Completion Reports</i>		\$1.5	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>981</b>	<b>\$318.5</b>	<b>\$340</b>


Source: California Energy Commission

### Participation Summary

The California Department of Education reported 2,136 eligible local educational agencies as of June 30, 2016. As of that date, 914 local educational agencies had submitted at least one energy expenditure plan. The highest program participation rate is

from public school districts with 63 percent of all public school districts submitting an energy expenditure plan. **Figure 4** presents local educational agencies with submitted energy expenditure plans and associated approved allocations.

**Figure 4: Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) with Approved Energy Expenditure Plans as of June 30, 2016**



Source: California Energy Commission

### Identifying Energy Savings


Local educational agencies are required to report post-installation energy project savings 12 months after project completion. The Energy Commission allows LEAs to use several methods (see *Guidelines*) to report energy savings after an energy project's completion, giving LEAs the flexibility to determine program benefits without measurement and verification comprising the majority of project costs. The 52 completed energy expenditure plans have reported total annual energy savings of 13,804 megawatt hours and 54,641 therms, resulting in reduced greenhouse gas emissions by roughly 5,080 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent annually. Reported energy savings is equivalent to the annual energy consumption of 2,053 homes, with total annual energy cost savings of \$2,444,579.

Each energy expenditure plan must have a savings-to-investment ratio of 1.05<sup>1</sup>, meaning for every \$1.00 invested, a minimum of \$1.05 must be saved over time. Staff analysis shows that the combined savings-to-investment ratio for these 52 projects is \$1.44;

<sup>1</sup> On July 13, 2016, the Energy Commission approved Guideline revisions that reduced the minimum savings-to-investment ratio from 1.05 to 1.01. This change occurred outside the reporting period of December 19, 2013 through June 30, 2016, covered by this report.

therefore, every \$1.00 invested saves \$1.44. This is a 14 percent increase from the savings-to-investment ratio of \$1.26 reported in the first progress report to the Citizens Oversight Board and 37 percent more than the minimum ratio requirement. **Figure 5** shows the cumulative savings-to-investment ratio from both reporting periods.

**Figure 5: Proposition 39 K-12 Program Cost Effectiveness**



Source: California Energy Commission

Energy use intensity—the metric used to measure the annual rate of energy used at a school site—generally decreased among the school sites that completed energy projects. Analysis showed that, on average, 100.94 thousand British thermal units (a standard unit of energy, also referred to as Btus) per square foot before energy project installation dropped to 82.01 thousand British thermal units per square foot after energy project installation.

### **Program Challenges**


The design and administration of the Proposition 39 K-12 Program has not been without challenges. Although the Energy Commission remains committed to delivering an all-encompassing, effective program, some challenges remain that make it likely that some local educational agencies will have remaining Proposition 39 K-12 funding balances at the end of the program.

- 1) Charter schools have unique characteristics that prevent their full participation (e.g. privately leased “storefront” facilities, virtual charters with no buildings, small facilities with few potential energy projects, few resources to pursue facilities improvements, and charter school closures).
- 2) Low electricity rates in certain areas of California make it difficult for some local educational agencies to identify projects that meet the required cost-effectiveness metric, savings-to-investment ratio. Although the Commission has taken steps to address this issue, with Guideline revisions allowing *First Preference* Federal Power rates to use an alternative electric rate, challenges remain.

- 3) Some smaller local educational agencies are not able to identify enough eligible energy efficiency measures or clean energy generation to use their entire program allocation.
- 4) The June 30, 2018, encumbrance date in statute limits the use of funding in the final 2017-18 fiscal year. A local educational agency must submit an energy expenditure plan to the Energy Commission **no later than August 1, 2017**, to complete the steps necessary for encumbering funds by the end of the 2017-18 fiscal year. This deadline effectively shortens the program by eleven months, cutting projected benefits such as job creation, energy and cost savings, and non-energy health benefits. LEAs are aware of this deadline through ongoing program outreach, but the deadline remains problematic, especially since the California Department of Education will not announce the 2017-18 fiscal year allocations until October 2017, two months after the application due date.

Consequently, there may be local educational agencies with unencumbered funding at the end of the program. As of June 30, 2016, 57 percent (1,222) of eligible local educational agencies had not submitted an energy expenditure plan. **Figure 6** shows local educational agencies that have not submitted plans and the associated funding allocation (\$320 million) for the first three fiscal years.

**Figure 6: Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) without Approved Energy Expenditure Plans as of June 30, 2016**



Source: California Energy Commission

## Energy Conservation Assistance Act—Education Financing Program

In fiscal years 2013-14 and 2014-15, \$56 million in Job Creation Funds were allocated to the Energy Conservation Assistance Act—Education (ECAA-Ed) subaccount financing program to fund loans and technical assistance projects. Of the \$56 million, almost \$50.5 million was allocated to finance zero percent loans to K-12 local educational agencies and community college districts for energy efficiency, demand reduction, and generation projects.

As of June 30, 2016, 29 loans were approved totaling \$46.4 million. The remaining \$5.5 million was allocated to the Bright Schools Program for technical assistance to the same eligible entities. **Table 2** gives an overview of program loans and their status.

**Table 2: ECAA-Ed Financing Loan Status Overview as of June 30, 2016**

Loan Status	# of Loans	Loan Funds Spent (in millions)
Loans with Final Project Completion Reports:	1	\$0.7
Completed Loans (Final Reports Due after 6/30/16)	9	\$21.1
Loans Still in Construction	19	\$24.6
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>\$46.4</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

Loan recipients are required to report post-installation energy project savings 12 months after project completion. Only one loan recipient was eligible to submit a post installation report and reported total annual energy savings of 477 megawatt hours and 6,000 therms, which is equivalent to approximately 200 tons of greenhouse gas emissions. Reported annual energy cost savings was \$90,689.

### ECAA-Ed Bright Schools Program Cumulative Results

#### December 13, 2013, through June 30, 2016

The Energy Conservation Assistance Act-Bright Schools Program provides local educational agencies and community college districts with technical assistance to identify energy efficiency measures in existing facilities and apply for Proposition 39 K-12 Program funding. Of the \$56 million allocated to ECAA, \$5.6 million was allocated to the Bright Schools Program. As of June 30, 2016, \$5.5 million had been encumbered. The remaining \$91,524 was re-allocated to the ECAA-Ed Loan Program. The program only received funds in fiscal years 2013-14 and 2014-15.

As of June 30, 2016, 119 technical assistance requests were approved, totaling \$1.9 million. The average cost for a technical assistance request is \$16,000, with a limit of \$20,000 per request. **Table 3** shows the status, and amount of related funding, of those that received technical assistance.

**Table 3: Bright Schools Program Technical Assistance Overview as of June 30, 2016**

Technical Assistance (TA) Status	# of TA Requests	Amount Spent
Completed	119	\$1,901,846
In Progress	5	N/A
Withdrawn	1	\$13,507
Contractor Administration	N/A	\$391,323
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>\$2,306,676</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

### **Estimating Energy Cost Savings**

Resulting energy studies from the Bright Schools Program identify potential school site energy projects and calculate estimated energy savings. Of the 119 technical assistance requests completed, 116 were energy studies and three were project bid specifications. The completed energy studies identified total annual energy savings of approximately 22,000 megawatt hours and 261,439 therms, which is equivalent to approximately 9,112 tons of greenhouse gas emissions. Estimated annual energy cost savings is \$3.6 million.

# CHAPTER 1: Proposition 39 K-12 Program

---


## Background

The Proposition 39 K-12 Program provides grant funds for energy projects—energy efficiency measures and clean energy generation—at schools within a local educational agency (LEA), which include public school districts, charter schools, county offices of education, and state special schools. LEAs submit energy expenditure plans (EEPs) to the California Energy Commission, which reviews and approves the EEPs, and then notifies the California Department of Education (CDE), which distributes funding from the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund to the LEAs. For the time period of this report, there are 2,136 eligible LEAs in the state.

During the first three fiscal years of the Proposition 39 K-12 Program, (2013-14, 2014-15, and 2015-16) the California Legislature appropriated \$973 million to the Proposition 39 K-12 Program.

**Figure 7** summarizes the distribution of LEA types and the associated funding allocation for the first three fiscal years of the program. LEAs are allocated funds based on the size of their student population. Because public school districts typically have multiple school sites that house more students, they receive a much larger funding allocation than other LEAs such as charter schools. For example, while charter schools represent 53 percent of eligible LEAs, their allocation is only 19 percent of total funding because they are typically smaller and have fewer students.

**Figure 7: Proposition 39 K-12 Program—LEA Distribution and Funding Allocation**



Source: California Energy Commission

## Appropriations

Table 4 summarizes 2013-14, 2014-15, and 2015-16 Clean Energy Job Creation Fund appropriations for the Energy Commission's programs.

**Table 4: Clean Energy Job Creation Fund Appropriations Overview of Energy Commission Appropriations (2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16)**

	FY 2013 14 <i>(in millions)</i>	FY 2014 15 <i>(in millions)</i>	FY 2015 16 <i>(in millions)</i>	TOTALS
K-12 Program	\$381	\$279	\$313.4	\$973.4
ECAA-Ed: (Energy loans and technical assistance)	\$28	\$28	\$0	\$56
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$409</b>	<b>\$307</b>	<b>\$313.4</b>	<b>\$1,029</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

Note: the Legislature's 2016-17 appropriation for the Proposition 39 K-12 Program was \$398.8 million without funding for ECAA-Ed. Because this report only covers up to June 30, 2016, the fourth year appropriation does not appear in this table.

## Summary of Submitted and Approved Energy Expenditure Plans

LEAs are required to request funding for energy projects by submitting an EEP to the Energy Commission. Since February 2014, more than 981 plans totaling \$673 million in funding have been approved. Table 5 summarizes the number of EEPs approved, the number of school sites, and the amount of funding approved by fiscal year.

**Table 5: EEPs Approved by Fiscal Year as of June 30, 2016**

Fiscal Year	EEPs Approved	School Sites	Funding Approved <i>(in millions)</i>
2013-14	33	78	\$16
2014-15	409	1,328	\$257
2015-16	539	2,113	\$400
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>981</b>	<b>3,519</b>	<b>\$673</b>


Source: California Energy Commission

As with any project, changes are sometimes necessary. LEAs with significant changes to previously approved EEPs must submit an amendment request to the Energy Commission. Significant changes include: deletion of eligible energy efficiency and clean energy generation measures; the addition of measures not included in the approved EEP; cost increases or decreases of more than 15 percent; and a change of more than 15 percent in the approved quantity of equipment installed.

### Funding Approved by Type of LEA

There are four types of LEAs: (1) public school districts, (2) charter schools, (3) county offices of education, and (4) state special schools. **Figure 8** shows the funding status for each type and the distribution of approved EEP funding.

**Figure 8: K-12 Funding Approved by Type of LEA as of June 30, 2016**



Source: California Energy Commission

Public school districts represent the majority of the approved EEPs with \$605 million awarded for energy project funding and \$112 million for energy planning funding. Charter schools have the second most energy projects approved, with \$62 million in EEP funding, or about 9 percent of approved EEPs. The third type of LEA, county offices of education, represent less than 1 percent of the total energy projects funded with \$6 million in approved EEPs and \$3 million in energy planning funding. The three state special schools provide comprehensive educational programs for blind, visually impaired, or deaf students, and have a combined allocation of \$300,000 as of June 30, 2015. All three schools are in the final stages of energy planning.

### Disadvantaged Local Educational Agencies

Per Senate Bill 73, the Proposition 39 K-12 Program allocates awards based on a formula: 85 percent of the award is based on the LEA's average daily attendance reported as of the second data period LEAs reported to CDE in April and May in the prior fiscal year, and 15 percent is based on free and reduced-priced meals (FRPM) in the prior year. A disadvantaged LEA is defined as one that has a student population of 50 percent or more that is eligible for the FRPM program.

Using this definition, **Table 6** shows the participation rates of disadvantaged LEAs and the remaining LEAs.

**Table 6: Disadvantaged LEA Participation as of June 30, 2016**

Socioeconomic Subgroup	Number of LEAs	LEAs Participating	Participation Rate
Disadvantaged LEAs	1,323	564	43%
Remaining LEAs	813	350	43%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>2,136</b>	<b>914</b>	<b>43%</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

### Approved Eligible Energy Measures

Each approved EEP can represent multiple eligible energy measures—energy efficiency or clean energy generation measure—at multiple school sites within an LEA. This has resulted in the installation of thousands of energy efficiency and clean energy generation measures throughout the state. Nearly 50 percent of the approved energy measures are lighting related; more than 20 percent fall into the category of control measures; 15 percent are HVAC measures; and 12 percent are other measures that include plug loads, pumps, motors, building envelope, domestic hot water, kitchen equipment, high-efficiency transformers, energy storage, pool equipment, irrigation sprinklers, and pump controls.



Source: Price Charter Middle School, San Jose

Table 7 summarizes the breakdown and the costs associated with each category.

**Table 7: Summary of Eligible Energy Measure Categories as of June 30, 2016**

Energy Measure Category	Total # of Measures Approved	Total % of Measures Approved	Total Project Cost of Measures Approved	Total % of Project Cost of Measures Approved
Lighting	6,092	48%	\$273,615,541	35%
HVAC & Lighting Controls	2,762	22%	\$73,061,454	9%
HVAC	1,926	15%	\$305,305,978	39%
Other Energy Efficiency Measures	1,521	12%	\$37,411,311	5%
Self-Generation (PV)	297	2%	\$83,988,166	12%
Commissioning (BMCx) and Retro-Commissioning (RCx)	121	1%	\$2,859,187	>.01%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>12,719</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$776,241,637</b>	<b>100%</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

# LEA Reporting Results

## Reporting Schedule

LEAs are required to provide annual progress reports on approved EEPs until all energy measures within an approved EEP are completed. When all energy measures in an EEP are completed, LEAs must submit a final project completion report 12 to 15 months after the project completion date. This statutory requirement is designed to show a full year of energy usage data after all approved energy measures are installed.

## Cost-Effectiveness Criteria: Savings-to-Investment Ratio

Public Resources Code Section 26206(c) requires that all projects be cost-effective, and Public Resources Code Section 26235(a)(2)(D) requires the Energy Commission to establish guidelines for methodologies for cost-effectiveness determination. In the *Proposition 39: California Clean Energy Jobs Act 2016 Program Implementation Guidelines (Guidelines)*<sup>2</sup>, the Commission established the savings-to-investment ratio (SIR) as the cost-effectiveness determination, which is calculated based on net present value of savings divided by project installation costs, subtracting project rebates and other non-repayable funds.

For this reporting period, an EEP must have an SIR of 1.05 or higher to be eligible for funding. This ratio compares the investment the LEA will make now with the savings it will achieve over time. For every \$1.00 invested, a minimum of \$1.05 must be saved. Savings include energy cost savings and a fixed maintenance savings of 2 percent of the total project installation cost. Finally, non-energy benefits such as health, safety, enhanced comfort, better indoor air quality, and improved learning environment may also be considered in the SIR calculation. The Energy Commission values non-energy benefits at a fixed 5 percent of the total project installation cost.

In addition, the *Guidelines* allow some leveraged funding to be subtracted from the total project cost in the SIR calculation. Examples include non-repayable funds such as bond funding, deferred maintenance, and general operation budgets.

## Identifying Energy Savings

There are many nuanced and unique outside factors that impact energy usage, such as building operations, student population changes, building expansion, and weather patterns. School sites with multiple buildings often have one or more energy (electric and natural gas) meters that measure aggregate or total energy consumption, making it difficult to measure and attribute energy savings to specific energy efficiency measures.

---

<sup>2</sup> Antonio, Marites, Haile Bucaneg, Joji Castillo, Cheng Moua, Ryan Nelson, Elizabeth Shirakh, Joseph Wang. 2016. *Proposition 39: California Clean Energy Jobs Act – 2016 Program Implementation Guidelines*. California Energy Commission, Energy Efficiency Division. Publication Number: CEC-400-2016-005-CMF. <http://www.energy.ca.gov/2016publications/CEC-400-2016-005/CEC-400-2016-005-CMF.pdf>.

Despite decades of experience and a large body of related literature, discrepancies still plague project savings estimations. Disagreements arise over methods, appropriate levels of rigor, and the impact of faulty data. The variables that impact the total energy consumed by a building pose a challenge to the identification of specific energy measures that will produce the desired energy savings.

The Energy Commission allows LEAs to use several methods (see *Guidelines*) to report energy savings after an energy project's completion, giving LEAs the flexibility to determine program benefits without measurement and verification comprising the majority of project costs.

## Cumulative Summary

### Final Project Completion Reports (January 31, 2014, to June 30, 2016)

From the program launch until June 30, 2016, 52 LEAs have completed all measures contained in their EEPs and have submitted final completed project reports. These completed EEPs represent \$34 million in gross project costs. Of this amount, the Proposition 39 K-12 Program provided \$27 million in grant funds, and LEAs contributed the remaining \$7 million in leveraged funding. The reported annual saved energy usage for these completed projects is 13,804 MWhs, and 54,641 therms, which is equivalent to roughly 5,080 tons of greenhouse gas emissions. Reported energy savings is equivalent to the annual energy consumption of 2,053 homes, with total annual energy cost savings of \$2,444,579.

Analyses of these reports show that the combined SIR for these 52 projects is \$1.44, for every \$1.00 invested.

Table 8 shows the cumulative summary comparison of the two reporting periods.

**Table 8: Cumulative Summary of Final Project Completion Reports**

	Previous Report (as of December 2015)	Current Report (as of June 2016)
<b>Number of Completed EEPs</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Spending</b>		
Total Gross Project Cost	\$8.6 million	<b>\$34 million</b>
Prop 39 Share	\$6.2 million	<b>\$27 million</b>
Leveraged Funding	\$2.4 million	<b>\$7 million</b>
<b>Annual Energy Savings</b>		
kWh Savings	3,005,227	<b>13,804,252</b>
Therm Savings	3,352	<b>54,641</b>
GHG emissions reduction	1,056 tons	<b>5,080 tons</b>
SIR	1.26	<b>1.44</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

Several trends emerged in reviewing the final completed project reports.

- 1) Most of the 52 LEAs with completed energy projects experienced a decrease in Energy Use Intensity (EUI). EUI is a metric that measures the annual rate of energy use at a school site. Similar to the miles-per-gallon metric for vehicle fuel economy, the EUI indicates the amount of energy used per square foot of building space per year. Those LEAs that did not experience a decrease in EUI identified changes to operating hours and schedules, which may have accounted for the increase. **Table 9** summarizes the reported EUI data.

**Table 9: Energy Use Intensity Summary**

<b>Reported Source Energy Savings</b>	
Total Square Footage:	<b>8,135,214</b>
Total kBtu/Sqft Saved Per Year:	<b>18.9</b>
Total Combined Annual Weighted Average EUI	
Before:	<b>100.9 kBtu/Sqft</b>
After:	<b>82 kBtu/Sqft</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

- 2) The reported "after" project energy savings in the final project completion reports typically matched or exceeded the estimated energy savings identified in the approved EEPs. If the reported energy savings deviated significantly from the estimated energy savings, most LEAs identified potential reasons for the difference.
- 3) Some LEAs installed projects before submitting an EEP. To not penalize LEAs for moving forward quickly with the installation of energy projects, the *Guidelines* allowed Proposition 39 funding to be used for energy projects installed on or after December 19, 2013. LEAs with retroactively funded projects were able to complete final project reports early in the program.

**Appendix A** lists LEAs that have completed construction and submitted a final project completion report.

**Annual Progress Reports**

The Energy Commission required LEAs with EEPs approved before July 1, 2016, to submit an annual progress report by September 30, 2016. These reports summarized the completion status of energy projects up to June 30, 2016.

Commission staff worked closely with LEAs to ensure a high rate of submission. Of the annual progress reports due by September 30, 2016, the Commission received 99% of reports due.

Within the received reports, 183 showed completed EEPs. Related information is summarized below.

- 183 EEPs reported completed energy projects and are required to submit final project completion reports with post installation energy savings and energy usage 12 to 15 months after their project completion date. (See **Appendix B**) Of these LEAs, 62 were identified in the previous report to the Citizen’s Oversight Board (COB) and still have not reached the 12 month final project completion reporting threshold. Based on the reports, these projects spent \$134 million in gross project costs, which included \$104 million of Proposition 39 K-12 Program funding and \$30 million (22 percent of gross project costs) in leveraged funding from sources such as utility incentives, bonds, deferred maintenance, and general operation budgets.
- The remaining 733 EEPs submitted annual progress reports indicating incomplete project installations. Therefore, the majority of EEPs approved before July 1, 2016, are still in the construction phase. These LEAs must provide annual progress reports until all energy measures are complete. Based on the reports, LEAs with projects in the construction phase spent \$172 million in gross project costs, which included \$151 million of Proposition 39 K-12 Program funding and \$21 million (12 percent of gross project costs) in leveraged funding. **Appendix C** lists all LEAs in the construction phase.



Source: Nuvview Union School District, Nuevo

## Implementation Overview

### Program Implementation Summary

The Proposition 39 K-12 Program began six months after Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. signed Senate Bill 73 in June 2013. The Energy Commission quickly began a comprehensive public process to design and develop the program and the implementation guidelines. Statewide outreach efforts included conducting five public meetings and three webinars, which reached more than 500 participants and drew 180 docket submittals (13-CCEJA-01). On December 19, 2013, the Commission adopted the *Proposition 39: California Clean Energy Jobs Act – 2013 Program Implementation Guidelines (Guidelines)*<sup>3</sup>.

---

<sup>3</sup> Bucaneg, Haile, Pierre duVair, Cheng Moua, Justin Regnier, Keith Roberts, Elizabeth Shirakh, Joseph Wang. 2013. *Proposition 39: California Clean Energy Jobs Act – 2013 Program Implementation Guidelines*. California Energy Commission, Energy Efficiency Division. Publication Number CEC-400-2014-022-CMF. [www.energy.ca.gov/2014publications/CEC-400-2014-022/CEC-400-2014-022-CMF.pdf](http://www.energy.ca.gov/2014publications/CEC-400-2014-022/CEC-400-2014-022-CMF.pdf)


Once the *Guidelines* were adopted, program implementation was expedited. In January 2014, just one month later, the Commission released the EEP application forms, program handbook, and energy savings calculators; established an electronic submission process; hired and trained Commission staff; provided 10 training seminars and 2 webinars that reached more than 800 LEAs statewide; and established a program call center.

In 2015, the application system that LEAs use to submit EEPs, Energy Expenditure Plan Online, was created and launched. This system expedited the submission and review process. Two separate modules were added to the online system (also in 2015), one for amending approved EEPs, and one for submitting required reports. The first annual progress reports were submitted by LEAs in November 2015, and are required to be submitted each year through the end of the program. All projects must be completed by June 30, 2020, and all final project completion reports are due by June 30, 2021.

### Program Implementation Updates and Resources

The Energy Commission has continuously provided extensive program communication, outreach, and education through webinars, workshops, conference presentations, press releases, blog posts, listserv announcements, direct phone calls, direct mail, and public meetings.

Through these efforts, the Energy Commission has identified and addressed barriers to program participation. Since the *Guidelines* were adopted in December 2013, there have been three revisions. In June 2014, the first revision changed charter school eligibility making it easier for charter schools to participate in the program. Further modifications to the SIR for all LEAs were made in December 2014, and in July 2016. **Figure 9** illustrates the Proposition 39 K-12 Program implementation timeline.



Source: California Energy Commission

On June 30, 2016, the Energy Commission launched the Proposition 39 Publicly Searchable Database to provide a new level of data transparency for publicly funded programs. With several ways for the public to view detailed program information, the database is simple-to-use, interactive, and provides quick searches for Proposition 39 K-12 and Community College District program metrics. The searchable database is located at <http://prop39publicsearch.energy.ca.gov/>.

More complex Proposition 39 K-12 Program research data is available at <http://www.energy.ca.gov/efficiency/proposition39/data/>. This database provides individual clean energy project site information that is reported by LEAs and also includes utility-reported school energy consumption and billing data by school site.

The State of California's Climate Investment Map provides a statewide summary of funding information and estimated energy savings by school site and is searchable by assembly district, senate district, address, or city. It is available at <http://www.climateinvestmentmap.ca.gov/>.

## **Response to Citizens Oversight Board Recommendations from March 2016 Report to the Legislature**

In March 2016, the *Proposition 39 Clean Energy Jobs Act Report to the Legislature* contained seven major recommendations, a few of which were directed to the Proposition 39 K-12 Program. The Energy Commission quickly addressed these recommendations and the revised *Guidelines*, which were approved July 13, 2016.

Specifically, the COB asked the Energy Commission to consider allowing disadvantaged districts a lower savings to investment threshold specifically to replace old HVAC systems with new high-efficiency equipment. Proposition 39 did not allow an alternative cost-effectiveness criteria for any LEA subgroups; therefore, the Commission lowered the SIR from 1.05 to 1.01 and revised the SIR formula by increasing the maintenance cost savings from 2 percent to 3 percent in the net present value of savings component of the formula for all LEAs. These *Guideline* changes effectively make HVAC projects more cost effective in the SIR cost effectiveness criterion.

The COB also asked the Energy Commission to re-examine the 70 percent sizing cap for solar power purchase agreements. This 70 percent cap was deleted in the July 13, 2016, revised *Guidelines*.

**Appendix D** summarizes all recommendations and Energy Commission responses.

## **Challenges**

The design and administration of the Proposition 39 K-12 Program has not been without challenges. The Energy Commission remains committed to delivering an all-encompassing, effective program, in spite of the challenges that remain. The biggest challenge will likely be getting all eligible LEAs to submit an EEP to receive a funding allocation. Factors hindering this goal include:

- 1) Characteristics unique to charter schools prevent them from applying.  
The Commission has conducted extensive targeted outreach to charter schools including phone calls, emails, letters, workshops, and exhibits at charter school conferences. Yet, lack of charter school participation remains an issue.
- 2) Low electricity rates in certain areas of California make it difficult for some local educational agencies to identify projects that meet the required cost-effectiveness metric, savings-to-investment ratio. Although the Commission has taken steps to address this issue, with Guideline revisions allowing *First Preference* Federal Power rates to use an alternative electric rate, challenges remain.
- 3) A lack of sufficient eligible energy efficiency or clean energy generation measures for small districts to be able to use their entire program allocation.
- 4) The June 30, 2018, encumbrance date in statute limits the use of funding in the final 2017-18 fiscal year. A local educational agency must submit an EEP to the Energy Commission no later than August 1, 2017, to complete the steps necessary for encumbering funds by the end of the 2017-18 fiscal year. This deadline effectively shortens the program by eleven months, cutting projected benefits such as job creation, energy and cost savings, and non-energy health benefits.

The program is more than halfway through the funding period, yet fewer than half of eligible 2,136 LEAs have requested energy project funding. If this trend continues, funds will remain unencumbered as of June 30, 2018.

# CHAPTER 2: Energy Conservation Assistance Act— Education Subaccount

---

## Financing Program

### Background

The Energy Conservation Assistance Act–Education (ECAA–Ed) Financing Program is a revolving loan program, funded by the Job Creation Fund that provides zero percent financing to eligible entities for energy efficiency, demand reduction, and generation projects. All LEAs and community college districts (CCDs) eligible to receive Proposition 39 grant awards are eligible to apply for an ECAA–Ed loan for energy projects which are the same as the Proposition 39 K-12 Program. Loan repayments are based on energy cost savings and are made twice a year once the project is complete, and can be made up to a maximum of 20 years.

### ECAA–Ed Funding

Funding from the Job Creation Fund was allocated to the Energy Commission in fiscal years 2013-14 and 2014-15 for no-interest revolving loans and technical assistance. No funding was allocated in fiscal years 2015-16 and 2016-17. **Table 10** shows the funding received.

**Table 10: ECAA–Ed and Bright Schools Program Allocations**

Fiscal Year	ECAA Ed Financing (loans)	Bright Schools (technical assistance)	TOTAL
2013-14	\$25,291,524	\$2,708,476	\$28,000,000
2014-15	\$25,200,000	\$2,800,000	\$28,000,000
2015-16	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$50,491,524</b>	<b>\$5,508,476</b>	<b>\$56,000,000</b>

Source: California Energy Commission

### Approved Loans

As of June 30, 2016, 29 ECAA–Ed loans were approved by the Energy Commission. This represents \$46.4 million of the total \$50.4 million allocated to the loan program.

ECAA–Ed loan recipients request loan funds on a reimbursement basis based on invoices submitted to the Commission. A recipient may choose to request less than their approved loan amount. This may be due to a scope change or a total project cost that was less than estimated. Any funds remaining in the loan account are liquidated and

used to fund additional ECAA-Ed loans. Thus far, recipients have requested and received \$23.9 million in funds.

## Final Project Completion Report

Public Resources Code Section 26240, subdivision (b) requires each loan recipient to submit a final report 12 to 15 months after their project completion date. A project is considered complete when all loan measures are installed. This statutory condition is designed to provide a full year of energy usage data after all approved energy measures have been installed.

Of the 29 approved loans, 10 recipients have completed projects which represent \$21.8 million of approved funding. Of this \$21.8 million, \$21.4 million was disbursed, and the remaining \$400,000 has been liquidated to fund new ECAA-Ed loans.

A summary of the approved and completed loans and each loan's cumulative expenditures as of June 30, 2016 is provided in **Appendix E**.

From July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016, one loan recipient, Yuba Community College District, submitted a final project completion report. The district's project installation was completed on December 15, 2014. **Appendix F** provides a summary of the district's energy data. The other nine loan recipients with completed energy project installations will be submitting their final project completion reports in 2017.

## Remaining Funds

As of June 30, 2016, \$4.72 million remains in the ECAA-Ed account.

## Case Study—Chico Unified School District

On April 8, 2015, the Energy Commission approved a zero-percent interest ECAA-Ed loan to Chico Unified School District (district) for \$3 million. The loan helped the district finance the installation of solar photovoltaic (PV) systems on the following five campuses:

- Rosedale Elementary School
- Emma Wilson Elementary School
- Sierra View Elementary School
- Neal Dow Elementary School
- Bidwell Junior High School

The total PV size for all five installations is 910 kilowatt direct current (kWdc). Due to the declining costs of solar PV systems, the district only expended \$2,588,712 of their total loan funds. Annually, the project is estimated to save 1,300 MWh, resulting in energy cost savings of \$255,407, and a reduction of



Source: Chico Unified School District

greenhouse gas emissions by 447 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent. A final project completion report is expected early 2017.

When asked how the ECAA-Ed Loan Program assisted the district in achieving their energy goals, Lalanya Rothenberger, the district's construction and energy manager, replied:

Chico Unified School District has made great progress in our quest to reduce energy consumption by implementing energy management protocols and by building efficient and earth friendly buildings. Prior to receiving the CEC zero percent loan, we operated seven solar power generation arrays in the District. Without the loan, we would not have been able to complete the five additional projects at Neal Dow, Rosedale, Sierra View, Emma Wilson and Bidwell Junior High School. We are thankful for the ability to complete these projects and improve our school sites while reducing our carbon footprint.

**Appendix G** includes pictures of the district's solar PV project.

The ECAA-Ed loan project is only a portion of a larger project the district implemented using Job Creation funds. In October 2014, the Energy Commission approved an energy expenditure plan for over \$2 million under the Proposition 39 K-12 Program. This energy expenditure plan funded lighting, HVAC, and PV measures at 20 schools. The district is still in the process of implementing these measures.

## Bright Schools Program

### Background

The Bright Schools Program assists public and nonprofit K-12 schools in identifying energy saving projects in existing and planned facilities. The program provides a range of technical assistance services, including energy audit, third party proposal review, and professional engineering support services.

### Funding

Public Resources Code 25416, subdivision (d) authorizes the Energy Commission to set aside 10 percent of the Job Creation Funds for technical assistance to assist eligible entities identify Proposition 39 energy efficiency, demand reduction, and generation projects. In fiscal years 2013-14 and 2014-15, the Bright Schools Program received \$5.5 million. It did not receive funding in fiscal years 2015-16 or 2016-17.



Source: California Energy Commission

Through a competitive contract solicitation, the Energy Commission selected a prime contractor and a team of professional energy engineers to assist and support the objectives of the Bright Schools Program. **Table 11** shows program expenditures as of June 30, 2016.

**Table 11: Bright Schools Program Encumbrance and Expenditures**

Total Allocation	\$5,600,000
Contract Amount Encumbered	\$5,508,476
Expenditures as of 6/30/16	\$2,306,676
Contract Balance	\$3,201,800

Source: California Energy Commission

Of the \$5.6 million allocated to the program, \$5.5 million had been encumbered as of June 30, 2016. The remaining \$91,524 was re-allocated to the ECAA-Ed Loan Program. Expenditures of \$2,306,676 have provided technical assistance to 119 LEAs and community colleges to identify cost-effective energy projects.

### **Final Energy Audit Reports**

Proposition 39-funded Bright Schools Program energy audits have identified energy measure opportunities at 260 sites. These energy measure recommendations represent an estimated annual savings of nearly 22,000 MWh of electricity and 261,439 therms of natural gas, and more than \$3.6 million in utility cost savings for program participants. The identified energy measures would require an investment of more than \$53 million and would be eligible for utility incentives of nearly \$2 million.

**Appendix H** lists the details of the information above and includes the energy savings metrics and Proposition 39 Program funding spent for program participants.



## **APPENDIX A**

---

### **K-12 Program – 2014-15 Final Project Completion Reports**

## **APPENDIX B**

---

### **K-12 Program – 2014-15 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)**

## **APPENDIX C**

---

### **K-12 Program – 2014-15 Annual Progress Report Data**

## **APPENDIX D**

---

# **Energy Commission Response to the Citizens Oversight Board**

## **APPENDIX E**

---

### **Energy Conservation Assistance Act Education Subaccount Approved Zero Interest Energy Loans**

## **APPENDIX F**

---

### **Energy Conservation Assistance Act Education Subaccount Summary of Energy Data**

## **APPENDIX G**

---

### **Energy Conservation Assistance Act Education Subaccount Chico Unified School District Photos**

## **APPENDIX H**

---

### **BRIGHT SCHOOLS PROGRAM SUMMARY**

# APPENDIX I LIST OF ACRONYMS

---

CCD	Community College District
CDE	California Department of Education
COB	Citizens Oversight Board
ECAA-Ed	Energy Conservation Assistance Act-Education Subaccount
EEP	Energy Expenditure Plan
EUI	Energy Usage Index
HVAC	Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning
K-12	Kindergarten through 12th Grade
LEA	Local Educational Agency
PV	Photovoltaic
SIR	Savings-to-Investment Ratio

# Appendix A: Final Project Completion Report Data

Notes:

1. Reporting on 52 total Energy Expenditure Plans
2. *Retroactive* means the project was completed prior to EEP approval and was retroactively funded

LEA Name	Energy Expenditure Plan ID	Reported Total Grant Amount Spent	Reported Total Project Cost	Energy Expenditure Plan Approval Date	Reported Project Completion Date	Time Between Approval and Project Completion (days)	Final Report Savings-to-Investment Ratio (SIR)	School Site Name	Annual Site Energy Use Intensity Before (kbtu/sqft)	Annual Site Energy Use Intensity After (kbtu/sqft)	Reported Annual Electricity Savings (kWh)	Reported Annual Natural Gas Savings (therms)	Reported Annual Propane Savings (gallons)	Reported Annual Cost Savings (\$)	Reported Final Cost per School Site (\$)	Reported Rebates (\$)	Reported Proposition 39 Share Spent per School Site (\$)	Installed Energy Measures	
																		Photovoltaic System -LEA Owned (Nameplate Rating in KW)	Energy Efficiency
Alta Vista Elementary School District																			
71		\$ 204,553.00	\$ 204,553.00	6/27/2014	5/12/2015	319	1.17	Alta Vista Elementary School	104.60	98.65	78,785	686	-	\$ 14,737.60	\$ 204,553.00	\$ -	\$ 204,553.00	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF Lighting- Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
Big Creek Elementary School District																			
4		\$ 72,965.00	\$ 72,965.00	4/21/2014	7/3/2014	73	1.64	Big Creek Elementary School	42.09	39.44	43,355	-	-	\$ 7,933.86	\$ 72,965.00	\$ -	\$ 72,965.00	-	Lighting- Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- LED Exit Signs
Bonsall Unified																			
84		\$ 86,177.00	\$ 86,177.00	1/26/2016	8/23/2014	Retroactive	1.05	Norm Sullivan Middle School	111.54	62.47	18,337	357	-	\$ 5,984.50	\$ 86,177.00	\$ -	\$ 86,177.00	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF
Cambrian Elementary																			
421		\$ 241,687.00	\$ 930,363.00	3/25/2015	4/1/2015	7	1.36	Cambrian District Office	105.57	70.75	179,640	-	-	\$ 24,270.00	\$ 468,961.00	\$ -	\$ 120,843.50	117.04	
								Bagby Elementary	61.46	17.95	181,197	-	-	\$ 49,428.00	\$ 461,402.00	\$ -	\$ 120,843.50	112.16	
Castlemont Elementary School																			
158		\$ 272,835.92	\$ 490,918.00	9/12/2014	10/15/2014	33	1.69	Castlemont Elementary School	36.96	6.95	168,585	-	-	\$ 28,081.25	\$ 490,918.00	\$ -	\$ 245,999.60	82.83	
Central Unified School District																			
49		\$ 3,379,735.35	\$ 3,379,735.35	7/1/2014	1/31/2015	214	1.88	District Office	238.97	339.83	24,518	-	-	\$ 4,462.00	\$ 31,227.86	\$ -	\$ 31,227.86	-	Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								James Polk Elementary	152.89	107.20	148,208	2,962	-	\$ 27,210.80	\$ 167,815.35	\$ -	\$ 167,815.35	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Harvest Elementary	164.88	159.74	122,132	-	-	\$ 20,543.00	\$ 229,414.07	\$ -	\$ 229,414.07	-	Lighting- Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit

# Appendix A: Final Project Completion Report Data

Notes:

1. Reporting on 52 total Energy Expenditure Plans
2. *Retroactive* means the project was completed prior to EEP approval and was retroactively funded

Central Unifi	49	\$ 3,379,735.35	\$ 3,379,735.35	7/1/2014	1/31/2015	214	1.88	Alt./CLASS Adult Education															
								114.47	88.05		40,625	-	-	\$	7,399.10	\$	58,479.31	\$	-	\$	58,479.31	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Central High East Campus 243.33	226.28		809,578	27,577	-	\$	149,642.81	\$	1,323,985.38	\$	-	\$	1,323,985.38	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit HVAC- Chiller/Boiler Replacement HVAC Controls- Energy Management System HVAC Controls- Chiller Controls Upgrade Pumps, Motors, Drives- Variable Frequency Drives
								Central High West Campus 137.93	102.66		228,836	996	-	\$	40,998.40	\$	302,643.83	\$	-	\$	302,643.83	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Pershing Continuation High 194.04	136.66		53,436	-	-	\$	9,512.20	\$	85,157.49	\$	-	\$	85,157.49	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Biola-Pershing Elementary 138.65	107.98		27,834	970	-	\$	5,987.40	\$	47,482.71	\$	-	\$	47,482.71	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- LED Exit Signs
								Herndon-Barstow Elementary 128.57	120.17		43,842	-	-	\$	7,717.70	\$	86,320.00	\$	-	\$	86,320.00	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Houghton-Kearney West Elem. 131.85	118.41		31,277	-	608	\$	6,425.70	\$	41,977.13	\$	-	\$	41,977.13	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Madison Elementary 113.42	72.59		46,084	-	-	\$	8,525.00	\$	86,061.02	\$	-	\$	86,061.02	-	Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- LED Exit Signs
								El Capitan Middle 122.45	95.00		74,585	-	-	\$	11,557.10	\$	125,988.10	\$	-	\$	125,988.10	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								McKinley Elementary 115.43	85.86		52,966	-	-	\$	8,946.40	\$	72,576.43	\$	-	\$	72,576.43	-	







# Appendix A: Final Project Completion Report Data

Notes:

1. Reporting on 52 total Energy Expenditure Plans
2. *Retroactive* means the project was completed prior to EEP approval and was retroactively funded

Fountain Val	67	\$ 1,330,415.00	\$ 1,382,353.00	8/6/2014	1/30/2015	177	1.38	Tamura Elei Gisler Elementary School	40.35 43.61	20.59	38,473	54	-	\$ 7,880.20	\$ 84,812.00	\$ 4,362.00	\$ 80,450.00	Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
																		- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Newland Elementary School	46.27	19.83	41,259	108	-	\$ 12,453.80	\$ 118,398.00	\$ 7,198.00	\$ 111,200.00	- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Cox Elementary School	41.28	27.68	50,576	198	-	\$ 10,835.30	\$ 98,241.00	\$ 3,154.00	\$ 94,347.00	- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Oka Elementary School	43.30	23.78	39,486	72	-	\$ 7,582.60	\$ 97,398.00	\$ 2,962.00	\$ 93,600.00	- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Talbert Middle School	45.92	8.64	42,491	144	-	\$ 7,495.70	\$ 118,740.00	\$ 3,540.00	\$ 115,200.00	- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Plavan Elementary School	54.40	29.45	132,032	(461)	-	\$ 26,263.30	\$ 468,674.00	\$ 10,753.00	\$ 457,641.00	- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Masuda Middle School	55.88	23.01	46,872	168	-	\$ 12,152.50	\$ 100,460.00	\$ 5,004.00	\$ 94,205.00	- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Courreges Elementary School	49.31	18.58	37,038	90	-	\$ 7,431.20	\$ 89,720.00	\$ 3,796.00	\$ 85,924.00	- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
<hr/>																		
Happy Camp Union Elementary School District																		
	22	\$ 184,441.00	\$ 184,441.00	4/18/2014	5/23/2014	35	1.06	Happy Camp Elementary	142.86	86.40	87,360	-	-	\$ 12,249.80	\$ 184,441.00	\$ -	\$ 184,441.00	- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF
<hr/>																		
Imperial Unified School District																		
	48	\$ 706,425.00	\$ 706,425.00	12/17/2015	12/1/2014	Retroactive	1.49	District Office	191.54	147.35	10,076	3	-	\$ 1,411.00	\$ 17,192.49	\$ -	\$ 17,192.49	- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Holbrook High School	152.97	121.10	12,387	-	-	\$ 1,473.00	\$ 17,648.10	\$ -	\$ 17,648.10	- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
								Imperial High School	144.35	134.72	197,906	102	-	\$ 23,088.00	\$ 266,086.72	\$ -	\$ 266,086.72	- HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit



# Appendix A: Final Project Completion Report Data

Notes:

1. Reporting on 52 total Energy Expenditure Plans
2. *Retroactive* means the project was completed prior to EEP approval and was retroactively funded

La Habra Cit	164	\$	496,159.00	\$	923,320.00	9/8/2014	8/7/2015	333	1.32	Washingtor	105.93	145.84	55,807	(328)	-	\$	12,217.00	\$	673,976.00	\$	-	\$	328,135.00	-	HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF Lighting Controls Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
Lynhaven Elementary School	160	\$	269,590.72	\$	679,394.00	9/30/2014	10/15/2014	15	1.98	Lynhaven School	42.92	7.50	198,030	-	-	\$	32,353.67	\$	679,394.00	\$	-	\$	243,073.60	110.70	
Menlo Park Elementary School District	90	\$	16,584.47	\$	27,209.54	11/30/2015	8/1/2014	Retroactive	5.58	Laurel School	68.74	67.11	61,324	-	-	\$	10,301.50	\$	27,209.54	\$	-	\$	16,584.47	-	Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
Milpitas Unified School District	130	\$	339,686.66	\$	423,486.66	8/12/2014	10/31/2014	80	1.05	Milpitas High School	116.63	64.17	114,682	(1,097)	-	\$	15,172.00	\$	362,988.66	\$	-	\$	292,588.66	-	HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF
										Rose Elementary School	72.77	44.36	29,899	(340)	-	\$	2,402.30	\$	60,498.00	\$	-	\$	47,098.00	-	HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF
Murrieta Valley Unified School District	381	\$	482,000.00	\$	751,000.00	3/19/2015	9/5/2014	Retroactive	1.05	Murrieta Valley High School	108.21	86.06	132,762	(279)	-	\$	21,761.20	\$	751,000.00	\$	-	\$	482,000.00	-	HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF
Newark Unified School District	1482	\$	33,623.00	\$	37,248.00	5/19/2016	7/23/2014	Retroactive	3.96	Newark Memorial High School	102.06	102.06	47,550	-	-	\$	8,749.00	\$	37,248.00	\$	3,625.00	\$	33,623.00	-	Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit
Nuvview Bridge Early College High	191	\$	20,458.00	\$	22,748.00	3/30/2015	10/9/2014	Retroactive	3.35	Nuvview Bridge Early College HS	92.44	42.14	28,625	-	-	\$	4,436.88	\$	22,748.00	\$	2,290.00	\$	20,458.00	-	Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
Nuvview Union School District	192	\$	597,775.00	\$	1,056,259.50	2/5/2016	10/9/2014	Retroactive	1.06	Nuvview Elementary School	96.69	37.89	351,659	(243)	-	\$	75,339.00	\$	1,056,259.50	\$	-	\$	597,775.00	168.00	HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
Oak Valley Union Elementary	316	\$	240,440.84	\$	240,440.84	2/20/2015	8/3/2015	164	1.15	Oak Valley Elementary	79.64	114.63	101,318	(8)	-	\$	18,547.40	\$	240,440.84	\$	-	\$	240,440.84	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats HVAC- Duct Sealing HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting Controls Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
Pleasant Ridge Union Elementary	13	\$	500,629.00	\$	500,629.00	4/22/2014	10/22/2014	183	1.43	Alta Sierra Elementary	59.26	44.36	69,280	-	-	\$	12,332.00	\$	110,033.00	\$	-	\$	110,033.00	-	Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping





# Appendix A: Final Project Completion Report Data

Notes:

1. Reporting on 52 total Energy Expenditure Plans
2. *Retroactive* means the project was completed prior to EEP approval and was retroactively funded

Terra Bella		76	\$ 256,000.00	\$ 256,000.00	8/5/2014	5/1/2015	269	1.37										
District Office		83.79							73.61	4,745	-	-	\$ 764.00	\$ 8,753.00	\$ -	\$ 8,753.00	-	Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
Terra Bella Elementary		101.27							87.75	41,828	744	-	\$ 9,089.88	\$ 118,005.00	\$ -	\$ 118,005.00	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- LED Exit Signs
Carl Smith Middle School		125.34							61.61	64,935	424	-	\$ 10,660.71	\$ 129,242.00	\$ -	\$ 129,242.00	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
Traver Joint Elementary		104	\$ 198,931.00	\$ 198,931.00	9/18/2014	5/12/2015	236	1.05										
Traver Elementary School		65.76							60.89	64,166	175	-	\$ 11,748.10	\$ 198,931.00	\$ -	\$ 198,931.00	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
Washington Unified		503	\$ 540,122.09	\$ 583,919.00	5/1/2015	9/4/2015	126	1.68										
Washington High		88.74							88.74	248,481	417	-	\$ 49,627.85	\$ 583,919.00	\$ 2,129.52	\$ 540,122.09	-	HVAC Controls- Programmable/Smart Thermostats HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF Lighting Controls Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- LED Exit Signs
Williams Unified School District		53	\$ 461,828.00	\$ 461,828.00	7/7/2014	2/1/2015	209	1.07										
Williams Middle School		126.74							16.83	53,413	-	-	\$ 9,881.00	\$ 143,123.00	\$ -	\$ 143,123.00	-	HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit
Williams High School		66.54							30.61	112,923	-	-	\$ 19,709.37	\$ 318,705.00	\$ -	\$ 318,705.00	-	HVAC- Packaged/Split System AC/Heat Pump/VRF Lighting - Interior Linear Fluorescent Relamping Lighting- Exterior Fixture Retrofit Lighting- Interior Fixture Retrofit
Grand Total			\$ 26,965,575.69	\$ 34,389,353.83						13,804,252	54,641	990	\$ 2,444,578.94	\$ 34,389,353.83	\$ 976,986.52	\$ 26,895,120.25	2,794.24	

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

LEA Name	Energy Expenditure Plan ID	Project Completion Date	Site Name	Reported Proposition 39 Share Spent (\$)	Reported Amount Spent for Measure Installation (\$)
<b>Academy for Academic Excellence</b>					
	711	9/20/2016	Academy for Academic Excellence	\$545,773.00	\$545,773.00
<i>Academy for Academic Excellence Total</i>				<i>\$545,773.00</i>	<i>\$545,773.00</i>
<b>Acalanes Union High School District</b>					
	125	1/31/2016	Campolindo High School	\$428,384.00	\$1,095,500.00
			Las Lomas High School	\$392,633.00	\$1,315,944.00
			Miramonte High School	\$76,770.00	\$713,418.00
<i>Acalanes Union High School District Total</i>				<i>\$897,787.00</i>	<i>\$3,124,862.00</i>
<b>Acton-Agua Dulce Unified</b>					
	456	12/8/2015	High Desert	\$67,388.00	\$67,388.00
			Meadowlark Elementary	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Acton-Agua Dulce Unified Total</i>				<i>\$67,388.00</i>	<i>\$67,388.00</i>
<b>Alexander Valley Union Elementary</b>					
	963	8/31/2016	Alexander Valley Elementary	\$188,433.84	\$188,433.84
<i>Alexander Valley Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$188,433.84</i>	<i>\$188,433.84</i>
<b>Alta Loma Elementary</b>					
	630	9/30/2016	Alta Loma Junior High	\$51,838.38	\$51,838.38
			Banyan Elementary	\$57,531.00	\$88,787.05
			Carnelian Elementary	\$0.00	\$0.00
			District Support Center	\$46,773.71	\$46,773.71
			Vineyard Junior High	\$65,923.00	\$152,326.78
<i>Alta Loma Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$222,066.09</i>	<i>\$339,725.92</i>
<b>Alta-Dutch Flat Union Elementary</b>					
	921	1/11/2016	Alta-Dutch Flat Elementary	\$47,235.00	\$47,235.00
<i>Alta-Dutch Flat Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$47,235.00</i>	<i>\$47,235.00</i>
<b>Alvord USD</b>					
	110	7/15/2016	District Office	\$231,708.00	\$231,708.00
			Arlanza Elementary	\$607,154.00	\$607,154.00
			Collett Elementary	\$486,135.00	\$486,135.00
			Foothill Elementary	\$316,703.00	\$316,703.00
			La Granada Elementary	\$308,159.00	\$308,159.00
			La Sierra High School	\$1,031,645.00	\$1,031,645.00
			Loma Vista Middle School	\$215,358.00	\$215,358.00
			McAuliffe Elementary	\$123,576.00	\$123,576.00
			Phillip M. Stokoe Elementary	\$192,053.00	\$192,053.00
			Twinhill Elementary	\$106,816.00	\$106,816.00
			Valley View Elementary	\$129,715.00	\$129,715.00
			Villegas Middle School	\$159,174.00	\$159,174.00
			Wells Middle School	\$533,953.00	\$533,953.00
<i>Alvord USD Total</i>				<i>\$4,442,149.00</i>	<i>\$4,442,149.00</i>
<b>Anaheim Union High School District</b>					
	196	9/18/2015	Lexington Junior High School	\$629,340.00	\$748,549.10
<i>Anaheim Union High School District Total</i>				<i>\$629,340.00</i>	<i>\$748,549.10</i>
<b>Anderson Valley Unified</b>					
	1154	10/11/2016	Anderson Valley Elementary	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Anderson Valley Junior-Senior High	\$92,000.00	\$134,881.00
<i>Anderson Valley Unified Total</i>				<i>\$92,000.00</i>	<i>\$134,881.00</i>
<b>Antelope Elementary</b>					

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Antelope Elementar</b>	791	6/1/2016	Berrendos Middle	\$62,024.00	\$62,024.00
			Manton Elementary	\$43,609.00	\$43,609.00
			Plum Valley Elementary	\$43,576.00	\$43,576.00
<i>Antelope Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$149,209.00</i>	<i>\$149,209.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Antelope Valley Union High School District</b>					
	111	9/16/2015	Highland High School	\$1,144,800.00	\$1,230,473.49
<i>Antelope Valley Union High School District Total</i>				<i>\$1,144,800.00</i>	<i>\$1,230,473.49</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Bakersfield City</b>					
	546	8/17/2016	Henry Eissler Elementary	\$71,500.00	\$71,500.00
	548	8/17/2016	Colonel Howard Nichols Elementary	\$375,081.47	\$377,951.47
<i>Bakersfield City Total</i>				<i>\$446,581.47</i>	<i>\$449,451.47</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Ballard Elementary</b>					
	56	12/22/2015	Ballard Elementary	\$146,813.00	\$201,413.00
<i>Ballard Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$146,813.00</i>	<i>\$201,413.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary</b>					
	998	8/1/2016	Oak Creek Intermediate	\$86,323.73	\$86,323.73
			Wasuma Elementary	\$182,538.88	\$182,538.88
<i>Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$268,862.61</i>	<i>\$268,862.61</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Beardsley Elementary</b>					
	967	5/1/2016	Beardsley Elementary	\$133,150.00	\$133,150.00
			Beardsley Junior High	\$250,196.00	\$250,196.00
			North Beardsley Elementary	\$115,418.00	\$115,418.00
<i>Beardsley Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$498,764.00</i>	<i>\$498,764.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Bella Vista Elementary</b>					
	597	2/9/2016	Bella Vista Elementary	\$193,274.00	\$193,274.00
<i>Bella Vista Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$193,274.00</i>	<i>\$193,274.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Brawley Union High School District</b>					
	284	6/11/2015	Brawley high School	\$399,372.00	\$399,372.00
			Desert Valley High School	\$42,274.00	\$43,274.00
			Renaissance Day Care	\$17,042.00	\$17,042.00
<i>Brawley Union High School District Total</i>				<i>\$458,688.00</i>	<i>\$459,688.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Buttonwillow Union Elementary</b>					
	692	2/29/2016	Buttonwillow Elementary	\$258,021.00	\$258,021.00
<i>Buttonwillow Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$258,021.00</i>	<i>\$258,021.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Carter G. Woodson</b>					
	385	10/6/2016	Carter G Woodson Public Charter	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Carter G. Woodson Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Castle Rock Union Elementary School District</b>					
	78	1/14/2015	Castle Rock Elementary	\$28,306.00	\$28,306.00
<i>Castle Rock Union Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$28,306.00</i>	<i>\$28,306.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Central Union Elementary School District</b>					
	673	11/30/2015	Akers Elementary School	\$30,181.00	\$30,181.00
			Central Elementary School	\$359,899.00	\$394,521.00
			Stratford Elementary School	\$69,577.00	\$69,577.00
<i>Central Union Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$459,657.00</i>	<i>\$494,279.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Central Union High School District</b>					
	136	4/4/2016	Central Union High School	\$351,227.00	\$351,227.00
			Desert Oasis Continuation High	\$29,499.00	\$29,499.00

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Central Union High School District</b>	4/4/2016	District Office	\$10,535.00	\$10,535.00
		Southwest High School	\$452,729.00	\$452,729.00
<i>Central Union High School District Total</i>			<i>\$843,990.00</i>	<i>\$843,990.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Chatom Union</b>				
277	8/1/2016	Chatom Elementary School	\$117,866.00	\$117,866.00
		Mountain View Middle	\$79,092.00	\$79,092.00
<i>Chatom Union Total</i>			<i>\$196,958.00</i>	<i>\$196,958.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Chicago Park Community Charter</b>				
746	2/26/2016	Chicago Park Community Charter	\$26,005.92	\$30,605.92
<i>Chicago Park Community Charter Total</i>			<i>\$26,005.92</i>	<i>\$30,605.92</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Chino Valley Unified School District</b>				
580	1/5/2016	Butterfield Ranch Elementary	\$25,398.51	\$25,398.51
		Country Springs Elementary	\$21,272.69	\$21,272.69
		Don Antonio Lugo High	\$102,470.92	\$102,470.92
		Glenmeade Elementary	\$15,522.61	\$15,522.61
		Hidden Trails Elementary	\$22,263.67	\$22,263.67
		Liberty Elementary	\$26,199.72	\$26,199.72
		Rolling Ridge Elementary	\$19,593.65	\$19,593.65
		Woodcrest Junior High	\$26,147.54	\$26,147.54
<i>Chino Valley Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$258,869.31</i>	<i>\$258,869.31</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Chrysalis Charter</b>				
366	9/21/2016	Chrysalis Charter School	\$102,787.40	\$102,784.40
<i>Chrysalis Charter Total</i>			<i>\$102,787.40</i>	<i>\$102,784.40</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Chula Vista Elementary School District</b>				
835	10/14/2015	Allen (Ella B.) Elementary	\$324,525.00	\$449,525.00
		Hilltop Drive Elementary	\$638,393.00	\$1,133,393.00
		Vista Square Elementary	\$260,916.00	\$415,916.00
<i>Chula Vista Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,223,834.00</i>	<i>\$1,998,834.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Chula Vista Elementary School District-Arroyo Vista Charter</b>				
907	7/31/2015	Arroyo Vista Charter	\$93,894.00	\$108,164.00
568	7/31/2015	Arroyo Vista Charter	\$109,810.00	\$113,214.00
<i>Chula Vista Elementary School District-Arroyo Vista Charter Total</i>			<i>\$203,704.00</i>	<i>\$221,378.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Chula Vista Elementary School District-Chula Vista Learning Community Charter</b>				
908	7/31/2015	Chula Vista Learning Community Charter	\$57,652.00	\$67,832.00
569	7/31/2015	Chula Vista Learning Community Charter	\$57,231.00	\$58,997.00
<i>Chula Vista Elementary School District-Chula Vista Learning Community Charter Total</i>			<i>\$114,883.00</i>	<i>\$126,829.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Chula Vista Elementary School District-Discovery Charter</b>				
609	7/31/2015	Discovery Charter	\$53,899.00	\$53,899.00
909	7/31/2015	Discovery Charter School	\$49,718.00	\$59,868.00
<i>Chula Vista Elementary School District-Discovery Charter Total</i>			<i>\$103,617.00</i>	<i>\$113,767.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Clayton Valley Charter High</b>				
1488	9/30/2016	Clayton Valley Charter High	\$156,201.54	\$175,859.94
<i>Clayton Valley Charter High Total</i>			<i>\$156,201.54</i>	<i>\$175,859.94</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Clovis Unified</b>				
752	8/7/2015	Alta Sierra Intermediate	\$26,404.00	\$33,764.00
		Buchanan High	\$48,004.00	\$63,442.00
		Clark Intermediate	\$257,013.00	\$622,323.00
		Clovis East High	\$30,947.00	\$45,667.00
		Clovis Gym & Dance Academy	\$20,096.00	\$22,483.62
		Clovis High	\$786,084.00	\$2,037,587.00

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Clovis Unified</b>	752	8/7/2015	Clovis North High	\$57,484.00	\$72,394.00
			Clovis West High	\$108,577.00	\$117,154.00
			Dry Creek Elementary	\$457,110.00	\$1,241,339.00
			Kastner Intermediate	\$26,301.00	\$31,597.00
			Sierra Vista Elementary	\$181,149.00	\$504,111.00
			Tarpey Elementary	\$511,948.00	\$1,283,785.00
			Temperance-Kutner Elementary	\$410,250.00	\$590,755.00
			Valley Oak Elementary	\$383,342.00	\$963,173.00
<i>Clovis Unified Total</i>				<i>\$3,304,709.00</i>	<i>\$7,629,574.62</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Coachella Valley Unified School District</b>	24	10/31/2015	Coachella Valley High	\$680,050.00	\$1,806,874.00
			Desert Mirage High	\$435,927.00	\$1,191,657.00
			Las Palmitas Elementary	\$104,500.00	\$268,051.00
			Mountain Vista Elementary	\$138,361.00	\$427,988.00
			Saul Martinez Elementary	\$105,500.00	\$293,958.00
			Toro Canyon Middle	\$105,000.00	\$281,892.00
			West Shores High	\$174,371.00	\$476,018.00
<i>Coachella Valley Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$1,743,709.00</i>	<i>\$4,746,438.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Corona-Norco Unified</b>	598	12/16/2015	District Office	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Centennial High	\$1,194,381.00	\$1,194,381.00
			Dwight D. Eisenhower Elementary	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Garretson Elementary	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Harada Elementary	\$0.00	\$0.00
			John Adams Elementary	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Louis VanderMolen Fundamental Elementary	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Corona-Norco Unified Total</i>				<i>\$1,194,381.00</i>	<i>\$1,194,381.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Crossroads Charter</b>	839	11/30/2015	Crossroads Charter	\$104,787.02	\$104,786.82
<i>Crossroads Charter Total</i>				<i>\$104,787.02</i>	<i>\$104,786.82</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Cucamonga School District</b>	152	9/30/2015	Cucamonga Elementary School	\$111,008.00	\$111,008.00
<i>Cucamonga School District Total</i>				<i>\$111,008.00</i>	<i>\$111,008.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified</b>	411	11/1/2015	Cutler Elementary	\$42,964.00	\$42,964.00
			District Office and Maintenance	\$33,375.00	\$33,375.00
			El Monte Middle	\$262,873.00	\$262,873.00
			Family Education Center	\$17,672.00	\$17,672.00
			Golden Valley Elementary	\$6,457.00	\$6,457.00
			Lovell High	\$33,426.00	\$33,426.00
			Orosi High	\$71,264.00	\$71,264.00
			Palm Elementary	\$135,827.00	\$135,827.00
<i>Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified Total</i>				<i>\$603,858.00</i>	<i>\$603,858.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Cuyama Joint Unified</b>	948	5/13/2014	Cuyama Elementary	\$212,168.00	\$283,500.00
<i>Cuyama Joint Unified Total</i>				<i>\$212,168.00</i>	<i>\$283,500.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Cypress Elementary</b>	1466	7/25/2016	A. E. Arnold Elementary	\$343,834.00	\$649,623.42
<i>Cypress Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$343,834.00</i>	<i>\$649,623.42</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Del Mar Union Elementary</b>	1140	12/31/2015	Ashley Falls Elementary	\$2,560.00	\$2,560.00
			Carmel Del Mar Elementary	\$711,970.00	\$864,877.00
			Del Mar Heights Elementary	\$30,605.00	\$30,605.00

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Del Mar Union Elem</b>	1140	12/31/2015	Del Mar Hills Elementary	\$20,534.00	\$20,534.00
			DMUSD District Office	\$5,913.00	\$5,913.00
			Ocean Air	\$9,404.00	\$9,404.00
			Sage Canyon	\$28,124.00	\$28,124.00
			Sycamore Ridge	\$37,311.00	\$37,311.00
			Torrey Hills	\$9,404.00	\$9,404.00
<i>Del Mar Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$855,825.00</i>	<i>\$1,008,732.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Delta Elementary Charter</b>	769	1/27/2016	Delta Elementary Charter	\$50,914.00	\$50,914.00
<i>Delta Elementary Charter Total</i>				<i>\$50,914.00</i>	<i>\$50,914.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Ducor Union Elementary</b>	298	3/1/2016	Ducor Union Elementary	\$163,762.00	\$163,762.00
<i>Ducor Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$163,762.00</i>	<i>\$163,762.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Dunsmuir Elementary School District</b>	380	2/1/2016	Dunsmuir Elementary School	\$224,495.00	\$261,722.00
<i>Dunsmuir Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$224,495.00</i>	<i>\$261,722.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Eastside Union Elementary</b>	527	6/30/2016	Eastside Elementary	\$36,618.00	\$36,618.00
			Tierra Bonita Elementary	\$524,420.00	\$524,420.00
<i>Eastside Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$561,038.00</i>	<i>\$561,038.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Edison Elementary</b>	344	12/18/2015	Edison Middle	\$3,020.08	\$3,020.16
			Orangewood	\$41,720.08	\$41,720.08
<i>Edison Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$44,740.16</i>	<i>\$44,740.24</i>
<hr/>					
<b>El Monte City School District</b>	338	12/4/2015	District Office	\$68,228.00	\$71,737.00
			Asher Facility	\$14,401.00	\$17,675.00
			Barton Center	\$12,555.00	\$15,409.00
			Byron Thompson/Durfee	\$153,494.00	\$188,385.00
			Central Kitchen	\$21,866.00	\$26,861.00
			Cherrylee Elementary	\$94,304.00	\$115,741.00
			Child Development	\$8,954.00	\$10,989.00
			Cleminson Elementary	\$77,693.00	\$95,354.00
			Columbia Elementary	\$164,311.00	\$213,661.00
			Cortada Elementary	\$86,753.00	\$106,473.00
			Gidley Elementary	\$108,006.00	\$132,557.00
			Legore Elementary	\$146,505.00	\$179,807.00
			Loma Center	\$54,166.00	\$66,479.00
			New Lexington Elementary	\$63,800.00	\$78,302.00
			Potrero Elementary	\$131,257.00	\$161,093.00
			Rio Hondo Elementary	\$145,494.00	\$178,567.00
			Rio Vista Elementary	\$112,482.00	\$140,051.00
			Shirpser Elementary	\$115,787.00	\$142,107.00
			Wilkerson Elementary	\$105,950.00	\$130,034.00
			Wright Elementary	\$150,706.00	\$186,464.00
<i>El Monte City School District Total</i>				<i>\$1,836,712.00</i>	<i>\$2,257,746.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Elverta Joint Elementary School District</b>	391	11/6/2015	Elverta Elementary School	\$34,430.00	\$34,430.00
<i>Elverta Joint Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$34,430.00</i>	<i>\$34,430.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Empire Union Elementary</b>	473	2/19/2016	District Office	\$71,669.00	\$71,669.00
			Alice N. Stroud Elementary	\$189,465.00	\$189,465.00
			Bernard L. Hughes Elementary	\$196,167.00	\$212,624.00

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Empire Union Eleme</b>	473	2/19/2016	Norman N. Glick Middle	\$141,080.00	\$141,080.00
<i>Empire Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$598,381.00</i>	<i>\$614,838.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Escondido Union High School District</b>					
	68	11/30/2015	Escondido High School	\$194,645.00	\$526,248.00
			Orange Glen High School	\$171,745.00	\$462,183.00
			San Pasqual High School	\$206,095.00	\$567,690.00
<i>Escondido Union High School District Total</i>				<i>\$572,485.00</i>	<i>\$1,556,121.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Exeter Unified</b>					
	783	2/12/2016	Exeter Union High	\$128,233.00	\$140,803.00
			Lincoln Elementary	\$168,427.00	\$168,427.00
			Rocky Hill Elementary	\$125,023.00	\$125,023.00
			Wilson Middle	\$145,898.00	\$145,898.00
<i>Exeter Unified Total</i>				<i>\$567,581.00</i>	<i>\$580,151.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Fallbrook Union Elementary</b>					
	1267	9/2/2016	Live Oak Elementary	\$434,732.97	\$434,732.97
<i>Fallbrook Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$434,732.97</i>	<i>\$434,732.97</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Feaster (Mae L.) Charter</b>					
	910	7/31/2015	Feaster Charter School	\$109,665.00	\$129,895.00
	610	7/31/2015	Feaster (Mae L.) Charter	\$222,732.00	\$225,408.00
<i>Feaster (Mae L.) Charter Total</i>				<i>\$332,397.00</i>	<i>\$355,303.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Fresno Unified</b>					
	184	7/6/2016	Roosevelt High School	\$1,294,341.00	\$1,546,888.00
<i>Fresno Unified Total</i>				<i>\$1,294,341.00</i>	<i>\$1,546,888.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Galt Joint Union Elementary</b>					
	549	10/4/2016	Transporation Garage	\$16,453.86	\$16,453.86
			Valley Oaks Elementary	\$19,680.00	\$26,596.88
			Vernon E. Greer Elementary	\$0.00	\$18,228.00
<i>Galt Joint Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$36,133.86</i>	<i>\$61,278.74</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Glendora Unified</b>					
	1054	5/27/2016	Cullen Elementary	\$44,910.00	\$44,910.00
			Glendora High	\$359,541.80	\$359,541.80
			La Fetra Elementary	\$62,874.00	\$62,874.00
			Sellers Elementary	\$142,644.00	\$142,644.00
			Stanton Elementary	\$53,892.00	\$53,892.00
			Sutherland Elementary	\$26,946.00	\$26,946.00
			Whitcomb Continuation High	\$17,964.00	\$17,964.00
			Williams Educational Center	\$44,910.00	\$44,910.00
<i>Glendora Unified Total</i>				<i>\$753,681.80</i>	<i>\$753,681.80</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Gonzales Unified School District</b>					
	274	9/1/2015	District Office	\$39,756.00	\$39,756.00
			Fairview Middle School	\$108,979.00	\$108,979.00
			Gonzales High School	\$331,391.00	\$331,391.00
			La Gloria School	\$70,900.00	\$73,246.00
<i>Gonzales Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$551,026.00</i>	<i>\$553,372.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Grant Elementary School District</b>					
	120	10/27/2015	Grant Elementary School	\$35,986.00	\$35,986.00
<i>Grant Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$35,986.00</i>	<i>\$35,986.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Hacienda La Puente Unified School District</b>					
	10	11/30/2015	Glen A. Wilson High School	\$1,567,851.79	\$1,567,851.79
			La Puente HS	\$1,164,250.39	\$1,164,250.39

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Hacienda La Puente</b>	10	11/30/2015	Los Altos High School	\$755,171.01	\$755,171.01
			William Workman High School	\$1,822,267.95	\$1,832,395.01
<i>Hacienda La Puente Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$5,309,541.14</i>	<i>\$5,319,668.20</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Hanford Joint Union High</b>					
	31	9/4/2015	Hanford High School	\$49,804.86	\$169,732.22
			Hanford West High School	\$46,083.18	\$113,191.37
			Sierra Pacific High School	\$62,360.95	\$168,275.33
<i>Hanford Joint Union High Total</i>				<i>\$158,248.99</i>	<i>\$451,198.92</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Harmony Union Elementary</b>					
	960	12/11/2015	Harmony Elementary	\$59,450.00	\$59,450.00
<i>Harmony Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$59,450.00</i>	<i>\$59,450.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Hesperia Unified School District</b>					
	19	12/28/2015	Carmel Elementary	\$111,328.00	\$111,328.00
			Cedar Middle	\$455,678.00	\$455,678.00
			Cottonwood Elementary	\$82,886.00	\$82,886.00
			Cypress School of the Arts	\$344,084.00	\$344,084.00
			Eucalyptus Elementary	\$212,585.00	\$212,585.00
			Hesperia High	\$1,011,224.00	\$1,011,224.00
			Hollyvale Elementary	\$229,410.00	\$229,410.00
			Juniper Elementary	\$51,128.00	\$51,128.00
			Mission Crest Elementary	\$53,634.00	\$53,634.00
			Ranchero Middle	\$795,878.00	\$795,878.00
			Sultana High	\$1,312,181.00	\$1,312,181.00
			Topaz Preparatory Academy	\$138,465.00	\$138,465.00
<i>Hesperia Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$4,798,481.00</i>	<i>\$4,798,481.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Horicon Elementary</b>					
	333	8/13/2015	Horicon Elementary	\$75,000.00	\$240,130.22
<i>Horicon Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$75,000.00</i>	<i>\$240,130.22</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Hornbrook Elementary</b>					
	394	11/18/2015	Hornbrook Elementary	\$33,491.00	\$33,491.00
<i>Hornbrook Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$33,491.00</i>	<i>\$33,491.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Howell Mountain Elementary School District</b>					
	231	4/10/2015	Howell Mountain Elementary	\$12,600.00	\$12,600.00
<i>Howell Mountain Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$12,600.00</i>	<i>\$12,600.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Hughson Unified</b>					
	1089	6/24/2016	Emilie J. Ross Middle	\$64,569.00	\$64,569.00
			Fox Road Elementary	\$34,830.00	\$34,830.00
<i>Hughson Unified Total</i>				<i>\$99,399.00</i>	<i>\$99,399.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Huntington Beach City School District</b>					
	234	12/15/2015	Eader Elementary School	\$269,966.24	\$313,867.24
			Moffett Elementary School	\$320,068.00	\$383,947.00
			Perry Elementary School	\$278,252.00	\$322,729.00
			Peterson Elementary School	\$458,299.81	\$532,825.00
<i>Huntington Beach City School District Total</i>				<i>\$1,326,586.05</i>	<i>\$1,553,368.24</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Inyo County Office of Education</b>					
	579	1/19/2016	Inyo County Office of Education District Office	\$3,468.00	\$3,468.00
			Jill Kinmont Boothe	\$57,474.00	\$67,856.00
<i>Inyo County Office of Education Total</i>				<i>\$60,942.00</i>	<i>\$71,324.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Julian Union Elementary</b>					
	538	12/29/2015	Julian Elementary	\$0.00	\$226,660.19

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Julian Union Elemen 538</b>	12/29/2015	Julian Junior High	\$9,019.93	\$9,092.93
<i>Julian Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$9,019.93</i>	<i>\$235,753.12</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Jurupa Unified School District</b>				
117	2/1/2016	Camino Real ES	\$17,352.84	
		Education Center	\$53,575.35	
		Glen Avon ES	\$76,764.60	
		Granite Hill ES	\$31,253.06	
		Ina Arbuckle ES	\$44,390.24	
		Indian Hills ES	\$19,137.99	
		Jurupa MS & Rio Vista Cont.	\$50,948.75	
		Jurupa Valley HS	\$367,358.46	
		Maintenance, Operations, & T	\$36,919.36	
		Mira Loma MS	\$186,952.44	
		Mission Bell ES	\$30,621.91	
		Mission MS	\$101,378.29	
		Nueva Vista Continuation HS	\$10,683.64	
		Pacific Avenue ES	\$34,324.34	
		Patriot HS	\$235,502.39	
		Pedley ES	\$27,847.38	
		Peralta ES	\$29,301.19	
		Rubidoux HS	\$372,998.83	
		Rustic Lane ES	\$53,716.94	
		Sky Country ES	\$20,093.62	
		Stone Avenue ES	\$29,188.20	
		Sunnyslope ES	\$6,720.57	
		Troth ES	\$26,527.73	
		Van Buren ES	\$4,077.28	
		West Riverside ES	\$39,091.41	
<i>Jurupa Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,906,726.81</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Kentfield Elementary</b>				
239	7/30/2016	District Office	\$6,841.38	
		Adaline E. Kent Middle	\$61,632.71	
		Anthony G. Bacich Elementary	\$41,889.05	
<i>Kentfield Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$110,363.14</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Kings River Union Elementary</b>				
443	9/15/2015	Kings River Elementary	\$260,253.00	\$260,253.00
<i>Kings River Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$260,253.00</i>	<i>\$260,253.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Kingsburg Elementary Charter</b>				
336	3/1/2016	District Office	\$17,717.00	\$17,717.00
		Roosevelt Elementary	\$130,216.00	\$132,216.00
		Lincoln Elementary	\$105,900.00	\$105,900.00
		Rafere Johnson Junior High	\$157,321.00	\$157,321.00
		Ronald Reagan Elementary	\$75,660.00	\$75,660.00
		Washington Elementary	\$38,975.00	\$62,097.00
<i>Kingsburg Elementary Charter Total</i>			<i>\$525,789.00</i>	<i>\$550,911.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Kit Carson Union Elementary</b>				
688	1/12/2016	Kit Carson Elementary	\$262,280.00	\$262,280.00
<i>Kit Carson Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$262,280.00</i>	<i>\$262,280.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>La Habra City School District</b>				
164	8/7/2015	Imperial Middle School	\$168,024.00	\$249,344.00
		Washington Middle School	\$328,135.00	\$673,976.00
<i>La Habra City School District Total</i>			<i>\$496,159.00</i>	<i>\$923,320.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Lake Elsinore Unified</b>				
670	9/23/2016	David A. Brown Middle	\$249,486.70	\$258,804.70

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Lake Elsinore Unifiet 670</b>	9/23/2016	Elsinore High	\$656,273.00	\$698,129.70
		Lakeside High	\$148,652.88	\$167,049.70
		Railroad Canyon Elementary	\$444,286.00	\$444,286.00
		Temescal Canyon High	\$27,213.00	\$34,694.00
<i>Lake Elsinore Unified Total</i>			<i>\$1,525,911.58</i>	<i>\$1,602,964.10</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Lakeport Unified School District</b>				
147	10/5/2016	Clear Lake High	\$151,523.00	\$151,523.00
		Lakeport Elementary	\$56,085.00	\$56,085.00
		Lakeport USD Admin Office	\$8,037.00	\$8,037.00
<i>Lakeport Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$215,645.00</i>	<i>\$215,645.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Lakeside Union Elementary</b>				
686	2/4/2016	Lakeside Elementary	\$150,640.00	\$163,640.00
<i>Lakeside Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$150,640.00</i>	<i>\$163,640.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Larkspur-Corte Madera</b>				
507	12/11/2015	Cove Elementary	\$50,872.00	\$50,872.00
		Hall Middle	\$161,053.00	\$161,053.00
		Neil Cummins Elementary	\$138,075.00	\$138,075.00
<i>Larkspur-Corte Madera Total</i>			<i>\$350,000.00</i>	<i>\$350,000.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Learning Works Charter School</b>				
339	8/31/2015	Learning Works Charter School	\$64,968.00	\$91,330.98
<i>Learning Works Charter School Total</i>			<i>\$64,968.00</i>	<i>\$91,330.98</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Lennox SD</b>				
809	12/18/2015	District Office	\$123,181.00	\$123,181.00
		Buford Elementary	\$597,293.00	\$597,293.00
		Moffett Elementary	\$478,198.00	\$478,198.00
<i>Lennox SD Total</i>			<i>\$1,198,672.00</i>	<i>\$1,198,672.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Lennox SD - LMSTA</b>				
808	12/18/2015	Lennox MS&T Academy	\$284,694.00	\$284,694.00
<i>Lennox SD - LMSTA Total</i>			<i>\$284,694.00</i>	<i>\$284,694.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Lewiston Elementary School</b>				
1351	9/19/2016	Lewiston Elementary School District	\$49,790.00	\$49,790.00
<i>Lewiston Elementary School Total</i>			<i>\$49,790.00</i>	<i>\$49,790.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Little Shasta Elementary School District</b>				
124	5/1/2015	Little Shasta Elementary School	\$27,822.00	\$29,748.42
<i>Little Shasta Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$27,822.00</i>	<i>\$29,748.42</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District</b>				
210	6/30/2016	District Office	\$6,257.52	\$6,257.52
		Almond Avenue	\$2,277.16	\$2,277.16
		Altamont Creek	\$5,580.68	\$5,580.68
		Arroyo Seco	\$11,290.32	\$11,290.32
		Christensen Middle	\$2,614.41	\$2,614.41
		Croce	\$5,195.15	\$5,645.16
		Del Valle High	\$5,470.32	\$5,470.32
		East Avenue Middle	\$3,136.00	\$3,136.00
		Granada High	\$35,564.56	\$35,564.56
		Jackson Avenue	\$8,756.85	\$9,506.85
		Junction Avenue K-8	\$19,449.22	\$19,449.22
		Livermore High School	\$1,680.00	\$1,680.00
		Maintenance Grounds	\$2,622.16	\$2,622.16
		Marylin Avenue	\$1,153.58	\$1,253.58
		Mendenhall Middle	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Michell K-8	\$4,614.32	\$5,014.32

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Livermore Valley Joint</b>	210	6/30/2016	Rancho Las Positas Smith Sunset	\$36,213.88 \$5,240.11 \$8,075.06	\$36,213.88 \$5,690.11 \$8,775.06
<i>Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$165,191.30</i>	<i>\$168,041.31</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Los Alamitos Unified</b>	1396	7/1/2016	Los Alamitos High	\$1,315,382.16	\$1,326,135.16
<i>Los Alamitos Unified Total</i>				<i>\$1,315,382.16</i>	<i>\$1,326,135.16</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Los Angeles Leadership Academy</b>	831	4/15/2015	Los Angeles Leadership Academy	\$58,460.00	
<i>Los Angeles Leadership Academy Total</i>				<i>\$58,460.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Lucerne Elementary School District</b>	190	3/5/2015	Lucerne Elementary School	\$225,689.00	\$225,689.00
<i>Lucerne Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$225,689.00</i>	<i>\$225,689.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Luther Burbank</b>	1298	11/1/2015	Luther Burbank Elementary	\$266,798.04	\$327,959.21
<i>Luther Burbank Total</i>				<i>\$266,798.04</i>	<i>\$327,959.21</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Madera County Office of Education</b>	1165	8/1/2016	Howard Educational Center Millview Educational Center	\$70,633.34 \$13,954.13	\$70,633.34 \$13,954.13
<i>Madera County Office of Education Total</i>				<i>\$84,587.47</i>	<i>\$84,587.47</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Making Waves Academy</b>	662	8/14/2015	Making Waves Academy	\$288,429.00	\$288,429.00
<i>Making Waves Academy Total</i>				<i>\$288,429.00</i>	<i>\$288,429.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Maple Elementary</b>	1200	9/9/2016	Maple Elementary	\$127,624.00	\$127,624.00
<i>Maple Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$127,624.00</i>	<i>\$127,624.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Maria Montessori Charter Academy</b>	1210	9/1/2016	Maria Montessori Charter Academy	\$255,355.00	\$296,000.00
<i>Maria Montessori Charter Academy Total</i>				<i>\$255,355.00</i>	<i>\$296,000.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Maricopa Unified</b>	471	6/21/2016	Maricopa High	\$257,858.00	\$328,499.00
<i>Maricopa Unified Total</i>				<i>\$257,858.00</i>	<i>\$328,499.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>McFarland Unified</b>	675	9/9/2016	Browning Road Elementary Kern Avenue Elementary McFarland High McFarland Middle	\$173,517.00 \$245,157.00 \$143,662.00 \$186,737.00	\$173,517.00 \$245,157.00 \$143,662.00 \$186,737.00
<i>McFarland Unified Total</i>				<i>\$749,073.00</i>	<i>\$749,073.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Menifee Union Elementary</b>	282	12/1/2015	Bell Mountain Middle Callie Kirkpatrick Elementary Chester Morrison Elementary Evans Ranch Elementary Freedom Crest Elementary Hans Christensen Middle Herk Bouris Elementary Menifee Valley Middle Oak Meadows Elementary Quail Valley Elementary	\$247,237.00 \$149,472.00 \$115,403.00 \$161,684.00 \$116,782.00 \$176,864.00 \$132,339.00 \$221,199.00 \$150,260.00 \$129,385.00	\$290,883.00 \$149,472.00 \$115,403.00 \$161,684.00 \$116,782.00 \$205,206.00 \$132,339.00 \$252,657.00 \$150,260.00 \$129,385.00

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Menifee Union Elem 282</b>	12/1/2015	Ridgemoor Elementary	\$108,313.00	\$108,313.00
		Southshore Elementary	\$135,270.00	\$156,956.00
<i>Menifee Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$1,844,208.00</i>	<i>\$1,969,340.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Mid Valley Alternative Charter</b>				
689	1/12/2016	Mid Valley Alternative Charter	\$30,098.00	\$30,098.00
<i>Mid Valley Alternative Charter Total</i>			<i>\$30,098.00</i>	<i>\$30,098.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Milpitas Unified School District</b>				
513	6/30/2016	Alexander Rose Elementary	\$28,409.00	\$28,409.00
		John Sinnott Elementary	\$39,243.00	\$45,000.00
		Thomas Russell Middle	\$188,780.00	\$198,780.00
<i>Milpitas Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$256,432.00</i>	<i>\$272,189.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Montague Elementary School District</b>				
170	6/15/2016	Montague Elementary School	\$207,160.00	\$235,600.00
<i>Montague Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$207,160.00</i>	<i>\$235,600.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Monterey Bay County Office of Educatio - Monterey Bay Charter</b>				
228	10/6/2016	Monterey Bay Charter	\$86,560.32	\$86,560.32
<i>Monterey Bay County Office of Educatio - Monterey Bay Charter Total</i>			<i>\$86,560.32</i>	<i>\$86,560.32</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Monterey County Home Charter</b>				
1329	3/31/2016	Monterey County Home Charter	\$62,954.61	\$62,954.61
<i>Monterey County Home Charter Total</i>			<i>\$62,954.61</i>	<i>\$62,954.61</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Morgan Hill Unified</b>				
1014	2/18/2016	District Office	\$72,341.00	\$72,341.00
		Barrett Elementary	\$112,693.00	\$112,693.00
		El Toro Elementary	\$128,478.00	\$128,478.00
		Jackson Academy of Music and Math (JAMM)	\$459,134.00	\$495,017.00
		Lewis H. Britton Middle	\$0.00	
		Los Paseos Elementary	\$116,247.00	\$116,247.00
		Martin Murphy Middle	\$18,921.00	\$18,921.00
		Nordstrom Elementary	\$336,416.00	\$336,416.00
		P. A. Walsh STEAM Academy	\$94,921.00	\$94,921.00
		Paradise Valley/Machado Elementary	\$219,992.00	\$540,592.00
		San Martin Gwinn Environmental Science Academy	\$226,012.00	\$226,012.00
<i>Morgan Hill Unified Total</i>			<i>\$1,785,155.00</i>	<i>\$2,141,638.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Morongo Unified</b>				
1215	9/30/2016	Yucca Mesa Elementary	\$1,154,280.58	\$1,166,000.00
<i>Morongo Unified Total</i>			<i>\$1,154,280.58</i>	<i>\$1,166,000.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Mountain School</b>				
1292	1/21/2016	Mountain School	\$143,212.00	\$143,212.00
<i>Mountain School Total</i>			<i>\$143,212.00</i>	<i>\$143,212.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Mountain View Elementary School District</b>				
849	8/31/2016	Alfred S. Madrid Middle	\$272,073.00	\$272,073.00
		Baker Elementary	\$66,719.00	\$66,719.00
		Charles T. Kranz Intermediate	\$78,700.00	\$78,700.00
		Cogswell Elementary	\$109,933.00	\$109,933.00
		Jerry Voorhis Elementary	\$27,392.00	\$27,392.00
		La Primaria Elementary	\$13,892.00	\$108,335.00
		Maxson Elementary	\$38,746.00	\$38,746.00
		Miramonte Elementary	\$29,634.00	\$29,634.00
		Monte Vista Elementary	\$53,632.00	\$270,828.00
		Parkview Elementary	\$379,610.00	\$379,610.00
		Twin Lakes Elementary	\$43,949.00	\$43,949.00
		Willard F. Payne Elementary	\$547,412.00	\$547,412.00

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Mountain View Elementary School District Total</i>			\$1,661,692.00	\$1,973,331.00
<b>Mt. Shasta Union Elementary School District</b>				
94	9/4/2015	Sisson School	\$197,429.00	\$197,429.00
<i>Mt. Shasta Union Elementary School District Total</i>			\$197,429.00	\$197,429.00
<b>Mueller Charter (Robert L.)</b>				
612	7/31/2015	Mueller Charter (Robert L.)	\$336,771.00	\$771,279.00
<i>Mueller Charter (Robert L.) Total</i>			\$336,771.00	\$771,279.00
<b>Napa Valley Unified School District</b>				
95	8/6/2015	Napa Education Center	\$1,000,000.00	\$2,028,411.45
		Napa High School	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,745,330.73
		Vintage High School	\$1,523,180.00	\$3,668,205.96
<i>Napa Valley Unified School District Total</i>			\$3,523,180.00	\$7,441,948.14
<b>Nevada Joint Union HSD</b>				
65	12/30/2015	Bear River High School	\$270,397.00	
<i>Nevada Joint Union HSD Total</i>			\$270,397.00	
<b>Newport-Mesa Unified</b>				
495	2/24/2016	Abraham Lincoln Elementary	\$90,123.00	\$214,942.00
		Adams Elementary	\$63,193.00	\$161,344.00
		Back Bay High	\$64,373.00	\$161,344.00
		California Elementary	\$63,304.00	\$162,128.00
		Charles W. Tewinkle Middle	\$88,469.00	\$214,942.00
		College Park Elementary	\$64,007.00	\$162,128.00
		Eastbluff Elementary	\$88,915.00	\$214,942.00
		Estancia High	\$871,427.00	\$1,842,772.00
		Everett A. Rea Elementary	\$75,830.00	\$181,098.00
		Harbor View Elementary	\$38,624.00	\$97,296.00
		Harper	\$115,872.00	\$331,409.00
		Heinz Kaiser Elementary	\$64,373.00	\$161,344.00
		Killybrooke Elementary	\$63,984.00	\$161,344.00
		Mariners Elementary	\$64,136.00	\$161,344.00
		Maude B. Davis Elementary	\$265,406.00	\$601,750.00
		Newport Coast Elementary	\$89,609.00	\$214,942.00
		Paularino Elementary	\$63,193.00	\$161,344.00
		Pomona Elementary	\$63,984.00	\$161,344.00
		Roy O. Andersen Elementary	\$77,248.00	\$162,402.00
		Victoria Elementary	\$63,984.00	\$161,344.00
		Whittier Elementary	\$63,984.00	\$161,344.00
		Wilson Elementary	\$63,193.00	\$161,344.00
		Woodland Elementary	\$55,177.00	\$136,060.00
<i>Newport-Mesa Unified Total</i>			\$2,622,408.00	\$6,150,251.00
<b>Nicasio</b>				
301	12/18/2015	Nicasio	\$7,395.35	\$7,395.38
<i>Nicasio Total</i>			\$7,395.35	\$7,395.38
<b>Novato Charter School</b>				
143	7/31/2015	Novato Charter School	\$54,353.00	\$54,353.00
<i>Novato Charter School Total</i>			\$54,353.00	\$54,353.00
<b>Oak Grove Elementary</b>				
550	11/30/2015	Anderson (Alex) Elementary	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00
		Del Roble Elementary	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00
		Hayes Elementary	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00
<i>Oak Grove Elementary Total</i>			\$850,000.00	\$850,000.00
<b>Oak Run Elementary</b>				

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Oak Run Elementary 357</b>	10/5/2016	Oak Run Elementary	\$14,296.00	\$14,296.00
<i>Oak Run Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$14,296.00</i>	<i>\$14,296.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Oasis Charter Public</b>				
931	10/16/2015	Oasis Charter Public	\$95,929.98	\$95,929.98
<i>Oasis Charter Public Total</i>			<i>\$95,929.98</i>	<i>\$95,929.98</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Ocean View</b>				
565	9/30/2015	Mar Vista Elementary Ocean View Junior High	\$109,005.00 \$0.00	\$109,005.00 \$0.00
<i>Ocean View Total</i>			<i>\$109,005.00</i>	<i>\$109,005.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Oceanside Unified</b>				
457	2/1/2016	Martin Luther King Jr. Middle	\$937,052.17	\$937,052.17
<i>Oceanside Unified Total</i>			<i>\$937,052.17</i>	<i>\$937,052.17</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Orange County Educational Arts Academy</b>				
466	12/22/2015	Orange County Educational Arts Academy	\$159,000.00	\$163,000.00
<i>Orange County Educational Arts Academy Total</i>			<i>\$159,000.00</i>	<i>\$163,000.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Oroville City Elementary</b>				
442	8/16/2016	Wyandotte Avenue Elementary	\$236,972.00	\$236,972.00
<i>Oroville City Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$236,972.00</i>	<i>\$236,972.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Palisades Charter High School</b>				
1083	8/1/2016	Palisades Charter High	\$114,758.33	\$132,418.43
629	11/30/2015	Palisades Charter High School	\$183,018.00	\$178,894.00
<i>Palisades Charter High School Total</i>			<i>\$297,776.33</i>	<i>\$311,312.43</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Palmdale Elementary School District</b>				
59	1/30/2016	District Office	\$493,752.00	\$493,752.00
		Manzanita Elementary	\$917,120.00	\$917,120.00
		Mesquite Elementary	\$897,128.00	\$897,128.00
		Oak Tree Community Day	\$387,365.00	\$387,365.00
		Site 18 (aka Palmdale Elementary)	\$505,692.00	\$505,692.00
		Tamarisk Elementary	\$34,326.00	\$34,326.00
		Yellen Learning Center	\$187,861.00	\$187,861.00
		Yucca Elementary	\$1,029,556.00	\$1,029,556.00
<i>Palmdale Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$4,452,800.00</i>	<i>\$4,452,800.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Paso Robles Joint Unified</b>				
616	12/22/2015	Daniel Lewis Middle	\$8,600.00	\$8,600.00
		George H. Flamson Middle	\$7,310.00	\$7,310.00
		Paso Robles High	\$20,147.00	\$20,947.13
<i>Paso Robles Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$36,057.00</i>	<i>\$36,857.13</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Pine Ridge Elementary</b>				
607	12/10/2015	Pine Ridge Elementary	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Pine Ridge Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Pleasant Valley Joint Union Elementary</b>				
648	9/6/2016	Pleasant Valley Elementary	\$2,414.49	\$70,784.71
<i>Pleasant Valley Joint Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$2,414.49</i>	<i>\$70,784.71</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Pleasant View Elementary</b>				
86	10/21/2015	Pleasant View Elementary	\$233,056.00	\$390,273.00
<i>Pleasant View Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$233,056.00</i>	<i>\$390,273.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Pollock Pines Elementary School District</b>				
368	12/7/2015	Sierra Ridge Middle School	\$181,034.00	\$276,302.63

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Pollock Pines Elementary School District Total</i>			\$181,034.00	\$276,302.63
<b>Pomona Unified School District</b>				
786	12/10/2014	Diamond Ranch High	\$663,967.00	\$1,325,967.00
194	11/18/2015	Simons Middle	\$306,840.00	\$632,868.00
<i>Pomona Unified School District Total</i>			\$970,807.00	\$1,958,835.00
<b>Porterville Unified</b>				
1290	7/29/2016	Porterville High	\$811,442.00	\$848,626.22
<i>Porterville Unified Total</i>			\$811,442.00	\$848,626.22
<b>Reef Sunset Unified School District</b>				
146	11/17/2015	District Office	\$44,331.00	\$44,331.00
		Avenal Elementary School	\$98,300.00	\$98,300.00
		Avenal High School	\$186,640.00	\$186,640.00
		Kettleman City Elementary School	\$104,083.00	\$104,083.00
		Maintenance, Bus Garage	\$8,995.00	\$8,995.00
		Reef Sunset Middle School	\$106,010.00	\$106,010.00
		Tamarack Elementary School	\$96,373.00	\$96,373.00
<i>Reef Sunset Unified School District Total</i>			\$644,732.00	\$644,732.00
<b>Rescue Union Elementary</b>				
189	11/30/2015	Lake Forest Elementary School	\$157,635.00	\$181,592.00
		Lakeview Elementary School	\$157,635.00	\$172,825.00
		Marina Village Middle School	\$157,635.00	\$179,698.00
		Pleasant Grove Middle School	\$157,635.00	\$177,792.00
		Rescue Elementary School	\$157,635.00	\$175,457.00
<i>Rescue Union Elementary Total</i>			\$788,175.00	\$887,364.00
<b>Rialto Unified School District</b>				
103	10/12/2015	Rialto High School	\$1,357,000.00	\$1,452,000.00
479	10/12/2015	Eisenhower Senior High	\$354,468.26	\$364,468.26
		Rialto High	\$446,800.00	\$531,233.87
<i>Rialto Unified School District Total</i>			\$2,158,268.26	\$2,347,702.13
<b>Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary</b>				
1226	9/9/2016	Rio Bravo Elementary	\$89,905.00	\$89,905.00
		Rio Bravo-Greeley Elementary	\$181,348.00	\$181,348.00
<i>Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary Total</i>			\$271,253.00	\$271,253.00
<b>Rio Elementary</b>				
1208	9/23/2016	Rio Vista Middle	\$276,169.00	\$394,347.49
		Rio Del Mar	\$220,926.00	\$306,594.24
		Rio District Office	\$20,918.00	\$20,918.00
<i>Rio Elementary Total</i>			\$518,013.00	\$721,859.73
<b>Riverbank Language Academy</b>				
714	2/1/2016	Riverbank Language Academy	\$202,502.00	\$205,502.00
<i>Riverbank Language Academy Total</i>			\$202,502.00	\$205,502.00
<b>Riverbank Unified</b>				
545	2/25/2016	California Avenue Elementary	\$99,407.00	\$99,407.00
		Cardozo Middle	\$242,192.00	\$242,192.00
		Riverbank High	\$117,035.00	\$117,035.00
<i>Riverbank Unified Total</i>			\$458,634.00	\$458,634.00
<b>Riverside Unified School District</b>				
685	11/10/2015	EOC	\$587,693.57	\$596,861.99
		Highgrove Elementary	\$388,158.31	\$388,158.31
		John W. North High School	\$336,829.59	\$340,720.56

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Riverside Unified School District Total</i>			\$1,312,681.47	\$1,325,740.86
<hr/>				
<b>Roseville City Elementary</b>				
397	2/5/2016	Crestmont Elementary	\$159,459.00	\$171,459.00
		George Cirby Elementary	\$212,369.00	\$228,369.00
<i>Roseville City Elementary Total</i>			\$371,828.00	\$399,828.00
<hr/>				
<b>Ross Valley Elementary</b>				
1572	9/20/2016	Hidden Valley Elementary	\$8,000.66	\$10,274.39
		Manor Elementary	\$4,690.08	\$5,902.73
		White Hill Middle	\$59,897.09	\$64,695.37
<i>Ross Valley Elementary Total</i>			\$72,587.83	\$80,872.49
<hr/>				
<b>Round Valley Unified</b>				
348	10/15/2015	Round Valley Elementary	\$139,701.00	\$139,701.00
		Round Valley High School	\$115,377.00	\$115,377.00
<i>Round Valley Unified Total</i>			\$255,078.00	\$255,078.00
<hr/>				
<b>Saddleback Valley Unified School District</b>				
99	12/18/2015	Cielo Vista Elementary School	\$0.00	\$0.00
		La Madera Elementary School	\$91,169.00	\$91,169.00
		Laguna Hills High School	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lomarena Elementary School	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mission Viejo High School	\$139,186.00	\$139,186.00
		Olivewood Elementary School	\$66,415.00	\$66,415.00
		San Joaquin Elementary School	\$93,302.00	\$93,302.00
		Trabuco Hills High School	\$296,104.00	\$296,104.00
		Trabuco Mesa Elementary School	\$551,907.00	\$551,907.00
<i>Saddleback Valley Unified School District Total</i>			\$1,238,083.00	\$1,238,083.00
<hr/>				
<b>Salmon Creek Charter School</b>				
961	12/11/2015	Salmon Creek Charter School	\$201,821.00	\$234,301.00
<i>Salmon Creek Charter School Total</i>			\$201,821.00	\$234,301.00
<hr/>				
<b>San Antonio Union Elementary</b>				
996	5/11/2016	San Antonio Elementary	\$102,218.00	\$102,218.00
<i>San Antonio Union Elementary Total</i>			\$102,218.00	\$102,218.00
<hr/>				
<b>San Gabriel Unified School District</b>				
155	5/12/2015	Coolidge Elementary School	\$90,840.00	\$102,123.00
		Del Mar High School	\$0.00	
		Jefferson Middle School	\$208,703.00	\$246,943.00
		McKinley Elementary School	\$314,817.00	\$363,417.00
		Roosevelt Elementary School	\$0.00	
		SGUSD District Office	\$0.00	
		Washington Elementary School	\$231,001.00	\$270,533.00
		Wilson Elementary School	\$284,942.00	\$334,592.00
<i>San Gabriel Unified School District Total</i>			\$1,130,303.00	\$1,317,608.00
<hr/>				
<b>San Jose Charter Academy</b>				
1369	8/30/2016	San Jose Charter Academy	\$493,427.00	\$493,427.00
<i>San Jose Charter Academy Total</i>			\$493,427.00	\$493,427.00
<hr/>				
<b>San Juan Unified School District</b>				
1476	8/1/2016	Twin Lakes Elementary	\$81,109.00	\$81,109.00
		Andrew Carnegie Middle	\$112,584.00	\$112,584.00
		Arcade Fundamental Middle	\$61,485.00	\$61,485.00
		Arden Middle	\$72,578.00	\$72,578.00
		Carriage Drive Elementary	\$63,282.00	\$63,282.00
		Del Dayo Elementary	\$64,156.00	\$64,156.00
		Del Paso Manor Elementary	\$31,175.00	\$31,175.00

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>San Juan Unified Sch</b>	1476	8/1/2016	Earl Legette Elementary	\$64,642.00	\$64,642.00
			Gold River Discovery Center K-8	\$74,523.00	\$74,523.00
			Harry Dewey Fundamental Elementary	\$66,603.00	\$66,603.00
			Howe Avenue Elementary	\$59,145.00	\$59,145.00
			John Barrett Middle	\$75,876.00	\$75,876.00
			Kingswood K-8	\$64,530.00	\$64,530.00
			Lichen K-8	\$62,693.00	\$62,693.00
			Louis Pasteur Fundamental Middle	\$113,069.00	\$113,069.00
			Mariemont Elementary	\$38,927.00	\$38,927.00
			Mary Deterding Elementary	\$48,806.00	\$48,806.00
			Mission Avenue Open Elementary	\$43,251.00	\$43,251.00
			Orangevale Open K-8	\$45,272.00	\$45,272.00
			Pershing Elementary	\$51,243.00	\$51,243.00
			Sierra Oaks K-8	\$54,761.00	\$54,761.00
			Starr King K-8	\$86,225.00	\$86,225.00
			Thomas Edison Language Institute K-8	\$82,928.00	\$82,928.00
			Will Rogers Middle	\$111,207.00	\$111,207.00
			Winston Churchill Middle	\$106,021.00	\$106,021.00
			Woodside K-8	\$36,651.00	\$36,651.00
<i>San Juan Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$1,772,742.00</i>	<i>\$1,772,742.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>San Leandro Unified</b>	273	1/11/2016	San Leandro High School	\$2,015,425.00	\$3,570,780.00
<i>San Leandro Unified Total</i>				<i>\$2,015,425.00</i>	<i>\$3,570,780.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>San Luis Coastal Unified School District</b>	206	8/19/2016	Laguna Middle School	\$36,960.00	\$55,131.00
			Los Osos Middle School	\$23,520.00	\$39,552.00
			Morro Bay High School	\$35,280.00	\$111,391.00
			San Luis Obispo High School	\$72,240.00	\$152,076.00
<i>San Luis Coastal Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$168,000.00</i>	<i>\$358,150.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Santa Cruz City High</b>	945	8/1/2016	Branciforte Middle	\$6,003.13	\$6,003.13
			Harbor High	\$16,133.13	\$16,133.13
			Mission Hill Middle	\$7,726.98	\$7,726.98
			Santa Cruz High	\$17,900.36	\$17,900.36
			Soquel High	\$15,011.13	\$15,011.13
<i>Santa Cruz City High Total</i>				<i>\$62,774.73</i>	<i>\$62,774.73</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Santa Ynez Valley Union High School District</b>	227	11/30/2015	Santa Ynez Valley Union High	\$269,960.00	\$280,329.00
<i>Santa Ynez Valley Union High School District Total</i>				<i>\$269,960.00</i>	<i>\$280,329.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Saucelito Elementary</b>	594	9/16/2016	Saucelito Elementary	\$79,531.90	\$79,531.90
<i>Saucelito Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$79,531.90</i>	<i>\$79,531.90</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Savanna Elementary</b>	1198	7/26/2016	Holder Elementary	\$350,933.00	\$558,132.23
<i>Savanna Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$350,933.00</i>	<i>\$558,132.23</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Sherman Thomas Charter School</b>	116	12/30/2015	Sherman Thomas Charter School	\$93,056.25	
<i>Sherman Thomas Charter School Total</i>				<i>\$93,056.25</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Snelling Merced Falls Union Elementary</b>	163	12/30/2015	Snelling-Merced Falls Union Elementary	\$112,045.00	\$112,045.00
<i>Snelling Merced Falls Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$112,045.00</i>	<i>\$112,045.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Snowline Joint Unified School District</b>					

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Snowline Joint Unifi</b>	1320	5/12/2016	Pinon Mesa Middle School	\$86,140.75	\$158,632.65
			Serrano High School	\$142,755.35	\$142,755.35
<i>Snowline Joint Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$228,896.10</i>	<i>\$301,388.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Solvang Elementary</b>	332	12/22/2015	Solvang Elementary	\$196,645.03	\$200,629.44
<i>Solvang Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$196,645.03</i>	<i>\$200,629.44</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Stellar Charter School</b>	179	7/23/2015	Stellar Charter	\$202,472.00	\$250,621.00
<i>Stellar Charter School Total</i>				<i>\$202,472.00</i>	<i>\$250,621.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Stellar Secondary Charter High</b>	180	7/23/2015	Stellar Secondary Charter High	\$61,204.00	\$86,374.00
<i>Stellar Secondary Charter High Total</i>				<i>\$61,204.00</i>	<i>\$86,374.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Temecula Preparatory</b>	585	8/25/2015	Temecula Preparatory	\$65,762.00	\$65,762.00
<i>Temecula Preparatory Total</i>				<i>\$65,762.00</i>	<i>\$65,762.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Temecula Valley Charter School</b>	533	8/25/2015	Temecula Valley Charter	\$59,925.00	\$59,925.00
<i>Temecula Valley Charter School Total</i>				<i>\$59,925.00</i>	<i>\$59,925.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Tustin Unified School District</b>	828	8/22/2016	Arnold O. Beckman High	\$255,458.66	\$266,753.66
			Foothill High	\$421,492.00	\$432,787.00
	165	9/1/2015	Currie Middle School	\$171,105.39	\$171,105.39
			Guin Foss Elementary School	\$534,645.11	\$1,109,766.45
<i>Tustin Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$1,382,701.16</i>	<i>\$1,980,412.50</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Twin Ridges Home Study Charter</b>	440	12/22/2015	Twin Ridges Home Study Charter	\$26,425.68	\$26,425.68
<i>Twin Ridges Home Study Charter Total</i>				<i>\$26,425.68</i>	<i>\$26,425.68</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Twin Rivers Unified</b>	413	10/31/2014	Grant Union High	\$1,061,091.00	\$3,215,000.00
<i>Twin Rivers Unified Total</i>				<i>\$1,061,091.00</i>	<i>\$3,215,000.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>University Preparatory</b>	1011	6/29/2016	University Preparatory	\$263,990.92	\$263,990.92
<i>University Preparatory Total</i>				<i>\$263,990.92</i>	<i>\$263,990.92</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Upper Lake Union High</b>	423	12/1/2015	Upper Lake High	\$79,849.00	\$79,849.00
<i>Upper Lake Union High Total</i>				<i>\$79,849.00</i>	<i>\$79,849.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Walnut Creek USD</b>	846	9/26/2016	Buena Vista Elementary	\$61,454.21	\$61,454.21
			Murwood Elementary	\$38,907.25	\$44,379.06
			Parkmead Elementary	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Walnut Creek Intermediate	\$55,522.43	\$55,522.43
			Walnut Heights	\$30,955.50	\$31,955.06
			WC District Office & Maintenance	\$6,776.13	\$6,776.13
<i>Walnut Creek USD Total</i>				<i>\$193,615.52</i>	<i>\$200,086.89</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Waugh Elementary</b>	848	1/22/2016	Corona Creek Elementary	\$104,363.00	\$104,363.00
			Meadow Elementary	\$94,885.00	\$94,885.00
<i>Waugh Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$199,248.00</i>	<i>\$199,248.00</i>

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Weed Union Elementary School District</b>				
93	8/3/2015	Weed Elementary School	\$100,983.00	\$100,938.00
<i>Weed Union Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$100,983.00</i>	<i>\$100,938.00</i>
<b>West Contra Costa Unified School District</b>				
1129	8/31/2015	Grant Elementary School	\$107,412.13	\$112,299.30
		Collins Elementary School	\$93,516.63	\$97,900.13
		Hannah Ranch Elementary	\$216,972.48	\$222,529.48
		Lake Elementary School	\$61,626.66	\$66,245.66
		Richmond High School	\$1,035,408.00	\$1,106,979.66
<i>West Contra Costa Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,514,935.90</i>	<i>\$1,605,954.23</i>
<b>West Covina Unified School District</b>				
311	5/1/2016	District Office	\$88,838.00	\$97,091.00
		Monte Vista Elementary	\$79,835.00	\$87,251.00
		California Elementary	\$98,643.00	\$107,807.00
		Cameron Elementary	\$89,839.00	\$98,185.00
		Coronado Alternate	\$95,442.00	\$104,308.00
		Edgewood Middle School	\$261,789.00	\$306,144.00
		Hollencrest Middle School	\$106,846.00	\$116,772.00
		Merced Elementary	\$108,647.00	\$118,740.00
		Merlinda Elementary	\$97,642.00	\$106,713.00
		Orangewood Elementary	\$108,722.00	\$138,858.00
		Vine Elementary	\$81,436.00	\$89,001.00
		Walnut Grove Intermediate	\$104,046.00	\$113,711.00
		Wescove Elementary	\$64,028.00	\$69,976.00
		West Covina High School	\$343,582.00	\$395,582.00
<i>West Covina Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,729,335.00</i>	<i>\$1,950,139.00</i>
<b>Westminster</b>				
739	5/24/2016	Johnson Middle	\$516,358.00	\$701,871.00
		Warner Middle	\$1,670,922.00	\$2,324,062.00
<i>Westminster Total</i>			<i>\$2,187,280.00</i>	<i>\$3,025,933.00</i>
<b>Wheatland Charter Academy</b>				
347	6/25/2015	Wheatland Charter Academy	\$6,713.00	\$8,113.00
<i>Wheatland Charter Academy Total</i>			<i>\$6,713.00</i>	<i>\$8,113.00</i>
<b>Wheatland Elementary School District</b>				
346	6/25/2015	District Office	\$34,658.00	\$32,760.00
		Bear River Middle	\$222,652.00	\$250,652.00
		Lone Tree Elementary	\$68,346.00	\$184,729.00
		Wheatland Elementary	\$127,163.00	\$163,163.00
<i>Wheatland Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$452,819.00</i>	<i>\$631,304.00</i>
<b>Willits Unified</b>				
558	3/22/2016	Baechtel Grove Middle	\$80,800.00	\$80,800.00
		Blosser Lane Elementary	\$88,276.00	\$88,276.00
		Brookside Elementary	\$118,959.00	\$117,959.00
		Sanhedrin High	\$15,236.00	\$15,236.00
		Sherwood	\$7,589.00	\$7,589.00
		Willits High	\$188,420.00	\$188,420.00
<i>Willits Unified Total</i>			<i>\$499,280.00</i>	<i>\$498,280.00</i>
<b>Willows Unified School District</b>				
145	5/12/2015	Murdock Elementary School	\$347,235.00	\$442,990.00
		Willows High School	\$204,835.00	\$326,785.00
<i>Willows Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$552,070.00</i>	<i>\$769,775.00</i>
<b>Woodville Union Elementary</b>				

## Appendix B: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data (Completed Projects)

Notes:

1. Reporting on 183 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Woodville Union Ele 472</b>	1/21/2016	Woodville Elementary	\$205,516.00	\$214,516.00
<i>Woodville Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$205,516.00</i>	<i>\$214,516.00</i>
<hr/>				
Grand Total			\$104,196,191.18	\$133,888,347.41

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

LEA Name	Energy Expenditure Plan ID	Site Name	Estimated Completion Date	Reported Proposition 39 Share Spent (\$)	Reported Amount Spent for Measure Installation (\$)
<b>ABC Unified School District</b>					
	362	Aloha Elementary School	12/30/2016	\$8,425.50	\$0.00
		Hawaiian Elementary School	12/30/2016	\$8,425.50	\$0.00
		Leal Elementary School	12/30/2016	\$8,425.50	\$0.00
		Palms Elementary School	12/30/2016	\$8,425.50	\$0.00
		Stowers Elementary School	12/30/2016	\$8,425.50	\$0.00
<i>ABC Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$42,127.50</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Achieve Charter School</b>					
	384	Achieve Charter School	6/1/2020	\$14,346.00	\$63,346.00
<i>Achieve Charter School Total</i>				<i>\$14,346.00</i>	<i>\$63,346.00</i>
<b>Alameda County Office of Education</b>					
	1	Burke Center	11/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Alameda County Office of Education Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Allensworth Elementary</b>					
	693	Allensworth Elementary	5/4/2018	\$58,996.42	\$58,996.42
<i>Allensworth Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$58,996.42</i>	<i>\$58,996.42</i>
<b>Alvina Elementary</b>					
	262	Alvina Elementary	2/2/2018	\$141,275.00	
<i>Alvina Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$141,275.00</i>	
<b>Anderson Union High School District</b>					
	131	Anderson Union High School	6/30/2018	\$316,613.00	\$316,613.00
<i>Anderson Union High School District Total</i>				<i>\$316,613.00</i>	<i>\$316,613.00</i>
<b>Arcohe USD</b>					
	390	Arcohe Elementary School	3/22/2016	\$72,529.00	\$72,529.00
<i>Arcohe USD Total</i>				<i>\$72,529.00</i>	<i>\$72,529.00</i>
<b>ASA Charter</b>					
	599	ASA Charter	4/7/2017	\$91,426.51	
<i>ASA Charter Total</i>				<i>\$91,426.51</i>	
<b>Aspire Alexander Twilight College Prep Academy</b>					
	265	Aspire Alexander Twilight College Prep	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Alexander Twilight College Prep Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Aspire Alexander Twilight Secondary Academy</b>					
	595	Aspire Alexander Twilight Secondary Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Alexander Twilight Secondary Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Aspire APEX Academy</b>					
	309	Aspire APEX Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire APEX Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Aspire Berkley Maynard</b>					
	342	Aspire Berkley Maynard Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Berkley Maynard Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Aspire East Palo Alto Charter</b>					
	330	Aspire East Palo Alto Charter	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire East Palo Alto Charter Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Aspire ERES Academy</b>					
	233	Aspire ERES Academy	6/30/2020	\$23,536.00	\$23,536.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Aspire ERES Academy Total</i>			\$23,536.00	\$23,536.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Firestone Academy</b>				
373	Aspire Firestone Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Firestone Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Gateway Academy</b>				
372	Aspire Gateway Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Gateway Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy</b>				
735	Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Golden State College Preparatory Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Inskeep Academy</b>				
377	Aspire Inskeep Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Inskeep Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Juanita Tate Academy</b>				
376	Aspire Juanita Tate Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Juanita Tate Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Junior Collegiate Academy</b>				
371	Aspire Junior Collegiate Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Junior Collegiate Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Langston Hughes Academy</b>				
308	Aspire Langston Hughes Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Langston Hughes Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Lionel Wilson Academy</b>				
321	Aspire Lionel Wilson Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Lionel Wilson Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Monarch Academy</b>				
584	Aspire Monarch Academy	6/30/2020	\$9,950.44	\$9,950.44
<i>Aspire Monarch Academy Total</i>			\$9,950.44	\$9,950.44
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Pacific Academy</b>				
374	Aspire Pacific Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Pacific Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Port City Academy</b>				
601	Aspire Port City Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Port City Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire River Oaks Charter</b>				
570	Aspire River Oaks Charter	6/30/2020	\$14,482.00	\$14,842.00
<i>Aspire River Oaks Charter Total</i>			\$14,482.00	\$14,842.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Slauson Academy</b>				
375	Aspire Slauson Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Slauson Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire Titan Academy</b>				
369	Aspire Titan Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Titan Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>				
<b>Aspire University Charter</b>				
653	Aspire University Charter	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire University Charter Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Aspire Vincent Shalvey Academy</b>				
593	Aspire Vincent Shalvey Academy	6/30/2020	\$5,850.00	\$5,850.00
<i>Aspire Vincent Shalvey Academy Total</i>			<i>\$5,850.00</i>	<i>\$5,850.00</i>
<b>Bangor Union Elementary</b>				
241	Bangor Union Elementary	6/1/2020	\$16,159.00	\$16,159.00
<i>Bangor Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$16,159.00</i>	<i>\$16,159.00</i>
<b>Belmont-Redwood Shores School District</b>				
112	BRSSD District Office	2/1/2016	\$50,025.00	\$92,929.00
	Ralston Middle School	9/1/2015	\$365,000.00	\$924,604.47
<i>Belmont-Redwood Shores School District Total</i>			<i>\$415,025.00</i>	<i>\$1,017,533.47</i>
<b>Bennett Valley Union Elementary School District</b>				
396	Strawberry Elementary	8/31/2017	\$2,561.00	\$2,561.00
	Yulupa Elementary	8/31/2017	\$3,259.00	\$3,259.00
<i>Bennett Valley Union Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$5,820.00</i>	<i>\$5,820.00</i>
<b>Big Pine Unified</b>				
429	Big Pine Elementary School	10/10/2016	\$97,041.00	\$88,656.00
	Big Pine High School	10/10/2016	\$111,235.00	\$145,243.00
<i>Big Pine Unified Total</i>			<i>\$208,276.00</i>	<i>\$233,899.00</i>
<b>Big Valley Joint Unified</b>				
382	Big Valley Elementary	9/30/2016	\$207,420.00	\$207,225.00
	Big Valley Jr Sr High School	9/30/2016	\$21,560.00	\$21,560.00
<i>Big Valley Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$228,980.00</i>	<i>\$228,785.00</i>
<b>Bishop Unified</b>				
583	Bishop Union High	10/21/2016	\$7,826.00	\$7,826.00
	Home Street Middle	10/21/2016	\$95,000.00	\$95,000.00
<i>Bishop Unified Total</i>			<i>\$102,826.00</i>	<i>\$102,826.00</i>
<b>Blackford Elementary School</b>				
69	Blackford Elementary School	7/31/2017	\$2,847.82	\$2,847.82
<i>Blackford Elementary School Total</i>			<i>\$2,847.82</i>	<i>\$2,847.82</i>
<b>Bonita Unified School District</b>				
337	Allen Avenue Elementary	7/29/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Arma J. Shull Elementary	7/15/2015	\$67,768.57	\$67,768.57
	Bonita High	7/29/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Chaparral High (Continuation)	7/29/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Fred Ekstrand Elementary	7/29/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Gladstone Elementary	7/15/2015	\$88,555.57	\$88,555.57
	Grace Miller Elementary	7/29/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	J. Marion Roynon Elementary	7/15/2015	\$82,163.57	\$82,163.57
	La Verne Heights Elementary	7/15/2015	\$105,490.57	\$105,490.57
	Lone Hill Middle	7/15/2015	\$66,980.57	\$66,980.57
	Oak Mesa Elementary	7/15/2015	\$79,799.59	\$79,799.59
	Ramona Middle	7/15/2015	\$40,250.57	\$40,250.57
	San Dimas High	7/29/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Bonita Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$531,009.01</i>	<i>\$531,009.01</i>
<b>Bonny Doon Elementary</b>				
361	Bonny Doon Elementary	10/31/2016	\$99,565.00	\$99,565.00
<i>Bonny Doon Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$99,565.00</i>	<i>\$99,565.00</i>
<b>Bowman Charter</b>				
655	Bowman Charter	12/30/2016	\$213,079.00	\$316,010.63
<i>Bowman Charter Total</i>			<i>\$213,079.00</i>	<i>\$316,010.63</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Brentwood Union Elementary</b>					
489	Adams (J. Douglas) Middle	12/31/2016	\$67,785.36	\$67,785.36	
	Brentwood Elementary	12/31/2016	\$79,418.73	\$79,418.73	
	District Office	12/31/2016	\$13,157.11	\$13,157.11	
	Edna Hill Middle	12/31/2016	\$106,882.39	\$106,882.39	
	Garin Elementary	12/31/2016	\$67,521.60	\$67,521.60	
	Loma Vista Elementary	12/31/2016	\$81,779.59	\$81,779.59	
	Marsh Creek Elementary	12/31/2016	\$61,225.08	\$61,225.08	
	Mary Casey Black Elementary	12/31/2016	\$37,809.08	\$37,809.08	
	Pioneer Elementary	12/31/2016	\$62,880.88	\$62,880.88	
	R. Paul Krey Elementary	12/31/2016	\$46,517.04	\$46,517.04	
	Ron Nunn Elementary	12/31/2016	\$100,823.62	\$100,823.62	
	William B. Bristow Middle	12/31/2016	\$39,070.00	\$30,521.28	
<i>Brentwood Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$764,870.48</i>	<i>\$756,321.76</i>	
<b>Buellton Union Elementary</b>					
393	Jonata Middle	12/31/2018	\$63,714.00	\$63,714.00	
	Oak Valley Elementary	12/31/2018	\$9,757.31	\$9,757.31	
<i>Buellton Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$73,471.31</i>	<i>\$73,471.31</i>	
<b>Cajon Valley Union</b>					
464	Anza Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Avocado Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Blossom Valley Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Bostonia Elementary	3/31/2018	\$306,029.20	\$306,029.20	
	Cajon Valley Community Day	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Cajon Valley Middle	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Chase Avenue Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Crest Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	District Office	3/31/2018	\$99.48	\$99.48	
	Emerald Middle	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Flying Hills Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Fuerte Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Greenfield Middle	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Hillsdale Middle	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Jamacha Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Johnson Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Los Coches Creek Middle	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Madison Avenue Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Magnolia Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Meridian Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Montgomery Middle	3/31/2018	\$1,313,700.38	\$1,313,700.38	
	Naranca Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Rancho San Diego Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Rios Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Sevick Special Education	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Vista Grande Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	W. D. Hall Elementary	3/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Cajon Valley Union Total</i>			<i>\$1,619,829.06</i>	<i>\$1,619,829.06</i>	
<b>Calistoga Joint Unified School District</b>					
225	Calistoga Jr/Sr High School	8/10/2016	\$21,488.76	\$14,438.00	
<i>Calistoga Joint Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$21,488.76</i>	<i>\$14,438.00</i>	
<b>Campbell Union School District</b>					
79	Campbell Middle School	7/31/2017	\$42,453.32	\$42,453.32	
<i>Campbell Union School District Total</i>			<i>\$42,453.32</i>	<i>\$42,453.32</i>	
<b>Camptonville Academy</b>					
602	Camptonville Academy	6/30/2018	\$130,394.98	\$126,692.48	
<i>Camptonville Academy Total</i>			<i>\$130,394.98</i>	<i>\$126,692.48</i>	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Capri Elementary School</b>					
80	Capri Elementary School	7/31/2017	\$16,690.08	\$16,690.08	
<i>Capri Elementary School Total</i>			<i>\$16,690.08</i>	<i>\$16,690.08</i>	
<b>Cecil Avenue Math &amp; Science Academy</b>					
28	Cecil Avenue Charter School	11/1/2016	\$243,156.00	\$282,756.00	
<i>Cecil Avenue Math &amp; Science Academy Total</i>			<i>\$243,156.00</i>	<i>\$282,756.00</i>	
<b>Center Joint Unified</b>					
1318	Center High School	8/1/2016	\$270,042.67	\$270,042.67	
<i>Center Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$270,042.67</i>	<i>\$270,042.67</i>	
<b>Charter Alternatives Academy</b>					
523	Charter Alternatives Academy	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Charter Alternatives Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Chawanakee Unified</b>					
738	District Office	1/8/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	North Fork Elementary	4/8/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Spring Valley Elementary	10/6/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Chawanakee Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Chicago Park Elementary</b>					
575	Chicago Park Elementary	1/15/2016	\$77,125.94	\$77,125.94	
<i>Chicago Park Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$77,125.94</i>	<i>\$77,125.94</i>	
<b>Chico Country Day School</b>					
383	Chico Country Day School	12/31/2016	\$14,066.00	\$108,466.00	
<i>Chico Country Day School Total</i>			<i>\$14,066.00</i>	<i>\$108,466.00</i>	
<b>Chico USD</b>					
128	Bidwell Junior High	9/1/2016	\$30,473.91	\$31,775.74	
	Chapman Elementary	9/1/2016	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Chico High	9/1/2018	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Chico Junior High	9/1/2017	\$52,397.30	\$56,284.18	
	Citrus Avenue Elementary	9/1/2016	\$35,852.06	\$38,080.11	
	District Office	9/1/2016	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Emma Wilson Elementary	9/1/2017	\$14,155.64	\$14,930.62	
	Fair View High (Continuation)	9/1/2016	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Hooker Oak Elementary	9/1/2017	\$17,206.61	\$20,606.73	
	Little Chico Creek Elementary	9/1/2017	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Loma Vista	9/1/2016	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Marigold Elementary	9/1/2018	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Marsh (Harry M.) Junior High	9/1/2016	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	McManus (John A.) Elementary	9/1/2018	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Neal Dow Elementary	9/1/2017	\$7,348.40	\$365,166.03	
	Parkview Elementary	9/1/2017	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Pleasant Valley High	9/1/2018	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Rosedale Elementary	9/1/2018	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Shasta Elementary	9/1/2016	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	
	Sierra View Elementary	9/1/2015	\$16,315.92	\$16,972.68	
<i>Chico USD Total</i>			<i>\$225,749.84</i>	<i>\$595,816.09</i>	
<b>Childrens Community Charter</b>					
315	Childrens Community Charter	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Childrens Community Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Chino Valley Unified School District</b>					
857	Don Antonio Lugo High	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Alicia Cortez Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Anna A. Borba Fundamental Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Chino Valley Unified 857</b>	Buena Vista Continuation High	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Cal Aero Preserve Academy	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Chaparral Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Chino Hills High	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Chino Valley Adult	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Chino Valley Learning Academy	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Dickson Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	E. J. Marshall Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Eagle Canyon Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Edwin Rhodes Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Gerald F. Litel Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Howard Cattle Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Levi H. Dickey Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Media Center	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Michael G. Wickman Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Oak Ridge Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ramona Junior High	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Walnut Avenue Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Chino Valley Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Cielo Vista Charter</b>				
493	Cielo Vista Charter	3/1/2018	\$4,484.45	\$4,484.45
<i>Cielo Vista Charter Total</i>			<i>\$4,484.45</i>	<i>\$4,484.45</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Classical Academy</b>				
123	Classical Academy	6/30/2020	\$117,101.00	\$117,101.00
<i>Classical Academy Total</i>			<i>\$117,101.00</i>	<i>\$117,101.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Classical Academy High School</b>				
742	Classical Academy High School	6/30/2020	\$79,421.86	\$81,059.00
1146	Classical Academy High	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Classical Academy High School Total</i>			<i>\$79,421.86</i>	<i>\$81,059.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Columbine Elementary School</b>				
26	Columbine Elementary	6/30/2018	\$71,477.00	\$71,477.00
<i>Columbine Elementary School Total</i>			<i>\$71,477.00</i>	<i>\$71,477.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Contra Costa County Office of Education</b>				
832	Central County Special Education Programs	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	East County Elementary Special Education	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Far East County Programs	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Floyd I. Marchus	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Golden Gate Community	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Heritage CCCOE Special Education Programs	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Golden Gate Community - Golden State Pittsburg School	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Stewart Building	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
<i>Contra Costa County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified School District</b>				
133	Evergreen Elementary	12/31/2016	\$347,388.00	\$347,388.00
	John Reed Primary	2/23/2016	\$110,632.00	\$110,632.00
	Rancho Cotati HS	2/23/2016	\$379,879.00	\$413,668.00
	Thomas Page Academy	2/23/2016	\$99,809.00	\$99,809.00
	Waldo Rohnert Intermediate	2/23/2016	\$111,835.00	\$111,835.00
<i>Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,049,543.00</i>	<i>\$1,083,332.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Del Vista Math &amp; Science Academy</b>				
29	Del Vista Math & Science Academy	11/1/2016	\$233,988.00	\$246,599.00
<i>Del Vista Math &amp; Science Academy Total</i>			<i>\$233,988.00</i>	<i>\$246,599.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Delano Union Elementary School District</b>				
89	Del Vista Elementary School	1/11/2016	\$119,888.00	\$119,888.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Delano Union Eleme 89</b>	Fremont School	1/11/2016	\$310,098.00	\$310,098.00
	Nueva Vista Elementary School	1/11/2016	\$84,566.00	\$84,566.00
	Princeton Street Elementary	1/11/2016	\$125,272.00	\$125,272.00
	Terrace Elementary School	1/11/2016	\$332,067.00	\$332,067.00
<i>Delano Union Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$971,891.00</i>	<i>\$971,891.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Delphic Elementary</b>				
1306	Delphic Elementary School	6/20/2017	\$36,000.00	\$36,000.00
<i>Delphic Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$36,000.00</i>	<i>\$36,000.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Di Giorgio Elementary</b>				
463	Di Giorgio Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Di Giorgio Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Douglas City Elementary</b>				
452	Douglas City Elementary	6/30/2020	\$101,628.00	\$101,628.00
<i>Douglas City Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$101,628.00</i>	<i>\$101,628.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Downey Unified School District</b>				
54	Alameda Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Carpenter Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Columbus High	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	District Office	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Doty Middle	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Downey High	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Gallatin Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Gauldin Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Griffiths Middle	6/30/2018	\$43,831.62	\$43,831.62
	Imperial Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lewis Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Maintenance & Warehouse	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Old River Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Pace Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Price Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Rio Hondo Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Rio San Gabriel Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sussman Middle	6/30/2018	\$43,831.62	\$43,831.62
	Unsworth Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ward Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Warren High	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	West Middle	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Williams Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Transportation	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Downey Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$87,663.24</i>	<i>\$87,663.24</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Dry Creek Joint Elementary School District</b>				
291	Antelope Crossing MS	9/1/2017	\$13,999.20	\$13,999.20
	Antelope Meadows ES	12/18/2015	\$459,762.01	\$459,762.01
	Quail Glen Elementary School	9/7/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Dry Creek Joint Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$473,761.21</i>	<i>\$473,761.21</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Dunsmuir Joint Union High School District</b>				
1386	Dunsmuir High School	9/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Dunsmuir Joint Union High School District Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>East Nicolaus Joint Union High</b>				
713	East Nicolaus High	9/18/2018	\$57,475.00	\$57,475.00
<i>East Nicolaus Joint Union High Total</i>			<i>\$57,475.00</i>	<i>\$57,475.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>East Whittier City School District</b>				
108	Ceres Elementary School	3/31/2017	\$74,809.47	\$74,809.47
	District Office / Facilities	8/12/2016	\$120,248.28	\$120,248.28

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>East Whittier City Sc 108</b>	East Whittier Middle School	5/31/2017	\$159,703.42	\$159,703.42
	Evergreen Elementary School	12/31/2017	\$85,732.10	\$85,732.10
	Granada Middle School	6/30/2017	\$114,750.00	\$114,750.00
	Hillview Middle School	5/31/2017	\$138,751.93	\$138,751.93
	La Colima Elementary	2/28/2017	\$92,996.50	\$92,996.50
	Laurel Elementary	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Leffingwell Elementary School	6/30/2017	\$87,651.20	\$87,651.20
	Mar Vista Early Childhood Ctr	4/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Mulberry Elementary School	6/30/2017	\$95,314.47	\$95,314.47
	Murphy Ranch Elementary	8/14/2015	\$88,382.64	\$88,382.64
	Ocean View Elementary	7/31/2017	\$95,777.41	\$95,777.41
	Orchard Dale Elementary	5/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Scott Ave. Elementary School	10/31/2017	\$83,600.96	\$83,600.96
<i>East Whittier City School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,237,718.38</i>	<i>\$1,237,718.38</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Edison-Bethune Charter Academy</b>				
406	Edison-Bethune Charter Academy	2/28/2018	\$218,040.82	\$218,040.82
<i>Edison-Bethune Charter Academy Total</i>			<i>\$218,040.82</i>	<i>\$218,040.82</i>
<hr/>				
<b>EJE Elementary Academy Charter</b>				
643	EJE Elementary Academy Charter	6/30/2020	\$146,474.36	\$146,474.36
<i>EJE Elementary Academy Charter Total</i>			<i>\$146,474.36</i>	<i>\$146,474.36</i>
<hr/>				
<b>EJE Middle Academy</b>				
644	EJE Middle Academy	6/30/2020	\$135,521.64	\$135,521.64
<i>EJE Middle Academy Total</i>			<i>\$135,521.64</i>	<i>\$135,521.64</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Elkins Elementary</b>				
642	Elkins Elementary	4/7/2015	\$4,204.00	\$4,204.00
<i>Elkins Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$4,204.00</i>	<i>\$4,204.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Escondido Charter High</b>				
75	Escondido Charter High	6/30/2020	\$97,876.91	\$97,876.91
<i>Escondido Charter High Total</i>			<i>\$97,876.91</i>	<i>\$97,876.91</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Esparto Unified</b>				
138	Esparto K-8	9/29/2016	\$325,466.00	\$325,466.00
<i>Esparto Unified Total</i>			<i>\$325,466.00</i>	<i>\$325,466.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Exploer Elementary</b>				
779	Explorer Elementary	8/31/2017	\$229,310.10	\$229,310.10
<i>Exploer Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$229,310.10</i>	<i>\$229,310.10</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Fenton Primary Center</b>				
272	Fenton Primary Center	7/10/2015	\$197,460.00	\$197,460.00
<i>Fenton Primary Center Total</i>			<i>\$197,460.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Flournoy Union Elementary School District</b>				
209	Flournoy Elementary School	11/25/2014	\$19,829.00	
<i>Flournoy Union Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$19,829.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Forest Hill Elementary School</b>				
34	Forest Hill Elementary	7/31/2017	\$38,917.09	
<i>Forest Hill Elementary School Total</i>			<i>\$38,917.09</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Forest Ranch Charter</b>				
340	Forest Ranch Charter	6/30/2020	\$104,639.50	\$104,639.50
<i>Forest Ranch Charter Total</i>			<i>\$104,639.50</i>	<i>\$104,639.50</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Forks of Salmon Elementary</b>				
186	Forks of Salmon Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Forks of Salmon Elementary Total</i>					\$0.00
<hr/>					
<b>Fowler Unified</b>					
306	Fowler High		11/1/2016	\$0.00	
	Fremont Elementary		2/2/2016	\$253,985.00	
<i>Fowler Unified Total</i>					\$253,985.00
<hr/>					
<b>Fremont Union High School District</b>					
182	Cupertino High		4/30/2015	\$51,575.02	\$51,575.02
	Fremont High		4/29/2016	\$33,843.91	\$33,843.91
	Homestead High		8/5/2016	\$42,763.66	\$42,763.66
	Lynbrook High		7/31/2015	\$89,105.14	\$89,105.14
	Monta Vista High		6/30/2016	\$76,956.70	\$76,956.70
797	Cupertino High		10/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Fremont High		10/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Homestead High		10/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lynbrook High		12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Monta Vista High		10/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Fremont Union High School District Total</i>				\$294,244.43	\$294,244.43
<hr/>					
<b>Fresno Unified</b>					
823	Instructional Media Center		10/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Jefferson Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	Birney Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	Burroughs Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	Centennial Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	Del Mar Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	Holland Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	King Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	Kings Canyon Middle		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	Powers-Ginsburg Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	Pyle Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	Roeding Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	Turner Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
	Vinland Elementary		10/23/2017	\$0.00	
<i>Fresno Unified Total</i>				\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>					
<b>Garden Grove Unified</b>					
450	Bolsa Grande High		5/31/2019	\$20,894.80	\$20,894.80
	Edward Russell Elementary		8/31/2017	\$118,276.86	\$179,107.04
	Los Amigos High		3/31/2019	\$1,289,072.01	\$3,225,572.01
	Newhope Elementary		8/31/2017	\$54,474.16	\$82,744.52
	Santiago High		3/31/2019	\$20,894.80	\$20,894.80
<i>Garden Grove Unified Total</i>				\$1,503,612.63	\$3,529,213.17
<hr/>					
<b>General Shafter Elementary</b>					
691	General Shafter Elementary		8/31/2018	\$78,564.19	
<i>General Shafter Elementary Total</i>				\$78,564.19	
<hr/>					
<b>Geyserville Unified School District</b>					
975	Geyserville New Tech Academy		12/1/2017	\$60,562.00	\$60,562.00
943	Geyserville Elementary		8/31/2017	\$21,168.00	\$21,168.00
<i>Geyserville Unified School District Total</i>				\$81,730.00	\$81,730.00
<hr/>					
<b>Glendale Unified School District</b>					
993	Horace Mann Elementary		12/1/2016	\$75,190.11	\$75,190.11
	Anderson W. Clark Magnet High		12/1/2016	\$131,629.32	\$131,629.32
	Cerritos Elementary		12/1/2016	\$61,505.76	\$61,505.76
	Columbus Elementary		12/1/2016	\$63,747.56	\$63,747.56
	Daily (Allan F.) High (Continuation)		12/1/2016	\$30,497.15	\$30,497.15
	Herbert Hoover High		8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$42,923.00
	John Marshall Elementary		8/1/2017	\$463,552.75	\$463,552.75

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Glendale Unified Scl</b>	Rosemont Middle	9/29/2016	\$92,967.64	\$92,967.64
993	Glendale High School	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
1293	Hoover High School	12/1/2016	\$206,062.32	\$275,999.32
<i>Glendale Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,125,152.61</i>	<i>\$1,238,012.61</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Hallmark Charter</b>				
327	Hallmark Charter	8/11/2017	\$1,302.87	\$1,302.87
<i>Hallmark Charter Total</i>			<i>\$1,302.87</i>	<i>\$1,302.87</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Hamilton Unified School District</b>				
256	Hamilton Community Day	8/5/2017	\$0.00	
	Hamilton Elementary	8/5/2017	\$20,308.00	\$20,308.00
	Hamilton High School	8/5/2017	\$0.00	
<i>Hamilton Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$20,308.00</i>	<i>\$20,308.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Happy Valley Elementary</b>				
410	Happy Valley Elementary	11/30/2016	\$127,470.00	\$127,470.00
1327	Happy Valley Elementary	8/12/2016	\$42,538.00	\$42,538.00
<i>Happy Valley Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$170,008.00</i>	<i>\$170,008.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Happy Valley Union Elementary School District</b>				
181	Happy Valley Elementary	6/20/2014	\$23,015.50	\$23,015.50
	Happy Valley Primary	6/21/2014	\$26,370.00	\$26,370.00
<i>Happy Valley Union Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$49,385.50</i>	<i>\$49,385.50</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Hawthorne Elementary</b>				
329	Bud Carson Middle School	6/30/2020	\$15,044.00	\$15,044.00
	Eucalyptus School	6/30/2020	\$16,021.00	\$16,021.00
	Hawthorne Middle School	6/30/2020	\$15,044.00	\$15,044.00
	Jefferson School	6/30/2020	\$17,389.00	\$17,389.00
	Kornblum School	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Prairie Vista Middle School	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Prairie Vista Middle School South	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Romona School	6/30/2020	\$17,975.00	\$17,975.00
	Washington School	6/30/2020	\$14,067.00	\$14,067.00
	York School	6/30/2020	\$18,756.00	\$18,756.00
	Zela Davis School	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
<i>Hawthorne Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$114,296.00</i>	<i>\$114,296.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Heritage K-8</b>				
74	Heritage K-8 Charter	6/30/2020	\$106,874.35	\$106,874.35
<i>Heritage K-8 Total</i>			<i>\$106,874.35</i>	<i>\$106,874.35</i>
<hr/>				
<b>High Tech High</b>				
250	High Tech High	8/31/2017	\$274,000.00	\$280,192.24
<i>High Tech High Total</i>			<i>\$274,000.00</i>	<i>\$280,192.24</i>
<hr/>				
<b>High Tech High Media Arts</b>				
778	High Tech High Media Arts	8/31/2017	\$189,112.24	\$204,302.91
<i>High Tech High Media Arts Total</i>			<i>\$189,112.24</i>	<i>\$204,302.91</i>
<hr/>				
<b>High Tech Middle Media Arts</b>				
777	High Tech Middle Media Arts	8/31/2017	\$180,348.44	\$195,539.11
<i>High Tech Middle Media Arts Total</i>			<i>\$180,348.44</i>	<i>\$195,539.11</i>
<hr/>				
<b>HighTech High International</b>				
45	High Tech High International	8/31/2016	\$205,036.50	\$205,036.50
<i>HighTech High International Total</i>			<i>\$205,036.50</i>	<i>\$205,036.50</i>
<hr/>				
<b>HighTech Middle</b>				
46	High Tech Middle	8/31/2017	\$161,460.50	\$161,460.51

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>HighTech Middle Total</i>				\$161,460.50	\$161,460.51
<b>Hillsborough City Elementary</b>					
1545	Crocker Middle	8/31/2018		\$7,556.00	\$12,956.00
	District Office	8/31/2018		\$420.00	\$720.00
	North Hillsborough	8/31/2018		\$5,667.00	\$9,717.00
	South Hillsborough	8/31/2018		\$2,728.00	\$4,678.00
	West Hillsborough	8/31/2018		\$7,136.00	\$12,236.00
<i>Hillsborough City Elementary Total</i>				\$23,507.00	\$40,307.00
<b>Holly Drive Leadership Academy</b>					
313	Holly Drive Leadership Acad.	10/1/2017		\$0.00	
<i>Holly Drive Leadership Academy Total</i>				\$0.00	
<b>Huntington Beach Union High School District</b>					
247	Edison High School	1/29/2016		\$287,788.00	\$336,760.00
	Fountain Valley High School	1/29/2016		\$359,406.00	\$419,159.00
	Huntington Beach High School	6/30/2017		\$1,699,176.00	\$1,699,176.00
	Marina High School	1/29/2016		\$219,508.00	\$254,739.00
	Ocean View High School	1/29/2016		\$248,280.00	\$289,581.00
	Valley Vista High School	1/29/2016		\$55,746.00	\$55,746.00
	Westminster High School	1/29/2016		\$352,321.00	\$421,044.00
<i>Huntington Beach Union High School District Total</i>				\$3,222,225.00	\$3,476,205.00
<b>Inspire School of Arts and Sciences</b>					
508	Inspire School of Arts and Sciences	6/30/2019		\$5,960.50	\$5,960.50
<i>Inspire School of Arts and Sciences Total</i>				\$5,960.50	\$5,960.50
<b>Jacoby Creek</b>					
600	Jacoby Creek	8/30/2019		\$36,567.85	\$36,567.85
<i>Jacoby Creek Total</i>				\$36,567.85	\$36,567.85
<b>Janesville Union Elementary</b>					
723	Janesville Elementary	6/30/2015		\$15,974.00	
<i>Janesville Union Elementary Total</i>				\$15,974.00	
<b>Kerman Unified</b>					
292	Enterprise High	8/5/2016		\$0.00	
	Kerman Middle	8/5/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Kerman-Floyd Elementary	8/5/2016		\$0.00	
	Liberty Elementary	8/5/2016		\$0.00	
	Sun Empire Elementary	8/5/2016		\$0.00	
<i>Kerman Unified Total</i>				\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Klamath River Union Elementary</b>					
200	Klamath River Elementary	8/25/2016		\$54,332.00	\$54,322.00
<i>Klamath River Union Elementary Total</i>				\$54,332.00	\$54,322.00
<b>La Canada Unified School District</b>					
171	La Canada High School	5/30/2017		\$33,249.35	\$33,249.35
<i>La Canada Unified School District Total</i>				\$33,249.35	\$33,249.35
<b>Lake Elementary School District</b>					
127	Lake Elementary	4/17/2014		\$21,335.00	\$21,335.00
<i>Lake Elementary School District Total</i>				\$21,335.00	\$21,335.00
<b>Las Virgenes Unified</b>					
1503	Agoura High	9/30/2017		\$386,020.00	\$452,820.00
	Arthur E. Wright Middle	9/30/2017		\$262,910.59	\$365,359.59
	Calabasas High	9/29/2017		\$45,511.45	\$45,501.45
	Lupin Hill Elementary	9/29/2017		\$64,000.00	\$65,740.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Las Virgenes Unified 1503</b>	Round Meadow Elementary	9/29/2017	\$66,469.04	\$142,556.54
	Sumac Elementary	2/3/2017	\$11,400.00	\$11,400.00
	White Oak Elementary	9/29/2017	\$263,418.54	\$283,674.26
<i>Las Virgenes Unified Total</i>			<i>\$1,099,729.62</i>	<i>\$1,367,051.84</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Lassen Union High</b>				
716	Credence High	8/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lassen High	9/30/2018	\$139,514.00	\$139,514.00
<i>Lassen Union High Total</i>			<i>\$139,514.00</i>	<i>\$139,514.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Lassen View Union Elementary School District</b>				
395	Lassen View Elementary	12/31/2017	\$51,219.00	\$41,953.00
<i>Lassen View Union Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$51,219.00</i>	<i>\$41,953.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Laytonville Unified</b>				
465	Laytonville Elementary	8/31/2018	\$177.23	\$177.23
	Laytonville High	8/31/2018	\$2,778.40	\$2,778.40
<i>Laytonville Unified Total</i>			<i>\$2,955.63</i>	<i>\$2,955.63</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Linden Unified School District</b>				
822	Glenwood Elementary School	6/30/2019	\$25,386.00	\$27,358.00
	Linden Elementary School	6/30/2019	\$39,258.00	\$65,303.86
	Linden High School	6/30/2019	\$39,689.68	\$44,101.68
	Pride High School/ Chartville	6/30/2019	\$10,020.00	
	Waterloo Middle School	6/30/2019	\$18,065.33	\$19,384.33
	Waverly Elementary School	6/30/2019	\$30,557.00	\$31,543.27
<i>Linden Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$162,976.01</i>	<i>\$187,691.14</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District</b>				
1511	Altamont Creek Elementary	8/18/2017	\$19,209.21	\$19,209.21
	Andrew N. Christensen Middle	6/30/2017	\$144,561.64	\$144,825.98
	Arroyo Seco Elementary	2/8/2017	\$10,074.00	\$10,074.00
	District - Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District	7/7/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Joe Michell	4/3/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Junction K-8	7/28/2017	\$18,316.66	\$18,316.66
	Leo R. Croce Elementary	8/2/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Livermore High	4/28/2017	\$95,436.41	\$95,436.41
	Rancho Las Positas Elementary	2/23/2017	\$41,230.72	\$41,157.99
	Vineyard Alternative	8/11/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	William Mendenhall Middle	6/7/2017	\$53,519.00	\$53,519.00
<i>Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$382,347.64</i>	<i>\$382,539.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Lodi Unified School District</b>				
937	Dorothy Mahin Special Ed	12/1/2015	\$13,617.85	\$13,617.85
	Henderson Community Day	12/1/2015	\$38,165.39	\$38,659.57
	JAESC District Office	7/14/2015	\$37,443.00	\$37,443.00
	Liberty High School	12/1/2015	\$30,689.83	\$38,451.21
	Lockeford Elementary	12/1/2015	\$57,545.10	\$57,545.10
	Plaza Robles	12/1/2015	\$67,179.03	\$83,336.94
	Tokay High School	12/31/2016	\$758,107.79	\$758,107.79
	Victor Elementary	10/26/2015	\$68,056.53	\$68,056.53
1257	George Washington Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Live Oak Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Bear Creek High	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Beckman Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Christa McAuliffe Middle	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Clairmont Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Clyde W. Needham Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Erma B. Reese Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	George Lincoln Mosher	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Heritage Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Leroy Nichols Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Lodi Unified School</b>   1257	Lodi Middle	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lois E. Borchardt Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Manlio Silva Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Millswood Middle	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Podesta Ranch Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ronald E. McNair High	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Tokay High	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Woodbridge	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Lodi Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,070,804.52</i>	<i>\$1,095,217.99</i>

<b>Lompoc Unified School District</b>				
47	Buena Vista Elementary School	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Cabrillo High School	10/31/2016	\$10,662.84	\$10,662.84
	Clarence Ruth Elementary School	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Crestview Elementary School	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	El Camino Adult Education	10/7/2016	\$14,558.40	\$14,558.40
	Fillmore Elementary School	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Hapgood Elementary School	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	La Canada Elementary School	8/1/2018	\$104,493.00	\$104,493.00
	La Honda Elementary School	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lompoc High School	3/1/2017	\$143,334.50	\$143,334.50
	Lompoc Valley Middle School	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Los Berros Elementary School	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Maple High School	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Miguelito Elementary School	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Mission Valley/Ed Center	(blank)	\$7,422.84	\$7,422.84
	Vandenberg Middle School	3/1/2017	\$2,924.64	\$2,924.64
<i>Lompoc Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$283,396.22</i>	<i>\$283,396.22</i>

<b>LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT</b>				
109	Marshall High School	12/31/2016	\$802,525.00	\$802,525.00
	Santee Education Complex	12/31/2016	\$1,270,970.00	\$1,270,970.00
576	John F. Kennedy High	7/7/2017	\$69,282.00	\$69,282.00
	Abraham Lincoln Senior High	7/7/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Audubon Middle	7/7/2017	\$178,568.00	\$178,568.00
	Bell Senior High	7/7/2017	\$233,475.00	\$233,475.00
	Edward R. Roybal Learning Center	7/7/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Helen Bernstein High	7/7/2017	\$148,030.00	\$148,030.00
	Johnnie Cochran, Jr., Middle	7/7/2017	\$153,480.00	\$153,480.00
	King/Drew Medical Magnet High	6/2/2017	\$204,435.00	\$204,435.00
	Panorama High	7/7/2017	\$68,962.00	\$68,962.00
	School of Business and Tourism at Contreras Learning Comp	6/2/2017	\$143,549.00	\$143,549.00
	Van Nuys High School Performing	7/7/2017	\$69,392.00	\$69,392.00
<i>LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Total</i>			<i>\$3,342,668.00</i>	<i>\$3,342,668.00</i>

<b>Los Olivos Elementary</b>				
61	Los Olivos Elementary	9/1/2017	\$52,206.00	
<i>Los Olivos Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$52,206.00</i>	

<b>Lucia Mar Unified</b>				
1299	Arroyo Grande High	5/31/2019	\$73,657.00	\$210,449.00
	Branch Elementary	5/31/2019	\$7,970.62	\$22,476.62
	Dana Elementary	12/31/2016	\$29,622.23	\$70,228.23
	Fairgrove Elementary	12/31/2016	\$159,869.78	\$202,778.78
	Grover Beach Elementary	5/31/2017	\$22,200.39	\$50,480.39
	Grover Heights Elementary	12/31/2016	\$8,022.00	\$22,923.00
	Harloe Elementary	12/31/2017	\$15,975.62	\$45,350.62
	Judkins Middle	12/31/2016	\$30,432.49	\$74,131.49
	Lopez Continuation High	1/28/2015	\$9,888.00	\$28,254.00
	Mesa Middle	12/31/2016	\$34,130.92	\$90,770.92
	Nipomo Elementary	12/31/2017	\$18,017.62	\$51,184.62
	Nipomo High	5/31/2019	\$74,472.00	\$212,779.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Lucia Mar Unified</b>	1299	Ocean View Elementary	12/31/2018	\$21,103.00	\$60,294.00
		Oceano Elementary	5/31/2017	\$21,005.57	\$45,081.57
		Paulding Middle	12/31/2018	\$26,442.00	\$75,549.00
		Shell Beach Elementary	5/31/2018	\$7,446.62	\$20,982.62
<i>Lucia Mar Unified Total</i>				<i>\$560,255.86</i>	<i>\$1,283,713.86</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Lynwood Unified School District</b>					
	92	District Offices	12/15/2014	\$945,658.00	\$945,658.00
		Thurgood Marshall Elementary	10/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lindbergh Elementary	5/1/2015	\$584,633.00	\$584,633.00
		Lugo Elementary	10/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mark Twain Elementary	10/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Roosevelt Elementary	10/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Will Rogers Elementary	5/1/2015	\$808,968.00	\$808,968.00
<i>Lynwood Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$2,339,259.00</i>	<i>\$2,339,259.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Marcum-Illinois Union Elementary</b>					
	672	Marcum-Illinois Union Elementary	12/31/2018	\$61,719.00	\$61,719.00
<i>Marcum-Illinois Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$61,719.00</i>	<i>\$61,719.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Marshall Lane Elementary School</b>					
	21	Marshall Lane Elementary School	7/31/2017	\$30,204.17	
<i>Marshall Lane Elementary School Total</i>				<i>\$30,204.17</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>McGill School of Success</b>					
	302	McGill School of Success	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
<i>McGill School of Success Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>McSwain Union Elementary</b>					
	407	McSwain Elementary	8/31/2016	\$0.00	
<i>McSwain Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Minarets Charter High</b>					
	789	Minarets Charter High	2/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Minarets Charter High Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Mission Union Elementary</b>					
	244	Mission Elementary	8/31/2016	\$54,995.40	\$54,995.40
<i>Mission Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$54,995.40</i>	<i>\$54,995.40</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Modoc Joint Unified School District</b>					
	293	Alturas Elementary School	1/1/2017	\$25,043.60	\$26,243.60
		Modoc High School	4/1/2016	\$44,028.31	\$45,670.15
		Modoc Middle School	11/13/2015	\$33,452.83	\$43,700.35
	1255	Modoc High	6/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Modoc Middle	6/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Modoc Joint Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$102,524.74</i>	<i>\$115,614.10</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Monroe Middle School</b>					
	70	Monroe Middle School	7/31/2017	\$29,749.43	\$29,749.43
<i>Monroe Middle School Total</i>				<i>\$29,749.43</i>	<i>\$29,749.43</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Monterey County Office of Education</b>					
	1325	Arthur B. Ingham Center	8/3/2018	\$48,333.80	\$48,333.80
		Bard Blades (TMR)	8/3/2018	\$51,215.63	\$51,215.63
		Gabilan School for Exceptional	8/3/2018	\$62,564.95	\$62,564.95
<i>Monterey County Office of Education Total</i>				<i>\$162,114.38</i>	<i>\$162,114.38</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Monterey Peninsula Unified</b>					
	555	Central Coast High	8/31/2017	\$23,700.00	\$23,700.00
		Del Rey Woods Elementary	8/31/2017	\$88,538.00	\$88,538.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Monterey Peninsula 555</b>	District Office	8/31/2017	\$13,506.83	\$13,506.83
	Dual Language Academy of the Monterey Peninsula	8/31/2017	\$8,430.00	\$8,430.00
	Foothill Elementary	8/31/2017	\$88,215.00	\$88,215.00
	George C. Marshall Elementary	8/31/2017	\$28,020.98	\$28,020.98
	Highland Elementary	8/31/2017	\$35,157.00	\$35,157.00
	Ione Olson Elementary	8/31/2017	\$22,217.73	\$22,217.73
	J. C. Crumpton Elementary	8/31/2017	\$12,492.00	\$12,492.00
	La Mesa Elementary	8/31/2017	\$8,363.00	\$8,363.00
	Los Arboles Middle	8/31/2017	\$42,053.26	\$42,053.26
	Marina Childrens Center	8/31/2017	\$7,648.00	\$7,648.00
	Marina High	8/31/2017	\$152,960.00	\$152,960.00
	Marina Vista Elementary	8/31/2017	\$16,237.00	\$16,237.00
	Martin Luther King	8/31/2017	\$56,014.00	\$56,014.00
	Monte Vista Elementary	11/30/2015	\$5,749.00	\$5,749.00
	Monterey High	2/1/2016	\$70,658.00	\$70,658.00
	MPUSD Special Education	8/31/2017	\$8,095.00	\$8,095.00
	Ord Terrace Elementary	8/31/2017	\$25,143.00	\$25,143.00
	Seaside High	8/31/2017	\$44,245.35	\$44,245.35
	Seaside Middle	8/31/2017	\$58,895.00	\$58,895.00
	Trans/Maintenance/IMC	8/31/2017	\$9,295.49	\$9,295.49
	Walter Colton	8/31/2017	\$12,297.00	\$12,297.00
<i>Monterey Peninsula Unified Total</i>			<i>\$837,930.64</i>	<i>\$837,930.64</i>
<b>Moreno Valley Unified School District</b>				
331	Canyon Springs High School	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Mountain View Middle School	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Moreno Valley Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Mountain View Los Altos High School District</b>				
1381	Los Altos High School	1/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Mountain View High School	1/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Mountain View Los Altos High School District Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Museum</b>				
1143	Museum	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Museum Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Nevada City School of the Arts</b>				
623	Nevada City School of the Arts	6/30/2017	\$139,784.19	\$139,784.19
<i>Nevada City School of the Arts Total</i>			<i>\$139,784.19</i>	<i>\$139,784.19</i>
<b>Newhall School District</b>				
193	Dr. J Michael McGrath Elementary	1/29/2016	\$125,198.00	\$125,198.00
	Facilities	1/29/2016	\$26,457.00	\$26,457.00
	Meadows Elementary	1/29/2016	\$15,238.00	\$15,238.00
	Newhall Elementary	1/29/2016	\$234,117.00	\$260,406.00
	NSD District Office	2/2/2015	\$37,008.00	\$37,008.00
	Oak Hills Elementary	1/29/2016	\$52,015.00	\$52,015.00
	Peachland Ave Elementary	1/29/2016	\$17,571.00	\$17,571.00
	Pico Canyon Elementary	1/29/2016	\$57,156.00	\$57,156.00
	Stevenson Ranch Elementary	1/29/2016	\$72,791.00	\$72,791.00
	Valencia Valley Elementary	1/29/2016	\$46,360.00	\$46,360.00
	Wiley Canyon Elementary	1/29/2016	\$305,039.00	\$312,953.00
	Old Orchard Elementary	1/29/2016	\$26,948.00	\$26,948.00
<i>Newhall School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,015,898.00</i>	<i>\$1,050,101.00</i>
<b>North Oakland Community Charter School</b>				
232	North Oakland Community Charter	6/30/2020	\$29,116.21	\$29,116.21
<i>North Oakland Community Charter School Total</i>			<i>\$29,116.21</i>	<i>\$29,116.21</i>
<b>Northern Humboldt Union High School District</b>				
115	Arcata High School	2/1/2016	\$32,604.52	\$32,604.52

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Northern Humboldt Union High School District Total</i>			\$32,604.52	\$32,604.52
<hr/>				
<b>Nueva Vista Language Academy</b>				
27	Nueva Vista Language Academy	1/11/2016	\$248,284.00	\$254,420.00
<i>Nueva Vista Language Academy Total</i>			\$248,284.00	\$254,420.00
<hr/>				
<b>Oak View Union Elementary</b>				
794	Oak View Elementary	6/30/2017	\$87,249.00	\$87,249.00
<i>Oak View Union Elementary Total</i>			\$87,249.00	\$87,249.00
<hr/>				
<b>Oakley Union Elementary School District</b>				
3	District Office	4/28/2016	\$245,943.00	\$245,943.00
	Gehringer Elementary School	12/31/2016	\$136,156.00	\$136,156.00
	Laurel Elementary School	12/31/2016	\$118,165.00	\$118,165.00
	Oakley Elementary School	12/31/2016	\$120,083.00	\$120,083.00
	OHara Park Middle School	4/28/2016	\$204,309.00	\$204,309.00
	Vintage Parkway Elementary School	12/31/2016	\$113,060.00	\$113,060.00
	Delta Vista Middle School	12/31/2016	\$289,666.00	\$289,666.00
<i>Oakley Union Elementary School District Total</i>			\$1,227,382.00	\$1,227,382.00
<hr/>				
<b>Ontario-Montclair School District</b>				
1438	Berlyn Elementary	8/1/2017	\$500,825.43	\$500,825.43
	Hawthorne Elementary School	6/15/2016	\$78,082.65	\$78,082.65
	Vernon Middle School	6/15/2016	\$67,844.48	\$67,844.48
	Corona Elementary	8/1/2017	\$382,320.00	\$382,320.00
1439	Monte Vista Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ramona Elementary	8/1/2017	\$279,575.10	\$279,575.10
	Edison Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Arroyo Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Bon View Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Buena Vista Arts-Integrated	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	De Anza Middle	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Del Norte Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Elderberry Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Montera Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Moreno Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Serrano Middle	8/1/2017	\$138,000.00	\$138,000.00
	Sultana Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Ontario-Montclair School District Total</i>			\$1,446,647.66	\$1,446,647.66
<hr/>				
<b>Orchard View</b>				
287	Orchard View School	8/31/2016	\$143,312.10	\$143,312.10
<i>Orchard View Total</i>			\$143,312.10	\$143,312.10
<hr/>				
<b>Orinda Union Elementary School District</b>				
267	Del Rey Elementary School	8/31/2017	\$2,580.00	\$2,580.00
	Glorietta Elementary School	8/31/2017	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00
	Orinda Intermediate School	8/31/2017	\$3,660.00	\$3,660.00
	Sleepy Hollow Elementary School	8/31/2017	\$1,680.00	\$1,680.00
	Wagner Ranch Elementary School	8/31/2017	\$2,700.00	\$2,700.00
<i>Orinda Union Elementary School District Total</i>			\$13,620.00	\$13,620.00
<hr/>				
<b>Oroville City Elementary</b>				
1353	Bird Street Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Central Middle	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ishi Hills Middle	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Oakdale Heights Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ophir Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sierra Del Oro	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Stanford Avenue Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Wyandotte Academy	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Oroville City Elementary Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Oroville Union High</b>					
606	District Office	5/17/2016	\$20,778.73	\$23,333.73	
	Las Plumas High	5/17/2016	\$153,652.16	\$205,099.16	
	Oroville Adult Education Career and Technical Center	5/17/2016	\$5,951.72	\$16,716.72	
	Oroville High	9/1/2017	\$226,422.71	\$325,671.71	
	Oroville High Community Day	5/17/2016	\$5,742.26	\$8,951.26	
	Prospect High (Continuation)	9/30/2015	\$14,383.42	\$24,742.42	
<i>Oroville Union High Total</i>			<i>\$426,931.00</i>	<i>\$604,515.00</i>	
<b>Outside Creek Elementary</b>					
588	Outside Creek Elementary	8/30/2018	\$0.00		
<i>Outside Creek Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>		
<b>Pacheco Union Elementary School District</b>					
169	Pacheco Elementary School	6/30/2020	\$31,466.62		
	Prairie Elementary School	6/30/2020	\$43,050.28		
<i>Pacheco Union Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$74,516.90</i>		
<b>Pacific Union Elementary</b>					
434	Pacific Union Elementary	8/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Pacific Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Pacifica</b>					
889	Cabrillo Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00		
	District Office	8/31/2018	\$44,533.29	\$44,533.29	
	Ingrid B. Lacy Middle	8/31/2018	\$0.00		
	Linda Mar Educational Center	8/31/2018	\$0.00		
	Ocean Shore Elementary	8/31/2018	\$3,738.55	\$4,502.79	
	Ortega Elementary	8/31/2018	\$6,732.71	\$8,267.75	
	Sunset Ridge Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00		
	Vallemar Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00		
<i>Pacifica Total</i>			<i>\$55,004.55</i>	<i>\$57,303.83</i>	
<b>Pajaro Valley Unified</b>					
425	Amesti Elementary	7/31/2018	\$14,144.16	\$14,144.16	
	Ann Soldo Elementary	7/31/2018	\$16,505.85	\$16,505.85	
	Aptos High	7/31/2018	\$85,999.02	\$85,999.02	
	Aptos Junior High	7/31/2018	\$19,709.87	\$19,709.87	
	Bradley Elementary	7/31/2018	\$9,496.20	\$9,496.20	
	Calabasas Elementary	7/31/2018	\$23,126.80	\$23,126.80	
	Cesar E. Chavez Middle	9/29/2017	\$19,961.42	\$19,961.42	
	E. A. Hall Middle	7/31/2018	\$40,136.53	\$40,136.53	
	Freedom Elementary	7/31/2018	\$24,861.56	\$24,861.56	
	H. A. Hyde Elementary	7/31/2018	\$19,095.71	\$19,095.71	
	Hall District Elementary	7/31/2018	\$28,186.58	\$28,186.58	
	Lakeview Middle	7/31/2018	\$41,009.51	\$41,009.51	
	Landmark Elementary	7/31/2018	\$13,664.20	\$13,664.20	
	Mar Vista Elementary	7/31/2018	\$18,424.09	\$18,424.09	
	Mintie White Elementary	7/31/2018	\$11,102.94	\$11,102.94	
	New School Community Day	7/31/2018	\$3,491.25	\$3,491.25	
	Ohlone Elementary	3/15/2016	\$16,237.40	\$16,237.40	
	Pajaro Middle	7/31/2018	\$30,330.30	\$30,330.30	
	Pajaro Valley High	7/31/2018	\$106,033.06	\$106,033.06	
	PVUSD Transportation Bldg	7/31/2018	\$19,268.75	\$19,268.75	
	Radcliff Elementary	7/31/2018	\$15,357.91	\$15,357.91	
	Renaissance High Continuation	7/31/2018	\$21,585.50	\$21,585.50	
	Rio del Mar Elementary	7/31/2018	\$20,789.22	\$20,789.22	
	Rolling Hills Middle	7/31/2018	\$32,922.14	\$32,922.14	
	Starlight Elementary	7/31/2018	\$15,262.50	\$15,262.50	
	T. S. MacQuiddy Elementary	7/31/2018	\$8,884.40	\$8,884.40	
	Valencia Elementary	7/31/2018	\$21,565.49	\$21,565.49	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Pajaro Valley Unified</b>	425	Watsonville High	7/31/2018	\$74,703.14	\$74,703.14
<i>Pajaro Valley Unified Total</i>				<i>\$771,855.50</i>	<i>\$771,855.50</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Palm Springs Unified</b>	416	Desert Hot Springs High	12/31/2017	\$3,587.56	\$3,587.56
		Desert Springs Middle	12/31/2017	\$2,690.67	\$2,690.67
		James Workman Middle	6/1/2017	\$109,822.92	\$109,822.92
		Mt. San Jacinto High	6/1/2017	\$46,305.42	\$46,305.42
		Palm Springs High	12/31/2017	\$68,793.78	\$68,793.78
		Ramon Alternative Center	12/31/2017	\$12,058.03	\$12,058.03
		Rancho Mirage High	8/31/2017	\$1,793.78	\$1,793.78
		Vista del Monte Elementary	12/31/2017	\$3,587.56	\$3,587.56
<i>Palm Springs Unified Total</i>				<i>\$248,639.72</i>	<i>\$248,639.72</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Palo Verde Union Elementary</b>	363	Palo Verde Union Elementary	8/10/2018	\$126,005.08	\$126,005.08
<i>Palo Verde Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$126,005.08</i>	<i>\$126,005.08</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Para Los Ninos Charter</b>	389	Para Los Ninos FLC	3/31/2017	\$5,815.00	\$5,815.00
<i>Para Los Ninos Charter Total</i>				<i>\$5,815.00</i>	<i>\$5,815.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Pathways Charter</b>	703	Pathways Charter	12/1/2017	\$36,659.20	\$33,314.80
<i>Pathways Charter Total</i>				<i>\$36,659.20</i>	<i>\$33,314.80</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Peabody Charter School</b>	360	Peabody Charter School	5/31/2018	\$129,468.50	\$129,468.50
<i>Peabody Charter School Total</i>				<i>\$129,468.50</i>	<i>\$129,468.50</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Planada Elementary School District</b>	243	Planada Elementary School	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Planada Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Plaza Elementary School District</b>	387	Plaza Elementary	6/3/2019	\$30,996.79	\$30,996.79
<i>Plaza Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$30,996.79</i>	<i>\$30,996.79</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Plumas County Office of Education</b>	251	Chester Elementary	6/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Chester High	6/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Plumas County Office of Education Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Plumas Lake Elementary</b>	710	Cobblestone Elementary	12/18/2018	\$51,221.49	\$51,221.49
		District Office	12/31/2018	\$37,808.00	\$37,808.00
		Rio Del Oro Elementary	12/31/2018	\$58,552.40	\$58,552.40
		Riverside Meadows Intermediate	12/31/2018	\$56,494.49	\$56,494.49
<i>Plumas Lake Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$204,076.38</i>	<i>\$204,076.38</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Plumas Unified School District</b>	252	Greenville High School	6/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Indian Valley Elementary	6/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Quincy Elementary School	6/1/2018	\$9,922.54	\$9,922.54
		Quincy High School	6/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Plumas Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$9,922.54</i>	<i>\$9,922.54</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Poway Unified School District</b>	1291	Del Norte High School	5/31/2017	\$505,710.26	\$505,710.26
		Painted Rock Elementary School	5/31/2017	\$171,038.72	\$171,038.72
		Rolling Hills Elementary School	5/31/2017	\$179,266.83	\$179,266.83

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Poway Unified Scho</b>	1291	Westview High School	5/31/2017	\$869,939.48	\$869,939.48
	1375	Tierra Bonita Elementary	5/31/2017	\$169,458.26	\$169,458.26
		Chaparral Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Rolling Hills Elementary	8/31/2016	\$17,647.78	\$17,647.78
		Canyon View Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Abraxas Continuation High	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Adobe Bluffs Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Bernardo Heights Middle	5/31/2017	\$41,711.15	\$41,711.15
		Black Mountain Middle	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Creekside Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Deer Canyon Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Del Sur Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		District Administrative Offices	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Garden Road Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Highland Ranch Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Los Penasquitos Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Meadowbrook Middle	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mesa Verde Middle	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Midland Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Monterey Ridge Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Morning Creek Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mt. Carmel High	5/31/2017	\$64,935.00	\$64,935.00
		Oak Valley Middle	5/31/2017	\$5,725.88	\$5,725.00
		Painted Rock Elementary	5/31/2017	\$13,793.21	\$13,793.21
		Park Village Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Pomerado Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Poway Adult	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Poway High	5/31/2017	\$42,345.00	\$42,345.00
		Rancho Bernardo High	5/31/2017	\$22,459.85	\$22,459.85
		Shoal Creek Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Stone Ranch Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sundance Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sunset Hills Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Turtleback Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Twin Peaks Middle	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Valley Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Westwood Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Willow Grove Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Poway Unified School Distric Total</i>				<i>\$2,104,031.42</i>	<i>\$2,104,030.54</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Quail Lake Environmental Charter</b>					
	328	Quail Lake Environmental Charter	8/11/2017	\$977.15	\$977.15
<i>Quail Lake Environmental Charter Total</i>				<i>\$977.15</i>	<i>\$977.15</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Red Bluff Union Elementary School District</b>					
	201	Bidwell Elementary	6/30/2019	\$28,092.00	\$28,092.00
		District Office	6/30/2019	\$3,467.50	\$3,467.50
		Jackson Heights	6/30/2019	\$24,401.00	\$24,401.00
		Vista Prep	6/30/2019	\$34,468.00	\$34,468.00
		Metteer	6/30/2019	\$53,861.00	\$53,861.00
<i>Red Bluff Union Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$144,289.50</i>	<i>\$144,289.50</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Redlands Unified School District</b>					
	294	Arroyo Verde Elementary	8/10/2015	\$115,871.20	
		Bryn Mawr Elementary	8/10/2015	\$87,555.60	
		Cope Middle School	8/5/2016	\$0.00	
		Mariposa Elementary	8/19/2016	\$71,325.00	
		Victoria Elementary	8/12/2015	\$192,197.60	
<i>Redlands Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$466,949.40</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Richfield Elementary School District</b>					
	85	Richfield Elementary School	6/30/2020	\$50,523.00	\$50,523.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Richfield Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$50,523.00</i>	<i>\$50,523.00</i>
<b>Ripon Unified School District</b>				
358	Park View Elementary	9/1/2016	\$83,259.00	\$83,259.00
	Ripon High School	9/1/2016	\$170,093.00	\$170,093.00
	Ripona Elementary	9/1/2016	\$72,642.00	\$72,642.00
<i>Ripon Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$325,994.00</i>	<i>\$325,994.00</i>
<b>Riverside Unified School District</b>				
614	Arlington High	8/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Central Registration Center	9/16/2016	\$11,968.93	\$11,968.93
	Chemawa Middle	8/31/2016	\$5,280.00	\$5,280.00
	Fremont Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Longfellow Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Polytechnic High	8/31/2016	\$62,040.00	\$62,040.00
	Ramona High	8/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
1475	Arlington High	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Madison Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Polytechnic High	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	John W. North High	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	University Heights Middle	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Riverside Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$79,288.93</i>	<i>\$79,288.93</i>
<b>Rocketship Mateo Sheedy Elementary</b>				
351	Rocketship Mateo Sheedy Elementary	9/15/2018	\$84,295.00	\$84,295.00
<i>Rocketship Mateo Sheedy Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$84,295.00</i>	<i>\$84,295.00</i>
<b>Rocketship Si Se Puede Academy</b>				
352	Rocketship Si Se Puede Academy	9/15/2018	\$66,113.00	\$66,113.00
<i>Rocketship Si Se Puede Academy Total</i>			<i>\$66,113.00</i>	<i>\$66,113.00</i>
<b>Rocklin Academy</b>				
1333	Rocklin Academy	12/30/2016	\$1,353.00	\$1,377.73
<i>Rocklin Academy Total</i>			<i>\$1,353.00</i>	<i>\$1,377.73</i>
<b>Rocklin Academy at Meyers St</b>				
1332	Rocklin Academy at Meyers St	12/30/2016	\$1,353.00	\$1,353.00
<i>Rocklin Academy at Meyers St Total</i>			<i>\$1,353.00</i>	<i>\$1,353.00</i>
<b>Rocklin Unified School District</b>				
304	Antelope Creek Elementary	8/11/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Breen Elementary	8/10/2018	\$6,090.00	\$6,090.00
	District Office	8/11/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Granite Oaks Middle School	8/11/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Parker Whitney Elementary	12/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Rock Creek Elementary	8/10/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Rocklin Elementary	8/10/2018	\$7,500.00	\$7,500.00
	Rocklin High School	8/10/2018	\$1,221,408.45	\$1,221,408.45
	Spring View Middle School	8/11/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Twin Oaks Elementary	8/11/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Victory High School	6/30/2015	\$3,429.00	\$3,429.00
	Whitney High School	8/11/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Rocklin Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,238,427.45</i>	<i>\$1,238,427.45</i>
<b>Rolling Hills Middle School</b>				
36	Rolling Hills Middle School	7/31/2017	\$721.58	\$721.58
<i>Rolling Hills Middle School Total</i>			<i>\$721.58</i>	
<b>Rosemary Elementary School</b>				
37	Rosemary School	7/31/2017	\$31,081.28	\$31,081.28
<i>Rosemary Elementary School Total</i>			<i>\$31,081.28</i>	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Rosemead School District</b>				
367	District Office	6/1/2019	\$8,859.99	\$8,859.99
	Encinita Elementary School	6/1/2019	\$8,950.00	\$8,950.00
	Janson Elementary School	6/1/2019	\$7,400.00	\$7,400.00
	Maintenance and Warehouse	6/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Muscatel Middle School	6/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Savannah Elementary School	6/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Shuey Elementary School	6/1/2019	\$17,000.00	\$17,000.00
<i>Rosemead School District Total</i>			<i>\$42,209.99</i>	<i>\$42,209.99</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Roseville City Elementary</b>				
1470	George Cirby Elementary	2/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Thomas Jefferson Elementary	2/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Blue Oaks Elementary	2/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Catheryn Gates Elementary	2/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Diamond Creek Elementary	2/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ferris Spanger Elementary	2/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Warren T. Eich Middle	2/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Roseville City Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Roseville Joint Union High</b>				
398	Challenge High	9/1/2015	\$19,350.00	\$27,272.75
	Granite Bay High	9/1/2016	\$349,697.96	\$349,697.96
	Oakmont High	5/31/2016	\$111,200.00	\$119,653.87
	Roseville High	10/30/2014	\$51,370.00	\$51,371.21
	Woodcreek High	9/1/2015	\$345,000.00	\$524,093.17
<i>Roseville Joint Union High Total</i>			<i>\$876,617.96</i>	<i>\$1,072,088.96</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Round Valley Joint Elementary</b>				
428	Round Valley Elementary	10/21/2016	\$201,648.00	\$260,745.00
<i>Round Valley Joint Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$201,648.00</i>	<i>\$260,745.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Salida Union School District</b>				
276	Dena Boer Elementary	12/31/2016	\$526,832.04	\$490,680.54
<i>Salida Union School District Total</i>			<i>\$526,832.04</i>	<i>\$490,680.54</i>
<hr/>				
<b>San Diego Unified School District</b>				
563	ALBA	9/30/2016	\$44,591.00	\$65,678.00
	Bethune K-8	12/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Doyle Elementary	6/14/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Farb Middle	11/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Hoover High	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Innovation Middle	9/22/2016	\$34,950.00	\$47,621.00
	Instructional Media Center	12/7/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	La Jolla High	12/23/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Marshall Middle	12/29/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Memorial Scholars & Athletes	6/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Nye Elementary	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Perkins K-8	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Physical Plant Operations	9/30/2016	\$90,228.00	\$141,357.00
	Point Loma High	12/16/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	San Diego School of Creative & Performing Art	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	San Diego Senior High	12/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Scripps Ranch High	11/29/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Spreckels Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Standley Middle	11/16/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Supply Center	12/14/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Transportation	7/31/2016	\$50,676.00	\$66,039.00
	University City High	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Wangenheim Middle	12/22/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Zamorano Elementary	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
649	E.B. Scripps Elementary	12/22/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>San Diego Unified School District</b>	649	Education Center	11/8/2018	\$13,866.00	\$21,866.00
		Morse High School	10/4/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Transportation Department	10/18/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	1214	La Jolla High	8/31/2018	\$0.00	
		Physical Plant Operations	6/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Point Loma High	8/30/2016	\$0.00	
		Standley Middle	8/30/2016	\$0.00	
		University City High	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Vista Grande Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Clairemont High	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Eugene Brucker Education Center	12/18/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Grant K-8	4/24/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lincoln High	12/20/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Logan K-8	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Madison High	8/31/2016	\$0.00		
	Mission Bay High	8/30/2016	\$0.00		
<i>San Diego Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$234,311.00</i>	<i>\$342,561.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>San Francisco Unified</b>					
	317	Visitacion Valley Middle School	8/30/2018	\$158,347.22	\$297,347.22
	1278	Chin (John Yehall) Elementary	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		El Dorado Elementary	3/9/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>San Francisco Unified Total</i>				<i>\$158,347.22</i>	<i>\$297,347.22</i>
<hr/>					
<b>SAN JACINTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT</b>					
	1297	De Anza Elementary School	12/31/2017	\$15,143.00	\$15,143.00
		Monte Vista Middle School	12/31/2016	\$283,381.30	\$493,500.66
		Park Hill Elementary School	12/31/2017	\$14,505.00	\$14,505.00
		San Jacinto Elementary	12/31/2016	\$111,216.69	\$194,665.33
<i>SAN JACINTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Total</i>				<i>\$424,245.99</i>	<i>\$717,813.99</i>
<hr/>					
<b>San Juan Unified School District</b>					
	392	District Office Administration Office	2/29/2016	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00
		District Office Warehouse Wing	3/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Rio Americano High	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>San Juan Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$3,500.00</i>	<i>\$3,500.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District</b>					
	236	Ludlow Preschool	8/30/2017	\$24,617.00	\$24,617.00
		San Lorenzo Valley Middle School	8/3/2015	\$10,049.00	\$10,049.00
		San Lorenzo Valley High School	11/30/2016	\$70,682.00	\$70,682.00
<i>San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$105,348.00</i>	<i>\$105,348.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>San Luis Coastal Unified School District</b>					
	551	Del Mar Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Baywood Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Bishop's Peak Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		District Office - SLCUSD	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hawthorne Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Los Ranchos Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Monarch Grove Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Pacheco Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sinsheimer Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Smith (C. L.) Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Teach Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>San Luis Coastal Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>San Marcos USD</b>					
	280	Alvin Dunn ES	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Carillo ES	12/31/2018	\$15,410.00	\$15,410.00
		Discovery ES	12/31/2018	\$18,500.00	\$18,500.00
		District Office	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>San Marcos USD</b>	280	Joli Ann ES	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Knob Hill ES	12/31/2018	\$6,514.00	\$6,514.00
		La Costa Meadows ES	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mission Hills HS	12/31/2018	\$87,347.00	\$186,735.00
		Paloma ES	3/31/2015	\$60,900.00	\$60,900.00
		Richland ES	10/14/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		San Elijo ES	12/31/2017	\$43,380.00	\$43,380.00
		San Elijo MS	12/31/2017	\$110,682.00	\$110,682.00
		San Marcos ES	5/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		San Marcos MS	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Transportation	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Twin Oaks ES	8/28/2015	\$556,731.75	\$556,731.75
		Twin Oaks HS	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Woodland Park MS	12/31/2017	\$500,990.50	\$500,990.50
<i>San Marcos USD Total</i>				<i>\$1,400,455.25</i>	<i>\$1,499,843.25</i>
<hr/>					
<b>San Mateo-Foster City School District</b>	220	Abbott Middle School	3/31/2017	\$661.61	\$661.61
		Beresford Elementary School	6/30/2016	\$86,438.00	\$104,175.15
		Laurel Elementary School	2/29/2016	\$132,080.62	\$132,080.62
		Meadow Heights Elementary	2/29/2016	\$103,098.00	\$111,215.19
		Parkside Elementary	2/29/2016	\$119,074.00	\$152,606.10
		The Bayside S.T.E.M. Academy	3/31/2017	\$4,451.55	\$4,451.55
<i>San Mateo-Foster City School District Total</i>				<i>\$445,803.78</i>	<i>\$505,190.22</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Sanger Unified School District</b>	326	Centerville Elementary	10/31/2016	\$139,634.00	\$425,506.43
		Del Rey Elementary	8/11/2017	\$162.86	\$162.86
		District Office	10/31/2016	\$191,349.00	\$239,051.46
		Fairmont Elementary	10/31/2016	\$139,634.00	\$142,857.86
		Jackson Elementary	8/11/2016	\$69,810.43	\$69,810.43
		Jefferson Elementary	10/31/2016	\$119,957.29	\$119,957.29
		John S. Wash Elementary	8/11/2017	\$20,104.00	\$201,039.00
		Lincoln Elementary	8/11/2017	\$23,477.72	\$226,715.72
		Lone Star Elementary	8/11/2017	\$488.57	\$488.57
		Madison Elementary	10/31/2016	\$37,182.00	\$296,024.55
		Ronald W. Reagan	8/11/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sanger High School	10/31/2016	\$41,346.00	\$82,233.05
		Sequoia Elementary	8/11/2017	\$6,879.00	\$8,201.00
		Taft High	10/31/2016	\$3,717.00	\$34,941.90
		Washington Academic M.S.	8/11/2017	\$124,615.60	\$707,110.60
		Wilson Elementary	10/31/2016	\$46,844.00	\$102,949.91
<i>Sanger Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$965,201.47</i>	<i>\$2,657,050.63</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Santa Ana Unified School District</b>	613	Franklin Elementary	8/26/2016	\$234,479.28	\$234,479.28
		Jose Sepulveda Elementary	8/26/2016	\$467,981.27	\$467,981.27
		Julia C. Lathrop Intermediate	8/26/2016	\$50,591.62	\$50,591.62
		Madison Elementary	8/26/2016	\$239,722.24	\$240,061.24
		Saddleback High	8/26/2016	\$12,631.42	\$21,320.24
		Santa Ana High	8/26/2016	\$14,357.14	\$24,289.04
		Seegerstrom High	8/26/2016	\$10,266.50	\$22,120.55
		Valley High	8/26/2016	\$9,921.46	\$22,120.53
		Walker Elementary	9/1/2015	\$614,310.66	\$614,310.66
		Wilson Elementary	8/26/2016	\$246,645.83	\$246,852.84
<i>Santa Ana Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$1,900,907.42</i>	<i>\$1,944,127.27</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Santa Barbara Charter</b>	379	Santa Barbara Charter School	5/31/2018	\$83,859.00	\$93,893.71
<i>Santa Barbara Charter Total</i>				<i>\$83,859.00</i>	<i>\$93,893.71</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Santa Barbara Unified</b>					

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Santa Barbara Unified</b> 359	Adams Elementary School	3/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Cleveland Elementary School	3/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Dos Pueblos Sr High School	2/29/2016	\$200,084.00	\$200,084.00
	Franklin Elementary School	3/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Goleta Valley Jr High School	3/31/2018	\$0.00	
	Harding University PS	3/31/2016	\$223,278.00	\$223,278.00
	La Colina Junior High School	3/31/2018	\$0.00	
	La Cumbre Junior High School	3/31/2016	\$5,060.00	\$5,060.00
	Roosevelt Elementary School	3/31/2016	\$65,216.00	\$65,216.00
	San Marcos High School	2/29/2016	\$210,597.00	\$210,597.00
	Santa Barbara High School	3/31/2016	\$69,786.00	\$69,786.00
	Santa Barbara Jr High School	3/31/2016	\$61,961.00	\$61,961.00
	Washington Elementary School	3/31/2016	\$118,610.00	\$118,610.00
<i>Santa Barbara Unified Total</i>			<i>\$954,592.00</i>	<i>\$954,592.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Santa Cruz County Office of Education</b>				
230	Chrysalis Center	8/31/2017	\$4,700.00	\$4,700.00
	Highlands Park (San Lorenzo Valley Community School High	8/31/2017	\$8,065.00	\$8,065.00
	Soquel High School	8/31/2017	\$14,710.00	\$14,710.00
<i>Santa Cruz County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$27,475.00</i>	<i>\$27,475.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Santee School District</b>				
1635	Cajon Park Elementary School	12/31/2019	\$22,552.23	\$22,552.23
	Carlton Hills Elementary School	12/31/2019	\$9,575.68	\$9,575.68
	Carlton Oaks Elementary School	12/31/2019	\$7,448.25	\$56,523.79
	Chet F. Harritt Elementary School	12/31/2019	\$13,406.10	\$13,406.10
	District Office	12/31/2019	\$21,588.00	\$41,098.00
	Hill Creek Elementary School	12/31/2019	\$10,618.76	\$10,618.76
	Pepper Drive Elementary School	12/31/2019	\$155,233.23	\$399,478.28
	PRIDE Academy at Prospect School	12/31/2019	\$12,412.26	\$12,412.26
	Rio Seco Elementary School	12/31/2019	\$7,882.95	\$7,882.95
	Sycamore Canyon Elementary School	12/31/2019	\$8,869.40	\$8,869.40
	<i>Santee School District Total</i>			<i>\$269,586.86</i>
<hr/>				
<b>School of Unlimited Learning</b>				
474	School of Unlimited Learning	12/31/2017	\$43,052.73	\$43,052.73
<i>School of Unlimited Learning Total</i>			<i>\$43,052.73</i>	<i>\$43,052.73</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Sequoia Union High School District</b>				
305	Carlmont High School	12/31/2019	\$39,181.36	\$39,181.36
	Menlo Atherton High School	12/31/2019	\$47,685.86	\$47,685.86
	Sequoia High School	12/31/2019	\$39,181.37	\$39,181.37
	Woodside High School	12/31/2015	\$135,078.27	\$135,078.27
<i>Sequoia Union High School District Total</i>			<i>\$261,126.86</i>	<i>\$261,126.86</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Shandon Joint Unified</b>				
632	Shandon Elementary	5/31/2016	\$100,341.08	\$100,341.08
	Shandon High	5/31/2016	\$65,118.00	\$65,118.00
<i>Shandon Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$165,459.08</i>	<i>\$165,459.08</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Sherman Oaks Elementary School</b>				
41	Sherman Oaks School	7/31/2017	\$33,524.04	\$33,524.04
<i>Sherman Oaks Elementary School Total</i>			<i>\$33,524.04</i>	<i>\$33,524.04</i>
<hr/>				
<b>SIATech</b>				
83	SIATech	6/30/2020	\$172,767.00	
<i>SIATech Total</i>			<i>\$172,767.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Simi Valley Unified</b>				
577	Royal High	6/20/2016	\$1,746,135.25	\$1,875,165.30
<i>Simi Valley Unified Total</i>			<i>\$1,746,135.25</i>	<i>\$1,875,165.30</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Snowline Joint Unified School District</b>				
624	District Office	11/18/2016	\$126,106.29	\$126,106.29
	Transportation	11/18/2016	\$45,713.47	\$45,713.47
	Chaparral High	11/18/2016	\$21,613.72	\$21,613.72
	Baldy Mesa Elementary	11/18/2016	\$31,337.97	\$31,337.97
	Eagle Summit Community Day	11/18/2016	\$19,223.08	\$19,223.08
	Heritage	11/18/2016	\$235,066.60	\$235,066.60
	North County Academy	11/18/2016	\$72,347.65	\$72,347.65
	Phelan Elementary	11/18/2016	\$41,708.61	\$41,708.61
	Pinon Hills Elementary	11/18/2016	\$262,193.76	\$262,193.76
	Quail Valley Middle	11/18/2016	\$41,115.37	\$41,115.37
	Serrano High	11/18/2016	\$278,873.09	\$278,873.09
	Vista Verde Elementary	11/18/2016	\$86,451.31	\$86,451.31
	Wrightwood Elementary	11/18/2016	\$9,815.81	\$9,815.81
<i>Snowline Joint Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,271,566.73</i>	<i>\$1,271,566.73</i>
<hr/>				
<b>SOAR Charter Academy</b>				
444	SOAR Charter Academy	7/9/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>SOAR Charter Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Southern Trinity Joint Unified School District</b>				
52	Hoaglin-Zenia Elementary	6/1/2017	\$16,601.00	\$16,601.00
	Van Duzen Elementary	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Southern Trinity Joint Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$16,601.00</i>	<i>\$16,601.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Stanislaus Union Elementary</b>				
661	Agnes M. Baptist Elementary	12/9/2015	\$0.00	\$0.00
	District Office (Muncy Elementary)	3/8/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	George Eisenhut Elementary	1/27/2018	\$75,642.00	\$75,642.00
	Josephine Chrysler Elementary	12/31/2018	\$94,759.00	\$94,759.00
	Prescott Junior High	9/30/2018	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00
	Stanislaus Elementary	1/1/2018	\$77,148.00	\$77,184.00
<i>Stanislaus Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$322,549.00</i>	<i>\$322,585.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Stockton Unified School District</b>				
266	Adams Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$340,766.64	\$340,766.64
	Alexandar Hamilton Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$29,719.45	\$29,719.45
	August Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$229,902.30	\$229,902.30
	Cesar Chavez High	10/31/2016	\$89,982.80	\$89,982.80
	Commodore Stockton Skills	10/31/2016	\$119,528.38	\$119,528.38
	El Dorado Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$87,861.92	\$87,861.92
	Franklin High School	10/31/2016	\$108,198.98	\$108,198.98
	Hazelton Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$183,308.70	\$183,308.70
	Hoover Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$217,585.26	\$217,585.26
	Kennedy Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Kohl Open Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$11,813.80	\$11,813.80
	Madison Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$190,266.92	\$190,266.92
	Monroe Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$14,176.56	\$14,176.56
	Rio Calveras Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$246,007.95	\$246,007.95
	Roosevelt Elementary School	10/31/2016	\$110,176.12	\$110,176.12
	Stagg Senior High	10/31/2016	\$93,498.77	\$93,498.77
	Wilhelmina Henry Elementary	10/31/2016	\$96,533.71	\$96,533.71
1127	Cesar Chavez High	11/30/2016	\$227,741.67	\$227,741.67
	Stagg Senior High	11/30/2016	\$166,819.89	\$166,819.89
	San Joaquin Elementary	11/30/2016	\$36,922.73	\$36,922.73
	El Dorado Elementary	11/30/2016	\$15,744.61	\$15,744.61
	Corporation Yard	11/30/2016	\$78,121.38	\$78,121.38
	Franklin High	11/30/2016	\$112,608.31	\$112,608.31
	George W. Bush Elementary	11/30/2016	\$70,599.95	\$70,599.95
	Harrison Elementary	11/30/2016	\$22,519.19	\$22,519.19
	Maxine Hong Kingston Elementary	11/30/2016	\$139,479.42	\$139,479.42
	School for Adults	11/30/2016	\$8,798.55	\$8,798.55

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Stockton Unified Scl 1127</b>	Valentine Peyton Elementary	11/30/2016	\$35,439.01	\$35,439.01
	Weber Institute	11/30/2016	\$66,557.94	\$66,557.94
<i>Stockton Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$3,150,680.91</i>	<i>\$3,150,680.91</i>
<b>Stony Creek Joint Unified School District</b>				
211	Elk Creek Elementary	6/1/2018	\$5,371.00	
	Elk Creek High School	6/1/2018	\$14,865.00	
	Indian Valley ES	6/1/2018	\$3,296.00	
<i>Stony Creek Joint Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$23,532.00</i>	
<b>Sunnyside Union Elementary</b>				
58	Sunnyside Elementaary	9/5/2016	\$38,340.00	\$38,340.00
<i>Sunnyside Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$38,340.00</i>	<i>\$38,340.00</i>
<b>Sunridge Charter School</b>				
334	Sunridge Charter School	9/16/2016	\$147,599.80	\$147,600.40
<i>Sunridge Charter School Total</i>			<i>\$147,599.80</i>	<i>\$147,600.40</i>
<b>Susanville Elementary</b>				
682	District Office	12/1/2018	\$6,004.48	\$6,004.48
	McKinley Elementary	1/2/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Meadow View	1/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Susanville Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$6,004.48</i>	<i>\$6,004.48</i>
<b>Sycamore Valley Academy</b>				
314	Sycamore Valley Academy	8/3/2018	\$188,922.97	\$188,922.97
<i>Sycamore Valley Academy Total</i>			<i>\$188,922.97</i>	<i>\$188,922.97</i>
<b>Torrance Unified School District</b>				
195	Torrance High School	4/6/2017	\$372,217.93	\$372,217.93
708	Lincoln Elementary	8/26/2016	\$101,107.00	\$283,425.00
	Edison Elementary	8/26/2016	\$125,051.00	\$297,330.00
	Casimir Middle	8/26/2016	\$244,158.00	\$845,574.00
	Evelyn Carr Elementary	8/26/2016	\$109,033.00	\$397,172.00
	Jefferson Middle	8/26/2016	\$126,104.00	\$346,143.00
	Yukon Elementary	8/26/2016	\$386,120.00	\$909,894.00
<i>Torrance Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$1,463,790.93</i>	<i>\$3,451,755.93</i>
<b>Tres Pinos Union Elementary</b>				
303	Tres Pinos Elementary	8/31/2017	\$43,041.00	
<i>Tres Pinos Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$43,041.00</i>	
<b>Trinity Center Elementary</b>				
543	Trinity Center Elementary	6/30/2020	\$20,183.00	
<i>Trinity Center Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$20,183.00</i>	
<b>Trinity County Office of Education</b>				
437	Trinity County Office of Education	6/30/2020	\$52,228.00	\$63,174.92
<i>Trinity County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$52,228.00</i>	<i>\$63,174.92</i>
<b>Twin Hills Charter Middle School</b>				
217	Twin Hills Charter Middle School	9/16/2016	\$139,997.52	\$139,997.52
<i>Twin Hills Charter Middle School Total</i>			<i>\$139,997.52</i>	<i>\$139,997.52</i>
<b>Twin Hills Union Elementary</b>				
290	Apple Blossom	8/16/2016	\$155,934.08	\$155,934.08
<i>Twin Hills Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$155,934.08</i>	<i>\$155,934.08</i>
<b>Twin Rivers Unified</b>				
82	Harmon Johnson Elementary	1/31/2017	\$1,035,491.00	\$2,227,500.00
1239	Foothill High	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Twin Rivers Unified</b>	1239	Foothill Ranch Middle	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Twin Rivers Unified Total</i>				<i>\$1,035,491.00</i>	<i>\$2,227,500.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Ukiah Unified School District</b>					
	283	Calpella Elementary School	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Ukiah High School	10/8/2015	\$79,500.00	\$106,778.95
<i>Ukiah Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$79,500.00</i>	<i>\$106,778.95</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Victor Elementary</b>					
	1427	Brentwood Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Challenger School of Sports and Fitness	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Del Rey Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Discovery School of the Arts	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		District Office	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Endeavour School of Exploration	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Galileo Academy 101	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Green Tree East Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Irwin Academy of Performing Arts	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Liberty Elementary	12/31/2017	\$1,236,306.00	\$1,236,306.00
		Lomitas Elementary	12/31/2017	\$134,951.76	\$134,951.76
		Maintenance & Operations	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mojave Vista Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Park View Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Puesta del Sol Elementary	12/31/2017	\$99,845.24	\$99,845.24
		Transportation	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Village Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		West Palms Conservatory	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Victor Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$1,471,103.00</i>	<i>\$1,471,103.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Village School</b>					
	159	Village School	7/31/2017	\$2,819.06	\$2,819.06
<i>Village School Total</i>				<i>\$2,819.06</i>	<i>\$2,819.06</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Vista del Mar Union</b>					
	477	Vista de Las Cruces	12/1/2016	\$81,560.00	\$81,560.00
<i>Vista del Mar Union Total</i>				<i>\$81,560.00</i>	<i>\$81,560.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>W.E.B Dubois Public Charter</b>					
	386	W.E.B. DuBois Public Charter - MLK	3/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		W.E.B. DuBois Public Charter - P St.	3/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>W.E.B Dubois Public Charter Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Washington Unified</b>					
	281	Elkhorn Village Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Riverbank Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		West Sacramento School for Independent Studies	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Westfield Village Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Westmore Oaks Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Yolo High	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Washington Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>West Park Charter Academy</b>					
	467	West Park Charter Academy	12/1/2016	\$130,914.00	\$428,897.00
<i>West Park Charter Academy Total</i>				<i>\$130,914.00</i>	<i>\$428,897.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>West Park Elementary</b>					
	468	West Park Elementary	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>West Park Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>West Sacramento Early College Prep Charter</b>					
	286	West Sacramento Early College Prep Charter	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>West Sacramento Early College Prep Charter Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Wilsona</b>					
	224	Challenger Middle School	9/26/2016	\$311,617.12	\$311,617.13
		Vista San Gabriel Elementary School	8/31/2016	\$292,656.73	\$292,656.73
<i>Wilsona Total</i>				<i>\$604,273.85</i>	<i>\$604,273.86</i>
<b>Big Springs Union Elementary</b>					
	1338	Big Springs Union Elementary	8/23/2015	\$52,488.35	\$68,089.08
	1339	Big Springs Elementary	8/23/2015	\$65,500.00	\$65,500.00
<i>Big Springs Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$117,988.35</i>	<i>\$133,589.08</i>
<b>Lamont Elementary</b>					
	388	Alicante Avenue Elementary	6/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lamont Elementary	6/28/2017	\$181,553.00	\$181,553.00
		Mountain View Middle	6/28/2017	\$106,220.00	\$106,220.00
		Myrtle Avenue Elementary	6/28/2017	\$61,804.00	\$61,804.00
<i>Lamont Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$349,577.00</i>	<i>\$349,577.00</i>
<b>Conejo Valley Unified</b>					
	664	Aspen Elementary	10/31/2016	\$472,623.94	\$472,623.94
		Newbury Park High	10/31/2016	\$438,116.85	\$438,116.85
		Sequoia Middle	10/31/2016	\$84,870.78	\$84,870.78
		Westlake High	10/31/2016	\$205,081.25	\$205,081.25
		Wildwood Elementary	10/31/2016	\$141,082.76	\$141,082.76
<i>Conejo Valley Unified Total</i>				<i>\$1,341,775.58</i>	<i>\$1,341,775.58</i>
<b>Accelerated</b>					
	1163	Accelerated	10/20/2016	\$63,970.33	\$63,970.33
<i>Accelerated Total</i>				<i>\$63,970.33</i>	<i>\$63,970.33</i>
<b>Achieve Academy</b>					
	1179	Achieve Academy	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Achieve Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Adelante Charter</b>					
	1001	Adelanted Charter School	4/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Adelante Charter Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Alain Leroy Locke College Prep Academy</b>					
	868	Animo Locke 1 College Prep Academy	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Alain Leroy Locke College Prep Academy Total</i>				<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<b>Alhambra Unified</b>					
	824	Martha Baldwin Elementary	8/31/2016	\$181,504.22	\$181,504.22
		Monterey Highlands Elementary	8/31/2016	\$301,520.55	\$301,520.55
		Ramona Elementary	8/31/2016	\$178,198.78	\$178,198.78
		Ynez Elementary	8/31/2016	\$53,164.53	\$53,164.53
<i>Alhambra Unified Total</i>				<i>\$714,388.08</i>	<i>\$714,388.08</i>
<b>Alliance Cindy and Bill Simon Technology Academy High</b>					
	1025	Alliance Cindy and Bill Simon Technology Academy High	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Alliance Cindy and Bill Simon Technology Academy High Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Alliance College-Ready Middle Academy 4</b>					
	1048	Alliance College-Ready Middle Academy 4	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Alliance College-Ready Middle Academy 4 Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Alliance College-Ready Middle Academy 5</b>					
	1055	Alliance College-Ready Middle Academy 5	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Alliance College-Ready Middle Academy 5 Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Alliance Collins Family College-Ready High</b>					
1035	Alliance Collins Family College-Ready High	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Alliance Collins Family College-Ready High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Alliance Dr. Olga Mohan High</b>					
1039	Alliance Dr. Olga Mohan High	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Alliance Dr. Olga Mohan High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Alliance Gertz-Ressler Richard Merkin 6-12 Complex</b>					
1036	Alliance Gertz-Ressler Richard Merkin 6-12 Complex	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Alliance Gertz-Ressler Richard Merkin 6-12 Complex Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Alliance Jack H. Skirball Middle</b>					
1045	Alliance Jack H. Skirball Middle	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Alliance Jack H. Skirball Middle Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Alliance Judy Ivie Burton Technology Academy High</b>					
1037	Alliance Judy Ivie Burton Technology Academy High	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Alliance Judy Ivie Burton Technology Academy High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Alliance Ouchi-O'Donovan 6-12 Complex</b>					
1049	Alliance Ouchi-O'Donovan 6-12 Complex	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Alliance Ouchi-O'Donovan 6-12 Complex Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Alliance Patti And Peter Neuwirth Leadership Academy</b>					
1041	Alliance Patti And Peter Neuwirth Leadership Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Alliance Patti And Peter Neuwirth Leadership Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Alliance Renee and Meyer Luskin Academy High</b>					
1459	Alliance Renee and Meyer Luskin Academy High	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Alliance Renee and Meyer Luskin Academy High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Alternative Cooperative Education Charter</b>					
1237	Alternative Cooperative Education Charter	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Alternative Cooperative Education Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Alternatives in Fction</b>					
820	Alternatives in Action	6/30/2018	\$147,170.00	\$147,170.00	
<i>Alternatives in Fction Total</i>			<i>\$147,170.00</i>	<i>\$147,170.00</i>	
<b>Alview-Dairyland Union Elementary</b>					
1311	Alview Elementary	5/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Alview-Dairyland Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Anderson New Technology High</b>					
1196	Anderson New Technology High	6/30/2019	\$62,100.00	\$62,100.00	
<i>Anderson New Technology High Total</i>			<i>\$62,100.00</i>	<i>\$62,100.00</i>	
<b>Animo College Preparatory Academy</b>					
871	Animo College Preparatory Academy	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25	
<i>Animo College Preparatory Academy Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>	
<b>Animo Inglewood Charter High</b>					
873	Animo Inglewood Charter High	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25	
<i>Animo Inglewood Charter High Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>	
<b>Animo Jackie Robinson High</b>					
870	Animo Jackie Robinson High	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25	
<i>Animo Jackie Robinson High Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>	
<b>Animo James B. Taylor Charter Middle</b>					

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Animo James B. Tay 944</b>	Animo James B. Taylor Charter Middle	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Animo James B. Taylor Charter Middle Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Animo Jefferson Charter Middle</b>				
876	Animo Jefferson Charter Middle	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Animo Jefferson Charter Middle Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Animo Leadership High</b>				
862	Animo Leadership High	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Animo Leadership High Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Animo Pat Brown</b>				
864	Animo Pat Brown	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Animo Pat Brown Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Animo Phillis Wheatley Charter Middle</b>				
866	Animo Phillis Wheatley Charter Middle	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Animo Phillis Wheatley Charter Middle Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Animo Ralph Bunche High</b>				
874	Animo Ralph Bunche High	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Animo Ralph Bunche High Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Animo South Los Angeles Charter</b>				
863	Animo South Los Angeles Charter	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Animo South Los Angeles Charter Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Animo Venice Charter High</b>				
869	Animo Venice Charter High	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Animo Venice Charter High Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Animo Watts College Preparatory Academy</b>				
875	Animo Watts College Preparatory Academy	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Animo Watts College Preparatory Academy Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Animo Western Charter Middle</b>				
865	Animo Western Charter Middle	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Animo Western Charter Middle Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Animo Westside Charter Middle</b>				
872	Animo Westside Charter Middle	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Animo Westside Charter Middle Total</i>			<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Antioch Unified</b>				
504	Fremont Elementary	6/30/2018	\$600,180.00	\$615,000.00
	Black Diamond Middle	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Dallas Ranch Middle	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Deer Valley High	6/30/2018	\$157,525.00	\$210,718.00
	Diablo Vista Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Antioch Unified Total</i>			<i>\$757,705.00</i>	<i>\$825,718.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Arcadia Unified</b>				
698	Arcadia High	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Baldwin Stocker Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Camino Grove Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	First Avenue Middle	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Foothills Middle	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Highland Oaks Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Holly Avenue Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Hugo Reid Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Hugo Reid Primary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Arcadia Unified</b>	698	Longley Way Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
		Richard Henry Dana Middle	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
<i>Arcadia Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Arcata Elementary</b>	1217	Arcata Elementary	9/10/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sunny Brae Middle	9/10/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Arcata Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Architecture, Construction &amp; Engineering Charter High (ACE)</b>	1122	Architecture, Construction & Engineering Charter High (ACE)	6/29/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Architecture, Construction &amp; Engineering Charter High (ACE) Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Armona Union Elementary</b>	1331	Armona Elementary	12/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Parkview Middle	12/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Armona Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Aromas/San Juan Unified</b>	567	Anzar High	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Aromas	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		San Juan	8/8/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aromas/San Juan Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>ASCEND</b>	1175	ASCEND	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>ASCEND Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Aspire Benjamin Holt College Preparatory Academy</b>	1490	Aspire Benjamin Holt College Preparatory Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Benjamin Holt College Preparatory Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Aspire Summit Charter Academy</b>	1033	Aspire Summit Charter Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Summit Charter Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Aspire Vanguard College Preparatory Academy</b>	1052	Aspire Vanguard College Preparatory Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Aspire Vanguard College Preparatory Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Atascadero Unified</b>	638	Atascadero High	9/30/2019	\$90,585.79	\$90,585.79
		Atascadero Junior High	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		San Gabriel Elementary	9/29/2017	\$136,075.95	\$136,075.95
<i>Atascadero Unified Total</i>				<i>\$226,661.74</i>	<i>\$226,661.74</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Baldwin Park Unified</b>	657	Foster Elementary	6/30/2017	\$19,271.15	\$19,272.00
		Tracy Elementary	6/30/2017	\$19,271.15	\$19,272.00
		Walnut Elementary	6/30/2017	\$43,766.15	\$43,767.00
	1517	Sierra Vista Junior High	7/31/2017	\$12,225.00	\$0.00
<i>Baldwin Park Unified Total</i>				<i>\$94,533.45</i>	<i>\$82,311.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Banta Elementary School District</b>	205	Banta Elementary	12/16/2016	\$70,177.95	\$70,177.95
<i>Banta Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$70,177.95</i>	<i>\$70,177.95</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Beaumont Unified School District</b>	318	Anna Hause Elementary School	9/1/2016	\$162,417.00	\$197,210.00
		Beaumont Senior High School	9/1/2016	\$800,427.91	\$1,039,357.00
		Brookside Elementary School	9/1/2016	\$141,947.10	\$230,909.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Beaumont Unified S 318</b>	Mountain View Middle School	9/1/2016	\$334,659.85	\$378,479.00
	San Gorgonio Middle School	9/1/2016	\$282,747.00	\$282,747.00
	Sundance Elementary School	9/1/2016	\$118,730.60	\$227,084.00
	Three Ring Ranch Elementary School	9/1/2016	\$123,896.30	\$204,410.00
	Tournament Hills Elementary School	9/1/2016	\$150,998.35	\$202,779.00
<i>Beaumont Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$2,115,824.11</i>	<i>\$2,762,975.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Bellevue-Santa Fe Charter</b>				
776	Bellevue-Santa Fe Charter	8/15/2017	\$72,248.00	\$72,248.00
<i>Bellevue-Santa Fe Charter Total</i>			<i>\$72,248.00</i>	<i>\$72,248.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Beverly Hills Unified</b>				
1101	Beverly Hills High	7/1/2018	\$0.00	
	Horace Mann Elementary	6/29/2018	\$0.00	
<i>Beverly Hills Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Binkley Elementary Charter</b>				
1420	Binkley Elementary Charter	11/30/2016	\$302.08	\$302.08
<i>Binkley Elementary Charter Total</i>			<i>\$302.08</i>	<i>\$302.08</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Bitney College Preparatory High</b>				
1202	Bitney College Preparatory High	6/30/2017	\$8,415.00	\$8,415.00
<i>Bitney College Preparatory High Total</i>			<i>\$8,415.00</i>	<i>\$8,415.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Black Oak Mine Unified</b>				
1544	Black Oak Mine Community Day	6/30/2018	\$2,568.00	\$2,568.00
	District Facilities	6/30/2018	\$15,751.00	\$15,751.00
	Divide High	6/30/2018	\$4,277.00	\$4,277.00
	Georgetown Elementary	6/30/2018	\$98,253.00	\$98,253.00
	Golden Sierra Junior Senior High	6/30/2018	\$88,481.50	\$88,481.50
	Northside Elementary	6/30/2018	\$61,847.00	\$61,847.00
	Otter Creek Elementary	6/30/2018	\$27,603.00	\$27,603.00
<i>Black Oak Mine Unified Total</i>			<i>\$298,780.50</i>	<i>\$298,780.50</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Blue Lake Union Elementary</b>				
1121	Blue Lake Elementary	12/1/2019	\$10,400.00	\$10,400.00
<i>Blue Lake Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$10,400.00</i>	<i>\$10,400.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Borrego Springs Unified</b>				
1092	Borrego Springs Elementary	4/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Borrego Springs High	4/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Borrego Springs Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Bradley Union Elementary</b>				
1479	Bradley Elementary	8/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Bradley Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Bret Harte Union High</b>				
1221	Bret Harte Union High	11/16/2016	\$30,583.05	\$30,583.05
<i>Bret Harte Union High Total</i>			<i>\$30,583.05</i>	<i>\$30,583.05</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Bridges Academy</b>				
1263	Bridges Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
<i>Bridges Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Brisbane Elementary</b>				
435	Brisbane Elementary	1/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lipman Middle	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Panorama Elementary	1/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Brisbane Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Brittan Elementary</b>				
971	Brittan Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Brittan Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Browns Elementary</b>				
966	Browns Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Browns Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Buckeye Union Elementary</b>				
1065	Silva Valley Elementary	9/9/2016	\$357,099.00	\$736,048.00
<i>Buckeye Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$357,099.00</i>	<i>\$736,048.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Buena Park Elementary</b>				
845	District Office	6/30/2020	\$299.00	\$299.00
	Arthur F. Corey Elementary	6/30/2020	\$524.00	\$524.00
	Buena Park Junior High	6/30/2020	\$1,639.00	\$1,639.00
	Carl E. Gilbert Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Charles G. Emery Elementary	6/30/2020	\$1,532.00	\$1,532.00
	Gordon H. Beatty Elementary	6/30/2020	\$1,114.00	\$1,114.00
	James A. Whitaker Elementary	6/30/2020	\$463.00	\$463.00
	Mabel L. Pendleton Elementary	6/30/2020	\$1,229.00	\$1,229.00
<i>Buena Park Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$6,800.00</i>	<i>\$6,800.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Burbank Unified</b>				
681	Bret Harte Elementary	3/31/2017	\$8,998.00	\$13,564.00
	Burbank Adult	3/31/2017	\$88,346.00	\$299,250.00
	Burroughs High	3/31/2017	\$46,804.00	\$46,804.00
	David Starr Jordan Middle	3/31/2017	\$147,794.00	\$473,592.00
	George Washington Elementary	3/31/2017	\$142,711.00	\$446,844.00
	Joaquin Miller Elementary	3/31/2017	\$146,894.00	\$389,124.00
	John Muir Middle	3/31/2017	\$21,170.00	\$21,170.00
	Luther Burbank Middle	3/31/2017	\$238,735.00	\$807,042.00
	Ralph Emerson Elementary	3/31/2017	\$168,120.00	\$617,398.00
	Thomas Jefferson Elementary	3/31/2017	\$108,157.00	\$512,508.00
<i>Burbank Unified Total</i>			<i>\$1,117,729.00</i>	<i>\$3,627,296.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Burlingame Elementary</b>				
1227	District Office	7/19/2016	\$40,217.00	\$40,217.00
	Franklin Elementary	6/20/2016	\$19,102.00	\$19,102.00
	Lincoln Elementary	7/19/2016	\$38,346.00	\$38,346.00
	McKinley Elementary	7/19/2016	\$23,564.00	\$23,564.00
	Roosevelt Elementary	3/31/2017	\$163,834.00	\$163,834.00
	Washington Elementary	7/19/2016	\$45,139.00	\$45,139.00
	Burlingame Intermediate	7/19/2016	\$41,439.00	\$41,439.00
<i>Burlingame Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$371,641.00</i>	<i>\$371,641.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Burnt Ranch Elementary</b>				
1064	Burnt Ranch Elementary	12/1/2019	\$34,684.00	\$34,684.00
<i>Burnt Ranch Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$34,684.00</i>	<i>\$34,684.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Burrel Union Elementary</b>				
992	Burrel Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Burrel Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Burton Elementary</b>				
426	District Office	2/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Burton Elementary	3/31/2015	\$34,000.00	\$34,000.00
	Burton Middle	3/31/2015	\$97,400.00	\$97,400.00
	Jim Maples Academy	3/31/2015	\$34,000.00	\$34,000.00
	Oak Grove Elementary	3/31/2015	\$34,000.00	\$34,000.00
	William R. Buckley Elementary	3/31/2015	\$34,000.00	\$34,000.00
<i>Burton Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$233,400.00</i>	<i>\$233,400.00</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Butteville Union Elementary</b>					
1137	Butteville Elementary	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Butteville Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Byron Union Elementary</b>					
1483	Discovery Bay Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Excelsior Middle	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Timber Point Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Byron Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Cabrillo Unified</b>					
840	Alvin S. Hatch Elementary	9/30/2015	\$165.00	\$660.00	
	El Granada Elementary	9/30/2015	\$875.00	\$3,500.00	
	Farallone View Elementary	9/30/2015	\$315.00	\$1,260.00	
	Half Moon Bay High	9/30/2015	\$955.00	\$3,820.00	
	Kings Mountain Elementary	9/30/2015	\$80.00	\$320.00	
	Manuel F. Cunha Intermediate	9/30/2015	\$590.00	\$2,360.00	
<i>Cabrillo Unified Total</i>			<i>\$2,980.00</i>	<i>\$11,920.00</i>	
<b>Calaveras Unified</b>					
1337	District Office	12/23/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Calaveras High	10/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Gold Strike High	12/22/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Jenny Lind Elementary	12/21/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Mokelumne Hill Elementary	12/21/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Rail Road Flat Elementary	12/21/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	San Andreas Elementary	12/21/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Toyon Middle	4/28/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Valley Springs Elementary	10/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	West Point Elementary	12/21/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Calaveras Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Calexico Unified</b>					
1013	Aurora High (Continuation)	7/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Blanche Charles Elementary	7/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Calexico High	7/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Cesar Chavez Elementary	7/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	District Office / maintenance and Operations	7/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Dool Elementary	7/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Enrique Camarena Jr. High	7/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Kennedy Gardens	7/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Mains Elementary	7/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Rockwood Elementary	7/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	William Moreno Junior High	7/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Calexico Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Caliente Union Elementary</b>					
1260	Piute Mountain Elementary	7/29/2016	\$51,813.60	\$51,813.60	
<i>Caliente Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$51,813.60</i>	<i>\$51,813.60</i>	
<b>California Montessori Project-San Juan Campus</b>					
878	California Montessori Project - Coleman School	3/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	California Montessori Project - Little John School	3/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>California Montessori Project-San Juan Campus Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Calipatria Unified</b>					
1190	Bill E. Young Jr. Middle	8/31/2016	\$315,723.00	\$315,723.00	
	Calipatria High	6/30/2016	\$178,377.00	\$178,377.00	
	Fremont Primary	6/30/2016	\$49,584.00	\$49,584.00	
<i>Calipatria Unified Total</i>			<i>\$543,684.00</i>	<i>\$543,684.00</i>	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Camino Science and Natural Resources Charter</b>				
1206	Camino Science and Natural Resources Charter	8/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Camino Science and Natural Resources Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Camino Union Elementary</b>				
1205	Camino Elementary	8/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Camino Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Campbell Union High</b>				
1336	Boynton High	11/1/2016	\$7,392.00	\$7,392.00
	Branham High	11/1/2016	\$85,866.00	\$85,866.00
	Camden Community Day	11/1/2016	\$2,882.00	\$2,882.00
	Campbell Adult and Community Education	11/1/2016	\$1,069.00	\$1,069.00
	Del Mar High	11/1/2016	\$211,713.00	\$211,713.00
	District Office & Maintenance Bldg.	11/1/2016	\$19,665.00	\$19,665.00
	Leigh High	11/1/2016	\$78,894.00	\$78,894.00
	Prospect High	11/1/2016	\$76,615.00	\$76,615.00
	Westmont High	11/1/2016	\$82,008.00	\$82,008.00
<i>Campbell Union High Total</i>			<i>\$566,104.00</i>	<i>\$566,104.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Canyon Elementary</b>				
1276	Canyon Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Canyon Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Capistrano Unified</b>				
1497	Aliso Niguel High	3/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Capistrano Valley High	3/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Dana Hills High	3/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	San Clemente High	3/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	San Juan Hills High	3/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Tesoro High	3/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Capistrano Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Cardiff Elementary</b>				
1061	Ada W. Harris Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Cardiff Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Cardiff Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Carlsbad Unified</b>				
968	Buena Vista Elementary	12/31/2018	\$80,000.00	\$80,000.00
	District Office	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Jefferson Elementary	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Magnolia Elementary	12/31/2018	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
	Aviara Oaks Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Aviara Oaks Middle	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Calavera Hills Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Calavera Hills Middle	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Carlsbad High	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Hope Elementary	12/31/2018	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00
	Kelly Elementary	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Pacific Rim Elementary	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Poinsettia Elementary	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sage Creek High	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Valley Middle	12/31/2018	\$157,500.00	\$157,500.00
<i>Carlsbad Unified Total</i>			<i>\$387,500.00</i>	<i>\$387,500.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Carmel Unified</b>				
734	Carmel Adult	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Carmel Child Development Center	12/5/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Carmel High	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$1,716.19
	Carmel Middle	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Carmel River Elementary	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Carmel Unified</b>	734	Carmel Valley High	12/9/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Maintenance Yard	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Tularcitos Elementary	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Carmel Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$1,716.19</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Cascade Union Elementary School District</b>					
	660	Anderson Heights Elementary	8/1/2015	\$32,154.00	\$32,154.00
		Anderson Middle	8/1/2015	\$32,405.00	\$32,405.00
		Meadow Lane Elementary	8/1/2015	\$45,905.00	\$45,905.00
		South County Community Day	8/1/2015	\$3,642.00	\$3,642.00
<i>Cascade Union Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$114,106.00</i>	<i>\$114,106.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Castaic Union</b>					
	1012	Castaic Elementary	7/22/2016	\$10,671.00	\$10,671.00
		Castaic Middle	7/22/2016	\$117,512.00	\$121,987.00
		Live Oak Elementary	7/22/2016	\$306,016.00	\$461,647.00
		Northlake Hills Elementary	7/22/2016	\$58,080.00	\$59,424.00
<i>Castaic Union Total</i>				<i>\$492,279.00</i>	<i>\$653,729.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Castle Rock</b>					
	902	Castle Rock	11/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Castle Rock Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Cayucos Elementary</b>					
	1416	Cayucos Elementary School District	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Cayucos Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Centinela Valley Union High</b>					
	702	Hawthorne High	12/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Leuzinger High	11/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Centinela Valley Union High Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Central City Value</b>					
	912	Central City Value	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Central City Value Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Ceres Unified</b>					
	403	District Office	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Argus High (Continuation)	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Blaker-Kinser Junior High	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Carroll Fowler Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Caswell Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Central Valley High	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Ceres High	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Don Pedro Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Joel J. Hidahl Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mae Hensley Junior High	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Samuel Vaughn Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sinclear Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Virginia Parks Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Walter White Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Westport Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Ceres Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Chaffey Joint Union High School District</b>					
	345	Chaffey High School	5/9/2016	\$601,710.00	\$601,710.00
		Etiwanda High School	7/19/2016	\$599,181.00	\$599,181.00
<i>Chaffey Joint Union High School District Total</i>				<i>\$1,200,891.00</i>	<i>\$1,200,891.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Charter Oak Unified</b>					
	1253	District Office and Maintenance	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Washington Elementary	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Charter Oak Unified 1253</b>	Arrow High (Continuation)	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Badillo Elementary	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Bridges Community Day	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Cedargrove Elementary	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Charter Oak High	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Education Services Department	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Glen Oak Elementary	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Oak Knoll Alternative	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Royal Oak Middle	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Willow Elementary	4/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Charter Oak Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Charter School of Morgan Hill</b>				
1428	Charter School of Morgan Hill	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Charter School of Morgan Hill Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>CHIME Institute's Schwarzenegger Community</b>				
1094	CHIME Institute's Schwarzenegger Community	1/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>CHIME Institute's Schwarzenegger Community Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Cinnabar Charter</b>				
1273	Cinnabar Charter	9/1/2018	\$14,696.90	\$14,696.90
<i>Cinnabar Charter Total</i>			<i>\$14,696.90</i>	<i>\$14,696.90</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Clay Joint Elementary</b>				
750	Clay Elementary	8/24/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Clay Joint Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Clear Creek Elementary</b>				
1207	Clear Creek Elementary	6/29/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Clear Creek Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Coast Unified</b>				
985	Cambria Grammar	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Coast Union High	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Coast US District Office	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Leffingwell High (Continuation)	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Santa Lucia Middle	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Coast Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Coastal Academy</b>				
1135	Coastal Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Coastal Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Coffee Creek Elementary</b>				
795	Coffee Creek Elementary	6/30/2020	\$18,172.00	\$18,172.00
<i>Coffee Creek Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$18,172.00</i>	<i>\$18,172.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>College Elementary School District</b>				
780	Santa Ynez Elementary	8/25/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>College Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Colton Joint Unified</b>				
687	District Office	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Maintenance & Operations	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Transportation	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Abraham Lincoln Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Alice Birney Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Bloomington High	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Colton High	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Colton Middle	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Cooley Ranch Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

687	Crestmore Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Gerald A. Smith Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Grand Terrace Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Grand Terrace High School at the Ray Abril Jr. Educational C	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Hermosa Center	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Joe Baca	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Jurupa Vista Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Mary B. Lewis Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Michael D'Arcy Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Paul Rogers Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Pupil Personnel Services	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Reche Canyon Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Ruth Grimes Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Ruth O. Harris Middle	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	San Salvador Preschool	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Slover Mountain High (Continuation)	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Sycamore Hills Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Terrace Hills Middle	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Terrace View Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Ulysses Grant Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Walter Zimmerman Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Washington High	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	William McKinley Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Woodrow Wilson Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	
<i>Colton Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Colusa Unified</b>				
979	Colusa High	6/29/2018	\$6,449.16	\$6,449.16
	George T. Egling Middle	6/4/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	James M. Burchfield Primary	6/8/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Colusa Unified Total</i>			<i>\$6,449.16</i>	<i>\$6,449.16</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Compton Unified</b>				
950	Longfellow Elementary	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Foster Elementary	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Carver Elementary	6/11/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Cesar Chavez Continuation High	8/11/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Davis Middle	1/8/2017	\$1,516,880.98	\$1,516,880.98
	Laurel Street Elementary	8/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Mayo Elementary	8/12/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Compton Unified Total</i>			<i>\$1,516,880.98</i>	<i>\$1,516,880.98</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Corcoran Joint Unified</b>				
1448	District Office	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Mark Twain Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Bret Harte Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	John Muir Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Corcoran High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	John C. Fremont Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Kings Lake Education Center	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Corcoran Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Cornerstone Academy Preparatory</b>				
1265	Cornerstone Academy Preparatory	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
<i>Cornerstone Academy Preparatory Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Corning Union Elementary</b>				
1023	Maywood Middle School	12/31/2016	\$0.00	
	Olive View Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	
	Rancho Tehama Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	
	West Street Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	
	Woodson Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Corning Union Elementary Total</i>				\$0.00	
<hr/>					
<b>Coronado Unified</b>					
765	District Office	12/31/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Coronado High	12/31/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Coronado Middle	12/31/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Coronado Unified Special Education Pre-K	12/31/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Coronado Village Elementary	12/31/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Palm Academy for Learning	12/31/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Silver Strand Elementary	12/31/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Coronado Unified Total</i>				\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>					
<b>Corona-Norco Unified</b>					
759	Foothill Elementary	9/1/2016		\$37,201.00	\$37,201.00
	Highland Elementary	9/1/2016		\$19,718.00	\$19,718.00
	Jefferson Elementary	9/1/2016		\$14,080.00	\$14,080.00
	Santiago High	9/1/2016		\$1,644,279.00	\$1,644,279.00
	George Washington Elementary	9/1/2016		\$25,326.00	\$25,326.00
	William McKinley Elementary	9/1/2016		\$52,121.00	\$52,121.00
	Woodrow Wilson Elementary	9/1/2016		\$61,356.00	\$61,356.00
	Auburndale Intermediate	9/1/2016		\$14,673.00	\$14,673.00
	Benjamin Franklin Elementary	9/1/2016		\$49,547.00	\$49,547.00
	Cesar Chavez Academy	9/1/2016		\$22,498.00	\$22,498.00
	Citrus Hills Intermediate	9/1/2016		\$80,501.00	\$80,501.00
	Clara Barton Elementary	8/31/2016		\$26,094.00	\$26,094.00
	Corona Fundamental Intermediate	8/1/2017		\$28,451.00	\$28,451.00
	Corona High	9/1/2016		\$70,251.00	\$70,251.00
	Corona Ranch Elementary	9/1/2016		\$70,314.00	\$70,314.00
	Coronita Elementary	9/1/2016		\$17,485.00	\$17,485.00
	Dr. Augustine Ramirez Intermediate	9/1/2016		\$29,702.00	\$29,702.00
	Dr. Bernice Jameson Todd Elementary	9/1/2016		\$32,116.00	\$32,116.00
	Eastvale Elementary	8/31/2016		\$31,261.00	\$31,261.00
	El Cerrito Middle	3/31/2016		\$69,033.00	\$69,033.00
	Eleanor Roosevelt High	8/31/2016		\$464,814.00	\$464,814.00
	Home Gardens Academy	9/1/2016		\$21,695.00	\$21,695.00
	John F. Kennedy High	8/31/2016		\$56,951.00	\$56,951.00
	John Stallings Elementary	9/1/2016		\$7,097.00	\$7,097.00
	Lee V. Pollard High	9/1/2016		\$103,910.00	\$103,910.00
	Letha Raney Intermediate	9/1/2016		\$16,599.00	\$16,599.00
	Lincoln Alternative Elementary	9/1/2016		\$4,089.00	\$4,089.00
	Norco Elementary	9/1/2016		\$9,664.00	\$9,664.00
	Norco High	9/1/2016		\$1,320,861.00	\$1,320,861.00
	Norco Intermediate	9/1/2016		\$3,439.00	\$3,439.00
	Orange Elementary	9/1/2016		\$22,772.00	\$22,772.00
	Orange Grove High	8/31/2016		\$78,207.00	\$78,207.00
	Parkridge Elementary	9/1/2016		\$22,410.00	\$22,410.00
	Prado View Elementary	9/1/2016		\$41,532.00	\$41,532.00
	River Heights Intermediate	8/31/2016		\$107,779.00	\$107,779.00
	Riverview Elementary	9/1/2016		\$19,014.00	\$19,014.00
	Rosa Parks Elementary	9/1/2016		\$32,684.00	\$32,684.00
	Sierra Vista Elementary	9/1/2016		\$15,190.00	\$15,190.00
	Susan B. Anthony Elementary	9/1/2016		\$11,894.00	\$11,894.00
	Temescal Valley Elementary	8/31/2016		\$33,781.00	\$33,781.00
	Vicentia Elementary	9/1/2016		\$29,970.00	\$29,970.00
	Victriss Bower School for Exceptional Students	9/1/2016		\$5,240.00	\$5,240.00
<i>Corona-Norco Unified Total</i>				\$4,825,599.00	\$4,825,599.00
<hr/>					
<b>Cox Academy</b>					
1463	Cox Academy	6/30/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Cox Academy Total</i>				\$0.00	\$0.00
<hr/>					
<b>Cuddeback Union Elementary</b>					

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Cuddeback Union EI 1274</b>	Cuddeback Elementary School	8/31/2017	\$10.00	\$10.00
<i>Cuddeback Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$10.00</i>	<i>\$10.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Cupertino Union</b>				
496	District Offices	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Abraham Lincoln Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Altimira Middle	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Blue Hills Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	C. B. Eaton Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Chester W. Nimitz Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Christa McAuliffe Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	D. J. Sedgwick Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Dwight D. Eisenhower Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Garden Gate Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Joaquin Miller Middle	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	John F. Kennedy Middle	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	John Muir Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Louis E. Stocklmeir Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Manuel De Vargas Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Montclair Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Murdock-Portal Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Nelson S. Dilworth Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	R. I. Meyerholz Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sam H. Lawson Middle	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Stevens Creek Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Warren E. Hyde Middle	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	West Valley Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	William Faria Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	William Regnart Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Cupertino Union Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Cutten Elementary</b>				
1491	Cutten Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ridgewood Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Cutten Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Da Vinci Charter Academy</b>				
1392	Da Vinci Charter Academy	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Da Vinci Charter Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Davis Joint Unified</b>				
1389	Ralph Waldo Emerson Junior High	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Davis Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Del Norte County Office of Education</b>				
901	Del Norte County Office of Education	11/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Educational Resource Center (ERC)	2/1/2016	\$5,241.00	\$5,241.00
<i>Del Norte County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$5,241.00</i>	<i>\$5,241.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Del Norte County Unified</b>				
906	Del Norte High	12/31/2017	\$510,000.00	\$510,000.00
<i>Del Norte County Unified Total</i>			<i>\$510,000.00</i>	<i>\$510,000.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Delano Joint Union High</b>				
771	Delano High	12/22/2017	\$58,658.00	\$0.00
<i>Delano Joint Union High Total</i>			<i>\$58,658.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Dinuba Unified</b>				
1126	Jefferson Elementary	8/31/2016	\$38,601.00	\$38,601.00
	Lincoln Elementary	8/31/2016	\$21,537.00	\$21,537.00
	Roosevelt Elementary	8/31/2016	\$49,041.75	\$49,041.75
	Wilson Elementary	8/31/2016	\$55,550.25	\$55,550.25

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Dinuba Unified</b>	1126	Dinuba Adult	8/31/2016	\$24,293.00	\$24,969.75
		Dinuba High	8/31/2016	\$512,109.75	\$512,109.75
		District Office, Maintenance and Bus Barn	8/31/2016	\$18,216.00	\$18,216.00
		Grand View Elementary	8/31/2016	\$26,097.00	\$26,097.00
		John F. Kennedy Elementary	8/31/2016	\$79,509.00	\$79,509.00
		Washington Intermediate	8/31/2016	\$191,007.00	\$191,007.00
<i>Dinuba Unified Total</i>				<i>\$1,015,961.75</i>	<i>\$1,016,638.50</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Dixie Elementary</b>	57	District Office	6/20/2020	\$96,270.50	\$97,677.12
<i>Dixie Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$96,270.50</i>	<i>\$97,677.12</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Downtown Value</b>	904	Downtown Value	6/30/2020	\$35,165.00	\$35,165.00
<i>Downtown Value Total</i>				<i>\$35,165.00</i>	<i>\$35,165.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Dublin Unified</b>	427	District Office	12/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Dublin Elementary	12/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Dublin High	12/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Eleanor Murray Fallon	12/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Frederiksen Elementary	12/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Harold William Kolb	12/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		James Dougherty Elementary	12/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		John Green Elementary	12/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Murray Elementary	12/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Valley High (Continuation)	12/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Wells Middle	12/1/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Dublin Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Dunlap Leadership Academy</b>	1287	Dunlap Leadership Academy	8/3/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Dunlap Leadership Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>East Oakland Leadership Academy</b>	63	East Oakland Leadership Academy	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>East Oakland Leadership Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>East Side Union High</b>	1157	Andrew P. Hill High	10/28/2016	\$487,411.41	\$487,411.41
		Calero High	6/8/2016	\$671,073.26	\$671,073.26
		Evergreen Valley High	10/28/2016	\$1,753,080.25	\$1,753,080.25
		Foothill High	10/28/2016	\$264,548.36	\$264,548.36
		Independence High	10/28/2016	\$435,821.09	\$435,821.09
		Mt. Pleasant High	10/28/2016	\$247,198.23	\$247,198.23
		Oak Grove High	10/28/2016	\$203,285.67	\$203,285.67
		Overfelt Adult	6/8/2016	\$551,081.81	\$551,081.81
		William C. Overfelt High	10/28/2016	\$185,687.13	\$185,687.13
		Yerba Buena High	10/28/2016	\$125,947.85	\$125,947.85
<i>East Side Union High Total</i>				<i>\$4,925,135.06</i>	<i>\$4,925,135.06</i>
<hr/>					
<b>EDUHSD Virtual Academy at Shenandoah</b>	753	EDUHSD Virtual Academy at Shenandoah	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>EDUHSD Virtual Academy at Shenandoah Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>El Centro Elementary</b>	1281	District Office	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lincoln Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		McKinley Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Washington Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		De Anza Magnet	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Desert Garden Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>El Centro Elementar 1281</b>	Harding Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Kennedy Middle	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Margaret Hedrick Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Martin Luther King Jr. Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sunflower Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Transportation Building	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Wilson Jr. High	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>El Centro Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>El Dorado Union High</b>				
751	District Office	12/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	El Dorado High	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Independence Continuation	12/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Oak Ridge High	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ponderosa High	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Union Mine High	8/6/2015	\$48,637.25	\$48,637.25
<i>El Dorado Union High Total</i>			<i>\$48,637.25</i>	<i>\$48,637.25</i>
<hr/>				
<b>El Nido Elementary</b>				
1191	El Nido Elementary	9/7/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>El Nido Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Eleanor Roosevelt Community Learning Center</b>				
818	Eleanor Roosevelt Community Learning Center	8/3/2018	\$101,812.86	\$101,812.86
<i>Eleanor Roosevelt Community Learning Center Total</i>			<i>\$101,812.86</i>	<i>\$101,812.86</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Elise P. Buckingham Charter Magnet High</b>				
1242	Elise P. Buckingham Charter Magnet High	6/30/2020	\$10,184.00	\$10,184.00
<i>Elise P. Buckingham Charter Magnet High Total</i>			<i>\$10,184.00</i>	<i>\$10,184.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Encinitas Union Elementary</b>				
1304	El Camino Creek Elementary	8/16/2016	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,140,743.00
<i>Encinitas Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$1,000,000.00</i>	<i>\$1,140,743.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Encore Jr./Sr. High School for the Performing and Visual Arts</b>				
1136	Encore High for the Performing and Visual Arts	4/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Encore Jr./Sr. High School for the Performing and Visual Arts Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Escondido Union School District</b>				
1003	Lincoln Elementary	7/1/2017	\$19,531.00	\$19,531.00
	Farr Avenue Elementary	7/1/2017	\$6,736.00	\$6,736.00
	Glen View Elementary	7/1/2017	\$22,972.00	\$22,972.00
	Juniper Elementary	3/1/2017	\$64,889.00	\$64,889.00
	Mission Middle	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Oak Hill Elementary	7/1/2017	\$11,200.00	\$11,200.00
	Quantum Academy	7/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Reidy Creek Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Rincon Middle	7/1/2017	\$9,987.00	\$9,987.00
	Rose Elementary	4/1/2017	\$14,817.00	\$14,817.00
<i>Escondido Union School District Total</i>			<i>\$150,132.00</i>	<i>\$150,132.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Evergreen</b>				
175	Evergreen Elementary School	4/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Bend Elementary School	4/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Evergreen Middle School	4/30/2018	\$6,800.00	\$6,800.00
<i>Evergreen Total</i>			<i>\$6,800.00</i>	<i>\$6,800.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Evergreen Elementary</b>				
641	Katherine R. Smith Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	O. B. Whaley Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Evergreen Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Fairfax Elementary</b>					
625	Fairfax Jr. High	6/30/2020	\$200,698.08	\$204,298.08	
	Shirley Lane Elementary	6/30/2020	\$82,832.17	\$82,832.17	
	Virginia Avenue Elementary	6/30/2020	\$63,800.50	\$63,800.50	
<i>Fairfax Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$347,330.75</i>	<i>\$350,930.75</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Fairmont Charter Elementary</b>					
1238	Fairmont Charter Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Fairmont Charter Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Fall River Joint Unified</b>					
1236	Burney Elementary	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Fall River Elementary	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Fall River Junior-Senior High	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Fall River Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Fallbrook Union High</b>					
1305	Fallbrook High	1/31/2017	\$20,631.90	\$20,631.90	
<i>Fallbrook Union High Total</i>			<i>\$20,631.90</i>	<i>\$20,631.90</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Farmersville Unified</b>					
919	Freedom Elementary	9/29/2017	\$10,833.26	\$10,833.26	
	Deep Creek Academy	9/29/2017	\$716.99	\$716.99	
	Farmersville High	9/29/2017	\$2,875.81	\$2,875.81	
	Farmersville Junior High	9/29/2017	\$6,205.78	\$6,205.78	
	George L. Snowden Elementary	9/29/2017	\$1,142.74	\$1,142.74	
	J. E. Hester Elementary	9/29/2017	\$5,170.09	\$5,170.09	
<i>Farmersville Unified Total</i>			<i>\$26,944.67</i>	<i>\$26,944.67</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Ferndale Unified</b>					
853	Ferndale Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00		
	Ferndale High	8/31/2017	\$0.00		
<i>Ferndale Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>		
<hr/>					
<b>Fillmore Unified</b>					
976	District Office	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Fillmore Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Fillmore Senior High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Mountain Vista	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Piru Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	San Cayetano Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Fillmore Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Firebaugh-Las Deltas Unified</b>					
405	Arthur E. Mills Intermediate	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	El Puente High	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Firebaugh High	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Firebaugh Middle	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Hazel M. Bailey Primary	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Firebaugh-Las Deltas Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Fontana Unified</b>					
510	Sequoia Middle	11/30/2016	\$687,217.74	\$687,217.74	
	Live Oak Elementary	11/30/2017	\$0.00		
	Almeria Middle	11/30/2017	\$0.00		
	Fontana High	11/30/2016	\$2,530,566.12	\$2,530,566.12	
	Fontana Middle	11/30/2017	\$0.00		
	Harry S. Truman Middle	11/30/2017	\$0.00		
	Oak Park Elementary	11/30/2016	\$495,047.29	\$495,047.29	
	Shadow Hills Elementary	11/30/2016	\$486,344.73	\$486,344.73	
	Virginia Primrose Elementary	11/30/2017	\$0.00		
	Wayne Ruble Middle	11/30/2017	\$0.00		

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Fontana Unified Total</i>			\$4,199,175.88	\$4,199,175.88
<b>Forest Charter</b>				
754	Forest Charter	6/1/2020	\$4,360.00	\$0.00
<i>Forest Charter Total</i>			\$4,360.00	\$0.00
<b>Foresthill Union Elementary</b>				
1256	Foresthill Divide	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Foresthill Union Elementary Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Forestville Academy</b>				
895	Forestville Academy	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Forestville Academy Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Forestville Union Elementary</b>				
924	Forestville Elementary	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Forestville Union Elementary Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Fort Sage Unified</b>				
978	Fort Sage Middle	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Herlong High	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sierra Primary	9/22/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Fort Sage Unified Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Fortuna Elementary</b>				
1498	Fortuna Middle	5/12/2016	\$567.91	\$567.91
	Norman G. Ambrosini Elementary	6/30/2020	\$5,307.12	\$5,307.12
	South Fortuna Elementary	6/30/2020	\$14,161.50	\$14,161.50
	Toddy Thomas Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Fortuna Elementary Total</i>			\$20,036.53	\$20,036.53
<b>Fortuna Union High</b>				
1414	Fortuna Union High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Fortuna Union High Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Franklin Elementary</b>				
970	Franklin Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Franklin Elementary Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Franklin-McKinley Elementary</b>				
700	District Office	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Franklin Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	McKinley Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Captain Jason M. Dahl Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Lairon College Preparatory Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Los Arboles Literacy and Technology Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Ramblewood Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Shirakawa (George, Sr.) Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Stonegate Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Sylvandale Middle	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
	Windmill Springs Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
<i>Franklin-McKinley Elementary Total</i>			\$0.00	
<b>Fremont Unified</b>				
1432	John F. Kennedy High	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	American High	11/15/2018	\$733,891.00	\$733,891.00
	Mission San Jose High	6/30/2020	\$210,448.65	\$210,448.65
<i>Fremont Unified Total</i>			\$944,339.65	\$944,339.65
<b>Freshwater Charter Middle</b>				
1295	Freshwater Charter Middle	12/1/2019	\$0.00	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Freshwater Charter Middle Total</i>					\$0.00
<hr/>					
<b>Freshwater Elementary</b>					
674	Freshwater Elementary	12/1/2019			\$0.00
<i>Freshwater Elementary Total</i>					\$0.00
<hr/>					
<b>Fullerton Elementary</b>					
983	District Office	1/27/2017	\$2,755.18	\$2,755.18	
	Acacia Elementary	1/27/2017	\$329.48	\$329.48	
	Beechwood Elementary	1/27/2017	\$8,955.11	\$8,955.11	
	Commonwealth Elementary	1/27/2017	\$7,541.49	\$7,541.49	
	D. Russell Parks Junior High	3/27/2017	\$176,959.90	\$276,987.80	
	Fern Drive Elementary	1/27/2017	\$329.48	\$329.48	
	Golden Hill Elementary	1/27/2017	\$11,541.60	\$11,541.60	
	Hermosa Drive Elementary	1/27/2017	\$6,587.71	\$6,587.71	
	Ladera Vista Junior High	1/27/2017	\$9,273.78	\$9,273.78	
	Laguna Road Elementary	1/27/2017	\$7,497.67	\$7,497.67	
	Maple Elementary	1/27/2017	\$6,493.14	\$6,493.14	
	Nicolas Junior High	1/27/2017	\$8,303.55	\$8,303.55	
	Nutrition Center	1/27/2017	\$1,330.13	\$1,330.13	
	Orangethorpe Elementary	1/27/2017	\$329.48	\$329.48	
	Pacific Drive Elementary	1/27/2017	\$329.48	\$329.48	
	Raymond Elementary	1/27/2017	\$329.48	\$329.48	
	Richman Elementary	1/27/2017	\$329.48	\$329.48	
	Robert C. Fislser Elementary	1/27/2017	\$13,211.20	\$13,211.20	
	Rolling Hills Elementary	1/27/2017	\$7,085.47	\$7,085.47	
	Sunset Lane Elementary	1/27/2017	\$7,891.68	\$7,891.68	
	Valencia Park Elementary	1/27/2017	\$329.48	\$329.48	
	Woodcrest Elementary	1/27/2017	\$11,297.39	\$11,297.39	
<i>Fullerton Elementary Total</i>			\$289,031.36	\$389,059.26	
<hr/>					
<b>Fullerton Joint Union High</b>					
726	Education Center	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Buena Park High	9/29/2017	\$22,976.00	\$22,976.00	
	District Service Center	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	District Transportation Center	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Fullerton Union High	9/29/2017	\$9,689.00	\$9,689.00	
	La Habra High	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	La Sierra High (Alternative)	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Sonora High	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Sunny Hills High	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Troy High	9/29/2017	\$55,049.00	\$55,049.00	
<i>Fullerton Joint Union High Total</i>			\$87,714.00	\$87,714.00	
<hr/>					
<b>Garvey Elementary</b>					
699	Bitely (Arlene) Elementary	6/30/2020	\$19,554.00	\$19,554.00	
	Dewey Avenue Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00		
	District Office/Maintenance & Operations	6/30/2020	\$0.00		
	Emerson (Ralph Waldo) Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00		
	Garvey (Richard) Intermediate	6/30/2020	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	
	Hillcrest Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00		
	Monterey Vista Elementary	6/30/2020	\$4,689.00	\$4,689.00	
	Rice (Eldridge) Elementary	6/30/2020	\$2,606.00	\$2,606.00	
	Sanchez (George I.) Elementary	6/30/2020	\$7,365.00	\$7,365.00	
	Temple (Roger W.) Intermediate	6/30/2020	\$14,177.00	\$14,177.00	
	Transportation/Warehouse	6/30/2020	\$0.00		
	Willard (Frances E.) Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00		
<i>Garvey Elementary Total</i>			\$53,391.00	\$53,391.00	
<hr/>					
<b>Gateway High</b>					
891	Gateway High School	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Gateway High Total</i>			\$0.00	\$0.00	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Gazelle Union Elementary</b>					
663	Gazelle Union Elementary School District	1/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Gazelle Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Gerber Union Elementary</b>					
737	Gerber Elementary	8/31/2017	\$66,425.00	\$67,575.00	
<i>Gerber Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$66,425.00</i>	<i>\$67,575.00</i>	
<b>Gilroy Prep School (Navigators School)</b>					
1218	Gilroy Prep School (Navigators School)	8/12/2016	\$107,604.91	\$107,604.91	
<i>Gilroy Prep School (Navigators School) Total</i>			<i>\$107,604.91</i>	<i>\$107,604.91</i>	
<b>Glenn County Office of Education</b>					
1087	Glenn County Office of Education Building	6/30/2017	\$10,881.00	\$10,881.00	
	Success One!	6/30/2017	\$10,881.00	\$10,881.00	
<i>Glenn County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$21,762.00</i>	<i>\$21,762.00</i>	
<b>Gold Oak Union Elementary</b>					
1246	Gold Oak Elementary	6/30/2020	\$8,943.00	\$8,943.00	
	Pleasant Valley Middle	6/30/2020	\$0.00		
<i>Gold Oak Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$8,943.00</i>	<i>\$8,943.00</i>	
<b>Gold Trail Union Elementary</b>					
1247	Gold Trail	9/30/2018	\$810.00	\$810.00	
	Sutters Mill	9/30/2018	\$1,600.00	\$1,600.00	
<i>Gold Trail Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$2,410.00</i>	<i>\$2,410.00</i>	
<b>Golden Feather Union Elementary</b>					
879	Concow Elementary	8/1/2017	\$26,838.00	\$26,838.00	
<i>Golden Feather Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$26,838.00</i>	<i>\$26,838.00</i>	
<b>Golden Plains Unified</b>					
520	Cantua Elementary	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Helm Elementary	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	San Joaquin Elementary	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Tranquillity Elementary	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Tranquillity High	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Golden Plains Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Golden Valley Charter School of Sacramento</b>					
886	Golden Valley Charter - Filbert Campus	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Golden Valley Charter - Palisades Campus	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Golden Valley Charter School of Sacramento Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Granada Hills Charter High</b>					
991	Granada Hills Charter High	11/19/2018	\$122,395.00	\$122,395.00	
<i>Granada Hills Charter High Total</i>			<i>\$122,395.00</i>	<i>\$122,395.00</i>	
<b>Grass Valley Charter</b>					
1408	Grass Valley Charter	9/30/2016	\$0.00		
<i>Grass Valley Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>		
<b>Grass Valley Elementary</b>					
1275	Bell Hill Academy	12/16/2016	\$0.00		
	Lyman Gilmore Middle	12/16/2016	\$0.00		
	Margaret G. Scotten Elementary	12/16/2016	\$0.00		
<i>Grass Valley Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>		
<b>Green Point Elementary</b>					
666	Green Point Elementary	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Green Point Elementary Total</i>				\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Greenfield Union Elementary</b>					
805	Cesar Chavez Elementary	8/31/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Greenfield District Office	8/31/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Mary Chapa Academy	8/24/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Oak Avenue Elementary	8/31/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Transportation & Maintenance Yard	8/31/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Vista Verde Middle	8/31/2017		\$1,214.79	\$1,214.79
<i>Greenfield Union Elementary Total</i>				\$1,214.79	\$1,214.79
<b>Grenada Elementary School</b>					
1319	Grenada Elementary School	8/29/2016		\$108,000.00	
<i>Grenada Elementary School Total</i>				\$108,000.00	
<b>Gridley Unified</b>					
1424	Wilson Elementary	12/1/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Gridley High	12/1/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Gridley Unified Total</i>				\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Grossmont Union High</b>					
534	Chaparral High	6/30/2019		\$7,113.79	\$9,378.79
	El Cajon Valley High	6/30/2019		\$103,353.04	\$148,913.04
	El Capitan High	6/30/2019		\$194,549.11	\$300,724.11
	Granite Hills High	6/30/2019		\$89,698.69	\$113,678.69
	Grossmont High	6/30/2019		\$183,234.87	\$264,947.87
	Monte Vista High	6/30/2019		\$162,781.38	\$250,551.38
	Mount Miguel High	6/30/2019		\$103,700.58	\$145,387.58
	Santana High	6/30/2019		\$111,590.96	\$170,378.96
	Valhalla High	6/30/2019		\$45,090.15	\$74,500.15
	West Hills High	6/30/2019		\$69,489.28	\$84,894.88
<i>Grossmont Union High Total</i>				\$1,070,601.85	\$1,563,355.45
<b>Guajome Learning Center</b>					
834	Guajome Learning Center	12/31/2018		\$20,561.96	\$20,561.96
<i>Guajome Learning Center Total</i>				\$20,561.96	\$20,561.96
<b>Hanford Joint Union High</b>					
1380	Hanford High	8/30/2016		\$11,240.97	\$11,240.97
<i>Hanford Joint Union High Total</i>				\$11,240.97	\$11,240.97
<b>Harvest Ridge Coop Charter</b>					
1149	Harvest Ridge Cooperative Charter/Placer Academy	8/31/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Harvest Ridge Coop Charter Total</i>				\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Helix High</b>					
1571	Helix High	8/1/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Helix High Total</i>				\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>Hemet Unified</b>					
888	District Office	12/1/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ramona Elementary	12/1/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Nutrition Center	12/1/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Acacia Middle	12/1/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Alessandro High	12/1/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Bautista Creek Elementary	12/1/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Cawston Elementary	12/1/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	College Prep High	12/1/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Cottonwood Elementary	12/1/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Dartmouth Middle	12/1/2017		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Diamond Valley Middle	12/1/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Fruitvale Elementary	12/1/2016		\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Hemet Unified</b>	888	Hamilton High	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Harmony Elementary	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hemet High	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hemet State Preschool	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Idyllwild	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Jacob Wiens Elementary	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Little Lake Elementary	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		McSweeny Elementary	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Rancho Viejo Middle	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Tahquitz High	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Valle Vista Elementary	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		West Valley High	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Whittier Elementary	12/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Winchester Elementary	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Hemet Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Heritage Digital Academy Charter Middle</b>	1030	Heritage Digital Academy Charter Middle	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Heritage Digital Academy Charter Middle Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Hickman Community Charter</b>	1343	Hickman Charter	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Hickman Community Charter Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>High Tech Elementary Chula Vista</b>	917	High Tech Elementary Chula Vista	6/30/2020	\$83,679.00	\$83,679.00
<i>High Tech Elementary Chula Vista Total</i>				<i>\$83,679.00</i>	<i>\$83,679.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>High Tech Elementary North County</b>	1374	High Tech Elementary North County	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>High Tech Elementary North County Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>High Tech High Chula Vista</b>	915	High Tech High Chula Vista	6/30/2020	\$88,354.00	\$88,354.00
<i>High Tech High Chula Vista Total</i>				<i>\$88,354.00</i>	<i>\$88,354.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>High Tech High North County</b>	1098	High Tech High North County	6/30/2020	\$10,132.00	\$10,132.00
<i>High Tech High North County Total</i>				<i>\$10,132.00</i>	<i>\$10,132.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>High Tech Middle Chula Vista</b>	916	High Tech Middle Chula Vista	6/30/2020	\$86,869.00	\$86,869.00
<i>High Tech Middle Chula Vista Total</i>				<i>\$86,869.00</i>	<i>\$86,869.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>High Tech Middle North County</b>	1099	High Tech Middle North County	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>High Tech Middle North County Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Hilmar Unified</b>	1105	Elim Elementary	8/24/2016	\$143,863.00	\$143,863.00
		Hilmar High	8/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hilmar Middle	8/24/2016	\$78,221.00	\$78,221.00
		Irwin High (Continuation)	8/24/2016	\$9,354.00	\$9,354.00
		Merquin Elementary	8/24/2016	\$6,068.00	\$6,068.00
<i>Hilmar Unified Total</i>				<i>\$237,506.00</i>	<i>\$237,506.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Hollister</b>	956	Calaveras Elementary	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Cerra Vista Elementary	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		District Office & Maintenance Building	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Gabilan Hills	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Ladd Lane Elementary	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Hollister</b>	956	Maze Middle	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		R. O. Hardin Elementary	3/2/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Rancho San Justo	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sunnyslope Elementary	1/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Hollister Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Hope Elementary</b>	954	Monte Vista Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hope Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Vieja Valley Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Hope Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Humboldt County Office of Education</b>					
	1147	Eureka Community	5/31/2017	\$0.00	
		Franklin Site	11/26/2016	\$0.00	
		Glen Paul	5/31/2017	\$0.00	
<i>Humboldt County Office of Education Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Humphreys College Academy of Business, Law and Education</b>					
	1543	Humphreys College Academy of Business, Law and Educatio	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Humphreys College Academy of Business, Law and Education Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Hydesville Elementary</b>					
	757	Hydesville Elementary	9/5/2016	\$36,314.00	\$36,314.00
<i>Hydesville Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$36,314.00</i>	<i>\$36,314.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Igo, Ono, Platina Union Elementary</b>					
	1425	Igo-Ono Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Igo, Ono, Platina Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Imperial Beach Charter</b>					
	1460	Imperial Beach Charter	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Imperial Beach Charter Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Imperial Valley Home School Academy</b>					
	1355	Imperial Valley Home School Academy	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Imperial Valley Home School Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Indian Springs Elementary</b>					
	627	Indian Springs Elementary	6/30/2018	\$9,408.00	\$9,408.00
<i>Indian Springs Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$9,408.00</i>	<i>\$9,408.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Innovative Horizons Charter</b>					
	741	Innovative Horizons Charter	10/14/2016	\$58,688.17	\$58,688.17
<i>Innovative Horizons Charter Total</i>				<i>\$58,688.17</i>	<i>\$58,688.17</i>
<hr/>					
<b>International School of Monterey</b>					
	705	International School of Monterey	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>International School of Monterey Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Irvine Unified</b>					
	1047	District Office	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Canyon View Elementary	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Early Childhood Learning Center	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Plaza Vista	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		South Lake Middle	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Springbrook Elementary	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Turtle Rock Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		University High	9/29/2017	\$1,510,395.00	\$1,510,395.00
		Westpark Elementary	9/29/2017	\$693,075.00	\$1,046,929.84
<i>Irvine Unified Total</i>				<i>\$2,203,470.00</i>	<i>\$2,557,324.84</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Jefferson Elementary</b>					
1241	Anthony C. Traina Elementary	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Monticello Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Tom Hawkins Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Jefferson Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>John Adams Academy</b>					
1532	John Adams Academy	4/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>John Adams Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Johnstonville Elementary</b>					
1233	JESD	6/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Johnstonville Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Julian Union High</b>					
656	Julian High	8/4/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Julian Union High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Junction Elementary</b>					
651	Junction Elementary	1/1/2018	\$0.00		
1512	Junction Elementary	10/6/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Junction Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Kelseyville Unified</b>					
679	Kelseyville Elementary	12/31/2015	\$73,863.60	\$73,863.60	
	Kelseyville High	9/30/2016	\$144,980.00	\$144,980.00	
	Mountain Vista Middle	12/31/2015	\$43,698.40	\$43,698.40	
	Riviera Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00		
<i>Kelseyville Unified Total</i>			<i>\$262,542.00</i>	<i>\$262,542.00</i>	
<b>Kern County Superintendent of schools</b>					
1449	CALM	2/29/2016	\$13,732.78	\$13,732.78	
	Fairgrounds State Preschool	2/29/2016	\$3,636.63	\$3,636.63	
	Kern County Community	7/29/2016	\$11,183.50	\$11,183.50	
	Kern County Community Learning Center	3/31/2016	\$14,225.50	\$14,225.50	
	Larry E. Reider Parking Structure	2/29/2016	\$5,542.72	\$5,542.72	
	Ming Ave Child Development Center	5/31/2016	\$26,116.37	\$26,116.37	
	Sunset Child Care Center	1/29/2016	\$15,369.36	\$15,369.36	
<i>Kern County Superintendent of schools Total</i>			<i>\$89,806.86</i>	<i>\$89,806.86</i>	
<b>Kern High</b>					
490	Arvin High	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	East Bakersfield High	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	North High	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	South High	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Support Services (Maintenance/Operations/Transportation,	4/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Kern High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Kid Street Learning Center Charter</b>					
1161	Kid Street Learning Center Charter	3/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Kid Street Learning Center Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>King-Chavez Academy of Excellence</b>					
1026	King-Chavez Academy of Excellence	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>King-Chavez Academy of Excellence Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>King-Chavez Arts Academy</b>					
1017	King-Chavez Arts Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>King-Chavez Arts Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>King-Chavez Athletics Academy</b>					
1018	King-Chavez Athletics Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>King-Chavez Athletics Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>King-Chavez Preparatory Academy</b>					
1541	King-Chavez Preparatory Academy	6/30/2020	\$17,000.00	\$17,000.00	
<i>King-Chavez Preparatory Academy Total</i>			<i>\$17,000.00</i>	<i>\$17,000.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>King-Chavez Primary Academy</b>					
1021	King-Chavez Primary Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>King-Chavez Primary Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Kings Canyon Joint Unified</b>					
1283	Jefferson Elementary	8/6/2018	\$0.00		
	Lincoln Elementary	8/3/2018	\$0.00		
	A. L. Conner Elementary	8/3/2018	\$0.00		
	Alta Elementary	8/3/2018	\$0.00		
	General Grant Middle	8/3/2018	\$0.00		
	KC KIDS Pre-School	8/3/2018	\$0.00		
	Kings Canyon Continuation	8/3/2018	\$0.00		
	Navelencia Middle	8/3/2018	\$0.00		
	Reedley High	8/3/2018	\$0.00		
	Sheridan Elementary	8/3/2018	\$0.00		
<i>Kings Canyon Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>		
<hr/>					
<b>Kings County Office of Education</b>					
1426	Administration Office - Information System	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Kings County Community	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Kings County Special Education	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Lemoore Service Center	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Kings County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Kingsburg Joint Union High</b>					
399	Kingsburg High	10/31/2016	\$433,716.00	\$1,382,959.02	
<i>Kingsburg Joint Union High Total</i>			<i>\$433,716.00</i>	<i>\$1,382,959.02</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>KIPP Bridge Charter</b>					
920	KIPP Bridge Charter School	7/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>KIPP Bridge Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>KIPP Empower Academy</b>					
1262	KIPP Empower Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>KIPP Empower Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>KIPP Los Angeles College Preparatory</b>					
1268	KIPP Los Angeles College Preparatory	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>KIPP Los Angeles College Preparatory Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>KIPP Raices Academy</b>					
1266	KIPP Raices Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>KIPP Raices Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>KIPP San Francisco Bay Academy</b>					
1020	KIPP San Francisco Bay Academy	2/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>KIPP San Francisco Bay Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Kirkwood Elementary</b>					
1404	Kirkwood Elementary	6/30/2017	\$6,977.62	\$6,977.62	
<i>Kirkwood Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$6,977.62</i>	<i>\$6,977.62</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Kneeland Elementary</b>					

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Kneeland Elementary 1111</b>	Kneeland Elementary	12/1/2019	\$1,336.00	\$1,336.00
<i>Kneeland Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$1,336.00</i>	<i>\$1,336.00</i>
<b>Knights Ferry Elementary 1145</b>	Knights Ferry Elementary	2/24/2017	\$46,241.55	\$46,241.55
<i>Knights Ferry Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$46,241.55</i>	<i>\$46,241.55</i>
<b>Knightsen Elementary 900</b>	Knightsen Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Knightsen Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>La Habra City School District 1471</b>	Walnut Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>La Habra City School District Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Lafayette Elementary 566</b>	Happy Valley Elementary	5/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Burton Valley Elementary	5/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lafayette Elementary	5/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	M. H. Stanley Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Springhill Elementary	5/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Lafayette Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Laguna Beach Unified 1346</b>	Laguna Beach High	12/28/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Laguna Beach Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Laguna Joint Elementary 535</b>	Laguna Elementary	6/30/2020	\$3,091.93	\$3,091.93
<i>Laguna Joint Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$3,091.93</i>	<i>\$3,091.93</i>
<b>Lakeport Unified School District 855</b>	Terrace Middle	12/30/2016	\$31,380.00	\$104,600.00
<i>Lakeport Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$31,380.00</i>	<i>\$104,600.00</i>
<b>Lakeside Joint 1059</b>	Lakeside Elementary	2/28/2018	\$57,983.10	\$57,983.35
<i>Lakeside Joint Total</i>			<i>\$57,983.10</i>	<i>\$57,983.35</i>
<b>Lakeside Union 1051</b>	Donald E. Suburu	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lakeside	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Lakeside Union Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Lammersville Joint Unified 1174</b>	Bethany Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lammersville Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sebastian Questa Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Wicklund Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Lammersville Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Larkspur-Corte Madera 202</b>	Hall Middle	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Neil Cummins Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Larkspur-Corte Madera Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<b>Lassen County Office of Education 1125</b>	District Office	6/30/2017	\$8,900.00	\$8,900.00
<i>Lassen County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$8,900.00</i>	<i>\$8,900.00</i>
<b>Latino College Preparatory Academy</b>				

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Latino College Prep</b>	611	Latino College Preparatory Academy	12/1/2016	\$57,801.00	\$57,801.00
<i>Latino College Preparatory Academy Total</i>				<i>\$57,801.00</i>	<i>\$57,801.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Laton Joint Unified</b>					
	928	Laton Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Laton High	9/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Laton Joint Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Latrobe</b>					
	1244	Latrobe Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Millers Hill	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Latrobe Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Lawndale Elementary</b>					
	589	Jane Addams Middle	12/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Will Rogers Middle	12/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		William Anderson Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Lawndale Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Lazear Charter Academy</b>					
	1465	Lazear Charter Academy	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Lazear Charter Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Le Grand Union Elementary</b>					
	969	Le Grand Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Le Grand Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Le Grand Union High</b>					
	987	Le Grand High	8/8/2018	\$222,227.45	\$222,227.45
<i>Le Grand Union High Total</i>				<i>\$222,227.45</i>	<i>\$222,227.45</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Learning Without Limits</b>					
	1462	Learning Without Limits	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Learning Without Limits Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Leggett Valley Unified</b>					
	995	Leggett Valley Elementary	8/31/2017	\$50,514.25	\$50,514.25
<i>Leggett Valley Unified Total</i>				<i>\$50,514.25</i>	<i>\$50,514.25</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Lemoore Union Elementary</b>					
	712	Meadow Lane Elementary	8/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Cinnamon Elementary	8/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lemoore Elementary	8/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Liberty Middle	8/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		P. W. Engvall Elementary	8/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Lemoore Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Liberty Union High</b>					
	1189	Freedom High	11/1/2016	\$687,820.00	\$687,820.00
		Heritage High	11/1/2016	\$20,862.00	\$20,862.00
<i>Liberty Union High Total</i>				<i>\$708,682.00</i>	<i>\$708,682.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Life Source International Charter</b>					
	861	Life Source International Charter	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Life Source International Charter Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Lighthouse Community Charter High</b>					
	1539	Lighthouse Community Charter High	11/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Lighthouse Community Charter High Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Lincoln Elementary</b>					

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Lincoln Elementary</b>	536	Lincoln Elementary	6/30/2020	\$795.64	\$795.64
<i>Lincoln Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$795.64</i>	<i>\$795.64</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Livingston Union</b>					
	784	Campus Park Elementary	5/9/2018	\$305,742.50	\$305,742.50
		District Office / MOT Bus Barn	5/8/2018	\$2,793.28	\$2,793.28
		Livingston Middle	5/9/2018	\$97.65	\$97.65
		Selma Herndon Elementary	5/18/2018	\$4,950.72	\$4,950.72
		Yamato Colony Elementary	5/9/2018	\$136.59	\$136.59
<i>Livingston Union Total</i>				<i>\$313,720.74</i>	<i>\$313,720.74</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Loleta Union Elementary</b>					
	1308	Loleta Elementary School	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Loleta Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Long Beach Unified</b>					
	1009	Fremont Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lincoln Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Longfellow Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Madison Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		McKinley Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Polytechnic High	1/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Roosevelt Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Carver Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Birney Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		King Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Whittier Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lafayette Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Addams Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Alvarado Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Avalon K-12	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Bancroft Middle	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Barton Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Beach High-Intensive Learning Program	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Bixby Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Bobbie Smith Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Bryant Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Burbank Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Burcham Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Cabrillo High	1/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Chavez Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Cleveland Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Cubberley K-8	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Dooley Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Edison Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Emerson Parkside Academy	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Ernest S. McBride, Sr. High	1/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Franklin Classical Middle	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Gant Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Garfield Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Gompers K-8	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Grant Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hamilton Middle	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Harte Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Henry	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hill Classical Middle	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Holmes Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hoover Middle	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hudson K-8	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hughes Middle	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		International Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Jefferson Leadership Academies	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

1009	Jessie Nelson Academy	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Jordan High	1/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Kettering Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lakewood High	1/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lee Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lindbergh STEM Academy	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lindsey Academy	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Los Cerritos Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Lowell Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	MacArthur Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Mann Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Marshall Academy of the Arts	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Millikan High	1/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Muir K-8	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Naples Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Powell Academy for Success	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Prisk Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Reid High	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Renaissance High School for the Arts	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Riley Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Robinson Academy	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Rogers Middle	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Signal Hill Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Stanford Middle	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Stephens Middle	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Stevenson Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Tincher Preparatory	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Twain Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Washington Middle	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Webster Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Willard Elementary	6/1/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Wilson High	1/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Long Beach Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Los Banos Unified</b>				
1080	Pacheco High	8/31/2016	\$1,963,848.00	\$2,069,000.00
<i>Los Banos Unified Total</i>			<i>\$1,963,848.00</i>	<i>\$2,069,000.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Los Nietos</b>				
756	District Office	9/17/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ada S. Nelson Elementary	9/17/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Aeolian Elementary	9/17/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Los Nietos Middle	9/17/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Rancho Santa Gertrudes Elementary	9/17/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Los Nietos Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Lowell Joint</b>				
654	El Portal Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	
	Jordan Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	
	Macy Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	
	Meadow Green Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	
	Olita Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	
	Rancho-Starbuck Intermediate	8/1/2017	\$0.00	
<i>Lowell Joint Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Madera County Independent Academy</b>				
1166	Madera County Independent Academy	8/8/2018	\$9,866.54	\$9,866.54
<i>Madera County Independent Academy Total</i>			<i>\$9,866.54</i>	<i>\$9,866.54</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Madera Unified</b>				
1204	Lincoln Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Martin Luther King Jr. Middle	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Madera Unified</b>	1204	George Washington Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Cesar Chavez Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sierra Vista Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Alpha Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Berenda Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		District Office, Maintenance, Transportation	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Dixieland Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Eastin Arcola	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Furman (Duane E.) High (Independent Study Program)	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Howard Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Jack G. Desmond Middle	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		James Madison Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		James Monroe Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		John Adams Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		John J. Pershing Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		La Vina Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Madera High	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Madera South High	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Millview Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Nishimoto Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Parkwood Elementary	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Ripperdan Community Day	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Thomas Jefferson Middle	3/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Madera Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>

<b>Manhattan Beach USD</b>	178	District Office	10/1/2016	\$75,361.14	\$75,361.14
		Grand View Elementary School	1/25/2016	\$239,480.34	\$239,480.34
		Manhattan Beach MS	8/10/2016	\$482,653.01	\$482,653.01
		Manhattan Beach Preschool	7/21/2016	\$116,932.42	\$116,932.42
		Meadows Ave Elementary School	1/14/2016	\$158,459.49	\$158,459.49
		Mira Costa HS	10/1/2016	\$227,876.00	\$227,876.00
		Pacific Elementary School	6/1/2016	\$194,311.64	\$194,311.64
		Pennekamp Elementary School	8/5/2016	\$153,921.84	\$153,921.84
		Robinson Elementary School	6/28/2016	\$147,606.04	\$147,606.04
<i>Manhattan Beach USD Total</i>				<i>\$1,796,601.92</i>	<i>\$1,796,601.92</i>

<b>Manteca Unified</b>	770	Lincoln Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sequoia Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Shasta Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		August Knodt Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Brock Elliott Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Calla High	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		East Union High	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		French Camp Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		George McParland Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		George Y. Komure Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Golden West Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Great Valley Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Joseph Widmer, Jr., Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Joshua Cowell Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lathrop Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lathrop High	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Manteca District Office	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Manteca High	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mossdale Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Neil Hafley Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		New Haven Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		New Vision High	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Nile Garden Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sierra High	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Manteca Unified</b>	770	Stella Brockman Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Veritas Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Walter Woodward Elementary	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Weston Ranch High	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Manteca Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Manteca Unified Vocational Academy</b>	787	Manteca Vocational Academy	(blank)	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Manteca Unified Vocational Academy Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Manzanita Elementary</b>	790	Manzanita Elementary	8/13/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Manzanita Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Mariposa County Office of Education</b>	1177	Mariposa C. O. E.	10/1/2016	\$22,997.00	\$22,997.00
		Monarch Academy	10/1/2016	\$8,284.00	\$8,283.00
<i>Mariposa County Office of Education Total</i>				<i>\$31,281.00</i>	<i>\$31,280.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Mariposa County Unified</b>	1178	Mariposa Elementary	10/1/2016	\$35,314.00	\$35,314.00
		Coulterville High	10/1/2016	\$13,713.00	\$13,713.00
		Lake Don Pedro Elementary	10/1/2016	\$25,090.00	\$25,090.00
		Mariposa County High	10/1/2016	\$84,645.00	\$84,645.00
		Sierra Home	10/1/2016	\$34,890.00	\$34,890.00
		Woodland Elementary	10/1/2016	\$41,868.00	\$41,868.00
		Yosemite National Park El Portal	10/1/2016	\$20,569.00	\$20,569.00
		Yosemite National Park Valley Elementary	10/1/2016	\$16,019.00	\$16,019.00
<i>Mariposa County Unified Total</i>				<i>\$272,108.00</i>	<i>\$272,108.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Mark Twain Union Elementary</b>	942	Mark Twain Elementary	11/25/2016	\$192,827.00	\$192,827.00
		Copperopolis Elementary	11/25/2016	\$40,442.00	\$40,442.00
<i>Mark Twain Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$233,269.00</i>	<i>\$233,269.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Mary Collins Charter School at Cherry Valley</b>	1028	Mary Collins Charter School at Cherry Valley	11/1/2016	\$151,924.54	\$151,924.54
<i>Mary Collins Charter School at Cherry Valley Total</i>				<i>\$151,924.54</i>	<i>\$151,924.54</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Marysville Charter Academy for the Arts</b>	1067	Marysville Charter Academy for the Arts	6/30/2017	\$68,411.63	\$68,411.63
<i>Marysville Charter Academy for the Arts Total</i>				<i>\$68,411.63</i>	<i>\$68,411.63</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Marysville Joint Unified</b>	1341	Anna McKenney Intermediate	9/30/2016	\$2,698.10	\$2,698.10
		Arboga Elementary	9/9/2016	\$13,850.03	\$13,850.03
		Browns Valley Elementary	6/30/2017	\$1,828.58	\$1,828.58
		Cedar Lane Elementary	9/1/2016	\$13,850.03	\$13,850.03
		Covillaud Elementary	9/30/2016	\$10,792.40	\$10,792.40
		Dobbins Elementary	6/30/2017	\$3,657.15	\$3,657.15
		Ella Elementary	6/30/2017	\$5,485.73	\$5,485.73
		Linda Elementary	6/30/2017	\$462,698.00	\$451,513.32
		Lindhurst High	6/30/2017	\$70,498.27	\$70,498.27
		Loma Rica Elementary	6/30/2017	\$4,876.20	\$4,876.20
		Marysville Community Day	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Marysville High School	6/30/2018	\$3,522.63	\$3,522.63
		Olivehurst Elementary	6/30/2017	\$10,792.40	\$10,792.40
		South Lindhurst Continuation High	8/2/2016	\$4,591.68	\$4,591.68
<i>Marysville Joint Unified Total</i>				<i>\$609,141.20</i>	<i>\$597,956.52</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Maxwell Unified</b>	529	Maxwell Elementary	6/30/2020	\$32,309.00	\$32,309.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Maxwell Unified</b>	529	Maxwell Jr/Sr High	6/30/2020	\$41,880.48	\$41,880.48
<i>Maxwell Unified Total</i>				<i>\$74,189.48</i>	<i>\$74,189.48</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Mendota Unified</b>					
	1487	Mendota High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mendota Junior High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mendota Unified District Office	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Mendota Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Menlo Park Elementary School District</b>					
	512	Encinal Elementary	6/30/2017	\$3,050.00	\$148,783.00
		Hillview Middle	9/30/2017	\$10,250.00	\$19,118.25
		Oak Knoll Elementary	12/31/2017	\$31,674.00	\$41,836.00
<i>Menlo Park Elementary School District Total</i>				<i>\$44,974.00</i>	<i>\$209,737.25</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Merced County Office of Education</b>					
	1405	Floyd A. Schelby	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Merced County Special Education	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Valley Merced Community	6/30/2018	\$15,684.00	\$15,684.00
<i>Merced County Office of Education Total</i>				<i>\$15,684.00</i>	<i>\$15,684.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Merced River Union Elementary</b>					
	1107	Washington Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Merced River Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Merced Scholars Charter</b>					
	1407	Merced Scholars Charter	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Merced Scholars Charter Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Merced Union High</b>					
	615	Atwater High	1/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Buhach Colony High	1/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Golden Valley High	1/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Livingston High	1/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Merced High	1/1/2017	\$488,797.00	\$488,797.00
		Yosemite High (Continuation)	1/1/2017	\$250,120.00	\$250,120.00
<i>Merced Union High Total</i>				<i>\$738,917.00</i>	<i>\$738,917.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Meridian Elementary</b>					
	1016	Meridian Elementary	8/15/2017	\$28,690.00	\$28,690.00
<i>Meridian Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$28,690.00</i>	<i>\$28,690.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Midway Elementary</b>					
	1285	Midway Elementary	8/3/2018	\$85,995.69	\$85,995.69
<i>Midway Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$85,995.69</i>	<i>\$85,995.69</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Millbrae Elementary</b>					
	1058	Meadows Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Spring Valley Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Green Hills Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lomita Park Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Taylor Middle	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Millbrae Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Millville Elementary</b>					
	636	Millville Elementary	1/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Millville Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Modoc County Office of Education</b>					
	1447	Modoc County Office of Education	6/30/2017	\$26,671.66	\$26,639.41
<i>Modoc County Office of Education Total</i>				<i>\$26,671.66</i>	<i>\$26,639.41</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Monarch Learning Center</b>					
929	Monarch Learning Center	7/21/2016	\$32,970.00	\$32,970.00	
<i>Monarch Learning Center Total</i>			<i>\$32,970.00</i>	<i>\$32,970.00</i>	
<b>Monrovia Unified</b>					
1372	Clifton Middle	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Mayflower Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Plymouth Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Santa Fe Middle	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Monrovia Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Montague Charter Academy</b>					
1385	Montague Charter Academy	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Montague Charter Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Monte Rio Union Elementary</b>					
722	Monte Rio Union School District	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Monte Rio Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Montgomery Elementary</b>					
1015	Montgomery Elementary	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Montgomery Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Morrice Schaefer Charter</b>					
1531	Morrice Schaefer Charter	12/31/2017	\$191,661.00	\$191,661.00	
<i>Morrice Schaefer Charter Total</i>			<i>\$191,661.00</i>	<i>\$191,661.00</i>	
<b>Moson-Sultana Joint Union Elementary School District</b>					
72	Monson Sultana Elementary	8/29/2016	\$264,796.00	\$264,796.00	
<i>Moson-Sultana Joint Union Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$264,796.00</i>	<i>\$264,796.00</i>	
<b>Mother Lode Union Elementary</b>					
1387	Herbert C. Green Middle	6/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Indian Creek Elementary	6/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Mother Lode Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Mountain Empire Unified</b>					
959	Mountain Empire High	5/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Potrero Elementary	5/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Mountain Empire Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Mountain Union Elementary</b>					
628	Montgomery Creek Elementary	6/30/2018	\$86,447.46	\$86,447.46	
<i>Mountain Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$86,447.46</i>	<i>\$86,447.46</i>	
<b>Mountain Valley Unified</b>					
438	Hayfork High	6/30/2020	\$0.00		
	Hayfork Valley Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00		
<i>Mountain Valley Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>		
<b>Napa County Office of Education</b>					
796	Napa County Office of Education	11/1/2016	\$3,059.21	\$3,059.21	
	Napa County Opportunity	12/30/2015	\$29,571.00	\$29,571.00	
	Napa Preschool Program	12/30/2015	\$59,676.16	\$59,676.16	
<i>Napa County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$92,306.37</i>	<i>\$92,306.37</i>	
<b>Natomas Charter</b>					
821	Natomas Charter	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Natomas Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Nestor Language Academy Charter</b>					
1464	Nestor Language Academy Charter	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Nestor Language Academy Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>New Designs Charter</b>					
1519	New Designs Charter	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>New Designs Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>New Designs Charter School-Watts</b>					
1520	New Designs Charter School-Watts	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>New Designs Charter School-Watts Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>New Jerusalem Elementary</b>					
1501	New Jerusalem School	8/17/2018	\$0.00		
<i>New Jerusalem Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>		
<hr/>					
<b>Newcastle Charter</b>					
1379	Newcastle Charter	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Newcastle Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Newcastle Elementary School District</b>					
715	Newcastle Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Newcastle Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Nord Country</b>					
590	Nord Country	6/30/2018	\$171,180.00	\$171,180.00	
<i>Nord Country Total</i>			<i>\$171,180.00</i>	<i>\$171,180.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Norris Elementary</b>					
1153	Norris Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Olive Drive Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Veterans Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	William B. Bimat Elementary	9/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Norris Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>North County Joint Union Elementary</b>					
982	Spring Grove Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>North County Joint Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>North Monterey County Unified</b>					
680	Castroville Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Central Bay High (Continuation)	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Echo Valley Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Elkhorn Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Maintenance Operations and Transportation Yard	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	North Monterey County High	8/31/2017	\$34,145.33	\$34,145.33	
	North Monterey County Middle	8/31/2017	\$14,452.68	\$14,452.68	
	Prunedale Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>North Monterey County Unified Total</i>			<i>\$48,598.01</i>	<i>\$48,598.01</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Norton Space and Aeronautics Academy</b>					
1271	Norton Space and Aeronautics Academy	9/20/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Norton Space and Aeronautics Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Norwalk-La Mirada Unified</b>					
677	Cesar Chavez Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	District Office/Maintenance & Operations	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Anna M. Glazier Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Arlie F. Hutchinson Middle	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Arturo Sanchez Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Corvallis Middle	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Norwalk-La Mirada</b>   677	D. D. Johnston Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Earl E. Edmondson Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Eastwood Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	El Camino High (Continuation)	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Escalona Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Foster Road Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Gardenhill Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	John Dolland Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	John Foster Dulles Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	John H. Glenn High	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	John H. Nuffer Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Julia B. Morrison Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	La Mirada High	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	La Pluma Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Loretta Lampton Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Los Alisos Middle	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Los Coyotes Middle	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Nettie L. Waite Middle	1/19/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	New River Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Norwalk High	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Norwalk-La Mirada Adult	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Nutrition	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ramona Head Start/State Preschool	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Reginald M. Benton Middle	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Thomas B. Moffitt Elementary	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Norwalk-La Mirada Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Novato Charter School</b>				
1378	Novato Charter	1/31/2017	\$67,319.00	\$67,319.00
<i>Novato Charter School Total</i>			<i>\$67,319.00</i>	<i>\$67,319.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Novato Unified</b>				
532	District Office	6/30/2020	\$330,336.00	\$340,080.00
	Pleasant Valley Elementary	6/30/2020	\$3,446.18	\$6,791.18
	Hamilton Meadow Park	6/30/2020	\$6,197.09	\$12,244.09
	Loma Verde Elementary	6/30/2020	\$4,076.37	\$8,065.37
	Lu Sutton Elementary	6/30/2020	\$3,911.27	\$7,771.27
	Lynwood Elementary	6/30/2020	\$4,506.55	\$8,881.55
	Marin Oaks High	6/30/2020	\$5,832.00	\$11,493.00
	Novato High	6/30/2020	\$12,259.28	\$24,096.28
	Olive Elementary	6/30/2020	\$3,316.00	\$5,975.00
	Rancho Elementary	6/30/2020	\$3,181.09	\$6,269.09
	San Jose Intermediate	6/30/2020	\$5,566.91	\$10,970.91
	San Marin High	6/30/2020	\$15,532.74	\$28,399.74
	San Ramon Elementary	6/30/2020	\$4,506.55	\$9,338.55
	Sinaloa Middle	6/30/2020	\$7,057.46	\$13,876.46
<i>Novato Unified Total</i>			<i>\$409,725.49</i>	<i>\$494,252.49</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Nuestro Elementary</b>				
930	Nuestro Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Nuestro Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Oak Park Unified</b>				
785	Oak Hills Elementary	3/10/2016	\$20,931.00	\$20,931.00
	Brookside Elementary	3/10/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Medea Creek Middle	3/10/2016	\$48,936.00	\$48,936.00
	Oak Park Unified District Office	3/10/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Red Oak Elementary	3/10/2016	\$25,038.00	\$25,038.00
<i>Oak Park Unified Total</i>			<i>\$94,905.00</i>	<i>\$94,905.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Oakland Unified</b>				
801	Howard Elementary	8/17/2015	\$25,720.00	\$35,438.25

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Oakland Unified</b>	801	Bret Harte Middle	11/30/2016	\$17,564.12	\$17,564.12
		Elmhurst Community Prep	8/18/2017	\$3,885.00	\$3,885.00
		Kaiser Elementary	8/17/2015	\$22,620.00	\$23,300.00
		Madison Park Academy 6-12	9/30/2016	\$151,928.00	\$171,092.59
		Manzanita Community	1/17/2017	\$31,000.00	\$31,000.00
		Montera Middle	8/21/2016	\$47,746.00	\$92,571.19
		Oakland High	8/21/2016	\$306,626.00	\$313,638.15
		Oakland International High	9/30/2016	\$959,367.00	\$2,151,612.42
		Oakland Technical High	12/15/2016	\$458,332.73	\$458,332.73
		Peralta Elementary	8/17/2015	\$29,120.00	\$29,700.00
<i>Oakland Unified Total</i>				<i>\$2,053,908.85</i>	<i>\$3,328,134.45</i>
<hr/>					
<b>OCSA</b>	918	OCSA	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>OCSA Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Olivet Elementary Charter</b>	1402	Olivet Elementary Charter	12/31/2017	\$229,766.00	\$229,766.00
<i>Olivet Elementary Charter Total</i>				<i>\$229,766.00</i>	<i>\$229,766.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>one.Charter</b>	1042	one.Charter	9/19/2016	\$258,663.13	\$258,663.13
<i>one.Charter Total</i>				<i>\$258,663.13</i>	<i>\$258,663.13</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Oscar De La Hoya Animo Charter High</b>	867	Oscar De La Hoya Animo Charter High	12/28/2018	\$2,049.25	\$2,049.25
<i>Oscar De La Hoya Animo Charter High Total</i>				<i>\$2,049.25</i>	<i>\$2,049.25</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Pacific Community Charter</b>	1150	Pacific Community Charter	6/30/2018	\$30,783.00	\$30,783.00
<i>Pacific Community Charter Total</i>				<i>\$30,783.00</i>	<i>\$30,783.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Pacific Elementary</b>	1079	Pacific Elementary	8/24/2016	\$68,168.21	\$99,342.51
<i>Pacific Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$68,168.21</i>	<i>\$99,342.51</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Pacoima Charter Elementary</b>	1088	Pacoima Charter Elementary	8/3/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Pacoima Charter Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Palermo Union School District</b>	51	Golden Hills	9/29/2017	\$42,172.30	\$42,172.30
		Helen Wilcox	9/1/2017	\$95,507.18	\$95,507.18
		Honcut	8/18/2017	\$17,301.00	\$17,301.00
		Palermo	9/1/2017	\$54,755.00	\$54,755.00
<i>Palermo Union School District Total</i>				<i>\$209,735.48</i>	<i>\$209,735.48</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Palo Alto Unified</b>	1356	District Office	12/30/2016	\$28,225.00	\$28,225.00
		Ohlone Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		David Starr Jordan Middle	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Barron Park Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Duveneck Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		El Carmelo Elementary	12/30/2016	\$28,225.00	\$28,225.00
		Escondido Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Fairmeadow Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Greendell	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Henry M. Gunn High	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hoover (Herbert) Elementary	12/30/2016	\$28,225.00	\$28,225.00
		Jane Lathrop Stanford Middle	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Juana Briones Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lucille M. Nixon Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Palo Alto Unified</b>	1356	Palo Alto High	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Palo Verde Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Terman Middle	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Walter Hays Elementary	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Palo Alto Unified Total</i>				<i>\$84,675.00</i>	<i>\$84,675.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified</b>	984	Miraleste Intermediate	9/1/2017	\$4,411.88	\$4,411.88
		Palos Verdes High	9/1/2017	\$21,401.25	\$21,401.25
		Palos Verdes Intermediate	9/1/2017	\$970.50	\$970.50
		Palos Verdes Peninsula High	9/1/2017	\$13,096.20	\$13,096.20
		Ridgecrest Intermediate	9/1/2017	\$1,572.88	\$1,572.88
<i>Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified Total</i>				<i>\$41,452.71</i>	<i>\$41,452.71</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Panama-Buena Vista Union</b>	1104	Ronald Reagan Elementary	12/31/2016	\$1,056,609.00	\$1,056,608.00
		District Office, Special Services and MOT	12/31/2016	\$1,338,918.00	\$1,338,918.00
<i>Panama-Buena Vista Union Total</i>				<i>\$2,395,527.00</i>	<i>\$2,395,526.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Panoche Elementary</b>	709	Panoche Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Panoche Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Paradise Charter</b>	1352	Paradise Charter	8/3/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Paradise Charter Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Paramount Unified</b>	949	Jefferson Elementary	4/20/2018	\$16,588.00	\$16,588.00
		Abraham Lincoln	12/31/2017	\$29,246.00	\$29,246.00
		Alondra Middle	12/31/2017	\$26,610.00	\$26,610.00
		Buena Vista High	7/31/2017	\$53,802.00	\$53,802.00
		Captain Raymond Collins	12/31/2017	\$18,650.00	\$18,650.00
		District Offices plus Maint. & Ops	8/30/2017	\$140,576.00	\$140,576.00
		Frank J. Zamboni	12/31/2017	\$47,465.00	\$47,465.00
		Harry Wirtz Elementary	12/29/2017	\$24,449.00	\$24,449.00
		Hollydale	12/15/2017	\$17,448.00	\$17,448.00
		Howard Tanner	12/31/2017	\$9,341.00	\$9,341.00
		Leona Jackson	12/31/2017	\$11,215.00	\$11,215.00
		Los Cerritos	12/29/2017	\$13,625.00	\$13,625.00
		Major Lynn Mokler	12/29/2017	\$22,935.00	\$22,935.00
		Mark Keppel	12/29/2017	\$15,829.00	\$15,829.00
		Paramount Adult	12/31/2017	\$81,905.00	\$81,905.00
		Paramount High	6/30/2018	\$211,146.00	\$211,146.00
		Paramount Park Middle	12/31/2017	\$15,997.00	\$15,997.00
		Theodore Roosevelt	12/29/2017	\$13,389.00	\$13,389.00
		Wesley Gaines	8/15/2017	\$15,231.00	\$15,231.00
<i>Paramount Unified Total</i>				<i>\$785,447.00</i>	<i>\$785,447.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Peninsula Union</b>	1391	Peninsula Union Elementary	12/31/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Peninsula Union Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Penngrove Elementary</b>	1031	Penngrove Elementary	11/1/2016	\$205,033.35	\$205,033.35
<i>Penngrove Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$205,033.35</i>	<i>\$205,033.35</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Perris Elementary</b>	740	Enchanted Hills Elementary	10/14/2016	\$65,013.56	\$65,013.56
		Good Hope Elementary	10/14/2016	\$48,629.84	\$48,629.84
		Palms Elementary	10/14/2016	\$107,790.32	\$107,790.32
		Park Avenue Elementary	10/14/2016	\$35,475.30	\$35,475.30

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Perris Elementary</b>	740	Perris Elementary	10/14/2016	\$35,475.29	\$35,475.29
		Perris Elementary School District	10/14/2016	\$31,137.09	\$31,137.09
		Railway Elementary	10/14/2016	\$57,376.22	\$57,376.22
		Rob Reiner Children & Families Center	10/14/2016	\$54,647.34	\$54,647.34
		Sky View Elementary	10/14/2016	\$81,866.07	\$81,866.07
<i>Perris Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$517,411.03</i>	<i>\$517,411.03</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Perris Union High</b>	1323	District Office	8/31/2016	\$17,585.96	\$18,600.00
		Heritage High	8/31/2016	\$584,855.00	\$609,484.00
		Maintenance Center	8/31/2016	\$77,145.00	\$78,643.00
		Paloma Valley High	8/31/2016	\$271,303.00	\$278,716.00
		Perris High	8/31/2016	\$815,774.00	\$842,898.00
		Perris Lake High (Continuation)	8/31/2016	\$55,560.00	\$57,782.00
		Pinacate Middle	8/31/2016	\$41,117.00	\$43,672.00
		Student Services	8/31/2016	\$58,447.00	\$59,635.00
		The Academy Community Day	8/31/2016	\$61,815.00	\$64,288.00
<i>Perris Union High Total</i>				<i>\$1,983,601.96</i>	<i>\$2,053,718.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Petaluma City Elementary</b>	1022	Grant Elementary	11/1/2016	\$155,960.73	\$155,960.73
		McDowell Elementary	11/1/2016	\$175,198.18	\$175,198.18
<i>Petaluma City Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$331,158.91</i>	<i>\$331,158.91</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Petaluma Joint Union High</b>	1007	Petaluma High	1/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Petaluma Junior High	11/1/2016	\$350,172.26	\$350,172.26
		San Antonio High (Continuation)	11/1/2016	\$125,758.06	\$125,758.06
<i>Petaluma Joint Union High Total</i>				<i>\$475,930.32</i>	<i>\$475,930.32</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Piedmont City Unified</b>	639	Millennium High Alternative	12/31/2016	\$63,438.10	\$63,438.10
		Piedmont High	9/1/2017	\$48,737.00	\$48,737.00
		Piedmont Middle	12/31/2017	\$1,427.86	\$1,427.86
<i>Piedmont City Unified Total</i>				<i>\$113,602.96</i>	<i>\$113,602.96</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Pierce Joint Unified</b>	974	Arbuckle Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Grand Island Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lloyd G. Johnson Junior High	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Pierce High	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Pierce Joint Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Piner-Olivet Charter</b>	1401	Piner-Olivet Charter	12/31/2017	\$63,672.00	\$63,672.00
<i>Piner-Olivet Charter Total</i>				<i>\$63,672.00</i>	<i>\$63,672.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Piner-Olivet Union Elementary</b>	1397	Jack London Elementary	12/31/2017	\$330,116.00	\$330,116.00
		Piner-Olivet Union School District Office	12/31/2017	\$9,797.00	\$9,797.00
<i>Piner-Olivet Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$339,913.00</i>	<i>\$339,913.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Pioneer Technical Center</b>	1167	Pioneer Technical Center	8/8/2018	\$14,811.67	\$14,811.67
<i>Pioneer Technical Center Total</i>				<i>\$14,811.67</i>	<i>\$14,811.67</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Pioneer Union Elementary</b>	1248	Pioneer Elementary	6/29/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mountain Creek Middle	6/29/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Walt Tyler Elementary	6/29/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	1388	Berry Creek Elementary	12/31/2018	\$47,158.00	\$47,158.00
<i>Pioneer Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$47,158.00</i>	<i>\$47,158.00</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Pittsburg Unified</b>					
430	Marina Vista Elementary	8/17/2015	\$2,319.84	\$10,418.22	
	Black Diamond High (Continuation)	8/17/2015	\$1,861.60	\$8,360.30	
	Highlands Elementary	9/1/2017	\$2,300.00	\$2,300.00	
	Maintenance Building (Former Gym)	7/29/2017	\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00	
	Martin Luther King Jr. Junior High	8/17/2015	\$2,498.84	\$11,222.10	
	Pittsburg Senior High	9/1/2017	\$4,009.60	\$18,006.80	
	Rancho Medanos Junior High	8/17/2016	\$2,062.00	\$9,260.64	
	Stoneman Elementary	9/1/2017	\$463,600.00	\$603,600.00	
	Willow Cove Elementary	9/1/2017	\$6,190.96	\$13,839.43	
<i>Pittsburg Unified Total</i>			<i>\$734,842.84</i>	<i>\$927,007.49</i>	
<b>Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified</b>					
1124	District Office	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Fairmont Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	El Dorado High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Bernardo Yorba Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Bryant Ranch Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Charles Wagner Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Col. J. K. Tuffree Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Esperanza High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	George Key	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Glenknoll Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	John O. Tynes Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Kraemer Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Morse Avenue Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Rio Vista Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Rose Drive Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Ruby Drive Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Topaz Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Travis Ranch	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Valadez Middle School Academy	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Valencia High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Woodsboro Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Yorba Linda High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Yorba Linda Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Placer County Office of Education</b>					
1060	PCOE Main District Office	12/30/2016	\$34,770.00	\$234,070.00	
<i>Placer County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$34,770.00</i>	<i>\$234,070.00</i>	
<b>Placer Union High</b>					
941	District Office	12/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Colfax High	12/30/2016	\$52,390.00	\$52,390.00	
	Del Oro High	12/30/2016	\$51,865.00	\$51,865.00	
	Foresthill High	9/26/2016	\$57,600.00	\$57,600.00	
	Placer High	12/30/2016	\$31,780.00	\$31,780.00	
<i>Placer Union High Total</i>			<i>\$193,635.00</i>	<i>\$193,635.00</i>	
<b>Placerville Union Elementary</b>					
1245	District Office and Transportation Facility (Placerville)	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Edwin Markham Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Louisiana Schnell Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Sierra Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Placerville Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Plainsburg Union Elementary</b>					
1383	Plainsburg Union Elementary	11/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Plainsburg Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Pleasant Grove Joint Union</b>					
973	Pleasant Grove Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Pleasant Grove Joint Union Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Pond Union Elementary</b>					
506	Pond Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Pond Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Porterville Unified</b>					
1133	Granite Hills High	11/1/2016	\$193,064.00	\$193,064.00	
<i>Porterville Unified Total</i>			<i>\$193,064.00</i>	<i>\$193,064.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Potter Valley Community Unified</b>					
1188	Potter Valley Community Unified School District	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Potter Valley Community Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Preuss School UCSD</b>					
743	Preuss School UCSD	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Preuss School UCSD Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Princeton Joint Unified</b>					
1249	Princeton Elementary	6/30/2018	\$128,915.15	\$128,915.15	
	Princeton Junior-Senior High	6/30/2018	\$12,112.86	\$12,112.86	
<i>Princeton Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$141,028.01</i>	<i>\$141,028.01</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Provisional Accelerated Learning Academy</b>					
1457	Provisional Accelerated Learning Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Provisional Accelerated Learning Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Public Safety Academy of San Bernardino</b>					
1261	Public Safety Academy	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Public Safety Academy of San Bernardino Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Ramona City Unified</b>					
1185	Ramona High	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Ramona Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Barnett Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Montecito High (Continuation)	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Mt. Woodson Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Ramona Community Montessori	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Ramona District Office	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Ramona City Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Rancho Santa Fe School District</b>					
226	R. Roger Rowe Elementary School	12/30/2016	\$242,500.00	\$242,500.00	
<i>Rancho Santa Fe School District Total</i>			<i>\$242,500.00</i>	<i>\$242,500.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Ravendale-Termo Elementary</b>					
1347	Juniper Ridge Elementary	9/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Ravendale-Termo Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Raymond-Knowles Union Elementary</b>					
885	Raymond-Knowles Elementary	8/10/2018	\$50,030.15	\$50,030.15	
<i>Raymond-Knowles Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$50,030.15</i>	<i>\$50,030.15</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Red Bluff Joint Union High</b>					
819	Red Bluff High	1/9/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Red Bluff Joint Union High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Reed Union Elementary</b>					
1250	Granada Elementary	6/20/2020	\$0.00		

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Reed Union Elementary 1250</b>	Reed Elementary	6/20/2020	\$0.00	
<i>Reed Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Rialto Unified School District</b>				
1116	District Office	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Maintenance & Operations	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Bemis Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Boyd Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Charlotte N. Werner Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Edward Fitzgerald Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Elizabeth T. Hughbanks Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Ethel Kucera Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Frisbie Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Helen L. Dollahan Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Kolb Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Milor Continuation High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Morgan Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Myers Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Preston Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Sam V. Curtis Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Samuel W. Simpson Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Trapp Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
	Wilmer Amina Carter High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	
<i>Rialto Unified School District Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Richgrove Elementary</b>				
154	Richgrove Elementary	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Richgrove Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Richland Union Elementary</b>				
499	District Office	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sequoia Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Golden Oak Elementary	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Redwood Elementary	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Richland Junior High	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Richland Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Richmond Elementary</b>				
1172	Richmond Elementary	9/30/2019	\$14,532.17	\$14,532.17
<i>Richmond Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$14,532.17</i>	<i>\$14,532.17</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Rincon Valley Charter</b>				
1421	Rincon Valley Charter @ Mantanzas	10/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Rincon Valley Charter School @ Sequoia	10/1/2016	\$10,674.88	\$10,674.88
<i>Rincon Valley Charter Total</i>			<i>\$10,674.88</i>	<i>\$10,674.88</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Rincon Valley Union Elementary</b>				
1419	Austin Creek Elementary	2/6/2017	\$0.00	\$21,349.74
	RVUSD District Office	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Rincon Valley Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$21,349.74</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Rio Dell Elementary</b>				
1328	Rio Dell School District	8/24/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Rio Dell Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>River Delta Joint Unified</b>				
541	District Office	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Bates Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Clarksburg Middle	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	D. H. White Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Delta High	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Isleton Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>River Delta Joint Un</b>	541	Mokelumne High (Continuation)	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Riverview Middle	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Walnut Grove Elementary	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>River Delta Joint Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>River Montessori Elementary Charter</b>					
	1468	River Montessori Elementary Charter	6/30/2017	\$50,006.01	\$50,006.01
<i>River Montessori Elementary Charter Total</i>				<i>\$50,006.01</i>	<i>\$50,006.01</i>
<hr/>					
<b>River Oak Charter</b>					
	1350	River Oak Charter	5/1/2017	\$5,439.00	\$5,439.00
<i>River Oak Charter Total</i>				<i>\$5,439.00</i>	<i>\$5,439.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Riverside County Office of Education</b>					
	747	RCOE Admin Building	4/24/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Riverside County Office of Education Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Roberts Ferry Union Elementary</b>					
	1192	Roberts Ferry Union Elementary	8/25/2017	\$59,261.50	\$59,750.00
<i>Roberts Ferry Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$59,261.50</i>	<i>\$59,750.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Rocketship Discovery Prep</b>					
	1514	Rocketship Discovery Prep	9/15/2018	\$97,540.00	\$97,540.00
<i>Rocketship Discovery Prep Total</i>				<i>\$97,540.00</i>	<i>\$97,540.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Rocketship Los Suenos Academy</b>					
	858	Rocketship Los Suenos Academy	12/31/2018	\$86,810.00	\$86,810.00
<i>Rocketship Los Suenos Academy Total</i>				<i>\$86,810.00</i>	<i>\$86,810.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Rocketship Mosaic Elementary</b>					
	1516	Rocketship Mosaic Elementary	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Rocketship Mosaic Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Rocklin Independent Charter Academy</b>					
	1335	Rocklin Independent Charter Academy	12/30/2016	\$1,394.00	\$1,394.00
<i>Rocklin Independent Charter Academy Total</i>				<i>\$1,394.00</i>	<i>\$1,394.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Roseland</b>					
	1040	Roseland Creek Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Roseland Elementary	8/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sheppard Elementary	8/1/2018	\$39,805.00	\$62,532.00
<i>Roseland Total</i>				<i>\$39,805.00</i>	<i>\$62,532.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Sacramento City Unified</b>					
	605	California Middle	4/28/2017	\$216,426.86	\$216,426.86
		Luther Burbank High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sutter Middle	9/30/2017	\$27,525.91	\$27,525.91
<i>Sacramento City Unified Total</i>				<i>\$243,952.77</i>	<i>\$243,952.77</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Saddleback Valley Unified School District</b>					
	1164	District Office	11/3/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Valencia Elementary	10/15/2016	\$154,754.00	\$154,754.00
		Cielo Vista Elementary	8/26/2016	\$488,388.00	\$488,388.00
		El Toro High	9/27/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		La Paz Intermediate	9/30/2016	\$841,091.00	\$841,091.00
		Laguna Hills High	10/4/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Linda Vista Elementary	10/15/2016	\$113,114.00	\$113,114.00
		Rancho Canada Elementary	10/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Rancho Santa Margarita Intermediate	12/6/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Saddleback Valley Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$1,597,347.00</i>	<i>\$1,597,347.00</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Salinas City Elementary</b>					
491	Roosevelt Elementary	6/30/2016	\$145,000.00	\$331,567.00	
	LEA Education Facility (Boronda School re-opening)	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Sherwood Elementary	8/30/2015	\$32,750.00	\$88,625.00	
<i>Salinas City Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$177,750.00</i>	<i>\$420,192.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Salinas Union High</b>					
1110	Washington Middle	12/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Alisal High	12/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Carr Lake Community Day	12/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	El Puente	12/1/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	El Sausal Middle	12/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Everett Alvarez High	12/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Harden Middle	12/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	La Paz Middle	12/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Mount Toro High	12/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	North Salinas High	12/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Salinas Adult	12/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Salinas High	12/2/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Salinas Union High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>San Ardo Union Elementary</b>					
804	San Ardo Elementary	8/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>San Ardo Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>San Bernardino City Unified</b>					
913	Belvedere Elementary	7/26/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Kimbark Elementary	7/26/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Manuel A. Salinas Creative Arts Elementary	7/26/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Marshall Elementary	7/26/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	North Park Elementary	7/26/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Ramona-Alessandro Elementary	7/26/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
1406	Carmack	7/26/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	San Bernardino High	7/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	SMART Building	6/30/2016	\$254,589.00	\$542,859.86	
	Yvonne Harmon	7/26/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>San Bernardino City Unified Total</i>			<i>\$254,589.00</i>	<i>\$542,859.86</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>San Bernardino County Office of Education</b>					
727	Desert Mountain Educational Service Center	6/29/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	San Bernardino County Special Education	6/29/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Support Center	6/29/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>San Bernardino County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>San Carlos Elementary</b>					
511	Central Middle	9/30/2017	\$42,700.00	\$42,700.00	
	(District) San Carlos Elementary	6/30/2017	\$0.00		
<i>San Carlos Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$42,700.00</i>	<i>\$42,700.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>San Joaquin Building Futures Academy</b>					
1043	San Joaquin Building Futures Academy	9/19/2016	\$258,663.13	\$258,663.13	
<i>San Joaquin Building Futures Academy Total</i>			<i>\$258,663.13</i>	<i>\$258,663.13</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>San Joaquin County Office of Education</b>					
890	District Office Facilities	9/29/2016	\$695,111.90	\$705,861.50	
<i>San Joaquin County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$695,111.90</i>	<i>\$705,861.50</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>San Jose Conservation Corps Charter</b>					
1450	San Jose Conservation Charter	1/15/2018	\$124,012.95	\$124,012.95	
<i>San Jose Conservation Corps Charter Total</i>			<i>\$124,012.95</i>	<i>\$124,012.95</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>San Jose Unified</b>					

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>San Jose Unified</b>	936	Washington Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Williams Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Horace Mann Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		John Muir Middle	8/31/2016	\$13,270.00	\$13,270.00
		Grant Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Lowell Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Bret Harte Middle	8/31/2016	\$13,178.00	\$13,178.00
		Reed Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Abraham Lincoln High	8/31/2016	\$46,556.00	\$46,556.00
		Allen at Steinbeck	8/31/2016	\$27,332.00	\$27,332.00
		Almaden Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Anne Darling Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Booksin Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Broadway High	8/31/2016	\$36,174.00	\$36,174.00
		Canoas Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Castillero Middle	8/31/2016	\$27,445.00	\$27,445.00
		Corporation Yard	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Empire Gardens Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Ernesto Galarza Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Gardner Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Graystone Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hammer Montessori at Galarza Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Herbert Hoover Middle	8/31/2016	\$13,900.00	\$13,900.00
		Leland High	8/31/2016	\$43,756.00	\$43,756.00
		Los Alamitos Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Merritt Trace Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Operations Building	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Peter Burnett Middle	8/31/2016	\$20,674.00	\$20,674.00
		Pioneer High	8/31/2016	\$57,572.00	\$57,572.00
		Rachel Carson Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		River Glen	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		San Jose High	8/31/2016	\$41,270.00	\$41,270.00
		Schallenberger Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Selma Olinder Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Simonds Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
Terrell Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Willow Glen High	8/31/2016	\$37,841.00	\$37,841.00		
Willow Glen Middle	8/31/2016	\$37,950.00	\$37,950.00		
1034	Gunderson High	9/30/2017	\$66,241.00	\$66,241.00	
	Lenzen (District Offices)	3/31/2017	\$11,623.00	\$11,623.00	
<i>San Jose Unified Total</i>				<i>\$494,782.00</i>	<i>\$494,782.00</i>

<b>San Lorenzo Unified</b>	690	Del Rey Elementary	2/29/2016	\$6,787.00	\$6,787.00
		District Office	7/29/2016	\$272,736.00	\$272,736.00
		Grant Elementary	10/28/2016	\$211,979.00	\$211,979.00
		Arroyo High	2/29/2016	\$413,292.00	\$413,292.00
		Bay Elementary	10/28/2016	\$53,299.00	\$53,299.00
		Bohannon Middle	2/29/2016	\$54,222.00	\$54,222.00
		Colonial Acres Elementary	5/31/2016	\$243,812.00	\$243,812.00
		Corvallis Elementary	10/28/2016	\$44,780.00	\$44,780.00
		Dayton Elementary	12/31/2016	\$7,585.00	\$7,585.00
		East Bay Arts High	4/29/2016	\$35,786.00	\$35,786.00
		Edendale Middle	5/31/2016	\$128,441.00	\$128,441.00
		Hesperian Elementary	10/28/2016	\$139,461.00	\$139,461.00
		Hillside Elementary	10/28/2016	\$54,019.00	\$54,019.00
		Lorenzo Manor Elementary	12/30/2016	\$132,062.00	\$132,062.00
San Lorenzo Adult	2/29/2016	\$23,640.00	\$23,640.00		
San Lorenzo High	7/29/2016	\$413,826.00	\$413,826.00		
Washington Manor Middle	12/30/2016	\$51,878.00	\$51,878.00		
<i>San Lorenzo Unified Total</i>				<i>\$2,287,605.00</i>	<i>\$2,287,605.00</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>San Lucas Union Elementary</b>				
922	San Lucas Elementary	9/1/2017	\$6,767.91	\$6,767.91
<i>San Lucas Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$6,767.91</i>	<i>\$6,767.91</i>
<hr/>				
<b>San Mateo Union High</b>				
1368	Aragon High	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Burlingame High	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Capuchino High	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Hillsdale High	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Mills High	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	San Mateo High	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>San Mateo Union High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>San Pasqual Union Elementary</b>				
1384	San Pasqual Union Elementary	12/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>San Pasqual Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>San Ramon Valley Unified</b>				
1085	Del Amigo High (Continuation)	8/15/2017	\$0.00	
	Golden View Elementary	8/1/2017	\$83,264.35	\$243,648.08
	Montevideo Elementary	8/1/2017	\$282,781.88	\$474,076.92
	Sycamore Valley Elementary	8/1/2017	\$296,878.84	\$602,492.01
<i>San Ramon Valley Unified Total</i>			<i>\$662,925.07</i>	<i>\$1,320,217.01</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Santa Maria Joint Union High</b>				
719	Ernest Righetti High	7/10/2018	\$0.00	
	Pioneer Valley High	9/10/2018	\$0.00	
	Santa Maria High	9/10/2018	\$0.00	
<i>Santa Maria Joint Union High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Santa Maria-Bonita</b>				
478	District Office	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Liberty Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Adam (William Laird) Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Alvin Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Arellanes (Don Juan Bautista) Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Arellanes Junior High	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Battles (Washington) Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Bonita Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Bruce (Robert) Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	El Camino Junior High	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Fairlawn Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Fesler (Isaac) Junior High	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Kunst (Tommie) Junior High	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Miller (Isaac) Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	MOT office	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Oakley (Calvin C.) Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Ontiveros (Juan Pacifico) Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Rice (William) Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sanchez (David J.) Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Taylor (Ida Redmond) Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Tunnell (Martin Luther) Elementary	9/30/2019	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Santa Maria-Bonita Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Santa Rosa Academy</b>				
1430	Santa Rosa Academy	10/3/2016	\$76,335.05	\$76,335.05
<i>Santa Rosa Academy Total</i>			<i>\$76,335.05</i>	<i>\$76,335.05</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Santa Ynez Valley Charter School</b>				
847	Santa Ynez Valley Charter	8/25/2017	\$20,716.34	\$20,716.34
<i>Santa Ynez Valley Charter School Total</i>			<i>\$20,716.34</i>	<i>\$20,716.34</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Santiago Middle</b>					
1252	Santiago Middle	4/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Santiago Middle Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Saratoga Union Elementary</b>					
1345	District Office	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Foothill Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Argonaut Elementary	1/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Redwood Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Saratoga Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	SCHOOL DISTRICT WAREHOUSE	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Saratoga Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Saugus Union</b>					
696	Highlands Elementary	6/30/2020	\$1,392.00	\$1,392.00	
	Rio Vista Elementary	6/30/2020	\$2,339.00	\$2,339.00	
	North Park Elementary	6/30/2020	\$1,192.00	\$1,192.00	
	Bridgeport Elementary	6/30/2020	\$150.00	\$150.00	
	Cedarcreek Elementary	6/30/2020	\$3,849.00	\$3,849.00	
	Charles Helmers Elementary	6/30/2020	\$2,057.00	\$2,057.00	
	District Office/Education Center/Warehouse	6/30/2020	\$390.00	\$390.00	
	Emblem Academy	6/30/2020	\$1,869.00	\$1,869.00	
	James Foster Elementary	6/30/2020	\$1,390.00	\$1,390.00	
	Maintenance/Transportation	6/30/2020	\$166.00	\$166.00	
	Mountainview Elementary	6/30/2020	\$2,340.00	\$2,340.00	
	Plum Canyon Elementary	6/30/2020	\$1,397.00	\$1,397.00	
	Rosedell Elementary	6/30/2020	\$1,311.00	\$1,311.00	
	Santa Clarita Elementary	6/30/2020	\$3,553.00	\$3,553.00	
	Skyblue Mesa Elementary	6/30/2020	\$3,995.00	\$3,995.00	
	Tesoro del Valle Elementary	6/30/2020	\$827.00	\$827.00	
	West Creek Academy	6/30/2020	\$2,104.00	\$2,104.00	
<i>Saugus Union Total</i>			<i>\$30,321.00</i>	<i>\$30,321.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Scotts Valley Unified</b>					
733	Brook Knoll Elementary	11/30/2016	\$84,876.00	\$84,876.00	
	Scotts Valley High	11/30/2016	\$70,040.39	\$70,040.39	
<i>Scotts Valley Unified Total</i>			<i>\$154,916.39</i>	<i>\$154,916.39</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Sequoia Union Elementary</b>					
1472	Sequoia Elementary	9/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Sequoia Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Shasta Union High</b>					
587	Enterprise High	5/31/2016	\$76,807.40	\$78,871.14	
	Foothill High	5/31/2016	\$224,573.58	\$228,693.37	
	Shasta High	9/30/2016	\$433,395.53	\$433,395.53	
<i>Shasta Union High Total</i>			<i>\$734,776.51</i>	<i>\$740,960.04</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Sierra Charter</b>					
459	Sierra Charter	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Sierra Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Sierra Unified</b>					
526	District Office	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Foothill Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Sierra High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Sandy Bluffs Alternative Education Center	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Sierra Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Siskiyou Union High</b>					
837	Happy Camp High	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	McCloud High	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Siskiyou Union High 837</b>	Mt. Shasta High	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Weed High	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Siskiyou Union High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Sixth Grade Charter Academy at Petaluma Jr. High</b>				
1032	Sixth Grade Charter Academy at Petaluma Jr. High	11/1/2016	\$35,280.00	\$38,801.00
<i>Sixth Grade Charter Academy at Petaluma Jr. High Total</i>			<i>\$35,280.00</i>	<i>\$38,801.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Sonoma County Office of Education</b>				
1282	Sonoma County Alternative Education Programs	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sonoma County Office of Education	12/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Sonoma County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Sonoma Valley Unified</b>				
980	Altimira Middle	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Dunbar Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	El Verano Elementary	8/30/2017	\$66,500.00	\$105,127.00
	Flowery Elementary	8/25/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Prestwood Elementary	8/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sonoma Valley High	6/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Sonoma Valley Unified Total</i>			<i>\$66,500.00</i>	<i>\$105,127.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>South Bay Union</b>				
1220	Sunnyslope Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Central Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Emory Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	George Nicoloff Elementary	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Teofilo Mendoza	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	VIP Village Preschool	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	West View Early Learning Center	12/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>South Bay Union Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>South Pasadena Unified</b>				
800	District Office	6/30/2017	\$0.00	
	Arroyo Vista Elementary	6/30/2017	\$0.00	
	Marengo Elementary	6/30/2017	\$0.00	
	Monterey Hills Elementary	6/30/2017	\$0.00	
	South Pasadena Middle	6/30/2017	\$0.00	
	South Pasadena Senior High	6/30/2017	\$0.00	
<i>South Pasadena Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>South San Francisco Unified</b>				
1326	Hillside Elementary	8/16/2017	\$0.00	
	Serra Vista School	8/16/2017	\$0.00	
	South San Francisco High	8/16/2017	\$0.00	
<i>South San Francisco Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>				
<b>Southside Elementary</b>				
668	Southside Elementary	8/31/2016	\$219,701.83	\$219,701.83
<i>Southside Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$219,701.83</i>	<i>\$219,701.83</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Spring Creek Matanzas Charter</b>				
1422	Spring Creek Matanzas Charter	11/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Spring Creek Matanzas Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Steele Canyon High</b>				
485	Steele Canyon High	6/30/2018	\$249,700.34	\$249,700.34
<i>Steele Canyon High Total</i>			<i>\$249,700.34</i>	<i>\$249,700.34</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Stone Corral Elementary</b>				
260	Stone Corral Elementary	1/31/2018	\$185,639.52	
<i>Stone Corral Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$185,639.52</i>	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Sulphur Springs Union</b>					
1093	Canyon Springs Community Elementary	9/9/2016	\$6,962.03	\$6,962.03	
	District Office / M&O	9/9/2016	\$5,233.75	\$5,233.75	
	Fair Oaks Ranch Community	9/9/2016	\$7,676.75	\$7,676.75	
	Golden Oak Community	9/9/2016	\$6,274.55	\$6,274.55	
	Leona H. Cox Community Elementary	9/9/2016	\$9,909.75	\$9,909.75	
	Mint Canyon Community Elementary	9/9/2016	\$5,233.75	\$5,233.75	
	Mitchell Community Elementary	9/9/2016	\$5,233.75	\$5,233.75	
	Sulphur Springs Community Elementary	9/9/2016	\$62,012.70	\$62,012.70	
<i>Sulphur Springs Union Total</i>			<i>\$108,537.03</i>	<i>\$108,537.03</i>	
<b>Summit Charter Academy</b>					
436	Summit Charter Academy Collegiate Campus	3/31/2017	\$65,700.00	\$65,700.00	
	Summit Charter Academy Lombardi Campus	3/31/2017	\$65,700.00	\$65,700.00	
	Summit Charter Academy Mathew Campus	3/31/2017	\$34,000.00	\$34,000.00	
<i>Summit Charter Academy Total</i>			<i>\$165,400.00</i>	<i>\$165,400.00</i>	
<b>Sundale Union Elementary</b>					
843	Sundale Elementary	5/9/2018	\$206,931.92	\$206,931.92	
<i>Sundale Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$206,931.92</i>	<i>\$206,931.92</i>	
<b>Sutter County Office of Education</b>					
962	District Office (Klamath)	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Feather River Academy	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Sutter County Adult	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Sutter County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Sylvan Union Elementary</b>					
617	Freedom Elementary	11/1/2016	\$172,507.10	\$172,507.45	
	Sherwood Elementary	11/1/2016	\$87,212.45	\$87,212.45	
	Crossroads Elementary	11/1/2016	\$130,330.00	\$130,330.00	
	Daniel J. Savage Middle	11/1/2016	\$235,125.00	\$235,125.00	
	Mary Ann Sanders Elementary	11/1/2016	\$115,660.00	\$115,660.00	
	Orchard Elementary	11/1/2016	\$151,961.55	\$151,961.55	
	Somerset Middle	11/1/2016	\$136,210.10	\$136,210.10	
<i>Sylvan Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$1,029,006.20</i>	<i>\$1,029,006.55</i>	
<b>Taft City</b>					
365	District Office	9/4/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Parkview Elementary	9/4/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Roosevelt Elementary	9/4/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Conley Elementary	9/4/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Lincoln Junior High	9/4/2017	\$172,444.00	\$172,444.00	
	Taft Primary	9/4/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Taft City Total</i>			<i>\$172,444.00</i>	<i>\$172,444.00</i>	
<b>Taft Union High</b>					
1006	Taft Union High	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Taft Union High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Temecula Valley USD</b>					
669	Chaparral High	12/19/2016	\$2,472,540.00	\$3,405,600.00	
	Margarita Middle	12/19/2016	\$1,557,984.00	\$1,601,528.00	
1322	French Valley Elementary School	1/16/2015	\$242,017.00	\$347,000.00	
	Temecula Valley High School	8/16/2016	\$342,168.00	\$410,500.00	
<i>Temecula Valley USD Total</i>			<i>\$4,614,709.00</i>	<i>\$5,764,628.00</i>	
<b>Temple City Unified</b>					
817	Cloverly Elementary	1/29/2016	\$123,260.00	\$123,260.00	
	Emperor Elementary	9/30/2016	\$120,000.00	\$160,000.00	
	La Rosa Elementary	1/29/2016	\$212,234.74	\$212,234.74	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Temple City Unified 817</b>	Longden Elementary	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Oak Avenue Intermediate	12/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Temple City High	5/26/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Temple City Unified Total</i>			<i>\$455,494.74</i>	<i>\$495,494.74</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Tierra Pacifica Charter</b>				
981	Tierra Pacifica Charter	10/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Tierra Pacifica Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Travis Unified</b>				
736	Cambridge Elementary	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Center Elementary	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Foxboro Elementary	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Golden West Middle	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Scandia Elementary	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Travis Education Center	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Travis Elementary	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Travis Unified School District Office	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Vanden High	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Travis Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Tulare City</b>				
310	Heritage Elementary	8/1/2015	\$706,184.00	\$977,908.20
	Alpine Vista School	8/1/2015	\$653,432.00	\$849,716.34
	Cherry Avenue Middle	8/1/2015	\$760,356.00	\$1,070,421.89
<i>Tulare City Total</i>			<i>\$2,119,972.00</i>	<i>\$2,898,046.43</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Tulare Joint Union High</b>				
1159	Mission Oak High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Tulare Adult Education	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Tulare Technical Preparatory High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Tulare Union High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Tulare Western High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Tulare Joint Union High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Two Rock Union</b>				
1184	Two Rock Elementary	10/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Two Rock Union Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Union Joint Elementary</b>				
537	Union Elementary	6/30/2020	\$2,508.60	\$2,508.60
<i>Union Joint Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$2,508.60</i>	<i>\$2,508.60</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Union Street Charter</b>				
608	EQUINOX CENTER FOR EDUCATION	9/30/2017	\$2,007.23	\$2,007.23
<i>Union Street Charter Total</i>			<i>\$2,007.23</i>	<i>\$2,007.23</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Urban Corps of San Diego County Charter</b>				
965	Urban Corps of San Diego County Charter	11/25/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Urban Corps of San Diego County Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Vacaville Unified</b>				
1231	Browns Valley Elementary	10/14/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Orchard Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Alamo Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Cooper Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Country High	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Educational Services Center	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Edwin Markham Elementary	10/14/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Eugene Padan Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Jean Callison Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Vaca Pena Middle	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Vacaville Unified</b>	1231	Vacaville High	10/14/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Will C. Wood High	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Willis Jepson Middle	10/14/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Vacaville Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Val Verde Unified</b>	935	Mead Valley Elementary	12/29/2017	\$48,770.89	\$48,770.89
		Rainbow Ridge Elementary	12/29/2017	\$42,186.88	\$42,186.88
		Rancho Verde High	12/29/2017	\$202,704.63	\$202,704.63
<i>Val Verde Unified Total</i>				<i>\$293,662.40</i>	<i>\$293,662.40</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Valley Center-Pauma Unified</b>	1429	Valley Center High	11/25/2016	\$481,688.00	\$481,688.00
<i>Valley Center-Pauma Unified Total</i>				<i>\$481,688.00</i>	<i>\$481,688.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Valley Home Joint Elementary</b>	1294	Valley Home Elementary	10/31/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Valley Home Joint Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Valley Oaks Charter</b>	842	Valley Oaks Charter	7/29/2016	\$68,486.78	\$68,486.78
<i>Valley Oaks Charter Total</i>				<i>\$68,486.78</i>	<i>\$68,486.78</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Ventura County Office of Education</b>	1377	Carl Dwire Jr. Special School	8/3/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Dean Triggs School	8/3/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Dorothy Boswell	8/3/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Douglas Penfield School	8/3/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Gateway Community	8/3/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Phoenix Airport	8/3/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Ventura County Office of Education Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Ventura Unified</b>	621	Buena High	10/31/2016	\$576,955.00	\$576,955.00
		Foothill Technology High	10/31/2016	\$948,554.00	\$948,554.00
		Ventura Adult Education	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Ventura High	9/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Ventura Unified Total</i>				<i>\$1,525,509.00</i>	<i>\$1,525,509.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Venture Academy</b>	1044	Venture Academy	9/19/2016	\$620,039.16	\$620,039.16
<i>Venture Academy Total</i>				<i>\$620,039.16</i>	<i>\$620,039.16</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Victor Valley Union High</b>	684	Silverado High	6/30/2016	\$1,333,537.00	\$1,333,537.00
		Victor Valley High	8/1/2016	\$723,184.00	\$723,184.00
<i>Victor Valley Union High Total</i>				<i>\$2,056,721.00</i>	<i>\$2,056,721.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Village Elementary Charter</b>	1403	Village Elementary Charter	8/1/2017	\$0.00	\$11,416.08
<i>Village Elementary Charter Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$11,416.08</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Visalia Unified</b>	462	Washington Elementary	1/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		El Diamante High	8/10/2016	\$9,965.00	\$12,500.00
		Golden West High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Goshen Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Green Acres Middle	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Hurley Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Ivanhoe Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		La Joya Middle	8/16/2016	\$82,018.00	\$92,091.60
		Mineral King Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Visalia Unified</b>	462	Mountain View Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Mt. Whitney High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Operations	6/30/2017	\$62,150.00	\$140,981.00
		Pinkham Elementary	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Redwood High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Royal Oaks Elementary	1/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Visalia Learning Center	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Visalia Unified Total</i>				<i>\$154,133.00</i>	<i>\$245,572.60</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Vista Charter Middle</b>	528	Vista Charter Middle	6/30/2020	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Vista Charter Middle Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Wallis Annenberg High</b>	1270	Wallis Annenberg High	10/20/2016	\$58,313.88	\$58,313.88
<i>Wallis Annenberg High Total</i>				<i>\$58,313.88</i>	<i>\$58,313.88</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Warner Unified</b>	1277	San Jose Valley Continuation High	3/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Warner Elementary	3/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Warner Junior/Senior High	3/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Warner Unified Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Wasco Union High</b>	1445	Wasco High	12/29/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Wasco Independence High	12/28/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Wasco Union High Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Waukena Joint Union Elementary</b>	1280	Waukena Joint Union Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Waukena Joint Union Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	
<hr/>					
<b>Weaver Union</b>	1301	Pioneer Elementary	12/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Farmdale Elementary	12/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Weaver Middle	12/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Weaver Preschool	12/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Weaver Union Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>West Contra Costa Unified School District</b>	1209	Kennedy High	12/30/2016	\$776,507.92	\$776,507.92
		Verde Elementary	12/30/2016	\$82,111.34	\$82,111.00
<i>West Contra Costa Unified School District Total</i>				<i>\$858,619.26</i>	<i>\$858,618.92</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Western Sierra Collegiate Academy</b>	799	Western Sierra Collegiate Academy	6/20/2020	\$84,018.00	\$84,018.00
<i>Western Sierra Collegiate Academy Total</i>				<i>\$84,018.00</i>	<i>\$84,018.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Westside Elementary</b>	475	Westside Elementary	8/15/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Westside Elementary Total</i>				<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>					
<b>Westside Union Elementary</b>	671	District Offices	6/30/2020	\$1,108.00	\$1,108.00
		Cottonwood Elementary	6/30/2020	\$0.00	
		Hillview Middle	6/30/2020	\$5,140.00	\$5,140.00
		Anaverde Hills	6/30/2020	\$5,918.00	\$5,918.00
		Del Sur Senior Elementary	6/30/2020	\$3,323.00	\$3,323.00
		Esperanza Elementary	6/30/2020	\$4,340.00	\$4,340.00
		Gregg Anderson Academy	6/30/2020	\$8,448.00	\$8,448.00
		Joe Walker Middle	6/30/2020	\$4,438.00	\$4,438.00
		Leona Valley Elementary	6/30/2020	\$9.00	\$9.00

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Westside Union Eler 671</b>	Quartz Hill Elementary	6/30/2020	\$6,454.00	\$6,454.00
	Rancho Vista Elementary	6/30/2020	\$15,513.00	\$15,513.00
	Sundown Elementary	6/30/2020	\$35,711.00	\$35,711.00
	Valley View Elementary	6/30/2020	\$24,111.00	\$24,111.00
<i>Westside Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$114,513.00</i>	<i>\$114,513.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Wheatland Union High</b>				
923	Wheatland Union High	9/30/2018	\$130,593.90	\$130,593.90
<i>Wheatland Union High Total</i>			<i>\$130,593.90</i>	<i>\$130,593.90</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Whited Elementary Charter</b>				
1423	Whited Elementary Charter	11/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Whited Elementary Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Whitmore Union Elementary</b>				
850	Whitmore Elementary	6/30/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Whitmore Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Whittier Union High</b>				
1340	Pioneer High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	California High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Frontier High (Continuation)	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	La Serna High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Santa Fe High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Whittier High	8/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Whittier Union High Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>William Finch</b>				
1091	William Finch	6/30/2017	\$10,880.00	\$10,880.00
<i>William Finch Total</i>			<i>\$10,880.00</i>	<i>\$10,880.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>William S. Hart Union High</b>				
1170	Sierra Vista Junior High	10/1/2016	\$321,283.36	\$321,283.36
	Valencia High	10/1/2016	\$1,416,971.63	\$1,416,971.63
	Bowman (Jereann) High (Continuation)	10/1/2016	\$217,628.70	\$217,628.70
	Canyon High	10/1/2016	\$691,019.21	\$691,019.21
	Rancho Pico Junior High	10/1/2016	\$236,507.94	\$236,507.94
	Rio Norte Junior High	10/1/2016	\$405,872.67	\$405,872.67
<i>William S. Hart Union High Total</i>			<i>\$3,289,283.51</i>	<i>\$3,289,283.51</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Winship Robbins Elementary School District</b>				
925	Robbins Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Winship Elementary	9/1/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Winship Robbins Elementary School District Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Winters Joint Unified</b>				
572	Shirley Rominger Intermediate	9/1/2018	\$9,832.50	\$9,832.50
	Winters Elementary	9/21/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Winters Middle	9/21/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Winters Joint Unified Total</i>			<i>\$9,832.50</i>	<i>\$9,832.50</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Woodlake Unified</b>				
1120	District Office	9/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Castle Rock Elementary	9/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Francis J. White Learning Center	9/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Woodlake High	9/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Woodlake Valley Middle	9/30/2016	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Woodlake Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Woodside Elementary</b>				
581	Woodside Elementary	8/31/2017	\$198,590.00	\$233,000.00
<i>Woodside Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$198,590.00</i>	<i>\$233,000.00</i>

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

### Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<b>Wright Charter</b>					
1128	Wright Charter	8/18/2017	\$197,114.81	\$197,114.81	
<i>Wright Charter Total</i>			<i>\$197,114.81</i>	<i>\$197,114.81</i>	
<b>Wright Elementary</b>					
509	Administration Building	8/18/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	J. X. Wilson Elementary	8/18/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Robert L. Stevens Elementary	8/18/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Wright Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Yav Pem Suab Academy - Preparing for the Future Charter</b>					
896	Yav Pem Suab Academy - Preparing for the Future Charter	9/1/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Yav Pem Suab Academy - Preparing for the Future Charter Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Yosemite Unified</b>					
470	Coarsegold Elementary	9/1/2017	\$0.00		
	Foothill High (Alternative)	9/30/2017	\$0.00		
	Raymond Granite High	9/30/2017	\$0.00		
	Rivergold Elementary	9/30/2017	\$0.00		
	Yosemite High	9/30/2017	\$70,000.00	\$138,300.00	
<i>Yosemite Unified Total</i>			<i>\$70,000.00</i>	<i>\$138,300.00</i>	
<b>Yreka Union Elementary</b>					
781	Evergreen Elementary	7/31/2017	\$9,000.00	\$0.00	
	Jackson Street Elementary	7/31/2017	\$10,850.00	\$0.00	
<i>Yreka Union Elementary Total</i>			<i>\$19,850.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Yreka Union High</b>					
1081	Yreka High	12/31/2016	\$235,813.00	\$338,109.00	
<i>Yreka Union High Total</i>			<i>\$235,813.00</i>	<i>\$338,109.00</i>	
<b>Yuba City Unified</b>					
1259	Lincoln Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	District Office	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Park Avenue Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Albert Powell Continuation	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Andros Karperos	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	April Lane Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Barry Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Bridge Street Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Butte Vista Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Central Gaither Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Gray Avenue Middle	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	King Avenue Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Lincrest Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Maintenance, Transportation and Facilities	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	River Valley High	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Riverbend Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Tierra Buena Elementary	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Yuba City High	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Yuba City Unified Alternative	8/31/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<i>Yuba City Unified Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	
<b>Yuba County Career Preparatory Charter</b>					
952	Career Preparatory Charter	9/1/2018	\$64,986.00	\$64,986.00	
<i>Yuba County Career Preparatory Charter Total</i>			<i>\$64,986.00</i>	<i>\$64,986.00</i>	
<b>Yuba County Office of Education</b>					
725	Goldfield School (Sdl)	8/1/2017	\$9,728.00	\$9,728.00	
	Spring Valley School (ROP,Sdl)	8/1/2017	\$12,658.00	\$12,658.00	
	Virginia School	8/31/2017	\$42,582.00	\$42,582.00	

## Appendix C: 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report Data

Notes:

1. Reporting on 733 total Energy Expenditure Plans.
2. The 2015/2016 Annual Progress Report covers activities performed up to June 30, 2016.

<i>Yuba County Office of Education Total</i>			<i>\$64,968.00</i>	<i>\$64,968.00</i>
<hr/>				
<b>Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy</b>				
1316	Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy	12/31/2017	\$0.00	\$0.00
<i>Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy Total</i>			<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>
<hr/>				
Grand Total			\$150,683,862.31	\$171,687,059.86

**Appendix D**  
**Energy Commission Response to**  
**The Citizens Oversight Board Report to the Legislature**

	<b>COB Recommendation</b>	<b>CEC Response</b>
<b>1</b>	Focus on reaching smaller, disadvantaged schools with CCEJA funds	See 1a through 1d responses
<b>1a</b>	Consider aggregating smaller LEAs under larger recognized entities, such as the County Offices of Education, and channeling funds through these better-resourced and more centralized bodies	Neither statute nor program guidelines prohibits Local Educational Agencies from working with other entities to accomplish the goals of the program. The Energy Commission is working to provide LEAs with innovative examples of how some LEAs are working together to accomplish program goals.
<b>1b</b>	Consider allocating some funding from the CCEJA for better tracking of program participation among disadvantaged LEAs, and (if warranted) for stronger outreach to these schools	The Energy Commission currently conducts outreach and education as part the Proposition 39 K-12 program. The Commission utilizes the free and reduced-priced meals metric provided by CDE, to track spending for disadvantaged LEAs.
<b>1c</b>	Consider restoring funding to the ECAA and Bright Schools programs of the Energy Commission	ECAA and Bright Schools Programs are available to all schools on a first come, first served basis; and are not specifically allocated to disadvantaged communities. The Energy Commission welcomes more funding to be allocated to ECAA and the Bright Schools Program.
<b>1d</b>	Consider addressing the major backlog in requests for no-cost energy surveys from the California Conservation Corps by supplementing this program through outside funds	The Energy Commission defers this response to the California Conservation Corps.
<b>2</b>	Ask the Energy Commission to consider tweaking the regulations to allow schools to purchase new higher-efficiency HVAC using CCEJA funds	See 2d below.
<b>2d</b>	The Board recommends asking the Energy Commission to consider allowing "disadvantaged districts", e.g. those who have a Title 1 population above a reasonable amount, to have a lower savings to investment threshold specifically for replacing old HVAC systems with new higher-efficiency equipment.	On July 13, 2016, the Energy Commission approved the revised Proposition 39 Guidelines, which included changes to address this recommendation: 1) Lowering the SIR from 1.05 to 1.01. 2) Revising the SIR formula by: Increasing maintenance cost savings from 2% to 3% in the net present value of savings.

<b>3</b>	Consider asking the Energy Commission to re-examine the rules restricting the size of solar power systems allowed under the CCEJA	See 3b response
<b>3b</b>	The Board recommends asking the Energy Commission to re-examine this cap, and instead allow applicants to use industry-standard system-sizing and load-forecasting methodologies to optimize solar power system sizes to meet future anticipated load that includes all planned improvements	The Program Guidelines do not contain restrictions on the sizing of school-owned solar generation systems funded through the Proposition 39 K-12 Program. However, in Appendix F of the Guidelines, there was a 70 percent cap in the consumer protection terms and conditions for Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs). This 70 percent cap was deleted in the July 13, 2016 revised 2016 Guidelines.
<b>4</b>	Leverage more of the CCEJA dollars through loans rather than spending only on outright grants	A legislative amendment is required to change the Proposition 39 allocation. PRC Section 26233 requires the CDE to allocate funds to each individual LEA based on a specific allocation formula.
<b>5</b>	Allocate funds to track, or at least evaluate based on surveys and case studies, how LEAs are reaping benefits beyond the energy cost savings and jobs created	While an impact evaluation of the program is not mandated, the Energy Commission does require LEAs to report annually. The Commission also collects LEA utility energy usage data that may be used to determine program benefits. The Citizens Oversight Board is conducting a program audit through the State Controller's Office, which has separate goals and outcomes.
<b>6</b>	Allocate actual funds to the Workforce Development Board to perform its job creation analysis and reporting functions under CCEJA	The Energy Commission defers this response to the California Workforce Development Board.
<b>7</b>	Consider funding the program beyond the initial 5-year period	A legislative amendment is required to change the duration of the Proposition 39 program.

**Appendix E**  
**Energy Conservation Assistance Act - Education Subaccount (ECAA-Ed)**  
**Approved and Completed Zero Interest Energy Loans**  
**Cumulative as of June 30, 2016**

Loan Number	Local Education Agency or Community College District Name	Business Meeting Approval Date	Project Completion Date (Completed Projects only)	Final Project Completion Report Due Date* (Completed Projects only)	Approved Loan Amount	Funds Expended**	Project Summary	Status/Comments
001-13-ECG	Sanger Unified School District	5/14/2014	-	-	\$1,242,492	\$0.00	Install energy efficiency measures at three of the school district's facilities.	Implementation
001-14-ECG	Pittsburg Unified School District	7/22/2014	-	-	\$1,766,665	\$826,035.15	Install a 150 kW PV system, lighting retrofits for 9 schools and occupancy controls for HVAC systems at 3 schools.	Implementation
003-14-ECG	Hartnell Community College District	8/27/2014	4/1/2016	7/1/2017	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000.00	Upgrade boiler and install solar PV.	Project Complete. Funds expended is the final disbursed amount.
002-14-ECG	Tulare City School District	10/7/2014	12/31/2015	3/31/2017	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000.00	Install a solar PV at 8 schools.	Project Complete. Funds expended is the final disbursed amount.
004-14-ECG	Kern Community College District	10/7/2014	12/31/2015	3/31/2017	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000.00	Install a solar PV at Porterville College	Project Complete. Funds expended is the final disbursed amount.
005-14-ECG	Yuba Community College District	10/7/2014	12/15/2014	3/15/2016	\$710,000	\$710,000.00	Install a new EMS system and VFD control for a well pump.	Project Complete. Funds expended is the final disbursed amount.
006-14-ECG	Campbell Unified School District - Rosemary Elementary	11/17/2014	-	-	\$794,812	\$14,316.55	Install energy efficiency measures and a PV system.	Implementation
007-14-ECG	Campbell Unified School District - Rolling Hills Middle School	11/17/2014	-	-	\$692,584	\$14,316.55	Install energy efficiency measures and a PV system.	Implementation
008-14-ECG	Campbell Unified School District - Marshall Lane Elementary	11/17/2014	-	-	\$478,754	\$14,316.55	Install energy efficiency measures and a PV system.	Implementation
009-14-ECG	Campbell Unified School District - Lynhaven Elementary	11/17/2014	12/31/2015	3/31/2017	\$65,887	\$65,887.00	Install energy efficiency measures.	Project Complete. Funds expended is the final disbursed amount.
010-14-ECG	Campbell Unified School District - Forest Hill Elementary	11/17/2014	-	-	\$534,346	\$14,316.56	Install energy efficiency measures and a PV system.	Implementation
013-14-ECG	Campbell Unified School District - Castlemont Elementary	11/17/2014	12/31/2015	3/31/2017	\$81,218	\$78,652.00	Install energy efficiency measures.	Project Complete. Funds expended is the final disbursed amount.
014-14-ECG	Campbell Unified School District - Blackford Elementary	11/17/2014	-	-	\$513,000	\$14,316.55	Install a PV system.	Implementation
015-14-ECG	Campbell Unified School District - Sherman Oaks Elementary	11/17/2014	-	-	\$1,084,933	\$14,316.55	Install energy efficiency measures and a PV system.	Implementation
016-14-ECG	Campbell Unified School District - Monroe Middle School	11/17/2014	-	-	\$1,078,750	\$14,316.55	Install energy efficiency measures and a PV system.	Implementation
017-14-ECG	Campbell Unified School District - Capri Elementary and Village School	11/17/2014	-	-	\$796,007	\$14,316.55	Install energy efficiency measures and a PV system.	Implementation
018-14-ECG	Campbell Unified School District - Campbell Middle School and Corporate Yard	11/17/2014	-	-	\$1,378,638	\$14,316.55	Install energy efficiency measures and a PV system.	Implementation
011-14-ECG	Newport Mesa Unified School District	11/17/2014	2/29/2016	5/29/2017	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000.00	Install renewable energy measures.	Project Complete. Funds expended is the final disbursed amount.
019-14-ECG	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	12/10/2014	9/25/2015	12/25/2016	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000.00	Install 820.6 kW PV system.	Project Complete. Funds expended is the final disbursed amount.
021-14-ECG	Sequoias Community College School District	2/25/2015	12/17/2015	3/17/2017	\$3,000,000	\$2,981,074.36	Install a 0.798 MW PV system.	Project Complete. Funds expended is the final disbursed amount.
020-14-ECG	Chico Unified School District	4/8/2015	12/15/2015	3/15/2017	\$3,000,000	\$2,588,712.21	Install PV systems at 5 schools.	Project Complete. Funds expended is the final disbursed amount.

Loan Number	Local Education Agency or Community College District Name	Business Meeting Approval Date	Project Completion Date (Completed Projects only)	Final Project Completion Report Due Date* (Completed Projects only)	Approved Loan Amount	Funds Expended**	Project Summary	Status/Comments
023-14-ECG	Sylvan Union School District	4/8/2015	-	-	\$1,799,839	\$0.00	Install energy efficiency measures at 8 schools, District Office, Central Kitchen, and Maintenance Facility.	Implementation
001-15-ECG	Salida Union School District	7/8/2015	-	-	\$2,054,469	\$1,218,744.06	Install energy efficiency measures and photovoltaic installations at multiple District facilities	Implementation
002-15-ECG	Montague Elementary School District	8/12/2015	-	-	\$419,520	\$213,095.40	Install energy efficiency measures and renewal energy measures at District facilities.	Implementation
003-15-ECG	Durham Unified School District	9/9/2015	-	-	\$2,000,000	\$0.00	Install a total of 575.1 kW PV.	Implementation
004-15-ECG	Vista del Mar Union School District	10/14/2015	-	-	\$146,240	\$0.00	Install a 55 kWac PV.	Implementation
005-15-ECG	Oroville Union High School District	12/9/2015	-	-	\$2,800,000	\$125,186.25	Install 839 kW roof and parking structure mounted PV system.	Implementation
006-15-ECG	Soledad Unified School District	3/9/2016	-	-	\$2,000,000	\$0.00	Install a total of 463 kW PV.	Implementation
007-15-ECG	Benicia Unified School District	5/17/2016	-	-	\$3,000,000	\$0.00	Install a 721.35 kWdc PV	Implementation
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>29</b>				<b>\$46,438,154</b>	<b>\$23,936,235.39</b>		

\*Final Project Completion Report Due Date is 15 months after the Project Completion Date.

\*\*Amounts in bold are the final loan funds disbursed for completed projects.

**Summary:**

<b>Number of Loans Approved</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>Number of Completed Loans</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Total Funds Approved</b>	<b>\$46,438,154.00</b>	<b>Total Funds Approved for Completed Loans</b>	<b>\$21,857,105</b>
<b>Total Funds Expended</b>	<b>\$23,936,235.39</b>	<b>Total Funds Disbursed by Completed Loans</b>	<b>\$21,424,325.57</b>

**Appendix F**  
**ECAA-Ed Final Project Completion Report Data**  
**Yuba Community College District**

Borrower Name	Yuba Community College District
Energy Commission Loan Agreement Number	005-14-ECG
Energy Commission Approved Loan Amount (\$)	\$ 710,000
Final Loan Amount Disbursed (\$)	\$ 710,000
Total Project Cost (\$)	\$ 810,000
Business Meeting Approval Date	10/7/2014
Project Completion Date	12/15/2014
Time Between Approval and Project Completion (days)	69
Site Name	Yuba Community College District
Reported Annual Site Energy Use Intensity Before (kbtu/sqft)	175.85
Reported Annual Site Energy Use Intensity After (kbtu/sqft)	159.41
Reported Annual Electricity Savings (kwh)	477,312
Reported Annual Natural Gas Savings (therms)	6,000
Reported Annual Cost Savings (\$)	\$ 90,689.00
Installed Energy Efficiency Projects	Install Energy Management System Install a variable frequency drive control and a new pressure tank for the campus irrigation system.

## Appendix G: Chico Unified School District Project Pictures

Rosedale Elementary School



Emma Wilson Elementary School



Sierra View Elementary School



Sierra View Elementary School



Neal Dow Elementary School



Bidwell Junior High School



**Appendix H**  
**Bright School Program Summary**

(as of June 30, 2016)

	Program Participant	ECAA-Ed Expenditure	Final Report Date	No. of Sites	Est. Annual Electric Savings (kWh)	Est. Annual Natural Gas Savings (therms)	Est. Annual Energy Cost Savings	Est. Project Cost	Potential Utility Incentive
1	Acton Agua Dulce USD	\$18,144	1/29/2015	2	91,281	0	\$ 15,483	\$ 402,663	\$ 88,902
2	Arcata ESD	\$16,615	10/24/2015	2	55,059	612	\$ 10,948	\$ 87,522	\$ 6,942
3	Bass Lake JUESD	\$15,856	2/4/2014	3	79,157	0	\$ 17,649	\$ 234,810	\$ 6,365
4	Bayshore ESD	\$14,266	3/10/2015	2	0	2,683	\$ 2,825	\$ 56,000	\$ 3,250
5	Bellevue Union SD	\$17,570	11/25/2015	4	139,196	5,338	\$ 14,510	\$ 219,355	\$ 26,450
6	Benicia USD	\$19,982	11/7/2015	2	275,903	3,908	\$ 45,676	\$ 428,375	\$ 21,437
7	Berkeley USD	\$20,330	6/29/2015	2	804,877	20,787	\$ 122,703	\$ 1,492,778	\$ 69,176
8	Blake ESD	\$10,423	1/7/2016	1	11,030	0	\$ 3,003	\$ 57,776	\$ 731
9	Blake ESD	\$2,352	6/27/2016		See #9. Professional engineering support services.				
10	Buckeye Union SD	\$19,804	12/11/2015	3	336,798	1,638	\$ 69,191	\$ 2,787,060	\$ 68,584
11	Burlingame ESD	\$19,618	11/4/2015	4	59,524	141	\$ 11,457	\$ 102,164	\$ 5,032
12	Camino USD	\$14,053	1/7/2015	1	152,905	1,616	\$ 33,531	\$ 524,880	\$ 900
13	Canyon ESD	\$7,974	9/28/2015	1	18,057	0	\$ 3,738	\$ 50,846	\$ 512
14	Capay JUSD	\$12,650	10/2/2014	1	116,874	-508	\$ 24,899	\$ 405,439	\$ 500
15	Centralia SD	\$19,692	4/19/2016	3	328,558	246	\$ 46,400	\$ 673,996	\$ 48,705
16	Chaffey Joint USD	\$19,113	1/20/2016	1	219,638	637	\$ 32,769	\$ 252,457	\$ 21,651
17	Chicago Park ESD	\$8,710	12/17/2014	2	75,052	0	\$ 14,438	\$ 165,192	\$ 930
18	Chico USD	\$19,329	5/20/2016	1	Not applicable. Third party performance specs development				
19	Chino USD	\$19,980	4/24/2014	8	769,000	480	\$ 161,200	\$ 4,127,000	\$ 15,400
20	Coastal Grove Charter School	\$9,444	10/18/2015	1	13,315	0	\$ 2,610	\$ 22,476	\$ 1,360
21	Colusa USD	\$19,943	5/13/2015	3	250,617	2,229	\$ 47,447	\$ 473,016	\$ 18,720
22	Contra Costa CCD	\$19,991	12/10/2015	1	132,791	2,018	\$ 21,051	\$ 605,933	\$ 32,458
23	Dunham Elementary Charter	\$6,954	6/24/2016	0.5	25,236	148	\$ 5,666	\$ 140,220	\$ 1,091
24	Dunham ESD	\$6,954	6/24/2016	0.5	5,733	99	\$ 1,395	\$ 57,551	\$ 142
25	Eel River Charter School	\$9,918	10/26/2015	1	14,603	139	\$ 3,076	\$ 56,172	\$ 2,243
26	El Dorado COE	\$19,749	10/22/2015	1	98,204	0	\$ 16,647	\$ 134,771	\$ 7,106
27	Escondido Union SD	\$19,670	12/21/2015	10	Not Applicable. Third-party proposal review, update, and prioritization.				
28	Eureka City Schools	\$15,382	1/30/2014	1	131,753	7,794	\$ 27,025	\$ 291,100	\$ 13,128
29	Flourney UESD	\$9,023	12/15/2014	1	55,440	48	\$ 10,035	\$ 188,059	\$ 645
30	Forest Ranch School	\$7,219	7/17/2014	1	29,124	0	\$ 5,437	\$ 44,230	\$ 7,330
31	Fullerton Joint Union HSD	\$16,583	6/17/2015	1	456,976	14,651	\$ 79,279	\$ 65,652	\$ 17,598
32	George Washington Charter School	\$13,425	3/18/2016	1	194,324	0	\$ 20,734	\$ 709,580	\$ 8,073
33	Gerber UESD	\$10,322	12/12/2014	1	323,626	21	\$ 61,276	\$ 923,223	\$ 7,198
34	Glendale USD	\$19,785	11/12/2014	1	286,819	-897	\$ 48,196	\$ 129,367	\$ 23,761
35	Gold Oak Union SD	\$16,876	10/27/2015	2	70,282	0	\$ 12,694	\$ 114,208	\$ 9,424
36	Gold Trail USD	\$18,294	10/27/2015	2	75,689	0	\$ 14,146	\$ 105,326	\$ 5,973
37	Golden Oak Montessori of Hayward	\$13,929	12/29/2015	1	21,581	241	\$ 4,637	\$ 52,473	\$ 2,813
38	Grass Valley ESD	\$17,650	1/27/2015	3	81,900	0	\$ 17,500	\$ 243,100	\$ 16,100
39	Indian Diggings SD	\$13,359	3/25/2016	1	30,475	0	\$ 6,491	\$ 107,618	\$ 2,992
40	Johnstonville ESD	\$13,458	1/20/2016	1	52,435	0	\$ 10,052	\$ 132,195	\$ 2,466
41	La Canada USD	\$19,010	12/3/2015	3	214,231	3,815	\$ 51,267	\$ 206,937	\$ 16,573

42	LaHonda-Pescadero USD	\$20,073	8/6/2015	3	48,494	0	\$ 8,440	\$ 105,514	\$ 5,445
43	Lake COE	\$19,374	11/18/2015	3	34,714	0	\$ 8,172	\$ 72,590	\$ 2,486
44	Lake Tahoe USD	\$19,867	10/23/2015	3	327,742	51,956	\$ 76,516	\$ 792,958	\$ 46,290
45	Las Lomitas ESD	\$9,371	3/29/2016	3	54,665	1,641	\$ 10,610	\$ 495,426	\$ 3,179
46	Las Virgenes USD	\$19,958	1/6/2015	2	471,942	-143	\$ 61,019	\$ 981,702	\$ 50,136
47	Latrobe SD	\$12,829	11/13/2014	2	55,037	0	\$ 10,930	\$ 194,570	\$ 240
48	Laytonville USD	\$16,313	2/16/2015	2	379,744	0	\$ 77,029	\$ 1,033,500	\$ 7,260
49	Leggett Valley USD	\$17,416	2/12/2015	2	74,658	0	\$ 14,057	\$ 205,375	\$ 2,836
50	Lewiston ESD	\$15,889	6/15/2016	1	21,979	387	\$ 2,811	\$ 48,867	\$ -
51	Life Learning Academy	\$11,815	12/21/2015	1	39,515	1,477	\$ 7,738	\$ 113,845	\$ -
52	Livermore Valley Joint USD	\$19,848	10/29/2015	3	17,927	5,705	\$ 10,821	\$ 170,653	\$ 8,394
53	Loomis Union SD	\$18,223	4/16/2016	3	162,078	1,104	\$ 33,634	\$ 783,492	\$ 7,875
54	Martinez USD	\$19,798	12/21/2015	3	269,021	4,088	\$ 54,472	\$ 841,488	\$ 30,967
55	Marysville Academy of Arts	\$11,497	10/19/2015	1	56,999	0	\$ 10,374	\$ 154,659	\$ 3,934
56	Marysville JUSD	\$19,795	1/22/2015	3	484,923	1,635	\$ 92,801	\$ 647,200	\$ 10,457
57	McCabe Union ESD	\$19,901	11/6/2015	1	346,313	119	\$ 39,429	\$ 515,610	\$ 60,546
58	Middletown USD	\$19,786	12/10/2015	5	174,388	0	\$ 32,408	\$ 203,739	\$ 13,859
59	Millbrae ESD	\$19,627	11/13/2015	5	147,706	422	\$ 27,602	\$ 204,981	\$ 12,031
60	Miwok Valley Language Academy Charter	\$7,426	5/20/2016	1	57,551	1,302	\$ 12,433	\$ 329,058	\$ 10,839
61	Modoc COE	\$9,632	10/9/2014	4	13,999	0	\$ 3,630	\$ 43,500	\$ 2,662
62	Modoc JUSD	\$19,802	9/5/2014	3	292,000	0	\$ 54,473	\$ 345,000	\$ 68,844
63	Monrovia USD	\$19,928	1/6/2015	3	333,436	-340	\$ 38,715	\$ 751,679	\$ 74,344
64	Moreno Valley USD	\$19,788	1/27/2016	1	255,527	1,469	\$ 25,302	\$ 103,606	\$ 11,752
65	Natomas Charter School	\$9,284	12/19/2014	1	116,255	141	\$ 16,326	\$ 287,000	\$ 18,730
66	Nevada City ESD	\$17,224	12/31/2014	3	36,600	0	\$ 6,760	\$ 95,800	\$ 3,700
67	Newark USD	\$19,951	11/16/2015	5	203,270	0	\$ 39,142	\$ 2,043,805	\$ 16,262
68	Nord Country School	\$8,631	1/15/2015	1	47,381	0	\$ 8,523	\$ 128,932	\$ 870
69	Oak Park USD	\$18,463	11/10/2014	6	518,441	0	\$ 104,546	\$ 2,478,100	\$ 124,826
70	Old Adobe Elementary Charter	\$4,584	5/27/2016	1	48,978	1,918	\$ 12,006	\$ 176,717	\$ 844
71	Old Adobe Union SD	\$7,426	6/10/2016	2	53,894	172	\$ 13,909	\$ 144,584	\$ 9,417
72	Oroville City ESD	\$13,721	9/18/2014	2	183,382	841	\$ 37,052	\$ 639,811	\$ 2,709
73	Oroville UHSD	\$16,453	11/13/2014	3	30,600	40	\$ 5,600	\$ 68,000	\$ 1,300
74	Oxnard Union HSD	\$20,645	7/13/2015	2	410,629	21,923	\$ 38,578	\$ 2,918,676	\$ 7,935
75	Palm Desert Charter School	\$17,580	2/16/2016	1	304,900	582	\$ 29,887	\$ 701,968	\$ 14,462
76	Para Los Ninos	\$14,701	12/12/2014	1	10,143	0	\$ 11,358	\$ 186,774	\$ 16,260
77	Pasadena USD	\$19,965	12/17/2014	1	65,957	-147	\$ 12,399	\$ 97,778	\$ 4,185
78	Pierce Joint USD	\$19,957	5/5/2015	4	102,792	0	\$ 19,207	\$ 143,367	\$ 9,579
79	Pioneer Union SD	\$15,095	11/20/2015	3	77,063	0	\$ 16,492	\$ 231,105	\$ 8,207
80	Placentia-Yorba Linda USD	\$19,662	6/12/2015	3	656,431	4,562	\$ 144,992	\$ 2,546,176	\$ 25,930
81	Placerville USD	\$17,523	1/26/2015	5	434,839	4,662	\$ 90,892	\$ 1,455,192	\$ 10,881
82	Point Arena SD	\$25,150	2/27/2015	3	98,646	0	\$ 25,510	\$ 297,150	\$ 8,250
83	Potter Valley Community USD	\$17,346	4/15/2015	4	40,463	0	\$ 7,619	\$ 60,604	\$ 4,356
84	Princeton Joint USD	\$19,525	9/25/2015	2	61,490	1,759	\$ 12,076	\$ 162,338	\$ 7,165
85	Ramona USD	\$23,296	4/28/2015	2	2,489,800	0	\$ 46,538	\$ 312,514	\$ 22,823
86	Rialto USD	\$19,987	11/10/2014	4	96,743	-278	\$ 13,077	\$ 118,382	\$ 25,622
87	Roseville JUHSD	\$19,938	11/14/2014	2	304,080	4,732	\$ 43,973	\$ 261,800	\$ 12,460
88	Sacramento COE	\$20,836	7/31/2015	6	119,721	215	\$ 13,462	\$ 131,871	\$ 26,809
89	Salinas City ESD	\$19,845	1/15/2016	3	68,031	2,158	\$ 14,569	\$ 174,663	\$ 8,586
90	San Carlos SD	\$18,118	12/10/2014	2	296,237	21	\$ 60,127	\$ 779,072	\$ 1,020
91	San Diego COE	\$18,739	1/22/2016	2	1,024,605	2,426	\$ 198,940	\$ 971,245	\$ 31,999

92	San Diego USD	\$15,157	7/8/2014	2	547,196	0	\$ 72,868	\$ 827,763	\$ 70,613
93	San Mateo Union HSD	\$19,187	4/28/2016	1	154,513	18,738	\$ 36,975	\$ 527,768	\$ 98,378
94	San Mateo-Foster City SD	\$19,823	1/27/2015	4	310,288	482	\$ 62,418	\$ 797,044	\$ 12,050
95	San Pasqual USD	\$15,980	1/12/2015	1	69,737	0	\$ 20,492	\$ 169,097	\$ -
96	San Ramon Valley USD	\$19,760	11/20/2015	4	281,815	2,593	\$ 54,336	\$ 775,221	\$ 35,994
97	Scotia USD	\$18,343	2/27/2015	2	173,389	7,867	\$ 39,916	\$ 411,693	\$ 22,465
98	Seeley Union SD	\$13,046	10/29/2015	1	156,004	172	\$ 17,166	\$ 235,732	\$ 24,907
99	Sequoia Union HSD	\$17,861	5/12/2016	1	201,955	688	\$ 31,978	\$ 525,042	\$ 11,566
100	Shaffer Elementary School	\$11,130	1/28/2016	1	61,535	0	\$ 10,827	\$ 93,818	\$ 4,932
101	Sierra COE	\$6,150	2/16/2016	1	2,769	0	\$ 517	\$ 7,877	\$ 508
102	Sierra-Plumas Joint USD	\$17,547	3/11/2016	3	70,923	0	\$ 12,513	\$ 213,123	\$ 11,172
103	Sonoma Mountain Elementary Charter School	\$7,426	5/27/2016	1	75,877	680	\$ 22,098	\$ 294,808	\$ 6,373
104	Sunol Glen USD	\$9,256	3/29/2016	1	120,331	0	\$ 30,805	\$ 588	\$ -
105	Sweetwater UHSD	\$19,986	12/17/2014	2	310,755	0	\$ 67,684	\$ 756,640	\$ 12,822
106	Tahoe Truckee USD	\$19,545	11/13/2014	2	87,600	0	\$ 11,600	\$ 78,500	\$ 17,500
107	Tahoe Truckee USD	\$20,214	6/26/2015	3	60,206	812	\$ 8,258	\$ 90,392	\$ 16,735
108	Tahoe-Truckee USD	\$19,916	2/9/2016	2	114,778	7,977	\$ 22,819	\$ 296,496	\$ 19,565
109	Temecula Valley USD	\$19,883	11/3/2015	1	97,646	10,177	\$ 18,696	\$ 142,396	\$ 48,941
110	The Accelerated School	\$18,741	7/28/2015	1	17,055	842	\$ 22,114	\$ 173,650	\$ 23,766
111	The Pruess School UCSD	\$13,225	1/12/2015	1	254,337	285	\$ 30,771	\$ 740,879	\$ 1,760
112	Trinity Alps USD	\$15,136	4/28/2015	1	172,373	0	\$ 21,570	\$ 459,954	\$ 4,200
113	Tule Lake Basin JUSD	\$17,269	12/31/2014	2	71,700	3,100	\$ 19,300	\$ 93,400	\$ 12,800
114	Twin Ridges ESD	\$11,848	11/10/2015	1	21,298	0	\$ 3,812	\$ 28,192	\$ 2,284
115	Ukiah USD	\$19,128	4/15/2015	2	164,740	19,963	\$ 35,412	\$ 495,772	\$ 41,906
116	Union Hill SD	\$13,328	11/30/2015	4	149,896	2,544	\$ 31,128	\$ 436,630	\$ 18,855
117	Vallecitos SD	\$13,312	12/22/2014	1	16,933	0	\$ 6,943	\$ 84,975	\$ -
118	Westmorland Union ESD	\$10,236	9/24/2015	1	114,585	69	\$ 12,309	\$ 178,755	\$ 21,821
119	Wilmar Union SD	\$14,411	4/21/2016	1	65,408	17	\$ 14,128	\$ 294,813	\$ 718
	Contractor Administration (as of 6/30/2016)	\$391,323	Not applicable. Prime contractor's contract administration expense						
	Participant withdrawn after costs incurred	\$13,507	Not applicable						
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$2,306,676</b>		<b>261</b>	<b>22,065,434</b>	<b>261,439</b>	<b>\$ 3,593,510</b>	<b>\$ 52,854,974</b>	<b>\$ 1,984,855</b>

**APPENDIX B: CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE  
PROPOSITION 39 SUMMARY REPORT**



# 2017 REPORT

## Citizens Oversight Board Proposition 39 Clean Energy Jobs Act Summary Report Year 2 (FY 2014-15) and Year 3 (FY 2015-16)





ELOY ORTIZ OAKLEY  
Chancellor

1102 Q Street, Suite 4400 | Sacramento, California 95811 6539  
t: 916.322.4005 | f: 916.322.4783  
CaliforniaCommunityColleges.cccco.edu

February 3, 2017

The Honorable Edmund G. Brown  
Governor of California  
State Capitol  
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: California Community College Proposition 39 Projects

Dear Governor Brown:

The California Community Colleges Chancellor's office is pleased to share with you the successes of the community college districts in implementing the Proposition 39 Clean Energy Jobs Act program. Year 2 and 3 of funding have supported 735 energy projects at 72 community college districts resulting in one-time incentives, ongoing energy and monetary savings, job creation and better physical environments for California's community college students.

The energy projects implemented on community college campuses in these two years of Proposition 39 funding will result in annual savings of 74.6 million kilo-watt hours of electricity and 1.6M gas therms, generating \$11.2 million in annual energy cost savings and \$15.6 million in one-time energy incentives. The energy saved by these Proposition 39 energy projects can power approximately 14,000 homes year. These savings can be redirected to educational programs and other support services to improve student outcomes.

The jobs created by these energy projects include construction jobs and construction related jobs such as consultants, energy auditors, architects, engineers, and office staff. The 254 completed projects have generated a total of 300 job years. Based on these results, we estimate the remaining 481 projects will generate an additional 605 job years.

The Proposition 39 funds have also provided training for community college students and instructors in energy efficiency related areas. Currently, more than 5,000 students completed degrees, certificates, or industry certifications in year two of the program.

We wish to express our appreciation to you for your support of the community colleges, energy efficiency and sustainability.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Eloy Ortiz Oakley', written over a light blue rectangular background.

Eloy Ortiz Oakley  
Chancellor



# Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	5
Identifying Energy Savings.....	7
Implementation Overview.....	7
Funding Status.....	7
Results of Closed-Out and In-Progress Projects.....	10
District Energy Usage Data Summary.....	37
Workforce and Economic Development Summary.....	53
Appendices.....	60

## Citizens Oversight Board Proposition 39 Clean Energy Jobs Act Summary Report

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office (Chancellor's Office) has been making great strides with the Proposition 39 Clean Energy Jobs Act. Proposition 39 is an approved initiative for the purposes of creating jobs in California by improving energy efficiency and expanding clean energy generation. The progress made in Year 2 and Year 3 of this 5-year program has been instrumental in reducing energy usage, cost savings and creating clean energy jobs throughout the community college system. The Proposition 39 program is managed by two divisions within the Chancellor's Office to implement the requirements set by SB 73 (Ch. 29, Stats. 2013) The Facilities Planning and Utilization Unit oversees the funding allocated towards improving energy efficiency on community college campuses. The Workforce and Economic Development Division oversees the workforce training and development program on community college campuses.

The Facilities Planning and Utilization Unit has partnered with investor-owned utility groups and the consulting firm Newcomb, Anderson, and McCormick to work with districts on reviewing, approving, administering and verifying clean energy projects and energy savings. The investor-owned utility

groups and Newcomb, Anderson, and McCormick have been an integral part of the partnership with the Chancellor's Office in assisting community colleges across the state. The Workforce and Economic Development Division is in charge of the funding, which is allocated to districts on a grant basis. They have collaborated with a sector navigator that specializes in energy, construction and utilities to assist districts in the development of regional career pathways. The Facilities Planning Unit and the Workforce and Economic Development Division have been working in tandem to educate the staff and students to improve energy efficiency on campuses in the community college system.

Community college districts are working with their investor-owned utility groups and Newcomb, Anderson, and McCormick on closing out their energy efficiency projects. Fifty-seven districts have closed out 254 projects in Year 2 and 3 for a total project cost of \$54.9 million. This has resulted in 29.9 million kWh and 316,000 therm savings resulting in \$4 million energy cost savings for districts. Districts received approximately \$6 million in incentives from the investor-owned utility groups for these projects. There were 300 direct job years and eight trainee job years produced from these energy efficiency projects on district campuses. The amount of energy savings from these energy efficiency projects can power more than 5,000 homes.

California community colleges are continually working on energy efficiency projects in the load order established in the 2003 Energy Action Plan. Since energy efficiency and demand response are prioritized, lighting projects are still leading the way in years 2 and 3 in terms of being closed-out. They amount to 155 projects, which is more than 60 percent of the total amount of projects that were closed-out. Lighting projects generate the highest savings-to-investment-ratio and will continue to be integral projects in order for districts to meet requirements. HVAC and controls (combined lighting and HVAC controls) projects place second in Year 2 and Year 3, respectively, at 44 and 43 projects. These projects amount to 17 percent each of the total amount of projects completed in Year 2 and Year 3. The remaining projects such as self-generation, MBCx/RCx, Tech Assist and Other amount to 4 percent of the total.

The Workforce and Economic Development Division has an application process in order for districts to obtain funding. This is a longer process for districts, which results in a longer program cycle overall, thus the Workforce and Economic Development Division is currently finishing Year 2 and currently working on Year 3. Year 3 results will be forthcoming in the following year's report. The funding allocated to community colleges have resulted in more than 5,000 students completing degrees, certificates or industry certifications in Year 2.

The combined efforts of the two Chancellor's Office divisions assisting California community colleges on clean energy efficiency and workforce development promotes a greater sustainability and economic growth for the future of California.

## IDENTIFYING ENERGY SAVINGS

As required by Proposition 39, the districts' projects must meet the energy savings requirements in order to be eligible for funding. The detailed method and procedure for determining energy savings for Prop 39 funded projects are outlined in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 of the California Community Colleges Prop 39 Guidelines (<http://cccutilitypartnership.com>). These procedures follow California Public Utility Commission (CPUC)-approved protocols for determining energy savings for projects. There are different protocols for project type (energy efficiency, solar PV, MBCx/RCx, etc.) and the standards for each project type are outlined in the guidelines. Energy savings are based on the difference between annual energy use under existing conditions and annual energy use under proposed conditions, and the corresponding cost of energy saved, as described in SB 73.

These annual energy savings, and the corresponding annual energy cost savings, will be used to determine the cost-effectiveness of Proposition 39 projects and for program reporting. For certain projects, the utility incentive programs measure energy savings against state energy code baselines rather than actual usage, and this will be used as the basis for the utility incentive payment. Once the proposed energy savings are calculated or determined following the process described above, a Form B and utility incentive application (if appropriate) is submitted by the district for review and approval.

Final project energy savings are determined after project installation through a Measurement & Verification process described in Section 12 of the Prop 39 Guidelines. This process for projects funded with Proposition 39 funds follow the general approach of the International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol guidelines for measurement of savings and verification of project completion. The utility Measurement & Verification process for projects implemented under the incentive programs are leveraged to the fullest extent possible to avoid duplication of efforts.

## IMPLEMENTATION OVERVIEW

The Chancellor's Office Proposition 39 program for Year 2 and Year 3 continued the momentum of Year 1. As such, there were no changes made in these two years for the Proposition 39 program.

## FUNDING STATUS

The California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office requests districts to create a project list every first quarter of the calendar year. A master list of projects was created when Proposition 39 was initiated. Since then, districts have used their master list as a basis for upcoming projects. In consultation with the investor-owned utility groups and NAM, districts also have projects generated by the consultants. The Chancellor's Office also uses the systemwide database, FUSION, to generate a list of potential projects. Districts enter scheduled maintenance projects as well as capital outlay projects, which is a potential pool of Proposition 39 projects.

Districts work with their local investor-owned utility group and Newcomb, Anderson, and McCormick on determining the types of projects that are viable. These projects are in loading order as determined by the California Public Utilities Commission and take into consideration the cost effectiveness to reach a savings-to-investment-ratio of 1.05.

Funds are distributed to districts on a full-time equivalent student basis. However, funds are not released to districts until they submit project request forms (Form B) to the Chancellor's Office. The investor-owned utility groups and Newcomb, Anderson, and McCormick review the Form Bs before

they are submitted to the Chancellor's Office. The Chancellor's Office releases the funds to the districts when they have a viable project.

As can be seen in the figures below, the Chancellor's Office splits the Proposition 39 funding between the Facilities Planning and Utilization Unit and the Workforce and Economic Development Division. The Facilities Planning and Utilization Unit garners the majority of the funding, which is used for the actual construction work done on district campuses. A portion of the allocation is set aside for the consultant for the administration of the program as well as assisting districts with the engineering work and verification of the projects.

### California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office Proposition 39 Allocation

<b>Chancellor's Office Division Allocation</b>	<b>Allocation</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>
<b>Workforce &amp; Economic Development</b>	12.8%	<b>\$4,790,000</b>	<b>\$4,950,000</b>
<b>Facilities Planning &amp; Utilization</b>	87.2%	<b>\$32,710,000</b>	<b>\$33,787,000</b>
District Allocation		\$31,595,000	\$32,672,000
Prop 39 Consulting Contract		\$1,115,000	\$1,115,000
<b>Total</b>	100%	<b>\$37,500,000</b>	<b>\$38,737,000</b>

The districts are allocated their share based upon their percentage of the total systemwide full-time equivalent student, as seen below. This methodology is in line with how the Chancellor's Office allocates the Physical Plant and Instructional Support program funding to districts.

### Proposition 39 District Allocation for 2014-15 and 2015-16 Based on FTES

<b>District</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>
Allan Hancock CCD	\$267,805	\$276,091
Antelope Valley CCD	\$312,751	\$323,104
Barstow CCD	\$70,779	\$73,327
Butte-Glenn CCD	\$312,752	\$302,949
Cabrillo CCD	\$288,439	\$311,480
Cerritos CCD	\$473,761	\$509,415
Chabot-Las Positas CCD	\$459,356	\$486,956
Chaffey CCD	\$404,023	\$416,729
Citrus CCD	\$319,844	\$326,779
Coast CCD	\$919,343	\$933,341

<b>District</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>
Compton CCD	\$170,812	\$167,654
Contra Costa CCD	\$762,321	\$811,601
Copper Mountain CCD	\$41,711	\$42,396
Desert CCD	\$210,393	\$231,370
El Camino CCD	\$520,611	\$548,251
Feather River CCD	\$45,037	\$46,405
Foothill-DeAnza CCD	\$765,555	\$781,572
Gavilan CCD	\$151,093	\$152,244
Glendale CCD	\$428,411	\$442,909
Grossmont-Cuyamaca CCD	\$503,413	\$520,610
Hartnell CCD	\$193,392	\$204,839
Imperial CCD	\$186,738	\$196,648
Kern CCD	\$528,394	\$536,098
Lake Tahoe CCD	\$47,790	\$49,657
Lassen CCD	\$45,861	\$49,495
Long Beach CCD	\$561,172	\$583,855
Los Angeles CCD	\$2,862,377	\$2,983,565
Los Rios CCD	\$1,415,870	\$1,492,597
Marin CCD	\$123,359	\$111,122
Mendocino-Lake CCD	\$66,104	\$85,454
Merced CCD	\$269,370	\$279,045
Mira Costa CCD	\$295,774	\$299,433
Monterey Peninsula CCD	\$187,723	\$186,049
Mt. San Antonio CCD	\$836,777	\$894,792
Mt. San Jacinto CCD	\$304,321	\$318,687
Napa Valley CCD	\$156,200	\$161,690
North Orange County CCD	\$994,456	\$1,028,932
Ohlone CCD	\$222,353	\$230,705
Palo Verde CCD	\$39,526	\$51,634
Palomar CCD	\$532,456	\$552,755
Pasadena Area CCD	\$601,546	\$645,894
Peralta CCD	\$505,306	\$557,886
Rancho Santiago CCD	\$816,070	\$831,201
Redwoods CCD	\$106,017	\$112,611
Rio Hondo CCD	\$357,353	\$358,444
Riverside CCD	\$746,762	\$792,993
San Bernardino CCD	\$408,718	\$427,006
San Diego CCD	\$1,185,998	\$1,221,937

<b>District</b>	<b>FY 2014-15</b>	<b>FY 2015-16</b>
San Francisco CCD	\$735,451	\$668,303
San Joaquin Delta CCD	\$436,104	\$450,365
San Jose-Evergreen CCD	\$363,101	\$348,332
San Luis Obispo County CCD	\$236,770	\$203,859
San Mateo County CCD	\$532,775	\$514,266
Santa Barbara CCD	\$375,689	\$408,368
Santa Clarita CCD	\$429,972	\$439,363
Santa Monica CCD	\$606,330	\$621,744
Sequoias CCD	\$253,773	\$254,914
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity CCD	\$191,094	\$199,899
Sierra CCD	\$408,908	\$420,766
Siskiyou CCD	\$66,201	\$72,055
Solano County CCD	\$239,607	\$217,869
Sonoma County CCD	\$559,137	\$533,818
South Orange County CCD	\$700,863	\$795,973
Southwestern CCD	\$424,832	\$441,393
State Center CCD	\$746,614	\$803,256
Ventura County CCD	\$717,655	\$744,032
Victor Valley CCD	\$266,714	\$259,561
West Hills CCD	\$146,572	\$145,910
West Kern CCD	\$71,597	\$72,430
West Valley-Mission CCD	\$403,502	\$412,970
Yosemite CCD	\$469,131	\$474,168
Yuba CCD	\$186,615	\$218,179
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$31,595,000</b>	<b>\$32,672,000</b>

## **RESULTS OF CLOSED-OUT AND IN-PROGRESS PROJECTS:**

### **SUMMARY OF YEAR 2 AND 3 CLOSED-OUT AND IN-PROGRESS PROJECTS**

The California Community Colleges currently have 735 projects for Years 2 and 3 of Proposition 39 (fiscal years 2014-15 and 2015-16) either closed-out or in-progress at a total cost of \$165 million. These projects will generate savings of 74.6 million kilowatt-hours and more than 1.6 million gas therms resulting in \$11.2 million of energy cost savings. This is the equivalent of powering approximately 14,000 homes. Additionally, 905 one-year jobs will be created throughout California.

## SUMMARY OF PROPOSITION 39 TOTAL YEAR 2 AND 3 (FY 2014-15 AND FY 2015-16) CLOSED-OUT PROJECTS

- 57 Districts\*
- 254 Total closed-out projects
- \$54,922,004 Total project costs
- 29,903,272 kWh Savings
- 2,758 kW Savings
- 316,566 Therm savings
- \$4,059,269 Energy cost savings
- 300.34 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 8.34 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 624,708 Direct job hours
- 17,353 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$5,976,024 Incentives Paid
- 5,158 Homes powered

Project Type	FY 2014-15		FY 2015-16		Total	
	Count	Percentage of Year 2 Projects	Count	Percentage of Year 3 Projects	Total Count	Percentage of Total Projects
Lighting	65	64%	90	59%	155	61%
HVAC	19	19%	25	16%	44	17%
Controls (combined lighting and HVAC controls)	11	11%	32	21%	43	17%
Self-Generation	0	0%	1 <sup>1</sup>	1%	1	0%
MBCx/RCx	1	1%	2	1%	3	1%
Other energy efficiency measures	3	3%	2	1%	5	2%
Tech Assist	3	3%	0	0%	3	1%
<b>Total Projects</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>1</sup>San Mateo Community College District, Canada College: Solar PV Installation Project

\*Not all districts closed-out a project for each fiscal year. This may be due to multi-year projects, scheduling conflicts, contracting issues and other interruptions that take place during project development or construction.

## COMPLETED AND CLOSED-OUT PROJECTS

There are **254 completed projects** that were closed out in fiscal years 2014-15 and 2015-16. Forty community college districts closed out 102 projects in fiscal year 2014-15 and 45 districts closed out 152 projects in fiscal year 2015-16. A summary of key data points for the 254 closed-out projects is provided below, with more detail available on the attached spreadsheets. The energy projects spreadsheets section has a summary of the total project information for each district in the front, followed by a spreadsheet for each district with detailed project information.

Projects are not counted as completed and closed-out until they have been installed, verified by the investor-owned utility (or consultant if they are located in publicly-owned utility territory), and the total project costs and job hours created by the project have been reported in the project close out forms.

As of June 30, 2016, the California community colleges have 254 completed and closed-out projects at a cost of \$55 million including Proposition 39 funds, utility incentives, and any district funding required to complete the project. The projects have generated savings of 30 million kilowatt-hours and nearly 317,000 gas therms resulting in \$4 million in energy cost savings. This is the equivalent of powering more than 5,000 homes. The projects also generated the equivalent of 300 one-year jobs in construction and construction related fields and eight training years in the communities served by the districts.

## PROPOSITION 39 YEAR 2 AND YEAR 3 CLOSED-OUT PROJECTS

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- 40 Districts
- 102 Total closed-out projects
- \$24,252,823 Total project costs
- 13,653,884 kWh Savings
- 1,622 kW Savings
- 175,042 Therm savings
- \$1,877,765 Energy cost savings
- 134.64 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 3.74 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 280,051 Direct job hours
- 7,779 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$3,225,294 Incentives paid
- 2,397 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- 45 Districts
- 152 Total closed-out projects
- \$30,699,181 Total project costs
- 16,249,388 kWh Savings
- 1,136 kW Savings
- 141,524 Therm savings
- \$2,181,504 Energy cost savings
- 165.70 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 4.60 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 344,657 Direct job hours
- 9,574 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$2,750,730 Incentives paid
- 2,761 Homes powered

## **PROJECTS IN-PROGRESS**

An additional 481 projects are in progress at a total cost of \$110 million, including Proposition 39, utility incentive and district funding. These projects will result in savings of 44.7 million kilowatt-hours and 1.3 million gas therms resulting in \$7.1 million in energy cost savings. This is the equivalent of powering close to 9,000 homes. Additionally, 605 one-year jobs will be created throughout California.

### **PROPOSITION 39 PROJECTS TOTAL IN-PROGRESS (ESTIMATED) FOR FY 2014-15 AND FY 2015-16**

- 72 Districts
- 481 In-progress projects
- \$110,078,730 Current total project costs
- 44,664,397 Current kWh savings
- 10,393 Current kW savings
- 1,283,918 Current therm savings
- \$7,115,261 Current annual energy cost savings
- 605.02 Current direct job years (FTEs)
- 16.81 Current trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1,177,438 Current job hours
- 35,211 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$9,595,916 Current incentives
- 8,816 Current homes powered

### **COMPLETED/CLOSED-OUT PROJECTS SUMMARY BY DISTRICT**

This document provides a summary of the data included in the attached spreadsheets for closed-out projects for each community college district, including total project costs, incentive amounts, kilowatt-hours and gas therms saved, and other project metrics.

# PROPOSITION 39 DISTRICT PROJECTS COMPLETED/CLOSED-OUT

## Antelope Valley Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One closed-out project
- \$385,457.50 Total project costs
- 205,830 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$26,757.90 Annual energy cost savings
- .21 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .13 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 267 Direct job hours
- 430.50 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$49,399.20 Verified incentive
- 32.52 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One closed-out project
- \$369,361.26 Total project costs
- 97,548 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$12,681.24 Annual energy cost savings
- .05 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .18 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 377 Direct job hours
- 102 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$23,411.52 Verified incentive
- 15.41 Homes powered

## Barstow Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One closed-out project
- \$167,142.91 Total project costs
- 72,832 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$11,653.12 Annual energy cost savings
- .04 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .04 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 82 Direct job hours
- 82 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$17,479.68 Verified incentive
- 11.51 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One closed-out project
- \$76,456.00 Total project costs
- 8,856 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$1,151.28 Annual energy cost savings
- .01 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .02 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 32.75 Direct job hours
- 29.50 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$2,125.44 Verified incentive
- 1.40 Homes powered

## Butte-Glenn Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One closed-out project
- \$10,000 Total project costs
- 0 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$0.00 Annual energy cost savings

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One closed-out project
- \$420,611.97 Total project costs
- 130,500 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 6,530 Verified therm saving
- \$110,930.00 Annual energy cost savings

## Butte-Glenn Community College District

---

- |                                 |                                  |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| • 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)    | • 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)     |
| • 0 Direct job years (FTEs)     | • 1.43 Direct job years (FTEs)   |
| • 0 Direct job hours            | • 2,981.50 Direct job hours      |
| • 0 Apprentice direct job hours | • 0 Apprentice direct job hours  |
| • \$0.00 Verified incentive     | • \$37,850.00 Verified incentive |
| • 0 Homes powered               | • 29.57 Homes powered            |

## Cabrillo Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Five closed-out projects
- \$590,513.70 Total project costs
- 242,861 Verified kWh saving
- 30.97 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$33,805.76 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .57 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 1,194.90 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$58,286.64 Verified incentive
- 38.37 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Six closed-out projects
- \$379,365.38 Total project costs
- 349,771 Verified kWh saving
- 15.10 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$34,450.74 Annual energy cost savings
- .02 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .48 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 1,001.25 Direct job hours
- 47 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$23,526.40 Verified incentive
- 55.26 Homes powered

## Cerritos Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Two closed-out projects
- \$583,435.00 Total project costs
- 235,252 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$30,582.76 Annual energy cost savings
- .06 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .28 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 588 Direct job hours
- 117 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$56,460.48 Verified incentive
- 37.17 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

## Chabot-Las Positas Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- 4 Closed-out projects
- \$1,242,646.00 Total project costs
- 1,032,042 Verified kWh saving
- 88 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$134,165.46 Annual energy cost savings
- .14 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .95 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 1,970 Direct job hours
- 296 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$97,482.24 Verified incentive
- 163.06 Homes powered

## Chaffey Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Two closed-out projects
- \$153,183.00 Total project costs
- 0 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 41,796 Verified therm saving
- \$25,453.76 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .07 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 138.32 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$52,200.00 Verified incentive
- 57.26 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Five closed-out projects
- \$866,522.00 Total project costs
- 227,669 Verified kWh saving
- 10.7 Verified kW saving
- 17,583 Verified therm saving
- \$43,793.46 Annual energy cost savings
- .01 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .45 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 927.50 Direct job hours
- 12 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$67,446.00 Verified incentive
- 60.06 Homes powered

## Citrus Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Two closed-out Projects
- \$422,282.00 Total project costs
- 158,986 Verified kWh saving
- 4.97 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$20,668.18 Annual energy cost savings
- .02 Trainee job years (FTEs)

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Two closed-out projects
- \$538,958.00 Total project costs
- 301,099 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$24,593.22 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)

## Citrus Community College District

---

- |                                  |                                  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| • .22 Direct job years (FTEs)    | • .43 Direct job years (FTEs)    |
| • 454 Direct job hours           | • 903 Direct job hours           |
| • 36 Apprentice direct job hours | • 0 Apprentice direct job hours  |
| • 30,870.48 Verified incentive   | • \$66,200.16 Verified incentive |
| • 25.12 Homes powered            | • 47.57 Homes powered            |

## Coast Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out Projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Four closed-out projects
- \$1,613,996.60 Total project costs
- 799,440 Verified kWh saving
- 2 Verified kW saving
- 3,400 Verified therm saving
- \$66,044.21 Annual energy cost savings
- .26 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.38 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 2,872 Direct job hours
- 547 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$199,459.12 Verified incentive
- 130.97 Homes powered

## Compton Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Three closed-out Projects
- \$267,792.00 Total project costs
- 164,494 Verified kWh saving
- 19.23 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$21,384.22 Annual energy cost savings
- 1.81 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.64 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 3,406 Direct job hours
- 3,758 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$39,478.56 Verified incentive
- 25.99 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One closed-out projects
- \$200,679.00 Total project costs
- 77,571 Verified kWh saving
- 28.08 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$10,084.23 Annual energy cost savings
- .05 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .16 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 327 Direct job hours
- 110 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$18,617.04 Verified incentive
- 12.26 Homes powered

## Contra Costa Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out Projects
- Total project costs
- Verified kWh saving
- Verified kW saving
- Verified therm saving
- Annual energy cost savings
- Trainee job years (FTEs)
- Direct job years (FTEs)
- Direct job hours
- Apprentice direct job hours
- Verified incentive
- Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One Closed-out projects
- \$12,428.00 Total project costs
- 3,678 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$308.95 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .01 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 15 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$650.00 Verified incentive
- .58 Homes powered

## Copper Mountain Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Two Closed-out projects
- \$201,930.00 Total project costs
- 111,454 Verified kWh saving
- 5.90 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$15,041.13 Annual energy cost savings
- .01 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .12 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 259 Direct job hours
- 29 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$22,609.68 Verified incentive
- 17.61 Homes powered

## Desert Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Five Closed-out projects
- \$734,989.00 Total project costs
- 828,891 Verified kWh saving
- 16.98 Verified kW saving
- 4,588 Verified therm saving
- \$80,103.78 Annual energy cost savings

## **Desert Community College District**

---

- |                                   |                                   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| • N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)    | • .10 Trainee job years (FTEs)    |
| • N/A Direct job years (FTEs)     | • .61 Direct job years (FTEs)     |
| • N/A Direct job hours            | • 1,273 Direct job hours          |
| • N/A Apprentice direct job hours | • 209 Apprentice direct job hours |
| • N/A Verified incentive          | • \$203,522.16 Verified incentive |
| • N/A Homes powered               | • 137.25 Homes powered            |

## **El Camino Community College District**

---

### **Year 2 (FY 2014-15)**

- Five Closed-out projects
- \$441,694.28 Total project costs
- 320,901 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$45,530.44 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .25 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 520 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$77,016 Verified incentive
- 50.70 Homes powered

### **Year 3 (FY 2015-16)**

- Two Closed-out projects
- \$824,012.00 Total project costs
- 94,057 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 1,587 Verified therm saving
- \$12,556.44 Annual energy cost savings
- .20 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .80 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 1,668.75 Direct job hours
- 420.75 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$22,214.00 Verified incentive
- 17.03 Homes powered

## **Foothill-DeAnza Community College District**

---

### **Year 2 (FY 2014-15)**

- Two Closed-out projects
- \$200,000 Total project costs
- 0 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$0.00 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 0 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 0 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$0.00 Verified incentive
- 0 Homes powered

### **Year 3 (FY 2015-16)**

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

## Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Six Closed-out projects
- \$873,780 Total project costs
- 283,279 Verified kWh saving
- 49.40 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$48,157.43 Annual energy cost savings
- .38 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.10 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 2,282 Direct job hours
- 796.99 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$52,681.80 Verified incentive
- 44.76 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

## Hartnell Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One Closed-out project
- \$332,273.72 Total project costs
- 132,360 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$15,883.20 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .35 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 723 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$31,766.40 Verified incentive
- 20.91 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

## Imperial Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$320,000 Total project costs
- 0 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 11,389 Verified therm saving
- \$8,074.29 Annual energy cost savings

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- N/A Closed-out Project
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings

## Imperial Community College District

---

- |                                     |                                   |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| • .54 Trainee job years (FTEs)      | • N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)    |
| • .07 Direct job years (FTEs)       | • N/A Direct job years (FTEs)     |
| • 139.92 Direct job hours           | • N/A Direct job hours            |
| • 1,119 Apprentice direct job hours | • N/A Apprentice direct job hours |
| • \$19,740 Verified incentive       | • N/A Verified incentive          |
| • 15.60 Homes powered               | • N/A Homes powered               |

## Kern Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$946,046.52 Total project costs
- 409,340 Verified kWh saving
- 37.70 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$51,771.5 Annual energy cost savings
- .06 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.14 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 2,374.08 Direct job hours
- 133 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$85,675.92 Verified incentive
- 64.68 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Four Closed-out projects
- \$545,268.64 Total project costs
- 277,661 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$36,095.93 Annual energy cost savings
- .08 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .50 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 1,041 Direct job hours
- 173.50 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$21,120.48 Verified incentive
- 43.87 Homes powered

## Lake Tahoe Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- 3 Closed out Projects
- \$76,150.92 Total project costs
- 80,234 Verified kWh saving
- 14.5 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$8,825.74 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .08 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 173.7 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$10,019.95 Verified incentive
- 12.68 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- 3 Closed out Projects
- \$56,787.36 Total project costs
- 22,920 Verified kWh saving
- 2 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$2,521.20 Annual energy cost savings
- .00 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .04 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 91.50 Direct job hours
- 4 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$2,965.60 Verified incentive
- 3.62 Homes powered

## Long Beach Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Six Closed-out project
- \$1,680,109.00 Total project costs
- 1,137,319 Verified kWh saving
- 390 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$147,851.47 Annual energy cost savings
- .01 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 2.04 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 4,245.20 Direct job hours
- 24 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$273,584.28 Verified incentive
- 179.70 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

## Marin Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Two Closed-out projects
- \$133,985.00 Total project costs
- 142,757 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 64,697 Verified therm saving
- \$68,888.44 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .03 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 62.25 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$98,958.68 Verified incentive
- 111.19 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One Closed-out Project
- \$91,500.00 Total project costs
- 0 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 3,717 Verified therm saving
- \$2,973.60 Annual energy cost savings
- .01 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .02 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 43 Direct job hours
- 12 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$0.00 Verified incentive
- 5.09 Homes powered

## Mendocino-Lake Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Eight Closed-out projects
- \$191,815.16 Total project costs
- 172,201 Verified kWh saving
- 7.03 Verified kW saving
- 1,521 Verified therm saving
- \$23,312.75 Annual energy cost savings

## **Mendocino-Lake Community College District**

---

- |                                   |                                  |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| • N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)    | • 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)     |
| • N/A Direct job years (FTEs)     | • .26 Direct job years (FTEs)    |
| • N/A Direct job hours            | • 534 Direct job hours           |
| • N/A Apprentice direct job hours | • 0 Apprentice direct job hours  |
| • N/A Verified incentive          | • \$18,941.64 Verified incentive |
| • N/A Homes powered               | • 29.29 Homes powered            |

## **Mt. San Antonio Community College District**

---

### **Year 2 (FY 2014-15)**

- One Closed-out project
- \$2,712,774.00 Total project costs
- 801,941 Verified kWh saving
- 75 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$104,252.33 Annual energy cost savings
- .46 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .97 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 2,013.50 Direct job hours
- 955 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$192,465.84 Verified incentive
- 126.71 Homes powered

### **Year 3 (FY 2015-16)**

- N/A Closed-out Project
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

## **Mt. San Jacinto Community College District**

---

### **Year 2 (FY 2014-15)**

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### **Year 3 (FY 2015-16)**

- Five Closed-out projects
- \$286,474.07 Total project costs
- 109,468 Verified kWh saving
- 35.65 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$14,230.84 Annual energy cost savings
- .01 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .08 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 170 Direct job hours
- 16 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$30,469.68 Verified incentive
- 17.30 Homes powered

## Napa Valley Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Four Closed-out projects
- \$352,754.00 Total project costs
- 322,469 Verified kWh saving
- 10.2 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$44,144.00 Annual energy cost savings
- .07 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .13 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 374.50 Direct job hours
- 144 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$63,264.72 Verified incentive
- 50.95 Homes powered

## North Orange County Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Five Closed-out projects
- \$1,268,878.76 Total project costs
- 1,338,247 Verified kWh saving
- 482.78 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$173,972.11 Annual energy cost savings
- .14 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.30 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 2,709.50 Direct job hours
- 297 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$321,179.28 Verified incentive
- 211.44 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Four Closed-out projects
- \$2,608,353.13 Total project costs
- 781,972 Verified kWh saving
- 137.30 Verified kW saving
- 19,836 Verified therm saving
- \$148,005.32 Annual energy cost savings
- .06 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.44 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 3,001 Direct job hours
- 127 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$142,559.84 Verified incentive
- 150.73 Homes powered

## Ohlone Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Four Closed-out projects
- \$360,069.50 Total project costs
- 144,410 Verified kWh saving
- 17.60 Verified kW saving
- Verified therm saving
- \$16,952.40 Annual energy cost savings

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Two Closed-out projects
- \$248,520.46 Total project costs
- 184,422 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$19,302.62 Annual energy cost savings

## Ohlone Community College District

---

- |                                      |                                     |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| • .05 Trainee job years (FTEs)       | • .04 Trainee job years (FTEs)      |
| • .13 Direct job years (FTEs)        | • .11 Direct job years (FTEs)       |
| • 278 Direct job hours               | • 220.50 Direct job hours           |
| • 101.50 Apprentice direct job hours | • 86.50 Apprentice direct job hours |
| • \$32,923.56 Verified incentive     | • \$43,695.60 Verified incentive    |
| • 22.17 Homes powered                | • 29.14 Homes powered               |

## Palo Verde Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One Closed-out project
- \$101,920 Total project costs
- 99,517 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$12,937.21 Annual energy cost savings
- .05 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .01 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 13.75 Direct job hours
- 110 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$23,884.08 Verified incentive
- 15.72 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- N/A Closed-out Project
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

## Pasadena Area Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Six Closed-out projects
- \$1,798,222.48 Total project costs
- 683,989 Verified kWh saving
- 52.96 Verified kW saving
- 5,374 Verified therm saving
- \$106,360.15 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.37 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 2,851 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$109,701.24 Verified incentive
- 115.43 Homes powered

## Peralta Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Five Closed-out projects
- \$647,618.00 Total project costs
- 663,960 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$86,132.11 Annual energy cost savings
- .08 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .83 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 1,717.50 Direct job hours
- 175 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$157,928.92 Verified incentive
- 104.91 Homes powered

## Rancho Santiago Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$1,575,833.22 Total project costs
- 1,345,065 Verified kWh saving
- 209.42 Verified kW saving
- 20,686 Verified therm saving
- \$187,270.05 Annual energy cost savings
- .08 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .89 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 1,843.31 Direct job hours
- 162 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$321,066.88 Verified incentive
- 240.86 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$1,031,176.00 Total project costs
- 911,897 Verified kWh saving
- 255.80 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$157,056.12 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.55 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 3,226 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$216,820.56 Verified incentive
- 144.08 Homes powered

## Rio Hondo Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Five Closed-out projects
- \$775,714.74 Total project costs
- 859,422 Verified kWh saving
- 89.91 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$111,724.86 Annual energy cost savings

## Rio Hondo Community College District

---

- |                                   |                                   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| • N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)    | • .11 Trainee job years (FTEs)    |
| • N/A Direct job years (FTEs)     | • .30 Direct job years (FTEs)     |
| • N/A Direct job hours            | • 629 Direct job hours            |
| • N/A Apprentice direct job hours | • 230 Apprentice direct job hours |
| • N/A Verified incentive          | • \$191,233.73 Verified incentive |
| • N/A Homes powered               | • 135.79 Homes powered            |

## Riverside Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One Closed-out project
- \$98,043.00 Total project costs
- 258,465 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$33,600.45 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .03 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 72 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$15,508.00 Verified incentive
- 40.84 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Five Closed-out projects
- \$796,048.00 Total project costs
- 512,442 Verified kWh saving
- 29.70 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$54,118.49 Annual energy cost savings
- .14 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .43 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 898.50 Direct job hours
- 288.25 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$65,246.00 Verified incentive
- 80.97 Homes powered

## San Bernardino Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$568,699.00 Total project costs
- 605,928 Verified kWh saving
- 1 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$78,770.64 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .43 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 890.50 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$145,422.72 Verified incentive
- 95.74 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

## San Joaquin Delta Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One Closed-out Project
- \$848,463.77 Total project costs
- 569,928 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$48,443.88 Annual energy cost savings
- .46 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .46 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 953.50 Direct job hours
- 951 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$136,783.00 Verified incentive
- 90.05 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One Closed-out Project
- \$305,966.00 Total project costs
- 98,561 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$8,377.69 Annual energy cost savings
- .19 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .27 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 564 Direct job hours
- 403 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$23,564.64 Verified incentive
- 15.57 Homes powered

## San Jose/Evergreen Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Two Closed-out projects
- \$714,152.00 Total project costs
- 369,765 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$33,597.67 Annual energy cost savings
- .14 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .26 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 535 Direct job hours
- 300 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$71,203.20 Verified incentive
- 58.42 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Four Closed-out projects
- \$403,198.00 Total project costs
- 96,680 Verified kWh saving
- 25.04 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$14,527.99 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .33 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 688 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$7,134.00 Verified incentive
- 15.28 Homes powered

## San Luis Obispo County Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One Closed-out project
- \$315,315.00 Total project costs
- 126,996 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Four Closed-out projects
- \$377,823.40 Total project costs
- 212,660 Verified kWh saving
- 34 Verified kW saving
- -834 Verified therm saving

## San Luis Obispo County Community College District

---

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• \$15,239.52 Annual energy cost savings</li><li>• 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)</li><li>• .08 Direct job years (FTEs)</li><li>• 161 Direct job hours</li><li>• 0 Apprentice direct job hours</li><li>• \$30,479.04 Verified incentive</li><li>• 20.07 Homes powered</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• \$28,623.20 Annual energy cost savings</li><li>• .08 Trainee job years (FTEs)</li><li>• .41 Direct job years (FTEs)</li><li>• 845 Direct job hours</li><li>• 169 Apprentice direct job hours</li><li>• \$42,455.04 Verified incentive</li><li>• 32.46 Homes powered</li></ul> |
|---|---|

## San Mateo County Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Three Closed-out Project
- \$5,264,392.58 Total project costs
- 2,163,305 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$249,981.51 Annual energy cost savings
- 2.03 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 19.09 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 6,002.65 Direct job hours
- 4,224.87 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$47,000.74 Verified incentive
- 341.80 Homes powered

## Santa Barbara Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One Closed-out project
- \$556,058.00 Total project costs
- 284,810 Verified kWh saving
- 75.41 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$37,025.30 Annual energy cost savings
- .17 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .23 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 470 Direct job hours
- 354.99 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$68,354.40 Verified incentive
- 45 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One Closed-out project
- \$459,740.00 Total project costs
- 241,970 Verified kWh saving
- 62 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$31,456.10 Annual energy cost savings
- .06 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .49 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 1,015 Direct job hours
- 13 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$47,444.40 Verified incentive
- 38.23 Homes powered

## Santa Clarita Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Four Closed-out projects
- \$1,058,909.64 Total project costs
- 415,197 Verified kWh saving
- 40.60 Verified kW saving
- 24,411 Verified therm saving
- \$72,693.45 Annual energy cost savings
- .03 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 3.59 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 7,464.75 Direct job hours
- 60.75 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$116,706.08 Verified incentive
- 99.04 Homes powered

## Santa Monica Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$888,573.00 Total project costs
- 347,099 Verified kWh saving
- 16 Verified kW saving
- 6,014 Verified therm saving
- \$49,332.67 Annual energy cost savings
- .10 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .29 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 604.50 Direct job hours
- 209 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$83,941.68 Verified incentive
- 63.08 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

## Sequoias Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Two Closed-out projects
- \$324,991.08 Total project costs
- 157,310 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 24,900 Verified therm saving

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One Closed-out projects
- \$289,859.25 Total project costs
- 97,431 Verified kWh saving
- .44 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving

## Sequoias Community College District

---

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• \$40,370.30 Annual energy cost savings</li><li>• .03 Trainee job years (FTEs)</li><li>• .04 Direct job years (FTEs)</li><li>• 73 Direct job hours</li><li>• 60 Apprentice direct job hours</li><li>• \$47,954.43 Verified incentive</li><li>• 58.97 Homes powered</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• \$12,666.03 Annual energy cost savings</li><li>• .08 Trainee job years (FTEs)</li><li>• .15 Direct job years (FTEs)</li><li>• 312 Direct job hours</li><li>• 156 Apprentice direct job hours</li><li>• \$23,383.44 Verified incentive</li><li>• 15.39 Homes powered</li></ul> |
|---|---|

## Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One Closed-out project
- \$277,000.00 Total project costs
- 110,400 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$11,040.00 Annual energy cost savings
- .08 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .09 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 179 Direct job hours
- 163 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$25,963.20 Verified incentive
- 17.44 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One Closed-out project
- \$59,709.00 Total project costs
- 83,394 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$8,339.40 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .05 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 100 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$0.00 Verified incentive
- 13.18 Homes powered

## Sierra Joint Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$847,236.21 Total project costs
- 576,335 Verified kWh saving
- 103.80 Verified kW saving
- 3,810 Verified therm saving
- \$72,208.20 Annual energy cost savings
- .27 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .81 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 1,685.25 Direct job hours
- 552.50 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$120,714.30 Verified incentive
- 96.28 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One Closed-out project
- \$173,462.31 Total project costs
- 78,258 Verified kWh saving
- 37.5 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$11,034.38 Annual energy cost savings
- .13 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .12 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 254 Direct job hours
- 271 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$16,920.72 Verified incentive
- 12.36 Homes powered

## Siskiyou Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out project
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Four Closed-out projects
- \$175,004.00 Total project costs
- 228,724 Verified kWh saving
- .8 Verified kW saving
- 4,994 Verified therm saving
- \$41,235.45 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .13 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 276.25 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$37,417.00 Verified incentive
- 42.98 Homes powered

## Solano Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Four Closed-out projects
- \$547,949.00 Total project costs
- 614,355 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$67,506.38 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .59 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 1,218 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$146,538.24 Verified incentive
- 97.07 Homes powered

## Sonoma County Junior College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$427,811.00 Total project costs
- 559,213 Verified kWh saving
- 53.46 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$76,571.61 Annual energy cost savings

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings

## Sonoma County Junior College District

---

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• .08 Trainee job years (FTEs)</li><li>• .49 Direct job years (FTEs)</li><li>• 1,019 Direct job hours</li><li>• 160 Apprentice direct job hours</li><li>• \$134,211.12 Verified incentive</li><li>• 88.36 Homes powered</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)</li><li>• N/A Direct job years (FTEs)</li><li>• N/A Direct job hours</li><li>• N/A Apprentice direct job hours</li><li>• N/A Verified incentive</li><li>• N/A Homes powered</li></ul> |
|---|--|

## South Orange County Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Two Closed-out projects
- \$1,465,875.50 Total project costs
- 536,775 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$69,780.75 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.38 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 2,873 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$128,826.00 Verified incentive
- 84.81 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

## State Center Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Six Closed-out projects
- \$1,154,926.09 Total project costs
- 558,854 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$83,828.10 Annual energy cost savings
- .31 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.13 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 2,350.92 Direct job hours
- 634.90 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$103,000.80 Verified incentive
- 88.30 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Four Closed-out projects
- \$401,149.40 Total project costs
- 181,791 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$17,171.59 Annual energy cost savings
- .11 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .13 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 278 Direct job hours
- 238 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$9,000 Verified incentive
- 28.72 Homes powered

## Ventura County Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$863,300.00 Total project costs
- 758,015 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$98,541.95 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .28 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 576 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$181,923.60 Verified incentive
- 119.77 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$1,196,394.00 Total project costs
- 650,876 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$50,768.33 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .53 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 1,105 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$142,613.28 Verified incentive
- 102.84 Homes powered

## Victor Valley Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One Closed-out project
- \$287,374.47 Total project costs
- 143,950 Verified kWh saving
- 10.70 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$20,153.00 Annual energy cost savings
- .10 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .20 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 412 Direct job hours
- 201 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$30,006.72 Verified incentive
- 22.74 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- One Closed-out project
- \$268,161.00 Total project costs
- 119,950 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 15,167 Verified therm saving
- \$23,811.40 Annual energy cost savings
- .03 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .34 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 711 Direct job hours
- 58 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$28,788.00 Verified incentive
- 39.73 Homes powered

## West Hills Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Two Closed-out Projects
- \$254,191.00 Total project costs
- 226,751 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$20,407.59 Annual energy cost savings

## West Hills Community College District

---

- |                                   |                                  |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| • N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)    | • 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)     |
| • N/A Direct job years (FTEs)     | • .16 Direct job years (FTEs)    |
| • N/A Direct job hours            | • 341 Direct job hours           |
| • N/A Apprentice direct job hours | • 0 Apprentice direct job hours  |
| • N/A Verified incentive          | • \$54,040.32 Verified incentive |
| • N/A Homes powered               | • 35.83 Homes powered            |

## West Kern Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- N/A Closed-out projects
- N/A Total project costs
- N/A Verified kWh saving
- N/A Verified kW saving
- N/A Verified therm saving
- N/A Annual energy cost savings
- N/A Trainee job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job years (FTEs)
- N/A Direct job hours
- N/A Apprentice direct job hours
- N/A Verified incentive
- N/A Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Five Closed-out projects
- \$207,966.50 Total project costs
- 149,343 Verified kWh saving
- 0 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$18,907.53 Annual energy cost savings
- .03 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .15 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 312.49 Direct job hours
- 70 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$29,249.26 Verified incentive
- 23.60 Homes powered

## West Valley-Mission Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$772,036.52 Total project costs
- 224,868 Verified kWh saving
- 8 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$29,300.30 Annual energy cost savings
- .35 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.47 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 3,061.34 Direct job hours
- 724.15 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$27,798.00 Verified incentive
- 35.53 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$575,280.00 Total project costs
- 136,536 Verified kWh saving
- 43.80 Verified kW saving
- 24,524 Verified therm saving
- \$40,057.06 Annual energy cost savings
- .02 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .14 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 281 Direct job hours
- 39.50 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$0.00 Verified incentive
- 55.17 Homes powered

## Yosemite Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- One Closed-out project
- \$92,140.00 Total project costs
- 43,865 Verified kWh saving
- 10.52 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$5,702.45 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .13 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 260 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$0.00 Verified incentive
- 6.93 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- Four Closed-out projects
- \$983,199.00 Total project costs
- 581,965 Verified kWh saving
- 66.50 Verified kW saving
- 0 Verified therm saving
- \$70,286.83 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.33 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 2,758 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$48,848.00 Verified incentive
- 91.95 Homes powered

## Yuba Community College District

---

### Year 2 (FY 2014-15)

- Three Closed-out projects
- \$146,507.00 Total project costs
- 105,683 Verified kWh saving
- 11.60 Verified kW saving
- 2,221 Verified therm saving
- \$13,585.97 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- .16 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 336 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$28,065.88 Verified incentive
- 19.74 Homes powered

### Year 3 (FY 2015-16)

- 12 Closed-out projects
- \$784,620.00 Total project costs
- 306,242 Verified kWh saving
- 37.80 Verified kW saving
- 9,126 Verified therm saving
- \$41,769.81 Annual energy cost savings
- 0 Trainee job years (FTEs)
- 1.05 Direct job years (FTEs)
- 2,174 Direct job hours
- 0 Apprentice direct job hours
- \$80,475.03 Verified incentive
- 60.89 Homes powered

# District Energy Usage Data Summary

# ENERGY USAGE DATA SUMMARY

The following data is submitted and self-certified by the districts on a fiscal year basis. At a glance, by comparing the 2014-15 energy usage data with the 2012-13 baseline data, the system-wide energy usage has been reduced by 7.63 percent. A total of 40 districts have reduced their energy usage on campus while 14 districts have increased their usage as compared to the energy usage baseline data. A total of 18 districts have not reported their baseline energy usage or reported their 2014-15 energy usage data so we are unable to calculate the change at their district.

Currently, districts are submitting their fiscal year 2015-16 energy usage data. Therefore, we currently do not have fiscal year 2015-16 progress data to compare against the base year. For further detail and information, please see the attached spreadsheet showing the energy usage data summary and per district.

## SYSTEM-WIDE ENERGY USAGE DATA

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,624
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,500
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -7.63 percent

## ENERGY USAGE PER DISTRICT

### Allan Hancock Joint Community College District

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,673
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### Antelope Valley Community College District

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,516
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,754
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 15.66 percent

### **Barstow Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (Baseline Year) Average British thermal units per GSF per week: 1,581
- Fiscal year 2014-15 Average British thermal units per GSF per week: 1,486
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 56.85 percent

### **Butte - Glenn Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,119
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,062
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -5.03 percent

### **Cabrillo**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,789
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,356
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -54.21 percent

### **Cerritos Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,855
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,553
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -16.29 percent

### **Chabot-Las Positas Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,134
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,921
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -9.98 percent

### **Chaffey Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,696
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,290
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -52.14 percent

### **Citrus Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,752
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,416
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -19.16 percent

### **Coast Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,459
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,463
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: .3 percent

### **Compton Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 753
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 678
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -10.02 percent

### **Contra Costa Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,784
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,627
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -8.81 percent

### **Copper Mountain Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,943
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Desert Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,825
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,166
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -36.13 percent

### **El Camino Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,553
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Feather River Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 994
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 985
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -.88 percent

### **Foothill-De Anza Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,921
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,773
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -9.77 percent

### **Gavilan Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,660
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,285
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -14.10 percent

### **Glendale Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,352
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,365
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 1.03 percent

### **Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,187
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 963
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -18.83 percent

### **Hartnell Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,837
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Imperial Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 963
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 822
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -14.62 percent

### **Kern CCD**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,169
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,253
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 7.17 percent

### **Lake Tahoe Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,621
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Lassen Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,144
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,055
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -4.17 percent

### **Long Beach Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,218
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Los Angeles Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,084
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 739
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -31.83 percent

### **Los Rios Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,811
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Marin Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,749
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Mendocino-Lake Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,245
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 975
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -21.69 percent

### **Merced Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,420
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 3,327
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -37.48 percent

### **Mira Costa Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,731
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,757
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 2.57 percent

### **Monterey Peninsula Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Mt. San Antonio Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,950
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,190
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 12.31 percent

### **Mt. San Jacinto Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,694
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,512
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -10.74 percent

### **Napa Valley Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,549
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,371
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -11.48 percent

### **North Orange County Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,889
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Ohlone Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,391
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Palo Verde Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,036
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,354
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 30.80 percent

### **Palomar Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 774
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 592
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -23.51 percent

### **Pasadena Area Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 867
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Peralta Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average per gross square foot per week: 2,997
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,754
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -41.49 percent

### **Rancho Santiago Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,848
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,319
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -28.64 percent

### **Redwoods Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,832
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Rio Hondo Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,444
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,642
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 13.67 percent

### **Riverside Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,603
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,570
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -2.05 percent

### **San Bernardino Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,738
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,068
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -38.54 percent

### **San Diego Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 653
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 740
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 13.26 percent

### **San Francisco Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,615
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **San Joaquin Delta Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,658
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,566
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -5.55 percent

### **San Jose-Evergreen Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) Average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,371
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,371
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: .02 percent

### **San Luis Obispo County Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,698
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,459
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -14.11 percent

### **San Mateo County Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,214
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,874
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -15.36 percent

### **Santa Barbara Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,308
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,070
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -18.15 percent

### **Santa Clarita Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,099
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,050
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -4.46 percent

### **Santa Monica Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average BTUs per gross square foot per week: 1,245
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,101
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -11.60 percent

### **Sequoias Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,046
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,075
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 2.76 percent

### **Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,057
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,394
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -32.22 percent

### **Sierra Joint Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,250
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,166
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -6.72 percent

### **Siskiyou Joint Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,513
- Fiscal 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,751
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -30.32 percent

### **Solano County Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,442
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,138
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -12.43 percent

### **Sonoma County Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,206
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,354
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 12.33 percent

### **South Orange County Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,800
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,638
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -5.77 percent

### **Southwestern Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,566
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,309
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -16.41 percent

### **State Center Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,339
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,207
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -9.88 percent

### **Ventura County Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,041
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 875
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -15.95 percent

### **Victor Valley Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,400
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,763
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: 25.91 percent

### **West Hills Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,505
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **West Kern Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 907
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **West Valley-Mission Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 1,709
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

### **Yosemite Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 3,117
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 2,800
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: -10.18 percent

### **Yuba Community College District**

- Fiscal year 2012-13 (baseline year) average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: 978
- Fiscal year 2014-15 average British thermal units per gross square foot per week: N/A
- Percent reduction/gain of baseline year: N/A

# Workforce and Economic Development Summary

# WORKFORCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

Unlike the Facilities Planning and Utilization Unit of the Chancellor's Office, the Workforce and Economic Development Division is authorized to annually allocate Proposition 39 funds to the Clean Energy Workforce Program Grant as well as job training and workforce development projects.

The Proposition 39 Clean Energy Workforce Program supports the following objectives for building the energy efficiency workforce

- Targeting workforce-related incentive funds towards priority and emergent sectors important to California's regional economies.
- Staffing key talent roles that serve as first contacts for industry and our system, including sector navigators and regional consortia chairs. These roles facilitate in-region and multi-region coordination of training activities.
- Mobilizing community college training capacity by scoping grantees to collaborate with in-region colleges active in the sector.
- Applying common metrics and accountability measures on outcomes that drive student success and meet industry's need for skilled workers.
- Providing technical assistance and flexible mini-grants to support faculty coming together to update curriculum for industry needs.
- Build and sustain regional networks of colleges to prepare workforce for the energy sector to improve energy efficiency and expand clean energy generation in the built environment
- Leverage assets at multiple colleges across a region to align and regionalize energy efficiency related curriculum.
- Assure compliance to codes and standards by upgrading workforce capacity, knowledge and skills over the life of the Proposition 39 (SB 73) funding stream.
- Develop sustainable partnerships and methods that link carbon reduction policy and economic development goals to industry needs and education and training programs.
- Elevate the quality of instruction at colleges that have made investments in education and training in the energy efficiency and renewable energy sector.
- Incentivize (through instructor stipends, etc.) regional cooperation, including curriculum alignment; increased access to certificates, degrees and state-certified apprenticeship programs; increase access to employment; and faculty professional development.
- Build career pathways that assure student success by connecting student learning outcomes directly to employment opportunities.
- Enroll all energy related pathway students in EDD's CalJOBS system and collect outcomes data via the Launchboard.
- Prepare the energy efficiency workforce to participate in the construction, repair and maintenance of commercial, industrial, and institutional buildings as required to meet AB 32 requirements.

- Coordinate efforts with the community college sector navigator and deputy sector navigators in the Energy Efficiency & Utilities Sect .

This is a longer application process for districts which results in a longer program cycle overall, thus the Workforce and Economic Development is currently finishing Year 2 and currently working on Year 3. Year 3 results will be forthcoming in the following year’s report.

## REPORT ON YEAR 2 PROPOSITION 39 FUNDS

### Background

Total Year 2 Proposition 39 funds for California Community was \$37,500,000. From the community colleges’ Proposition 39 funds, 12.8 percent or \$4,790,000 of the total was allocated for workforce development.

Distribution of funds to the colleges enabled investments in the Energy, Construction and Utilities Sector for career technical education capacity, faculty professional development, curriculum alignment, recruiting additional full-time equivalent students, and technical assistance. Grants were made to five regional fiscal agents based on the population of completers by college. Fiscal agent then worked with the colleges in allocating funds via sub-grants for priority projects.

### Investments

The grants were designed to better prepare students and incumbent workers to meet California’s energy efficiency and renewable energy mandates set b AB 32 and updated by SB 350 (Ch. 547, Stats 2015). Investments were made in the following education and training programs:

Architecture and Architectural Technology	\$51,338
Electronics and Electric Technology	\$149,870
Electro-Mechanical Technology	\$73,292
Industrial Systems Technology and Maintenance	\$115,162
Environmental Control Technology	\$1,521,608
Construction Crafts Technology	\$280,932
Drafting Technology	\$101,128
Manufacturing and Industrial Technology	\$229,360
Civil and Construction Management Technology	\$177,406
Water and Wastewater Technology	\$105,662
Other	\$63,272
	<b>\$2,869,031</b>

Proposition 39 workforce funding is planned for Years 4 and 5 at the same 12.8 percent allocation as prior years. These funds will leverage regional strong workforce investments in developing a statewide program that maps directly to the “qualified and fully engaged workforce” required by the California Long-range Energy Efficiency Strategic Plan to achieve mandates set by AB 32. Analysis is underway to determine new workforce requirements for meeting the SB 350 mandates, which will be reflected in plans for Year 4 and 5 investments.

## **Grant Performance**

### **Year 1**

The Chancellor’s Office, consistent with the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) definitions for post-college employment and earnings, calculates results for all course completers, regardless of completion status. This means that the Chancellor’s Office must wait a full year to ensure that students do not re-enroll in additional coursework. Colleges are given three months to turn in their end of year numbers; student data is not available until 15 months after the end of the school year. At that point, Workforce and Economic Development sends a file of students meeting the course completer definition to the Employment Development Department, which matches the file against their state wage file, and returns the value to us. The information is then imported into the Cal-PASS Plus data system in order to build the LaunchBoard tables, where information can be sorted for factors like graduating from a Proposition 39 program. Historically, the match with the wage files was only done once a year.

The Chancellor’s Office spent most of last year negotiating with Employment Development Department for more frequent data matches, which resulted in delays in getting the annual match done. Workforce and Economic Development Division has not yet received the file that would include students who graduated in fiscal year 2014-15, but anticipate having the information by the end of February 2017. Given the size of Workforce and Economic Development’s data system, it takes three months to rebuild the data cubes and test the data to ensure that reload did not break any of the data fields. Workforce and Economic Development Division anticipate being able to provide more current information by the end of May.

Workforce and Economic Development Division calculated these figures by counting all students in the 113 California community colleges who earned awards that are coded in the subject areas that align with the Proposition 39 program. However, the Chancellor’s Office is unable to discern whether Proposition 39 funding were used for these programs.

## Employment and Earnings Outcomes

For students who graduated in 2013-14 (most recent available year)

	Number of Graduates Who Attained the Metric	Total Number of Graduates	Percentage
Employed Two Fiscal Quarters After Exit	3277	4749	69%
Employed Four Fiscal Quarters After Exit	3277	4749	69%
Median Annual Post-College Earnings	4749	4749	\$36,757
Median Increase in Earnings*	1757	4749	37%
Employed in Job Closely Related to Major**	293	358	82%

\* This metric could only be calculated for students with wage data both before and after attending community college

\*\* This metric is based on survey data, so only some of the total graduates are included in the figure, based on who replied to the survey

### Year 2

More than 5,000 students completed degrees, certificates or industry certifications in year two. Completions were distributed as follows:

**772** AA/AS Degrees

**800** Certificates (6-8 units)

**1,247** Certificates (>18 units)

**2,590** Industry/Apprenticeship Certification

### **Program Improvement initiatives funded in Year 2 included \$1,920,969**

- Launched statewide Expert Networks as professional learning communities for faculty in HVAC, Advanced Lighting Controls, Building Science, and Energy Analytics and Auditing, attended by 40 faculty statewide.
- Engaged several thousand high school students and more than 200 career counselors in energy efficiency and renewable energy career awareness session
- Developed a set of Zero Net energy career pathways at College of the Desert, organized into 13 certificate programs
- Launched a new HVAC certificate program at West Hills Coalinga College.
- Certified seven new instructors as National Construction Crafts Education and Research instructors.

- Butte College hosted a four-day welding education event with participation from 53 high schools.
- Completed research into attracting likely completers into HVAC programs in collaboration with UC Davis and RP Group.
- Developed an online Interactive Decision Tree to guide students into energy efficiency career
- Launched a website that connects potential students with energy efficiency programs at Bay Area colleges.

**Proposition 39 Regions:**

**North/Far North** (Butte, Cosumnes River, American River, Mendocino, Sacramento City, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou)

- Approved Certificates/Degrees Earned in Energy Efficiency Course 533
- Award: \$415,814
- Equipment: \$365,520
- Program Improvement: \$50,294

**Bay Area** (Cabrillo, Diablo Valley, Foothill, Laney\*, Las Positas, San Jose City, San Mateo, Santa Rosa, Skyline):

- Approved Certificates/Degrees Earned in Energy Efficiency Course 725
- Award: \$300,000
- Equipment: \$50,830
- Program Improvement: \$249,170

**Central/Mother Lode/Coast** (Allan Hancock, Antelope Valley, Bakersfield\*, Canyons, Cerro Coso, Columbia\*\*, Cuesta, Fresno City\*\*, Merced, Modesto Junior\*\*, Moorpark\*\*, Oxnard, Porterville, Reedley\*\*, San Joaquin Delta, Santa Barbara, Sequoias, Taft, West Hills Coalinga\*\*)

- Approved Certificates/Degrees Earned in Energy Efficiency Course 1,055
- Award: \$355,135
- Equipment: \$190,969
- Program Improvement: \$164,166

**Los Angeles/Orange County** (East Los Angeles, El Camino\*, Glendale Community, Los Angeles Trade-Technical, Los Angeles Valley, Mt. San Antonio, Rio Hondo, Santa Monica, Santiago Canyon)

- Approved Certificates/Degrees Earned in Energy Efficiency Course 2,133
- Award: \$1,531,174
- Equipment: \$147,160
- Program Improvement: \$1,384,014

**San Diego/Imperial/Desert** (Barstow, Chaffe , Desert, Imperial Valley, MiraCosta\*, Norco, Palo Verde, Palomar\*, Riverside City, San Bernardino Valley, San Diego City, Victor Valley)

- Approved Certificates/Degrees Earned in Energy Efficiency Course 963
- Award: \$266,908
- Equipment: \$201,421
- Program Improvement: \$65,487

# Appendices

(click to download)

[Prop 39 Year 2 Closed-Out Data](#)

[Prop 39 Year 3 Closed-Out Data](#)

[Prop 39 Year 2 and Year 3 In-Progress Data](#)

[Energy Usage Data](#)

Front cover photos: American River College students and instructors work on various solar energy projects.

Photo at right: Students study a solar panel at City College of San Francisco.

Back cover photos: (left to right) College of the Desert students receive instruction on installing a solar panel; Contra Costa College students work on a clean energy project.



Connect  
with us!

## WEBSITES

### California Community Colleges

[CaliforniaCommunityColleges.cccco.edu](http://CaliforniaCommunityColleges.cccco.edu)

### Student Success Scorecard

[scorecard.cccco.edu](http://scorecard.cccco.edu)

### Salary Surfer

[salarysurfer.cccco.edu](http://salarysurfer.cccco.edu)

### Associate Degree for Transfer

[adgreewithaguarantee.com](http://adgreewithaguarantee.com)

### Priority Registration

[stepforward.cccco.edu](http://stepforward.cccco.edu)

### Workforce & Economic Development

[doingwhatmatters.cccco.edu](http://doingwhatmatters.cccco.edu)

### Financial Aid

[icanaffordcollege.com](http://icanaffordcollege.com)

## SOCIAL MEDIA



### California Community Colleges Facebook Page

[facebook.com/CACommColleges](https://facebook.com/CACommColleges)

### Financial Aid Facebook Page

[facebook.com/icanaffordcollege](https://facebook.com/icanaffordcollege)



### California Community Colleges Twitter Feed

[twitter.com/CalCommColleges](https://twitter.com/CalCommColleges)

### Chancellor Eloy Oakley Twitter Feed

[twitter.com/EloyOakley](https://twitter.com/EloyOakley)

### Workforce & Economic Development Twitter Feed

[twitter.com/WorkforceVan](https://twitter.com/WorkforceVan)

### Financial Aid Twitter Feed

[twitter.com/ICanAfrdCollege](https://twitter.com/ICanAfrdCollege)



### California Community Colleges YouTube Page

[youtube.com/CACommunityColleges](https://youtube.com/CACommunityColleges)

### Financial Aid YouTube Page

[youtube.com/ICANAFRDCOLLEGE](https://youtube.com/ICANAFRDCOLLEGE)



### California Community Colleges Instagram Page

[instagram.com/CaliforniaCommunityColleges](https://instagram.com/CaliforniaCommunityColleges)

### Financial Aid Instagram Page

[instagram.com/icanaffordcollege](https://instagram.com/icanaffordcollege)



California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office  
1102 Q Street | Suite 4400 | Sacramento, CA 95811  
[CaliforniaCommunityColleges.cccco.edu](http://CaliforniaCommunityColleges.cccco.edu)

CCCCO REPORT - PROJECT LEVEL

Date Generated: 10/5/2016

Projects Closed Out in Year 2 (July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)

District	No. of Completed Projects	Verified Prop 39 Total Project Cost	Prop 39 Funding % of Total Cost	Verified Prop 39 kWh Svgs	Verified Prop 39 kW Svgs	Verified Prop 39 th Svgs	Verified Annual Energy Cost Savings	Nameplate Rating (Self Gen)	Calculated Total Direct Job Years (FTEs)	Calculated Total Trainee Job Years (FTEs)	Calculated Total Direct Job-Hours	Calculated Total First Year Apprentice Direct Job Hours	Verified Direct Job Years (FTEs)	Verified Trainee Job Years (FTEs)	Direct Job Hours	First Year Apprentice Direct Job Hours	Avg Number of FTE Months	Avg Time From Award to Close Out	Verified GHG Reduction (tons CO2)	Number of Homes Powered	Verified Incentive	Notes
Antelope Valley Community College District	1	\$385,458	87%	205,830	0	0	\$26,758		2.16	0.06	4,490	125	0.13	0.21	267.00	430.50	1.54	330	142	33	\$49,399	
Barstow Community College District	1	\$167,143	52%	72,832	0	0	\$11,653		0.94	0.03	1,947	54	0.04	0.04	82.00	82.00	0.47	328	50	12	\$17,480	
Butte-Glenn Community College District	1	\$10,000	100%	0	0	0	\$0		0.00	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	480	0	0	\$0	Technical assistance audit
Cabrillo Community College District	5	\$590,514	65%	242,861	31	0	\$33,806		3.31	0.09	6,878	191	0.57	0.00	1194.90	0.00	1.38	279	167	38	\$58,287	
Cerritos Community College District	2	\$583,435	90%	235,252	0	0	\$30,583		3.27	0.09	6,796	189	0.28	0.06	588.00	117.00	1.70	363	162	37	\$56,460	
Chaffey Community College District	2	\$154,183	66%	0	0	41,796	\$25,454		0.86	0.02	1,796	50	0.07	0.00	138.32	0.00	0.40	171	222	57	\$52,200	
Citrus Community College District	2	\$422,282	91%	158,986	5	0	\$20,668		2.36	0.07	4,919	137	0.22	0.02	454.00	36.00	1.31	339	110	25	\$30,870	
Compton Community College District	3	\$267,792	80%	164,494	19	0	\$21,384		1.50	0.04	3,119	87	1.64	1.81	3406.00	3758.00	6.55	284	113	26	\$39,479	
El Camino Community College District	5	\$441,694	83%	320,901	0	0	\$45,530		2.47	0.07	5,145	143	0.25	0.00	520.00	0.00	0.60	252	221	51	\$77,016	
Foothill-DeAnza Community College District	2	\$200,000	100%	0	0	0	\$0		0.00	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	343	0	0	\$0	Technical assistance audit
Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	6	\$873,780	70%	283,279	49	0	\$48,157		4.89	0.14	10,178	283	1.10	0.38	2282.00	796.99	2.19	360	195	45	\$52,682	
Hartnell Community College District	1	\$332,274	71%	132,360	0	0	\$15,883		1.86	0.05	3,870	108	0.35	0.00	723.00	0.00	4.17	407	91	21	\$31,766	
Imperial Community College District	3	\$320,000	73%	0	0	11,389	\$8,074		1.79	0.05	3,727	104	0.07	0.54	139.92	1119.00	0.27	391	60	16	\$19,740	
Kern Community College District	3	\$946,047	70%	409,340	38	0	\$51,771		5.30	0.15	11,020	306	1.14	0.06	2374.08	133.00	4.57	269	282	65	\$85,676	
Lake Tahoe Community College District	3	\$76,151	70%	80,234	15	0	\$8,826		0.43	0.01	887	25	0.08	0.00	173.70	0.00	0.33	225	55	13	\$10,020	
Long Beach Community College District	6	\$1,680,109	42%	1,137,319	390	0	\$147,851		9.41	0.26	19,570	544	2.04	0.01	4245.20	24.00	4.08	372	784	180	\$273,584	
Marin Community College District	2	\$133,985	26%	142,757	0	64,697	\$68,888		0.75	0.02	1,561	43	0.03	0.00	62.25	0.00	0.18	468	441	111	\$98,959	
Mt. San Antonio Community College District	1	\$2,712,774	38%	801,941	75	0	\$104,252		15.19	0.42	31,598	878	0.97	0.46	2013.50	955.00	11.62	515	553	127	\$192,466	
North Orange County Community College District	5	\$1,268,879	75%	1,338,247	483	0	\$173,972		7.11	0.20	14,780	411	1.30	0.14	2709.50	297.00	3.13	411	923	211	\$321,179	
Ohlone Community College District	4	\$360,070	78%	144,410	18	(471)	\$16,952		2.02	0.06	4,194	117	0.13	0.05	278.00	101.50	0.40	264	97	22	\$32,924	
Palo Verde Community College District	1	\$101,920	64%	99,517	0	0	\$12,937		0.57	0.02	1,187	33	0.01	0.05	13.75	110.00	0.08	301	69	16	\$23,884	
Rancho Santiago Community College District	3	\$1,575,833	65%	1,345,065	209	20,686	\$187,270		8.82	0.25	18,355	510	0.89	0.08	1843.31	162.00	3.55	306	1,037	241	\$321,067	
Riverside Community College District	1	\$98,043	84%	258,465	0	0	\$33,600		0.55	0.02	1,142	32	0.03	0.00	72.00	0.00	0.42	347	178	41	\$15,508	
San Bernardino Community College District	3	\$568,699	66%	605,928	1	0	\$78,771		3.18	0.09	6,624	184	0.43	0.00	890.50	0.00	1.71	256	418	96	\$145,423	
San Joaquin Delta Community College District	1	\$848,464	65%	569,928	0	0	\$48,444		4.75	0.13	9,883	275	0.46	0.46	953.50	951.00	5.50	374	393	90	\$136,783	
San Jose/Evergreen Community College District	2	\$714,152	68%	369,765	0	0	\$33,598		4.00	0.11	8,318	231	0.26	0.14	535.00	300.00	1.54	342	255	58	\$71,203	
San Luis Obispo County Community College District	1	\$315,315	90%	126,996	0	0	\$15,240		1.77	0.05	3,673	102	0.08	0.00	161.00	0.00	0.93	332	88	20	\$30,479	
Santa Barbara Community College District	1	\$556,058	88%	284,810	75	0	\$37,025		3.11	0.09	6,477	180	0.23	0.17	470.00	354.99	2.71	270	196	45	\$68,354	
Santa Monica Community College District	3	\$888,573	84%	347,099	16	6,014	\$49,333		4.98	0.14	10,350	288	0.29	0.10	604.50	209.00	1.16	238	271	63	\$83,942	
Sequoias Community College District	2	\$324,991	85%	157,310	0	24,900	\$40,370		1.82	0.05	3,785	105	0.04	0.03	73.00	60.00	0.21	411	240	59	\$47,954	
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	1	\$277,000	91%	110,400	0	0	\$11,040		1.55	0.04	3,226	90	0.09	0.08	179.00	163.00	1.03	373	76	17	\$25,963	
Sierra Joint Community College District	3	\$847,236	84%	576,335	104	3,810	\$72,208		4.74	0.13	9,869	274	0.81	0.27	1685.25	552.50	3.24	352	418	96	\$120,714	
Sonoma County Junior College District	3	\$427,811	69%	559,213	53	0	\$76,572		2.40	0.07	4,983	138	0.49	0.08	1019.00	160.00	1.96	350	386	88	\$134,211	
South Orange County Community College District	2	\$1,465,876	69%	536,775	0	0	\$69,781		8.21	0.23	17,075	474	1.38	0.00	2873.00	0.00	8.29	297	370	85	\$128,826	
State Center Community College District	6	\$1,154,926	80%	558,854	0	0	\$83,828		6.47	0.18	13,453	374	1.13	0.31	2350.92	634.90	2.26	325	385	88	\$103,001	
Ventura County Community College District	3	\$863,300	79%	758,015	0	0	\$98,542		4.83	0.13	10,056	279	0.28	0.00	576.00	0.00	1.11	294	523	120	\$181,924	
Victor Valley Community College District	1	\$287,374	90%	143,950	11	0	\$20,153		1.61	0.04	3,347	93	0.20	0.10	412.00	201.00	2.38	291	99	23	\$30,007	
West Valley-Mission Community College District	3	\$772,037	75%	224,868	8	0	\$29,300		4.32	0.12	8,993	250	1.47	0.35	3061.34	724.15	5.89	362	155	36	\$27,798	
Yosemite Community College District	1	\$92,140	47%	43,865	11	0	\$5,702		0.52	0.01	1,073	30	0.13	0.00	260.00	0.00	1.50	465	30	7	\$0	
Yuba Community College District	3	\$146,507	79%	105,683	12	2,221	\$13,586		0.82	0.02	1,707	47	0.16	0.00	336.00	0.00	0.65	260	85	20	\$28,066	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>\$24,252,823</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>13,653,884</b>	<b>1,622</b>	<b>175,042</b>	<b>\$1,877,765</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>134.64</b>	<b>3.74</b>	<b>280,051</b>	<b>7,779</b>	<b>19.24</b>	<b>5.98</b>	<b>40,020</b>	<b>12,433</b>	<b>2.28</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>10,343</b>	<b>2,397</b>	<b>\$3,225,294</b>	

CCCCO REPORT - PROJECT LEVEL

Date Generated: 10/5/2016

Projects Closed Out in Year 3 (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016)

District	No. of Completed Projects	Verified Prop 39 Total Project Cost	Prop 39 Funding % of Total Cost	Verified Prop 39 kWh Svgs	Verified Prop 39 kW Svgs	Verified Prop 39 th Svgs	Verified Annual Energy Cost Savings	Nameplate Rating (Self Gen)	Calculated Total Direct Job Years (FTEs)	Calculated Total Trainee Job Years (FTEs)	Calculated Total Direct Job Hours	Calculated Total First Year Apprentice Direct Job Hours	Verified Direct Job Years (FTEs)	Verified Trainee Job Years (FTEs)	Direct Job Hours	First Year Apprentice Direct Job Hours	Avg Number of FTE Months	Avg Time From Award to Close Out	Verified GHG Reduction (tons CO2)	Number of Homes Powered	Verified Incentive	Notes
Antelope Valley Community College District	1	\$369,361	94%	97,548	0	0	\$12,681		2.07	0.06	4,302	120	0.18	0.05	377.00	102.00	2.18	474	67	15	\$23,412	
Barstow Community College District	1	\$76,456	93%	8,856	0	0	\$1,151		0.43	0.01	891	25	0.02	0.01	32.75	29.50	0.19	418	6	1	\$2,125	
Butte-Glenn Community College District	1	\$420,612	91%	130,500	0	6,530	\$110,930		2.36	0.07	4,899	136	1.43	0.00	2981.50	0.00	17.20	582	125	30	\$37,850	
Cabrillo Community College District	6	\$379,365	88%	349,771	15	0	\$34,451		2.12	0.06	4,419	123	0.48	0.02	1001.25	47.00	0.96	278	241	55	\$23,526	
Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	4	\$1,242,646	47%	1,032,042	88	0	\$134,165		6.96	0.19	14,474	402	0.95	0.14	1970.00	296.00	2.84	691	712	163	\$97,482	
Chaffey Community College District	5	\$866,522	92%	227,669	11	17,583	\$43,793		4.85	0.13	10,093	280	0.45	0.01	927.50	12.00	1.07	549	250	60	\$67,446	
Citrus Community College District	2	\$538,958	59%	301,099	0	0	\$24,593		3.02	0.08	6,278	174	0.43	0.00	903.00	0.00	2.61	442	208	48	\$66,200	
Coast Community College District	4	\$1,613,997	58%	799,440	2	3,400	\$66,044		9.04	0.25	18,800	522	1.38	0.26	2872.00	547.00	4.14	651	569	131	\$199,459	
Compton Community College District	1	\$200,679	91%	77,571	28	0	\$10,084		1.12	0.03	2,338	65	0.16	0.05	327.00	110.00	1.89	424	53	12	\$18,617	
Contra Costa Community College District	1	\$12,428	95%	3,678	0	0	\$309		0.07	0.00	145	4	0.01	0.00	15.00	0.00	0.09	330	3	1	\$650	
Copper Mountain Community College District	2	\$201,930	48%	111,454	6	0	\$15,041		1.13	0.03	2,352	65	0.12	0.01	259.00	29.00	0.75	624	77	18	\$22,610	
Desert Community College District	5	\$734,989	64%	828,891	17	4,588	\$80,104		4.12	0.11	8,561	238	0.61	0.10	1273.00	209.00	1.47	724	596	137	\$203,522	
El Camino Community College District	2	\$824,012	97%	94,057	0	1,587	\$12,556		4.61	0.13	9,598	267	0.80	0.20	1668.75	420.75	4.81	371	73	17	\$22,214	
Kern Community College District	4	\$545,269	96%	277,661	0	0	\$36,096		3.05	0.08	6,351	176	0.50	0.08	1041.00	173.50	1.50	650	191	44	\$21,120	
Lake Tahoe Community College District	3	\$56,787	84%	22,920	2	0	\$2,521		0.32	0.01	661	18	0.04	0.00	91.50	4.00	0.18	654	16	4	\$2,966	
Marin Community College District	1	\$91,500	95%	0	0	3,717	\$2,974		0.51	0.01	1,066	30	0.02	0.01	43.00	12.00	0.25	605	20	5	\$0	
Mendocino-Lake Community College District	8	\$191,815	81%	172,201	7	1,521	\$23,313		1.07	0.03	2,234	62	0.26	0.00	534.00	0.00	0.39	450	127	29	\$18,942	
Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	5	\$286,474	89%	109,468	36	0	\$14,231		1.60	0.04	3,337	93	0.08	0.01	170.00	16.00	0.20	716	75	17	\$30,470	
Napa Valley Community College District	4	\$352,754	81%	322,469	10	0	\$44,144		1.98	0.05	4,109	114	0.13	0.07	274.50	144.00	0.40	657	222	51	\$63,265	
North Orange County Community College District	4	\$2,608,353	45%	781,972	137	19,836	\$148,005		14.61	0.41	30,382	844	1.44	0.06	3001.00	127.00	4.33	458	644	151	\$142,560	
Ohlone Community College District	2	\$248,520	82%	184,422	0	0	\$19,303		1.39	0.04	2,895	80	0.11	0.04	220.50	86.50	0.64	547	127	29	\$43,696	
Pasadena Area Community College District	6	\$1,798,222	42%	683,989	53	5,374	\$106,360		10.07	0.28	20,946	582	1.37	0.00	2851.00	0.00	2.74	547	500	115	\$109,701	
Peralta Community College District	5	\$647,618	76%	663,960	0	0	\$86,132		3.63	0.10	7,543	210	0.83	0.08	1717.50	175.00	1.98	648	458	105	\$157,929	
Rancho Santiago Community College District	3	\$1,031,176	79%	911,897	256	0	\$157,056		5.77	0.16	12,011	334	1.55	0.00	3226.00	0.00	6.21	497	629	144	\$216,821	
Rio Hondo Community College District	5	\$775,715	75%	859,422	90	0	\$111,725		4.34	0.12	9,036	251	0.30	0.11	629.00	230.00	0.73	726	593	136	\$191,234	
Riverside Community College District	5	\$796,048	91%	512,442	30	0	\$54,118		4.46	0.12	9,272	258	0.43	0.14	898.50	288.25	1.04	528	353	81	\$65,246	
San Joaquin Delta Community College District	1	\$305,966	55%	98,561	0	0	\$8,378		1.71	0.05	3,564	99	0.27	0.19	564.00	403.00	3.25	510	68	16	\$23,655	
San Jose/Evergreen Community College District	4	\$403,198	90%	96,680	25	0	\$14,528		2.26	0.06	4,696	130	0.33	0.00	688.00	0.00	0.99	531	67	15	\$7,134	
San Luis Obispo County Community College District	4	\$377,823	70%	212,660	34	(834)	\$28,623		2.12	0.06	4,401	122	0.41	0.08	845.00	169.00	1.22	415	142	32	\$42,455	
San Mateo County Community College District	3	\$5,264,393	14%	2,163,305	0	0	\$249,982	1,250	23.27	0.65	48,392	1,344	19.09	2.03	6002.65	4224.87	11.55	816	1,492	342	\$47,001	
Santa Barbara Community College District	1	\$459,740	88%	241,970	62	0	\$31,456		2.57	0.07	5,355	149	0.49	0.06	1015.00	130.00	5.86	600	167	38	\$47,444	
Santa Clarita Community College District	4	\$1,058,910	83%	415,197	41	24,411	\$72,693		5.93	0.16	12,334	343	3.59	0.03	7464.75	60.75	10.77	648	416	99	\$116,706	
Sequoias Community College District	1	\$289,859	82%	97,431	0	0	\$12,666		1.62	0.05	3,376	94	0.15	0.08	312.00	156.00	1.80	575	67	15	\$23,383	
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	1	\$59,709	100%	83,394	0	0	\$8,339		0.33	0.01	695	19	0.05	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.58	399	58	13	\$0	
Sierra Joint Community College District	1	\$173,462	90%	78,258	38	0	\$11,034		0.97	0.03	2,020	56	0.12	0.13	254.00	271.00	1.47	547	54	12	\$16,921	
Siskiyou Community College District	4	\$175,004	79%	228,724	1	4,994	\$41,235		0.98	0.03	2,038	57	0.13	0.00	276.25	0.00	0.40	609	184	43	\$37,417	
Solano Community College District	4	\$547,949	47%	614,355	0	0	\$67,506		3.07	0.09	6,383	177	0.59	0.00	1218.00	0.00	1.76	679	424	97	\$146,538	
State Center Community College District	4	\$401,149	98%	181,791	0	0	\$17,172		2.25	0.06	4,673	130	0.13	0.11	278.00	238.00	0.40	631	125	29	\$9,000	
Ventura County Community College District	3	\$1,196,394	77%	650,876	0	0	\$50,768		6.70	0.19	13,936	387	0.53	0.00	1105.00	0.00	2.13	708	449	103	\$142,613	
Victor Valley Community College District	1	\$268,161	89%	119,950	0	15,167	\$23,811		1.50	0.04	3,124	87	0.34	0.03	711.00	58.00	4.10	433	163	40	\$28,788	
West Hills Community College District	2	\$254,191	68%	226,751	0	0	\$20,408		1.42	0.04	2,961	82	0.16	0.00	341.00	0.00	0.98	782	156	36	\$54,040	
West Kern Community College District	5	\$207,967	79%	149,343	0	0	\$18,908		1.16	0.03	2,422	67	0.15	0.03	312.49	70.00	0.36	691	103	24	\$29,249	
West Valley-Mission Community College District	3	\$575,280	70%	136,536	44	24,524	\$40,057		3.22	0.09	6,701	186	0.14	0.02	281.00	39.50	0.54	654	224	55	\$0	
Yosemite Community College District	4	\$983,199	95%	581,965	67	0	\$70,287		5.51	0.15	11,452	318	1.33	0.00	2758.00	0.00	3.98	433	401	92	\$48,848	
Yuba Community College District	12	\$784,620	44%	306,242	38	9,126	\$41,770		4.39	0.12	9,139	254	1.05	0.00	2174.00	0.00	1.05	729	260	61	\$80,475	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>\$30,699,181</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>16,249,388</b>	<b>1,136</b>	<b>141,524</b>	<b>\$2,181,504</b>	<b>1,250</b>	<b>165.70</b>	<b>4.60</b>	<b>344,657</b>	<b>9,574</b>	<b>43.13</b>	<b>4.27</b>	<b>56,005</b>	<b>8,879</b>	<b>2.53</b>	<b>570</b>	<b>11,955</b>	<b>2,761</b>	<b>\$2,750,730</b>	

CCCCO REPORT - PROJECT LEVEL  
In-Progress Projects (All Fiscal Years)

Date Generated: 3/29/2017

District	No. of Projects In Progress	Current Prop 39 Total Project Cost	Prop 39 Funding % of Total Cost	Current Prop 39 kWh Svgs	Current Prop 39 kW Svgs	Current Prop 39 th Svgs	Current Annual Energy Cost Savings	Nameplate Rating (Self-Gen)	Current Direct Job Years (FTEs)	Current Trainee Job Years (FTEs)	Direct Job Hours	First Year Apprentice Direct Job Hours	Calculated Direct Construction Job Years (FTEs)	Calculated Trainee Construction Job Years (FTEs)	Calculated Direct Construction Job Hours	Calculated First Year Apprentice Direct Construction Job Hours	Avg Number of FTE Months	Current GHG Reduction (tons CO2)	Number of Homes Powered	Current Incentive	Notes
Allan Hancock Joint Community College District	11	\$1,209,890	92%	528,856	0	12,547	\$77,277		5.85	0.16	6176.10	235.63	1.52	0.15	1607.58	218.52	0.84	431	101	\$97,329	
Antelope Valley Community College District	2	\$296,582	79%	332,197	14	0	\$43,186		1.66	0.05	3454.58	95.96	0.43	0.04	899.19	88.99	2.59	229	52	\$61,756	
Barstow Community College District	1	\$124,050	59%	34,852	9	0	\$5,228		0.69	0.02	1444.93	40.14	0.18	0.02	37.22	37.22	2.17	24	6	\$6,387	
Butte-Glenn Community College District	3	\$1,631,375	62%	732,961	0	8,686	\$82,881	150	7.46	0.21	15507.85	430.77	1.94	0.19	4036.54	399.49	7.76	551	128	\$118,623	
Cabrillo Community College District	4	\$440,944	60%	121,674	37	5,358	\$25,273		2.15	0.06	3535.52	69.18	0.56	0.06	920.26	64.16	1.33	112	27	\$44,330	
Cerritos Community College District	7	\$1,786,730	74%	746,589	126	6,929	\$101,214		10.01	0.28	11744.50	673.35	2.60	0.26	3056.98	624.45	2.52	552	127	\$150,406	
Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	11	\$1,720,588	79%	681,073	119	104,567	\$156,652		9.64	0.27	20041.41	556.71	2.51	0.25	5216.58	516.28	2.74	1024	251	\$144,682	
Chaffey Community College District	3	\$524,503	98%	176,071	48	0	\$27,273		2.94	0.08	6109.41	169.71	0.76	0.08	1590.22	157.38	3.06	121	28	\$10,757	
Citrus Community College District	4	\$388,544	84%	170,255	1	0	\$22,133		2.18	0.06	4525.77	125.72	0.57	0.06	1178.01	116.59	1.70	117	27	\$30,468	
Coast Community College District	5	\$2,444,178	73%	1,716,547	274	1,700	\$231,230		13.69	0.38	28469.78	790.83	3.56	0.35	7410.41	733.40	8.55	1193	274	\$223,402	
Compton Community College District	1	\$223,800	70%	0	0	3,880	\$3,104		1.25	0.03	2606.82	72.41	0.33	0.03	678.53	67.15	3.92	21	5	\$3,880	
Contra Costa Community College District	23	\$4,090,800	82%	1,323,774	164	25,766	\$200,044		22.91	0.64	47574.76	1317.08	5.96	0.59	12383.25	1221.44	3.11	1049	244	\$144,610	
Copper Mountain Community College District	1	\$61,192	69%	15,564	6	0	\$2,366		0.34	0.01	712.76	19.80	0.09	0.01	185.53	18.36	1.07	11	2	\$3,512	
Desert Community College District	1	\$238,601	92%	86,772	0	0	\$6,942		1.34	0.04	2779.22	77.20	0.35	0.03	723.41	71.59	4.17	60	14	\$19,819	
El Camino Community College District	2	\$491,110	85%	313,224	0	0	\$40,463		2.75	0.08	305.02	104.00	0.72	0.07	79.39	96.45	0.23	21	49	\$75,174	
Feather River Community College District	6	\$157,427	80%	105,640	3633	9,015	\$42,839	2	0.86	0.02	1592.71	44.24	0.22	0.02	414.57	41.03	0.40	121	29	\$11,684	
Foothill-DeAnza Community College District	11	\$2,386,191	80%	315,599	69	77,758	\$100,784		13.36	0.37	27794.36	772.07	3.48	0.34	7234.60	716.00	3.80	630	156	\$123,656	
Gavilan Joint Community College District	10	\$771,414	87%	261,951	62	(154)	\$43,575		4.32	0.12	4579.13	120.00	1.12	0.11	1191.90	111.29	0.69	180	41	\$19,484	
Glendale Community College District	14	\$1,296,726	72%	975,100	46	39,274	\$159,675		7.26	0.20	15104.27	419.56	1.89	0.19	3931.49	389.10	1.62	881	208	\$125,956	
Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	13	\$1,466,920	60%	759,360	161	12,374	\$138,062		8.21	0.23	17086.68	474.63	2.14	0.21	4447.50	440.17	1.97	589	137	\$83,398	
Hartnell Community College District	1	\$400,000	100%	160,500	0	7,602	\$27,910		2.24	0.06	4659.20	129.42	0.58	0.06	1212.74	120.02	7.00	151	36	\$0	
Imperial Community College District	7	\$785,829	82%	437,654	74	8,738	\$73,907		4.40	0.12	7580.71	200.99	1.15	0.11	1973.19	186.40	1.63	348	81	\$61,863	
Kern Community College District	4	\$1,149,064	92%	512,296	0	0	\$47,382		6.43	0.18	12652.55	342.52	1.67	0.17	3293.34	317.64	4.75	353	81	\$42,011	
Lake Tahoe Community College District	1	\$64,702	90%	41,936	0	0	\$4,613		0.36	0.01	753.65	20.93	0.09	0.01	196.17	19.41	1.13	29	7	\$6,290	
Lassen Community College District	1	\$156,925	100%	0	0	7,351	\$9,630		0.88	0.02	1827.86	50.77	0.23	0.02	475.77	47.09	2.75	39	10	\$0	
Long Beach Community College District	8	\$1,627,030	87%	1,044,552	78	0	\$146,458		9.11	0.25	18197.32	501.76	2.37	0.23	4736.58	465.32	3.42	720	165	\$191,967	
Los Angeles Community College District	42	\$12,306,564	94%	4,507,112	504	129,274	\$739,181		68.92	1.91	134358.16	3783.50	17.94	1.78	34972.12	3508.77	4.80	3793	889	\$698,537	
Los Rios Community College District	31	\$4,719,840	99%	3,110,875	0	107,058	\$463,820		26.43	0.73	54976.70	1527.13	6.88	0.68	14309.90	1416.24	2.66	2713	638	\$50,077	
Marin Community College District	1	\$610,603	69%	2,598	0	138	\$422		3.42	0.09	7112.30	197.56	0.89	0.09	185.26	183.22	10.68	3	1	\$1,600	
Mendocino-Lake Community College District	1	\$103,149	100%	22,990	9	0	\$3,655		0.58	0.02	1201.48	33.37	0.15	0.01	312.73	30.95	1.80	16	4	\$0	
Merced Community College District	16	\$923,326	95%	422,283	64	176	\$50,817		5.17	0.14	9893.71	274.83	1.35	0.13	2575.24	254.87	0.93	292	67	\$26,740	
MiraCosta Community College District	9	\$1,224,337	86%	568,956	59	6,102	\$83,836		6.86	0.19	9995.56	263.52	1.78	0.18	2601.75	244.38	1.67	425	98	\$111,759	
Monterey Peninsula Community College District	10	\$688,903	90%	383,882	22	2,730	\$43,775		3.86	0.11	5453.08	149.17	1.00	0.10	1419.38	138.34	0.82	279	64	\$52,601	
Mt. San Antonio Community College District	2	\$10,395,917	28%	4,440,333	1500	0	\$649,088	1920	50.66	1.41	105370.20	2926.95	13.19	1.31	27426.84	2714.42	79.13	3062	702	\$2,162,588	
Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	2	\$951,000	76%	490,689	33	0	\$63,790		5.33	0.15	11077.25	307.70	1.39	0.14	2883.30	285.36	8.32	338	78	\$126,206	
Napa Valley Community College District	1	\$203,144	87%	198,832	36	1.4	\$33,801		0.03	0.03	365.50	0.00	0.30	0.03	95.14	0.00	0.55	137	31	\$26,607	
North Orange County Community College District	4	\$7,772,837	30%	790,363	1113	16,718	\$237,087		43.53	1.21	90538.01	2514.94	11.33	1.12	23566.16	2332.33	34.00	634	148	\$813,012	
Ohlone Community College District	3	\$560,747	93%	338,104	38	3.4	\$33,694		3.14	0.09	3740.28	172.45	0.82	0.08	973.56	159.93	1.87	233	53	\$37,127	
Palo Verde Community College District	4	\$373,093	44%	156,639	46	3,398	\$24,095		2.09	0.06	3031.09	84.20	0.54	0.05	788.96	78.08	1.14	126	29	\$37,819	
Palomar Community College District	7	\$2,208,436	54%	1,027,694	10	26,338	\$137,064		12.37	0.34	15937.19	2068.58	3.22	0.32	4148.30	1918.37	3.42	848	198	\$259,309	
Pasadena Area Community College District	4	\$1,658,700	75%	538,648	56	51,225	\$116,655		9.29	0.26	19320.54	536.68	2.42	0.24	5028.95	497.71	7.25	643	155	\$137,593	
Peralta Community College District	15	\$2,558,327	52%	1,047,989	266	50,920	\$192,336		14.33	0.40	29799.39	827.76	3.73	0.37	7756.49	767.65	2.98	993	235	\$340,530	
Rancho Santiago Community College District	7	\$2,291,763	82%	870,194	183	0	\$126,428		12.83	0.36	19215.85	758.40	3.34	0.33	5001.70	703.33	4.12	600	137	\$132,209	
Redwoods Community College District	3	\$466,066	76%	224,049	0	0	\$25,318		2.61	0.07	5428.73	150.80	0.68	0.07	1413.05	139.85	2.72	154	35	\$58,789	
Rio Hondo Community College District	7	\$450,168	84%	254,348	52	10,873	\$38,133		2.52	0.07	5243.55	145.65	0.66	0.06	1364.85	135.08	1.13	233	55	\$70,429	
Riverside Community College District	10	\$1,846,690	90%	1,230,553	67	3,453	\$164,586		10.34	0.29	19581.43	525.04	2.69	0.27	5096.86	486.92	2.94	867	199	\$133,664	
San Bernardino Community College District	5	\$790,105	88%	431,239	48	0	\$37,099		4.42	0.12	7701.93	270.93	1.15	0.11	2004.74	251.26	2.31	297	68	\$94,518	
San Diego Community College District	46	\$3,676,043	89%	1,591,892	182	2,619	\$313,980		20.59	0.57	42818.55	1189.40	5.36	0.53	11145.25	1103.04	1.40	1112	255	\$401,721	
San Francisco Community College District	7	\$2,986,482	93%	923,843	349	165,043	\$139,309		16.72	0.46	34786.54	966.29	4.35	0.43	9054.60	896.13	7.46	1512	372	\$73,905	
San Joaquin Delta Community College District	8	\$784,218	88%	405,889	22	16,104	\$58,959		4.39	0.12	7005.05	205.72	1.14	0.11	1823.35	190.79	1.32	365	86	\$55,685	
San Jose/Evergreen Community College District	3	\$293,212	95%	107,575	1	2,044	\$16,340		1.64	0.05	3415.33	94.87	0.43	0.04	888.98	87.98	1.71	85	20	\$15,493	
San Luis Obispo Community College District	4	\$651,197	78%	100,627	0	68,971	\$60,181		3.65	0.10	7000.45	194.46	0.95	0.09	1822.15	180.34					

**APPENDIX C: CALIFORNIA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD PROP 39  
PRE-APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING PILOTS**



# OVERVIEW OF **PROP 39** PRE-APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING PILOTS

**In 2016**, the California Workforce Development Board (CWDB) released close to \$5 Million of Proposition 39 Clean Energy Job Creation funds to build on the success and momentum of the first cadre of construction pre-apprenticeship pilots. The State Board’s investments are designed to develop, implement and advance energy efficiency focused job-training and placement programs targeting disadvantaged Californians.

In addition to continuing to fund the initial six (6) training implementation projects, Prop 39 2.0 launched five (5) new projects that build additional regional pipelines for at-risk youth, veterans and disadvantaged job seekers into joint-labor management Registered Apprenticeship Programs. Graduates of the Prop 39 pilot programs are earning an industry-valued pre-apprenticeship credential. Utilizing National Building Trades Multi-Craft Core Curriculum (MC3) while partnering with local Building Trades Councils (BTCs) and the registered union apprenticeship community, the Prop 39 pilots are helping to create the critical direct link between pre-apprenticeship and apprenticeship programs.

PROP 39 GOALS	PROP 39 PERFORMANCE MEASURES
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Train at risk youth, women, veterans, and other disadvantaged job seekers in clean energy job skills</li> <li>✓ Create structured pathways to apprenticeship to build the energy-efficiency workforce</li> <li>✓ Align systems and leverage funding to optimize results and reduce service duplication</li> <li>✓ Implement, replicate, and/or scale successful innovations that emerged from Prop 39 1.0 projects</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Attainment of Industry-Valued Credentials (North American Building Trades MC3 Certificate)</li> <li>✓ Placement in State-Certified Apprenticeship Program (DAS approved)</li> <li>✓ Placement in Continuing Education</li> <li>✓ Placement in Construction/Energy Efficiency Employment</li> <li>✓ Retention in Employment/State-Certified Apprenticeship</li> <li>✓ Increased Income</li> </ul>



## \* PROP 39 1.0 PERFORMANCE SNAPSHOT 12.31.16 \*

PROJECT	ENROLLMENT (% OF TARGET)	COMPLETION (% OF ENROLLED)	PLACEMENT (% OF TRAINED)
<b>Central Valley</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>81%</b>
<b>East Bay</b>	<b>104%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>71%</b>
<b>Los Angeles</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Sacramento</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>San Francisco</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>54%</b>
<b>South Bay</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>73%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>78%</b>

## \* PROP 39 1.0 PROJECT SUMMARIES \*

\* **CENTRAL VALLEY REGIONAL PILOT.** The Valley Building Multi-Craft Program was originally developed by the Fresno-Madera-Tulare-Kings Counties Building Trades Council in partnership of the Fresno Workforce Development Board (WDB), and has become a national example of apprenticeship-preparation. In 2016, this program worked to expand throughout the Central Valley and to cover the region between Kern and San Joaquin counties.

\* **EAST BAY PILOT.** (Contra Costa & Alameda Counties) In 2016, opportunities for disadvantaged communities continued to expand through the work of this robust partnership that includes two area Building Trades Councils (Alameda and Contra Costa), three Workforce Development Boards (Alameda, Contra Costa, Richmond), and three Community-Based Training Organizations (RichmondBUILD, Future Build, and Cypress Mandela).

\* **LOS ANGELES COUNTY PILOT.** The Los Angeles pilot is the only community college-led program. Building on a strong history of energy-efficiency education and a core partnership with Los Angeles & Orange Counties Building Trades Council, Los Angeles Trade Technical College brought on new partners in 2016, including the Los Angeles Labor Federation and the Anti-Recidivism Coalition. The pilot provides ex-offenders with opportunities to enter life-changing careers in union construction trades.

\* **SACRAMENTO CAPITOL REGION PILOT.** 2016 saw the expansion and refinement of the Sacramento Region's MC3 pre-apprenticeship program. This pilot helped to build the talent pipeline for the Golden 1 Arena in the City of Sacramento. Leveraging that success, The capitol region's Prop 39 project is expanding employment opportunities for underserved local residents on other major construction projects.

\* **SAN FRANCISCO PILOT.** The San Francisco Pilot is the first Prop 39 project led by a chapter of the Conservation Corps. The San Francisco Conservation Corps (SFCC) offers young people opportunities to develop themselves, expand their academic abilities and marketable skills, and address community needs through service work. In 2016, after integrating the MC3, the SFCC is evolving into a national model for Conservation Corps chapters interested in building pathways into union construction trades for disadvantaged youth.

\* **SOUTH BAY PILOT.** In 2016, Prop 39 funding advanced the work of this successful labor-driven, labor-operated apprenticeship preparation program. The Bay Area Apprenticeship Coordinators Association (BAACA), representing all of the region's trades, first envisioned the San Mateo Trades Introduction Program (TIP). TIP is operated in partnership with the San Mateo Building Trades Council and the San Mateo County Union Community Alliance. BAACA also partners on a sister program in Santa Clara County – the Trades Orientation Program (TOP) partnership – with the Santa Clara-San Benito Building Trades Council, and Working Partnerships, USA.

✨ **PROP 39 2.0** ✨  
**PROJECT SUMMARIES**  
 (Launched July 2016)

GRANTEES	PARTNERS	REGION
<b>FLINTRIDGE CENTER</b>	<b>Building Trades Council:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Los Angeles/Orange County BTC</li> </ul> <b>Workforce Development Board:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Foothill WDB</li> </ul> <b>Community-Based Organization:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Flintridge Center (LEAD)</li> </ul>	<b>Greater Los Angeles</b>
<b>MARIN BUILDING TRADES COUNCIL</b>	<b>Building Trades Council:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Marin County BTC (LEAD)</li> </ul> <b>Workforce Development Boards:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Marin County WDB, Sonoma County WDB, Napa-Lake WDB, Mendocino WDB, Solano WDB</li> </ul> <b>Community Colleges:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ College of Marin, Napa Valley College</li> </ul>	<b>North Bay Region (Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Lake, Mendocino counties)</b>
<b>MONTEREY WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD</b>	<b>Building Trades Council:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Monterey/Santa Cruz BTC</li> </ul> <b>Workforce Development Boards:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Monterey WDB (LEAD), Santa Cruz WDB, San Benito WDB</li> </ul> <b>Additional Key Partners:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ IBEW 234</li> </ul>	<b>Monterey Bay Region (Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Benito counties)</b>
<b>RISING SUN ENERGY CENTER</b>	<b>Building Trades Council:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Alameda BTC</li> </ul> <b>Workforce Development Boards:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Alameda County BTC, Oakland WDB, Contra Costa WDB</li> </ul> <b>Community-Based Organizations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Rising Sun Energy Center (LEAD)</li> <li>■ Tradeswomen Inc.</li> </ul>	<b>Alameda County</b>
<b>URBAN CORPS OF SAN DIEGO</b>	<b>Building Trades Council:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ San Diego BTC</li> </ul> <b>Workforce Development Boards:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ San Diego Partnership WDB</li> </ul> <b>Community-Based Organization:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Urban Corps of San Diego (LEAD)</li> </ul>	<b>San Diego</b>



## ✧ LESSONS LEARNED ✧

### ✧ **LESSON 1** ✧ **Active Involvement with the Local Building Trades is KEY to Apprenticeship Placement**

Participation by the local apprenticeship community has gone far beyond sitting on the Prop 39 Industry Advisory Committees, to include: Presentations by individual crafts, providing tours and hands-on instruction at apprenticeship training facilities, and giving weight to the MC3 certificate to give a leg-up to graduates applying for apprenticeship (ranging from waiving required testing to direct-to-interview access).

Working with MC3 programs is valuable to local apprenticeship programs, which invest up to \$20,000 on apprentices in the first several years of apprenticeship. The risk of losing their investment and an apprentice is a hardship for the apprenticeship programs, and it is beneficial to know a participant is passionate and dedicated to the trade they are learning. Participation in MC3 programs allows the Joint Apprenticeship and Training Committees (JATCs) to help grow their own workforce and increase first year apprentice retention by identifying career-interested, prepared apprentice applicants.

### ✧ **LESSON 2** ✧ **Placement into Registered Apprenticeship is NOT an Overnight Process**

Placement from a pre-apprenticeship program into registered apprenticeship is neither guaranteed nor instantaneous. JATCs accept new apprentices based on projected local construction demand, and on schedules that vary by trade. The time from graduation to placement averages between 3-6 months. One solution to this delay is placing graduates into construction helper positions with union trades. Most crafts have a classification that falls below the first-year apprentice, and these interim “helper” positions allow MC3 graduates to work in a trade, immersing them in the construction industry until they can be hired as an apprentice.

Another solution is to bolster demand – to create more opportunity for pre-apprentice graduates – by directly linking MC3 programs to regional Project Labor and/ or Community Workforce Agreements. The Prop 39 pilots are improving placement by explicitly positioning themselves as the “go-to” pipeline for local hire agreements – providing major construction projects with a high-quality local supply of apprenticeship-ready workers.

### ✧ **LESSON 3** ✧ **A Successful Program Provides More than Just Curriculum**

Prop 39 funds are intended to assist job-seekers with multiple barriers to employment, making quality supportive services a critical “must” for participant success. These may range from financial literacy and benefits coordination (e.g. childcare and housing issues) to case-management, mentoring, and peer support. In addition, the 11 pilot programs address prerequisites to apprenticeship, from passing a drug test to getting a GED (and in some cases testing out of 2 years of high school Algebra). Construction apprenticeships require a valid driver’s license, and many pilots now deploy ticket amnesty programs for MC3 students, along with record expungement for ex-offenders.

Strategies for participant support evolve as the grantees share promising practices with one another. All Prop 39 projects participate in a quarterly, in-person Community of Practice (CoP). Because while the pilots work with a wide range of participants, from the rural counties of the Central Valley to the urban core of Los Angeles, the goals of program partners are everywhere the same: to create pathways for individuals from disadvantaged and underserved communities into middle-class careers in the union building trades.



*Report prepared with assistance from:*



# **CENTRAL VALLEY REGIONAL PROJECT**

## **PROGRAM OVERVIEW**

The Valley Build Multi-Craft Pre-Apprenticeship Program (Valley Build) began ten years ago when the Fresno-Madera-Tulare-Kings Counties Building Trades Council received a small grant from their local Housing Authority. Working in partnership with the Fresno Workforce Development Board, it has become a national example of a successful apprenticeship-preparation program. Through trial and error and a variety of community partnerships, this MC3 program is expanding throughout the Central Valley, laying the training foundation for California’s High Speed Rail system.

Valley Build’s unique screening and intake process assesses both academic and fitness levels. Committed to preparing participants for the physical demands of construction work – a common barrier to employment in the trades – the program was the first to include a substantial fitness regime, developed in partnership with the local police academy.

<b>PARTNERS</b>	<b>TRAINING PROVIDERS</b>	<b>TARGET TRADES</b>
<p><b>Building Trades Councils:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fresno-Madera-Tulare-Kings Counties BTC</li> <li>• Stanislaus-Merced-Tuolumne BTC</li> </ul> <p><b>Workforce Development Boards:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fresno WDB (LEAD)</li> <li>• Madera WDB</li> <li>• Stanislaus WDB</li> <li>• Merced WDB</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fresno-Madera-Tulare-Kings Counties Building Trades</li> <li>• Stanislaus-Merced-Tuolumne Building Trades</li> <li>• Kern, Inyo, Mono Building Trades</li> <li>• San Joaquin, Calaveras, Amador, and Alpine Building Trades</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Electricians</li> <li>• Sheet Metal</li> <li>• Ironworkers</li> <li>• Plumbers &amp; Pipefitters</li> <li>• Operating Engineers</li> <li>• Laborers</li> <li>• Cement Masons</li> <li>• Concrete Finishers</li> <li>• Teamsters</li> <li>• Roofers &amp; Waterproofers</li> <li>• Insulators</li> <li>• Carpenters</li> </ul>

**PROP 39 PRE-APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING PILOTS**  
**CENTRAL VALLEY REGIONAL PILOT, CONT'D**

**STRATEGY FOR SUCCESS:  
INSTITUTIONALIZING TRAINING WINS**

Valley Build has evolved into a seamless operation model, with a shared language of success. Communities benefit when candid partners from different worlds – the construction trades and the workforce system – work toward a common vision. The local Building Trades apprenticeship community conducts the training – at *their* facilities – allowing students to get a true understanding of the construction industry directly from their potential future employers. At the same time, workforce development boards provide participants with the support they need to be successful in this program, in their careers, and in their communities.

The success of Valley Build broadened its community impact by aiding the the Fresno-Madera-Tulare-Kings Counties Building Trades Council in securing a National Target Hire Initiative with the City of Fresno. This new public works policy will better enable community members to advance into middle-class construction careers by mandating the use of registered apprentices and/or Valley Build graduates on all city projects.



*Rigoberto M., Northern California  
Laborers Apprentice*

**PARTICIPANT PROGRESS**

Rigoberto M. was unemployed when he applied for services with the Madera County Workforce Investment Corporation. Staff steered him to the Valley Build orientation, and he enrolled. In addition to classroom and hands-on training, the program provided an introduction to a variety of trades, including Plumbers & Pipefitters, Sheet Metal, Teamsters, Ironworkers, Painters & Allied Trades, and the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers. Rigoberto graduated from the program with an industry-recognized credential as well as recognition certificates from Congressman Jim Costa and Assembly Member Frank Bigelow. He was hired by the Laborers, earning union wages and grateful for the opportunity to begin a new career.

**EAST BAY PILOT  
(ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTIES)**

**PROGRAM OVERVIEW**

The East Bay Prop 39 pilot covers the two-county region of Alameda and Contra Costa. The three community-based training partners have been providing apprenticeship-preparation programs for years. In 2014, they integrated the Multi-Craft Core Curriculum (MC3) relatively seamlessly. Having deep roots in their respective communities (Richmond Build and Future Build in Contra Costa, Cypress Mandela in Oakland), outreach to the local communities to build their Prop 39 cohorts is an easier process. The three training entities also have long-standing working relationships with their local Building Trades Councils and area Building Trades unions. Future Build and RichmondBUILD have placement-related MOUs with both the Carpenters and Laborers unions.

The East Bay Prop 39 Program is a place where local residents can receive free job training that opens doors to middle-class careers in the Building Trades. It is a remarkably effective apprenticeship preparation program that boasts a strong demand-side strategy: with a track record of preparing disadvantaged populations for careers in construction, the partner training programs of the East Bay have been written into multiple Project Labor Agreements and regional building polices that mandate local hire. The Building Trades Councils serve as program advisors, and the community based organizations (CBOs) deliver job readiness, MC3 training and supportive services.

PARTNERS	TRAINING PROVIDERS	TARGET TRADES
<p><b>Building Trades Councils:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Alameda BTC</li> <li>• Contra Costa BTC</li> </ul> <p><b>Workforce Development Boards:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Richmond Works WDB (LEAD)</li> <li>• Alameda WDB</li> <li>• Contra Costa WDB</li> </ul> <p><b>Community-Based Organizations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RichmondBUILD</li> <li>• Future Build</li> <li>• Cypress Mandela</li> </ul> <p><b>Additional Partners:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Northern California Laborers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RichmondBUILD</li> <li>• Future Build</li> <li>• Cypress Mandela</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Plumbers &amp; Pipefitters</li> <li>• Electricians</li> <li>• Sheet Metal</li> <li>• Shipbuilders</li> <li>• Rail Workers</li> <li>• Laborers</li> <li>• Ironworkers</li> <li>• Cement Masons</li> </ul>

**PROP 39 PRE-APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING PILOTS**  
**EAST BAY PILOT, CONT'D**

**STRATEGY FOR SUCCESS:**  
**DEDICATED STAFF & DEEP UNION PARTNERSHIPS**

The key to the success of the East Bay pilot remains their dedicated staff. MC3 Instructors for the programs are in-house, having gone through the programs they are now teaching. In addition, there are instructors from the Laborers and Carpenters unions, with high-level knowledge of their crafts, commitment to their community, and the desire to teach their skills. They earnestly want their students to succeed and do not allow their students past barriers to employment continue to stop their personal successes.

Additionally, the pilot has negotiated direct entry into the Carpenters, Laborers, Dry Wall/Lathers and Ironworkers unions. Graduates can enter these trades with a great starting wage and more importantly a career.



**PARTICIPANT PROGRESS**

Yesenia P. is a first generation Mexican American. She overcame many obstacles from her neighborhood environment to graduate from high school. Although she was accepted to San Francisco State University, she could not afford the tuition. Through word-of-mouth, she found RichmondBUILD. Yesenia wanted a career in the union Building Trades where she could earn a good wage and have a career where she was constantly learning. She graduated in great standing, took the Operating Engineers apprenticeship tests, and passed at the top of her class.

*Yesenia P., Operating Engineer  
Apprentice*

## LOS ANGELES COUNTY PILOT

### PROGRAM OVERVIEW

The Los Angeles project is the only Prop 39 pilot led by a community college. Los Angeles Trade Technical College (LATTC) boasts a robust construction department with an Associate’s Degree, and a long-held relationship with the local Building Trades Council. The Multi-Craft Core Curriculum (MC3) was a perfect match for their Energy Efficiency and Construction Bootcamp.

The LATTC bootcamp prepares participants to enter either an apprenticeship or a post-secondary degree program. It incorporates the MC3 curriculum, a 120-hour base program that combines learning prerequisites to all Building Trades apprenticeships. This is supplemented with 40 hours of advanced, contextualized preparation in math and computation. Finally, a 160-hour career exploration module encourages students to thoroughly explore a craft of their choice through work-based learning projects, onsite visits, and guest lectures.

PARTNERS	TRAINING PROVIDERS	TARGET TRADES
<p><b>Building Trades Council:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Los Angeles - Orange BTC</li> </ul> <p><b>Community College:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LA Trade Technical College (LEAD)</li> </ul> <p><b>Community-Based Organizations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Los Angeles Conservation Corps</li> <li>• Anti-Recidivism Coalition</li> </ul> <p><b>Central Labor Council:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Los Angeles County Federation of Labor</li> </ul> <p><b>Additional Partners:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LA Metro</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LATTC</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Electricians</li> <li>• Sheet Metal</li> <li>• Shipbuilders</li> <li>• Rail Workers</li> <li>• Laborers</li> <li>• Ironworkers</li> <li>• Cement Masons</li> </ul>

OVER

## PROP 39 PRE-APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING PILOTS LOS ANGELES COUNTY PILOT, CONT'D

### STRATEGY FOR SUCCESS: EXPANDING CAREER PATHWAYS

Collaborative work is critical to the LATTC program's success and expansion. As grant lead, the college works closely with labor and community partners to recruit participants and create placement opportunities. In 2016, Working with the Anti-Recidivism Coalition (ARC) and the Los Angeles County Labor Federation, the Prop 39 pilot expanded to create pipelines to quality construction careers for ex-offenders. LATTC also developed a partnership with Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA) to train women for job opportunities the MTA construction department and with its subcontractors. In addition to building solid apprenticeship pathways, the LATTC pilot guides participants – through career counseling and a Student Education Plan – up and across post-secondary on-ramps to construction degree programs.

### PARTICIPANT PROGRESS

*“Today I feel that being in a union, I have security. I have something I can come home to and offer my wife, health and dental (insurance) to where it's something substantial.”* – Thomas R.

When Thomas R. was 15 years old, he made a poor decision that led to an 18-years-to-life sentence. He was released after 17.5 years and needed to get his life on track. Construction work kept him and his family afloat, but it did not provide benefits or job security.



He found a chance at a better life with the Los Angeles Reentry Workforce Collaborative, which trains the formerly incarcerated for union jobs in construction. The Collaborative is a 12-week program that starts with life skills classes provided by the ARC and moves to MC3 construction-based instruction at LATTC. Upon graduation, each participant is placed in a union job. Thomas was a member of the inaugural class of 20, which graduated in October 2016. He is now an apprentice with the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) Local 11, earning benefits including a pension.

*Thomas R., IBEW Local 11 Apprentice*

## **SACRAMENTO CAPTIOL REGION PILOT**

### **PROGRAM OVERVIEW**

The Sacramento Employment and Training Agency (SETA) leads the Capitol Region Prop 39 pilot, coordinating recruitment, screening, case management, job placement, follow-up and retention. Joining the Workforce development board are the local Sacramento-Sierra Building Trades Council and apprenticeship coordinators, which serve the partnership in an advisory role, and the American River College, Sierra College, and North California Construction Training, which deliver the Multi-Craft Core Curriculum (MC3) and additional apprenticeship-preparation training.

2016 saw the distillation and refinement of the region’s MC3 pre-apprenticeship program, launched in part to help build a talent pipeline for the Golden 1 Arena in the City of Sacramento. Leveraging the success of the Priority Worker Program – the Arena’s local hire provision – the Prop 39 partners are working to connect underserved local residents to other major construction projects, including downtown revitalization, state building retrofits, and Regional Transit expansion.

<b>PARTNERS</b>	<b>TRAINING PROVIDERS</b>	<b>TARGET TRADES</b>
<p><b>Building Trades Councils:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sacramento-Sierra BTC</li> </ul> <p><b>Workforce Development Boards:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sacramento Employment and Training Agency (SETA)</li> <li>• Golden Sierra Job Training Agency (GSJTA)</li> </ul> <p><b>Community-Based Organization:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conservation Corps</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• North California Construction Training</li> <li>• American River College - STRIPE Program</li> <li>• Sierra College</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Carpenters</li> <li>• Laborers,</li> <li>• Electricians</li> <li>• Ironworkers</li> <li>• Plumbers</li> <li>• Sheet Metal</li> </ul>

OVER

**STRATEGY FOR SUCCESS:  
FOCUSING ON PARTNERSHIP**

The Prop 39 pilot focused in 2016 on strengthening the partnership between the Sacramento Workforce Development Board, local union apprenticeship programs, and key training providers. What began with the Priority Worker Program is now evolving into a permanent pipeline for regional building trade apprenticeship programs as the Sacramento Employment and Training Agency (SETA) and the Building Trades Council (BTC) work together to identify opportunities that will transform this apprenticeship-preparation pilot into a bold regional model. The goal: meet target hire demands on energy and infrastructure projects while creating upward mobility for disadvantaged communities.



*Clifford Rowh, IBEW 340 Apprentice*

**PARTICIPANT PROGRESS**

*“I never could have reached my career goal without the Sacramento Employment Training Agency and the Prop 39 program. I will forever be grateful.” – Clifford R.*

At 30, Clifford R. was a disadvantaged worker, working for minimum wage and part-time hours; his earnings fell well below the self-sufficiency standard. Clifford wanted to be an electrician but was discouraged by what he thought was a late start for a long-term career goal. After speaking with SETA career counselors, Clifford enrolled in the MC3 Pre-Apprenticeship Program at American River College and successfully graduated in May 2016. Upon graduation, Clifford continued to work closely with SETA and the Prop 39 program for support during his job search. Clifford is now working as an apprentice with International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 340, making \$18.81 per hour.

# SAN FRANCISCO CONSERVATION CORPS

## PROGRAM OVERVIEW

The San Francisco Pilot is the first Prop 39 project led by a chapter from the Conservation Corps. The San Francisco Conservation Corps (SFCC) offers young people opportunities to develop themselves, their academic abilities and marketable job skills, while addressing community needs through service work. Prop 39 gave the SFCC the opportunity to evolve their program by integrating the Multi-Craft Core Curriculum (MC3), which provides a model for Conservation Corps chapters on how to build pathways for disadvantaged youth, into the union construction trades.

With a focus on serving disadvantaged youth, the SFCC MC3 program provides additional academic support and remediation, including the attainment of a high school diploma via their integrated charter school. Building upon the MC3 as an introductory trades education program, graduates can attain additional certification trainings provided after MC3 – including Operational Safety and Health Administration 10 hour training (OSHA-10), Traffic Control and Confined Space, as well as training possibilities in 40-hr Hazwoper, and other energy efficiency training. Additional certification trainings are provided by the Laborers Community Training Foundation partners.

PARTNERS	TRAINING PROVIDERS	TARGET TRADES
<p><b>Building Trades Councils:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• San Francisco BTC</li> </ul> <p><b>Community-Based Organization:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• San Francisco Conservation Corps (LEAD)</li> </ul> <p><b>Additional Partner:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Laborers Community Training Fund</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• San Francisco Conservation Corps (LEAD)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Laborers</li> <li>• Carpenters</li> <li>• Electricians</li> <li>• Painters</li> <li>• Glaziers</li> <li>• Operating Engineers</li> </ul>

OVER

**STRATEGY FOR SUCCESS:  
KNOWING HOW TO WORK WITH YOUTH**

The mission of the SFCC is to offer young people opportunities to development themselves, their academic abilities and marketable job skills while addressing community needs through service work. This program has adopted the MC3 to provide an opportunity for at-risk-youth with significant barriers to overcome obstacles and find career pathways by participating in union construction apprenticeship programs. The program provides focused instruction, certifications and case management so trainees can focus on preparing for trades-based careers that offer sustainable futures for themselves and for their families.

SFCC is continuing to build on its recruitment and enrollment strategies to engage job ready participants, as well as expand their partnerships to include mentors and job-readiness by conducting mock interviews and facilitating employer meet and greets. In addition, SFCC recently connected with John O'Connell High School which has a Building Trades program in partnership with Jewish Vocational Services, which may result in the expansion of the SFCC program and an introduction to new participants.



**PARTICIPANT PROGRESS**

Nichelle H. came to the SFCC Pre-Apprentice Program a single mother, underemployed in a dead-end job. Nichelle was raised in foster care for much of her life and was seeking stability and financial security for herself and her daughter. Soon after completing the program she was indentured into Laborers Local 261 and has been working on the San Francisco General Hospital project.

*Nichelle H., Apprentice with  
Laborers Local 261*

## SOUTH BAY PILOT (SANTA CLARA AND SAN MATEO COUNTIES)

### PROGRAM OVERVIEW

**The South Bay Prop 39 project is a labor-driven, labor-operated apprenticeship preparation program, that works in partnership with a variety of regional workforce entities. The San Mateo Program, also known as the Trades Introduction Program (TIP), is the vision of the Bay Area Apprenticeship Coordinators Association (BAACA), a regional group of union trades. TIP is operated in partnership with the San Mateo Building Trades Council, the San Mateo County Union Community Alliance, and the BAACA.**

A sister program in Santa Clara County, the Trades Orientation Program (TOP), is part of the Construction Careers Initiative: a collaborative effort by industry, community and the public workforce development system to create a Community Workforce Pipeline into construction apprenticeships. Emphasizing partnership, coordination and integration of training and job placement services between local Workforce Development Boards (WDBs), Joint Apprenticeship Training Committees (JATCs) and community-based organizations, these programs are creating a pathway for low-income and disadvantaged workers into middle-class construction careers.

PARTNERS	TRAINING PROVIDERS	TARGET TRADES
<p><b>Building Trades Councils:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Santa Clara – San Benito BTC</li> <li>• San Mateo BTC</li> </ul> <p><b>Regional Apprenticeship Group:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bay Area Apprenticeship Coordinators Association (BAACA)</li> <li>• South Bay Apprenticeship Coordinators Association (SBACA)</li> </ul> <p><b>Workforce Development Boards:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work2Future (San Jose WDB)</li> <li>• NOVA (San Mateo WDB)</li> </ul> <p><b>Community Based Organizations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• San Mateo County Union Community Alliance</li> <li>• Working Partnerships, USA</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• South Bay Building Trades</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bricklayers and Tile</li> <li>• Cement Masons</li> <li>• Electricians</li> <li>• Floor Covering</li> <li>• Glaziers</li> <li>• Ironworkers</li> <li>• Laborers</li> <li>• Operating Engineers</li> <li>• Painters and Tapers</li> <li>• Plasterers</li> <li>• Plumbers and Steamfitters</li> <li>• Roofers</li> <li>• Sheet Metal</li> <li>• Sprinkler Fitters</li> <li>• Sign and Display</li> <li>• Carpenters</li> <li>• Sprinkler Fitters</li> <li>• Construction Inspectors</li> <li>• Surveyors</li> </ul>

**STRATEGY FOR SUCCESS:  
EMPHASIZE RELATIONSHIPS WITH JATCS**

In 2016, TIP and TOP built on a track record of Prop 39 success to refine and strengthen critical partnerships. Some partnering JATCs have amended their apprenticeship standards to give preference to Multi-Craft Core Curriculum (MC3) graduates, while others have gone through the process with the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS) and Department of Labor (DOL) to waive introductory testing requirements, allowing graduates to go directly to the interview phase of the apprentice indenturing process. The more apprenticeship coordinators participate in the training and build relationships with TIP and TOP students, the more they believe in the quality of the MC3 training. This has helped the partnering apprenticeship coordinators to become advocates for these students, championing their success and helping MC3 graduates to achieve their goal of careers in union construction.



*Melissa N.,  
Carpenters Apprentice*

**PARTICIPANT PROGRESS**

Melissa N. had worked in retail for nine years. Even though she moved up to a management position, her pay was still very low, and her work schedule was never consistent, making it impossible to plan the steps that she wanted to take for her future. Finally, she got fed up with the point system that kept raises low, and quit her job.

Her grandpa had been a carpenter and when she was young she enjoyed helping him as a material handler. However, she always thought that people in the trades were paid very little for their hard work. The TOP class opened her eyes to the realities of a career in the trades and she became a Carpenters apprentice. Melissa now makes \$26.64 an hour and gets paid to learn, which she loves.

APPENDIX D: CALIFORNIA CONSERVATION CORPS STATUS OF  
PROPOSITION 39 FUNDED ENERGY CORPS PROGRAM



# **California Conservation Corps**

**2016**

## **Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board**

**9 February 2017**



## California Conservation Corps Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board 9 February 2017

### About the CCC

Founded by Governor Brown in 1976 during his first term as Governor, the California Conservation Corps (“CCC”) and its Corpsmembers have performed more than 40 years of conservation work benefiting the citizens of California, and have trained more than 100,000 young adults as CCC Corpsmembers. The CCC also has more than 30 years of direct experience working on Energy Efficiency (EE) and Renewable Energy (RE) projects and programs throughout California. In 2013 the CCC established the “Energy Corps” as an operational group within the CCC that provides the following services to Californians:

**Energy Industry Training & Work Experience** – CCC Corpsmembers and Staff are trained by energy industry experts, CCC Staff, and Energy Corps partners to become very capable, efficient, substantially experienced, and effective resources performing a variety of EE and RE projects and programs throughout the State on a cost effective and efficient basis.

**Cooperative Energy Industry Partnerships** – The CCC’s Energy Corps establishes energy conservation workforce development project and program opportunities with Energy Industry partners, sponsors, and clients that provide relevant and extensive energy industry training and work experience opportunities for Corpsmembers, and energy conservation savings for the recipients of this work (K12 Schools).

**Innovative Program Designs** – The CCC works with Energy Corps partners and sponsors to create new cooperative EE and RE workforce development Programs and Projects that directly engage Corpsmembers in saving energy, reducing energy operating costs, improving the operational and learning environments within buildings for all occupants, reducing Green House Gas Emissions (“GHGE”) through the reduction of energy use and demand, and creating multiple ‘pathways’ for CCC Corpsmembers to energy industry job opportunities.

**Energy Industry Services** – The CCC’s Energy Corps provides extensive Proposition 39 Funded energy conservation services to LEAs (K-12 Schools) throughout California, as well as reimbursement based EE conservation contract work with energy industry partnering companies (contractors, ESCOs, 3<sup>rd</sup> party EE companies), utilities, State Agencies, non-profit organizations, and others.

**Resources & Operational Centers** – The CCC has more than 1,400 Corpsmembers positions (FTE) located in 26 CCC operating centers statewide, along with the Staff and infrastructure necessary to support, manage, and deploy these Corpsmembers.

**CCC Energy Centers** – Four (4) of the 26 CCC operating centers are dedicated CCC “Energy Centers” (Sacramento, San Jose, Norwalk, and Vista), from which the CCC operates ten (10) Energy Corps Crews to provide energy conservation project and program services throughout the State. In addition to these four dedicated Energy Centers, the CCC also has one (1) Energy Corps crew stationed at the Fresno CCC Center.

**CCC & ‘Local Conservation Corps’** – In addition to the CCC, there are also fourteen (14) Local Conservation Corps located throughout California (“Local Corps”). Each of these Local Corps are certified operationally by the CCC. The CCC and participating certified local Conservation Corps can work together to provide professionally trained and managed Corpsmembers, infrastructure, and a range of energy conservation services for EE and RE projects & programs throughout California.



# California Conservation Corps Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board 9 February 2017

## CCC & Local Corps



With 4 dedicated CCC Energy Centers, 26 CCC operating locations, and 14 Local Conservation Corps located throughout California, the CCC and participating certified local Conservation Corps can provide extensive professionally trained and managed Corpsmembers, infrastructure, and a range of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy Projects & Programs.





**California Conservation Corps  
Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board  
9 February 2017**

**About the CCC’s Proposition 39 Funded Energy Corps Services:**

**Energy Industry Services Designed to Meet the Following Goals:**


**Create Multiple Energy Job Opportunity Pathways** – Developing innovative energy conservation partnerships with energy industry companies that develop into multiple employment opportunity ‘pathways’ for CCC Energy Corps Corpsmembers interested in pursuing careers within the energy industry.

**Provide Relevant Energy Industry Training** – Classroom and lab based energy industry training and extensive on-the-job training and work experience is provided for CCC Energy Corps Corpsmembers (young adults from 18 to 25, and recently returned veterans up to 29) by energy industry companies, universities, and Energy Corps partners.

**Provide Extensive Energy Industry Work Experience** – After receiving their initial formal classroom training, CCC Energy Corps Corpsmembers receive extensive on-the-job training and work experience ‘in the field’ working on energy conservation projects for buildings both delivered directly by the CCC to clients, and working with energy industry partners and experts. The CCC’s Energy Corps is helping to develop the ‘next generation’ of energy industry workers through the provision of extensive energy industry work experience, and a continuum of extensive on-the-job training and education for Corpsmembers. This approach to energy industry workforce development is helping to transform the market for ‘entry level’ energy industry jobs (a form of market transformation) at a time when the energy industry is having significant difficulty finding enough qualified employees to fill their vacant entry level positions.

**Save Energy and Reduce Energy Costs for California K-12 Schools** – The CCC provides important, relevant, and much needed energy conservation services to K-12 Schools located throughout California as follows:

**CCC Proposition 39 Funded Energy Industry Services for K-12 Schools:**





**California Conservation Corps  
Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board  
9 February 2017**

**CCC Proposition 39 Allocations:** – Over a period of four (4) Fiscal Years, the CCC has been allocated a total of \$ 21.073 million dollars to provide energy industry services on behalf of K-12 LEAs throughout California. The following table shows the allocations by each fiscal year:

<b>CCC Proposition 39 Allocations - In Thousands</b>			
<b>FY 16-17</b>	<b>FY 15-16</b>	<b>FY 14-15</b>	<b>FY 13-14</b>
<b>\$ 5,660</b>	<b>\$ 5,406</b>	<b>\$ 5,000</b>	<b>\$ 5,007</b>

**Low Cost' Energy Opportunity Surveys** – Since December of 2013, the CCC has performed ASHRAE compliant (energy industry standard), “Energy Opportunity Surveys” (energy audits) for more than **1,250** Schools located in **432** LEA districts, consisting of more than **12,600** buildings totaling more than **69.6** Million Square Feet throughout California. These Proposition 39 funded CCC Energy Opportunity Surveys provide LEAs with extensive on-site collection of data by the CCC’s trained and experienced Energy Corps Corpsmembers (paid for by the CCC’s Proposition 39 allocation) for the building envelope, all energy use systems, energy use ‘best practices’, plug load energy use, and the detailed physical inventory and site information for each building necessary to identify, calculate, and recommend available energy savings opportunities, recommended best practices, and make use of available incentive programs.

The data collected by the CCC’s Energy Corps Corpsmembers is then provided to the LEA, the LEA’s energy efficiency partner (if any), or a qualified and ‘low cost’ CCC Energy Corps partner to perform detailed data analysis, modeling, retrofit and O&M best practices recommendations, and prepare the final Survey Report for the LEA. In addition to the final CCC Energy Opportunity Survey Report, the LEA also receives from the CCC the completed CEC Proposition 39 calculator required for submission with the LEA’s EEP to the CEC.

The CCC’s completed ASHRAE compliant Energy Opportunity Surveys are the foundation of information (and ‘baseline’ of energy use) upon which the most effective use of any energy efficiency project funding can best be determined, prioritized, and sequenced. The following is a summary of Energy Opportunity Surveys provided to LEAs each year through 2016:

**ENERGY CORPS PROGRAM - July 2013 TO DATE**

**Services Provided by the CCC to K-12 LEAs Funded by Proposition 39  
2/2/2017**

<b>Projects</b>	<b>To Date</b>	<b>Totals By Calendar Years</b>			
		<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
<b>LEA Request For Services:</b>	<b>432</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>Total Number of Project Sites:</b>	<b>1,337</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>979</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Number of Completed Projects:</b>	<b>1,337</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>979</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Total Energy Surveys Completed:</b>	<b>1,258</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>963</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Total Buildings Surveyed</b>	<b>12,653</b>	<b>1,532</b>	<b>1,397</b>	<b>9,677</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>Total Square Footage Surveyed</b>	<b>69,670,897</b>	<b>6,563,081</b>	<b>9,115,576</b>	<b>53,773,464</b>	<b>218,776</b>
<b>LEA's Surveyed Total</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>LEA's Surveyed Over 5000 ADA</b>	<b>79</b> <b>19%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>LEA's Surveyed Under 5000 ADA</b>	<b>329</b> <b>81%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>LEA's Surveyed Over 50% FRPM</b>	<b>261</b> <b>64%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>72</b>




# California Conservation Corps Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board 9 February 2017

**Note 1:** Although the CCC provides its Proposition 39 funded energy industry services to all LEAs that request assistance based upon the sequence of receiving their requests, some scheduling preference for the provision of these services is provided for LEA applicants with ADA 5,000 or less, and LEAs with FRPM qualification levels of 50% of ADA or greater.

**Note 2:** 81% of all Surveys provided to LEAs to date have had ADA levels of **5,000** or less. 64% of all Surveys for LEAs to date have had FRPM qualification levels of **50%** or greater.


**CCC Survey Design:** The following diagram illustrates the processes by which the CCC’s industry standard (ASHRAE compliant) Energy Opportunity Surveys are designed and implemented by the CCC and its Energy Corps partners:

*ASHRAE Compliant Energy Opportunity Surveys are Created and Implemented as follows:*



**CCC Survey Data Collection & Analysis:** The following diagram illustrates the processes by which the CCC’s industry standard (ASHRAE compliant) Energy Opportunity Surveys are performed by the CCC (data collection) and its Energy Corps partners (for data analysis):

*ASHRAE Compliant Energy Opportunity Surveys are Created and Implemented as follows:*





**California Conservation Corps  
Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board  
9 February 2017**

**EE Retrofit Installation** – Starting in mid 2015, the CCC’s Energy Corps began providing Proposition 39 funded low cost EE Lighting Retrofit Installation services for LEAs throughout the State. The CCC performs the Retrofit installation labor at ‘no cost’ for LEAs for EE Lighting and Controls Retrofits for K-12 Schools. These EE retrofits generate immediate, substantial, and sustainable energy and cost savings for LEAs. To date, the CCC has completed **79** EE Lighting Retrofit Installation Projects for LEAs statewide; consisting of more than **115,000** lighting fixture replacements, and more than **8,500** Lighting Controls retrofits installed.

Equally important, these EE Lighting Retrofit Installations performed by the CCC also improve the quality of the lighting in classrooms and other areas of Schools and other District buildings, improving the learning environment for both students and staff.

This retrofit installation labor performed by the CCC’s Proposition 39 funded Energy Corps Corpmembers has already produced more than **7 Million** kWh saved annually (on a sustainable basis), a reduction of more than **1,600** metric tons annually in Green House Gas Emissions (“GHGE”), and a savings of more than **\$ 949,000** in annual energy operating costs savings for the LEAs receiving these CCC energy industry services. The status of the CCC’s Proposition 39 funded EE Retrofit Installation services provided to date is as follows:

**ENERGY CORPS PROGRAM - July 2013 TO DATE**

**Services Provided by the CCC to K-12 LEAs Funded by Proposition 39  
2/2/2017**

Projects	To Date		Totals By Calendar Years			
	TOTAL		2016	2015	2014	2013
LEA Request For Services:	432		32	25	246	129
Total Number of Project Sites:	1,337		192	161	979	5
Number of Completed Projects:	1,337		192	161	979	5
Total Retrofit Projects Completed	79		36	27	16	0
Total # of Lighting Retrofits	115,222		78,696	36,526	0	0
Total # of Controls Retrofits	8,583		4,693	3,890	0	0
Estimated Annual KWH impact/YR	7,077,728		4,153,706	2,924,022	0	0
Estimated CO2 Reduction ( M-Tons)	1,683		988	695	0	0
LEA's Retrofitted Total	40		17	9	14	0
LEA's Retrofitted Over 5000 ADA	15	38%	6	4	5	0
LEA's Retrofitted Under 5000 ADA	25	63%	11	5	9	0
LEA's Retrofitted Over 50% FRPM	22	55%	9	6	7	0

**Note 1:** Although the CCC provides its Proposition 39 funded energy industry services to all LEAs that request assistance based upon the sequence of the receipt of their requests, some scheduling preference for the provision of these services is provided for LEAs with ADA 5,000 or less, and LEAs with FRPM qualification levels of 50% of ADA or greater.


**Note 2:** 63% of all EE Lighting and Lighting Controls Retrofit Installations provided to LEAs to date have had ADA levels of **5,000** or less. 55% of all EE Lighting Retrofit and Lighting Controls Retrofit Installations for LEAs to date have had FRPM qualification levels of **50%** or greater.



**California Conservation Corps  
Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board  
9 February 2017**

**“Energy Conservation Education”** – The CCC’s Proposition 39 funded Energy Corps provide LEAs with several types of educational engagements regarding energy conservation. These range from classroom presentations by the CCC on EE and RE topics, and the Survey work being performed by the CCC at Schools, to much more advanced engagements wherein the CCC, LEA, and a Local Corps work together to promote educational opportunities for high school students to be trained to become energy auditors, practice these skills extensively to gain work experience that benefits them and their School District, resulting in energy savings and operating cost reductions, creating pathways for students who are interested in energy industry employment after they graduate, and promotes continuing education goals.

An example of this advanced cooperative energy educational engagement is shown below, illustrating the ways in which the CCC, Los Angeles Unified School District (“LAUSD”), and the Los Angeles Conservation Corps (“LACC”) now work together to design and implement the LAUSD’s new Student Energy Auditor Training (“SEAT”) program for high school students:





## California Conservation Corps Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board 9 February 2017

### Backlog of Energy Industry Service Requests from LEAs:

**Requests for Energy Opportunity Surveys from LEAs** – In August of 2013 the CCC announced to LEAs the availability of CCC Proposition 39 funded Energy Opportunity Surveys. LEAs were encouraged to apply for the CCC's Proposition 39 funded Energy Opportunity Surveys by submitting a simple application form 'on-line' through the CCC's website. On this form the LEA identified the number of school and district buildings (and square feet) that they were requesting to be Surveyed. This announcement resulted in more than **350 LEAs** (approximately **17%** of the 2,000+ California LEAs) requesting the CCC to Survey more than **33,000** School and other District buildings, consisting of more than **212,000,000** Square Feet of building space. Due to the volume of requests for Surveys that the CCC received, in June of 2014 the CCC temporarily 'paused' the Survey application acceptance process from LEAs. In spite of this pause, requests for Surveys from LEAs have continued to be received by the CCC.

The CCC has now completed **1,258** Energy Opportunity Surveys of Schools (totaling **12,653** buildings) for **408** LEAs throughout California (approximately **20%** of the 2,000+ LEAs in California). Many of the **408** LEAs receiving Energy Opportunity Surveys from the CCC did not receive Surveys for all of the school and district buildings that they initially requested. This was because the demand for Energy Opportunity Surveys was so large that the CCC had to 'parse' the supply and scheduling of Surveys so that a large number of LEAs could receive some number of Surveys. LEAs were encouraged to prioritize and select the Schools within their districts that were to initially receive Surveys, and that the CCC would attempt to provide additional Surveys over time to these LEAs. Given that 3 years of time have transpired since the original applications received by the CCC from LEAs for Energy Opportunity Surveys, it is not clear now how many of these original Survey requests from LEAs may still be unfulfilled by other energy industry service providers (regardless of funding sources).

It is the case, however, that approximately **80%** of all LEAs have not received Energy Opportunity Surveys from the CCC for their Schools and other District Buildings. Many of these LEAs may have contracted with energy industry providers for energy audits for some or all of their district buildings, while many of the LEA's school district buildings have yet to receive energy audits to determine their energy efficiency improvement opportunities.

**Requests for EE Retrofit Installation Services from LEAs** – In mid 2015 the CCC began providing LEAs with Proposition 39 funded EE Lighting and Controls Installation Retrofit services. As per the original CCC plan for the use of Proposition 39 funds in service to LEAs, this changed the balance of the work performed by the CCC's ten (10) Proposition 39 funded Energy Corps crews from Energy Opportunity Surveys to the Installation of EE Retrofits. This change also increased the direct amount of CCC contributions to LEA energy saved (kWh), reduction of energy costs, and the reduction of GHGE for LEAs. There are now an average of six (6) CCC Energy Corps crews performing EE Lighting and Controls Installation services.

Since mid 2015, the CCC's Energy Corps crews performing EE Lighting and Controls Retrofits have resulted in more than **7 Million kWh** saved annually (on a sustainable basis), a reduction of more than **1,600** metric tons annually in Green House Gas Emissions ("GHGE"), a savings of more than **\$ 949,000** in energy operating costs for the LEAs receiving these CCC energy industry services, and a savings of EE Retrofit Installations costs for LEAs. To date the CCC has performed **79** EE Lighting and Controls Retrofits Installation projects for a small percentage of LEAs needing these services (less than **4%**). These projects are much longer in duration to perform by the CCC than Surveys, and they are of substantial benefit to the LEA recipients.



**California Conservation Corps  
Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board  
9 February 2017**

It is clear from the energy conservation work performed by the CCC to date that the potential demand for the CCC's Proposition 39 funded EE Lighting and Controls Retrofit Installation services is both very large and highly beneficial for LEA recipients. All CCC Energy Corps Crews engaged in Retrofit Installations to date have been fully scheduled for project work.

The CCC has not yet notified all of the 2,000+ LEAs of the availability of these Proposition 39 funded EE Lighting and Controls Retrofit Installation services, nor has it requested that LEAs statewide apply for these services in the same way that the CCC's Energy Opportunity Surveys were originally offered to LEAs (in the form of an 'on-line' application). The CCC has announced the availability of these Retrofit Installation services at many speaking engagements throughout the State to LEAs and others over the past two (2) years, but has not been announced to all LEAs at the same point in time. This is because the amount of time required to perform these EE Lighting and Controls Retrofit Installation services takes much longer than Surveys to perform, each project is somewhat unique in scale, complexity, and the time required due to the circumstances encountered at each School (including the available time of day for the CCC to perform the work at schools), and also the number of CCC Energy Corps Crews available for Retrofit Installation projects at any point in time from a scheduling perspective.

**Energy Industry Training for CCC Corpsmembers and Staff:**

**Training for Energy Conservation Services** – The CCC's Energy Corps and its partners have developed the training curriculum and Survey software systems and tools needed to provide efficient energy industry training for CCC Corpsmembers and Staff in both traditional classroom based learning environments, and an extensive continuum of on-the-job work/learn experience for both Energy Opportunity Surveys and EE Lighting and Controls Retrofit Installations. To date, the CCC has trained **553** CCC Corpsmembers and **37** Staff to perform Energy Opportunity Surveys, along with **301** Corpsmembers and **22** CCC Staff to perform EE Lighting and Controls Retrofit Installation services. The following table provides details regarding this training:

**ENERGY CORPS PROGRAM - TRAINING**

**Services Provided by the CCC to K-12 LEAs Funded by Proposition 39**


2/2/2017

Training	Total to Date		Totals By Calendar Years							
	Trainees	Hours	2016	2016	2015	2015	2014	2014	2013	2013
<b>CCC Corpsmembers:</b>										
Survey Training	553	26,392	104	4,240	177	7,272	143	6,624	129	8,256
OSHA 10/30 Training	345	2,880	70	640	95	880	123	930	57	430
Retrofit Training	301	24,089	69	5,529	88	7,040	101	8,080	43	3,440
Presentation Training	90	450	0	0			90	450	0	0
Energy University Courses	216	1,606	36	132	56	457	36	297	88	720
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>1,505</b>	<b>55,417</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>10,541</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>15,649</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>16,381</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>12,846</b>
<b>CCC Staff:</b>										
Survey Training	37	2,032	2	80	9	488	18	952	8	512
OSHA 10/30 Training	25	210	2	20	3	30	16	130	4	30
Retrofit Training	22	1,760	2	160	3	240	14	1,120	3	240
Presentation Training	1	5					1	5		
Energy University Courses	4	12	1	3	2	6	0	0	1	3
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>4,019</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>2,207</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>785</b>



# California Conservation Corps Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board 9 February 2017

**Multiple Levels and Partners for Energy Industry Workforce Training and Pathways –** The energy industry and work place skills training that the CCC provides for Corpsmembers consists of several progressive ‘tiers’ of training that build competency and experience as shown below:



## Summary of the CCC’s 2016 Proposition 39 Performance:

**Provide Energy Conservation Services for LEAs – 2016 and ‘to date’ performance data:**


### ENERGY CORPS PROGRAM - July 2013 TO DATE

Services Provided by the CCC to K-12 LEAs Funded by Proposition 39  
2/2/2017


Projects	To Date	Totals By Calendar Years			
		2016	2015	2014	2013
LEA Request For Services:	432	32	25	246	129
Total Number of Project Sites:	1,337	192	161	979	5
Number of Completed Projects:	1,337	192	161	979	5
Total Energy Surveys Completed:	1,258	156	134	963	5
Total Buildings Surveyed	12,653	1,532	1,397	9,677	47
Total Square Footage Surveyed	69,670,897	6,563,081	9,115,576	53,773,464	218,776
LEA's Surveyed Total	408	16	19	244	129
LEA's Surveyed Over 5000 ADA	79	5	7	41	26
LEA's Surveyed Under 5000 ADA	329	11	12	203	103
LEA's Surveyed Over 50% FRPM	261	11	15	163	72
Total Retrofit Projects Completed	79	36	27	16	0
Total # of Lighting Retrofits	115,222	78,696	36,526	0	0
Total # of Controls Retrofits	8,583	4,693	3,890	0	0
Estimated Annual KWH impact/YR	7,077,728	4,153,706	2,924,022	0	0
Estimated CO2 Reduction ( M-Tons)	1,683	988	695	0	0
LEA's Retrofitted Total	40	17	9	14	0
LEA's Retrofitted Over 5000 ADA	15	6	4	5	0
LEA's Retrofitted Under 5000 ADA	25	11	5	9	0
LEA's Retrofitted Over 50% FRPM	22	9	6	7	0




**California Conservation Corps  
Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board  
9 February 2017**



**Energy Savings** – Starting in mid 2015, the CCC’s Energy Corps Corpsmembers and Staff began performing the installation of EE Lighting and Controls Retrofits for K-12 Schools. The installation labor costs of these Lighting Retrofits were funded from the CCC’s Proposition 39 allocation. The lighting retrofit materials, supplies, and all other costs were funded by the LEA’s Proposition 39 allocation.



**Energy Cost Savings** – Resulting from the direct energy savings created by the CCC’s Energy Corps Corpsmembers and Staff performing the installation of EE Lighting and Controls Retrofits for K-12 Schools, more than **\$949,000** in energy operating cost savings were created for LEAs. These energy cost savings are then available for other LEA purposes annually.



**Green House Gas Emissions** – Resulting from the direct energy savings created by the CCC’s Energy Corps Corpsmembers and Staff performing the installation of EE Lighting and Controls Retrofits for K-12 Schools, more than **1,680** Metric Tons of GHGE have been reduced for LEAs.



# California Conservation Corps Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board 9 February 2017

## Proposition 39 LEA's Served By CCC - By County

CCC - P39 LEA's Served By County	
California Counties	# of LEA's Served
Alameda	12
Alpine	1
Amador	1
Butte	9
Calaveras	0
Colusa	0
Contra Costa	5
Del Norte	0
El Dorado	3
Fresno	15
Glenn	0
Humboldt	32
Imperial	7
Inyo	0
Kern	27
Kings	7
Lake	2
Lassen	8
Los Angeles	29
Madera	2
Marin	1
Mariposa	1
Mendocino	12
Merced	3
Modoc	1
Mono	2

Monterey	5
Napa	0
Nevada	9
Orange	13
Placer	9
Plumas	1
Riverside	8
Sacramento	14
San Benito	0
San Bernardino	10
San Diego	38
San Francisco	1
San Joaquin	3
San Luis Obispo	6
San Mateo	0
Santa Barbara	10
Santa Clara	16
Santa Cruz	2
Shasta	19
Sierra	0
Siskiyou	1
Solano	2
Sonoma	14
Stanislaus	9
Sutter	6
Tehama	4
Trinity	2
Tulare	11
Tuolumne	1
Ventura	5
Yolo	2
Yuba	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>410</b>

CCC - P39 LEA's Retrofitted By County	
California Counties	# of LEA's Served
Alameda	0
Alpine	0
Amador	0
Butte	4
Calaveras	0
Colusa	0
Contra Costa	0
Del Norte	0
El Dorado	4
Fresno	1
Glenn	0
Humboldt	0
Imperial	1
Inyo	0
Kern	1
Kings	0
Lake	0
Lassen	1
Los Angeles	4
Madera	0
Marin	0
Mariposa	0
Mendocino	0
Merced	1
Modoc	0
Mono	0

Monterey	0
Napa	0
Nevada	3
Orange	2
Placer	3
Plumas	0
Riverside	1
Sacramento	0
San Benito	2
San Bernardino	5
San Diego	0
San Francisco	0
San Joaquin	0
San Luis Obispo	0
San Mateo	0
Santa Barbara	1
Santa Clara	0
Santa Cruz	1
Shasta	2
Sierra	0
Siskiyou	0
Solano	0
Sonoma	0
Stanislaus	2
Sutter	0
Tehama	1
Trinity	0
Tulare	0
Tuolumne	0
Ventura	0
Yolo	0
Yuba	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>41</b>

The CCC has provided Proposition 39 Funded Energy Opportunity Surveys and EE Lighting and Controls Retrofit Installation services LEAs located throughout California. To date, the CCC has provided these services in **50** of California's **58** Counties.

These tables identify the number of LEAs to date within each California County that have received Proposition 39 Funded Energy Opportunity Surveys and/or EE Lighting and Controls Retrofit Installation services.

To date, the CCC has provided LEAs in these **50** Counties with:

**1,258 Energy Opportunity Surveys**, consisting of **12,653** School and other District Buildings, comprising **69.670** Million Square Feet of building Space.

**79 EE Lighting and Controls Retrofit Installation projects**, consisting of **115,222** Lighting Fixture replacements, and **8,583** Lighting Controls.



## California Conservation Corps Annual Report to the Proposition 39 Citizens Oversight Board 9 February 2017

**Training for Energy Conservation Services** – The CCC’s Energy Corps and its partners have developed training curriculum and Survey software systems and tools needed to provide energy industry training for CCC Corpsmembers and Staff in both traditional classroom based learning environments, and an extensive continuum of on-the-job work\learn experience for both Energy Opportunity Surveys and EE Lighting and Controls Retrofit Installations. To date, the CCC has trained **553** CCC Corpsmembers and **37** Staff to perform Energy Opportunity Surveys, along with **301** Corpsmembers and **22** CCC Staff to perform EE Lighting and Controls Retrofit Installations.

**Valuable Energy USE Database for K-12 Schools** – To date, the CCC has completed highly detailed ‘whole building’ inventory and energy use data collection for **12,653** School and other School District Buildings in California, comprising **69.670** Million Square Feet of conditioned building Space. The data for each of these buildings has also been analyzed by the CCC’s Energy Corps expert energy industry partners, with the results reported back to each LEA recipient.

This data represents one of the largest, most detailed, comprehensive in scope, and ‘current’ energy use and performance data sets available for any category of commercial class buildings (Schools and School District Buildings). It is also available in a consistent format produced by the CCC that could be loaded into a variety of databases and ‘data mined’ for various energy efficiency purposes. In addition to serving the needs of the LEAs regarding their Proposition 39 Energy Efficiency Plans (EEP), going forward, the potential use of this data can help inform various energy efficiency policy decisions and assist in prioritizing the types and availability EE incentives that would be most appropriate and effective for this energy market sector.

**Energy Industry Employment of Energy Corps Corpsmembers** – To date, there have been **49** Energy Corpsmembers (as reported) who have been hired directly away from the CCC into various energy industry companies before their planned tenure with the CCC ended, and another **52** Energy Corps Corpsmembers who have been directly hired away from the CCC by other companies. The CCC has received many requests from energy industry companies seeking well trained and qualified entry level employees. The performance of CCC Corpsmembers working with energy companies on CCC EE projects has been very positively received, resulting in Energy Corpsmembers being awarded scholarships from energy industry companies that have worked directly with the Corpsmembers, and directly observed their work quality, performance, and personal initiative.



APPENDIX E: CALIFORNIA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD  
PROPOSITION 39 JOBS REPORTING METHODOLOGY AND INNOVATION  
REPORT

PROPOSITION 39 JOB CREATION & QUALITY, 2014 – 2016

A REPORT TO THE CITIZENS OVERSIGHT BOARD OF

THE CALIFORNIA CLEAN ENERGY JOBS ACT

*Prepared by*

Carol Zabin, Director of the Green Economy Program, UC Berkeley Center for Labor Research and Education, University of California Berkeley

Kevin Duncan, BCG Economics and Professor of Economics, Colorado State University

Erica Paul, MPP candidate, Goldman School of Public Policy, UC Berkeley

*with assistance from*

Katherine Luke and Suraj Peri,

*In consultation with*

The California Workforce Development Board

February 9, 2017

---

## Table of Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Job Creation Results</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Job Quality Results</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Appendix</b>	<b>7</b>

## INTRODUCTION

The California Clean Energy Jobs Act (CCEJA), created by Proposition 39 and legislated under Senate Bill 73, provides funding for the planning and installation of clean energy measures such as energy efficiency upgrades and clean energy generation in public educational facilities in California. The program was funded by closing a loophole in California’s corporate income tax code. Under the policy, half of projected tax revenue is allocated to the General Fund with the other half to the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund for five years (beginning in fiscal year 2013-2014).<sup>1</sup>

There are three component parts of Proposition 39’s energy efficiency retrofit and clean energy program that are administered and tracked by three separate agencies; the K-12 program, the Community College Program, and the California Conservation Corps program. This report addresses the job impact only of the K-12 program which comprises over 80% of Proposition 39 funding. The K-12 program is administered by the California Energy Commission (CEC). The California Workforce Development Board (CWDB) is responsible for jobs reporting for this program.

This report uses economic modeling tools to estimate job creation based on K-12 clean energy projects that have been approved from the start of the program through the third quarter of 2016 (see Appendix for job creation methodology). The report also documents information on job quality including the wages, and occupational mix of jobs, and opportunities for trainees on Prop 39 projects, based on certified payroll records obtained from the Department of Industrial Relations (see Appendix for job quality methodology).

---


<sup>1</sup> Senate Bill No. 73. (2013). Chapter 29. Retrieved From: [http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/13-14/bill/sen/sb\\_0051-0100/sb\\_73\\_bill\\_20130627\\_chaptered.pdf](http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/13-14/bill/sen/sb_0051-0100/sb_73_bill_20130627_chaptered.pdf)

## JOB CREATION RESULTS

Jobs have been created from the three annual appropriations that have so far been made to support K-12 clean energy programs since the Prop 39 program began. The CEC received the first energy plans in February of 2014. As of 2016 QIII, 977 projects have been approved for a grant amount of \$705.4 million (\$752 million when adjusted to 2016 dollars).

The job estimates are based on the disbursement of the \$752 million in grant funds, rather than on final program expenditures, which are not reported until a full year after project completion. We used IMPLAN, a standard economic tool, to estimate jobs created by this investment. Approximately 98% of these funds support construction activities while the remaining 2% (\$15 million) support energy managers and training (see Appendix).

*Figure 1: Employment Impact of K-12 LEA Proposition 39-Funded Projects, 2014 to Q3, 2016*



Source: IMPLAN Economic Impact Software

Figure 1 shows that through QIII 2016, 4,417 *direct* jobs were created from the investment of \$752 million, corresponding to 5.9 jobs per million dollars of investment. The spending on Prop 39 projects also has a multiplier effect as the investment stimulated additional economic activity. This resulted in the creation of an additional 1,934 *indirect* jobs in California in industries that provide supplies, materials, fuels, and other inputs into these projects. In addition, the spending of wages and business income from these investments created an additional 3,705 jobs in a variety of (mostly retail and service) *induced* jobs. This employment “multiplier” is estimated at 2.3 for these industries in California and indicates that a total of 2.3 direct, indirect and induced jobs are created for each new direct job. This is a critical and authentic contribution of Proposition 39, because by closing a tax loophole, the law brings new spending, economic activity, and employment that would otherwise not have occurred. Figure 1 shows the direct, indirect, and induced jobs, for a total of 10,056 jobs through QIII 2016.

Table 1. Economic and Fiscal Impact of Prop 39 on California.

Impact of Prop. 39 grants	Economic Activity	Employment
\$752 million (2016 dollars)		
Direct	\$751.7 million	4,417 jobs
Indirect	\$361 million	1,934
Induced	\$587 million	3,705
Total	1.7 billion	10,056
Tax Revenue	\$88.2 million	NA
Multipliers	2.262	2.277

Source: IMPLAN and authors’ calculation.

Table 1 summarizes the employment, economic and fiscal impact of Proposition 39 through QIII 2016. In addition to the employment generated by the Proposition 39 projects, the spending of \$752 million on Prop 39 K-12 projects stimulates an additional \$587 million in induced spending and another \$361 million in indirect spending for a total economic impact of \$1.7 billion. This increase in economic activity generates an additional \$88 million in state and local tax revenue (from sales, personal and corporate income, property taxes, etc.).

**JOB QUALITY RESULTS**

Proposition 39 explicitly states that funds should “create *good-paying* energy efficiency and clean energy jobs in California” and should support training and employment for disadvantaged youth, veterans, and others for jobs on these projects. The following analysis of job quality and opportunities for trainees is based on certified payroll records reported to the California Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) as part of compliance with prevailing wage laws for public works. This data is derived from a sample of CPRs from projects approved through QIII 2016, and includes information on the job classification of each worker, the hourly wage rate, the number of hours each employee worked on each project, and other information on jobs and workers (see Appendix).

## Occupations on Prop 39 Projects


**Table 2: Distribution of Hours Worked by Building System and Trade, K-12 LEA Projects.**

Building System	Job Category	Hours
HVAC	Plumbers/Pipefitters	6%
	Sheetmetal Workers	8%
Lighting	Electricians	18%
Building Envelope	Asbestos Workers	4%
	Carpenters	16%
	Flooring Workers	2%
	Glaziers	1%
	Painters and Plasterers	5%
General Construction Support	Roofers	5%
	Laborers	21%
Other	Cement, HVAC, Iron, Operating, other Skilled and Unskilled construction workers	14%

Source: Authors' analysis of certified payroll records for K-12 LEA projects obtained from the Department of Industrial Relations

Table 2 and Figure 2 illustrate the main job classifications and their relative distribution on Proposition 39 projects. The data show the importance of the highly skilled specialty trades (electricians, plumbers/pipefitters and sheetmetal workers) who work on the two energy-using systems in buildings: lighting and HVAC (heating, ventilation and air conditioning). The data also show the prominence of trades that work on the building envelope (carpenters glaziers, roofers, etc.) on projects that reduce the leakage and waste of energy used for heating and cooling.

**Figure 2: Distribution of Hours Worked by Building System Type, K-12 LEA Projects.**




Source: Table 3

## Training Opportunities

Public works contractors rely on the state-registered apprenticeship system for training. Apprenticeships are industry-funded, “earn-as-you-learn” training programs that combine \_\_\_\_\_

classroom instruction and paid on-the-job-training with a wage progression tied to skill acquisition and an industry-recognized credential when apprentices “journey out.” Apprentices earn a good salary while completing three to five years of training that teaches a broad, occupational skillset applicable to other sectors and projects.<sup>2</sup> The intensive educational program is supplemented with work in a range of settings that provides greater job security in the future as workers earn a versatile, industry-recognized credential. State-certified apprenticeships are the gold standard in workforce training and trade certification,<sup>3</sup> building a pipeline for trainees into career track jobs, and helping to fulfill the intent of the legislation.

*Figure 3: Distribution of Hours Worked by Apprentices, Trained and Untrained Occupations, K-12 LEA Projects.*



Source: Table 3

The CPR data confirms that Proposition 39 provides career-track training for construction workers through state-registered apprenticeships. From the CPR data, we identified apprentice and non-apprentice/journey-level workers employed on Proposition 39 projects in K-12 LEAs. Figure 4 shows that about 18% of the hours worked from the sample of K-12 clean energy projects were carried out by apprentices. This is a healthy ratio of apprentices to journey level workers and is comparable to other public works projects. This shows that Proposition 39 projects are providing an important opportunity for trainees in the construction trades. Figure 3 also reveals that almost all jobs created by Prop 39 K-12 projects either involve apprentices, or involve occupations that have formal training opportunities. Less than 1% of the hours worked on these projects involve construction occupations that do not have formal training opportunities.

<sup>2</sup> For a full list of apprentice wage rates see “Public Works Apprentice Wage Sheets,” Department of Industrial Relations, State of California. Accessed at: <http://www.dir.ca.gov/OPRL/PWAppWage/PWAppWageList.asp>.

<sup>3</sup> Zabin, C. et al. (2014). Workforce Issues and Energy Efficiency Programs: A Plan for California’s Utilities. Donald Vial Center on Employment in the Green Economy, University of California, Berkeley. Retrieved from: <http://www.irle.berkeley.edu/vial/publications/WET-Plan14.pdf>.

## Wages of Workers

All construction workers employed on Proposition 39 K-12 projects are covered by California’s prevailing wage policy.<sup>4</sup> As a consequence, these jobs are generally well-paid and include health and retirement benefits.<sup>5</sup> Wages range from \$48.22 for journey electricians to \$36.32 for laborers. Apprentices earn on average \$28.31.

*Table 3: Average Hourly Wage Rate for Apprentices and Selected Trades, K-12 LEA Projects.*

Job Category	Average Wage Rate
Electricians	\$48.22
Carpenters	\$44.47
Sheetmetal Workers	\$44.73
Plumbers/Pipefitters	\$45.87
Laborers	\$36.32
Other	\$40.39
Apprentices	\$24.75

\*Average wages weighted by hours worked, adjusted to 2016 dollars.  
Source: Authors’ analysis of certified payroll records for K-12 LEA projects obtained from the Department of Industrial Relations.

## CONCLUSION

Proposition 39 is a significant investment into clean energy and energy efficiency in California that creates multiple, positive benefits, including the creation of good jobs and substantial training opportunities for Californians. Investment into public infrastructure creates a ripple effect of associated benefits. Improved energy efficiency investment not only contributes to student and employee comfort, lower building maintenance and operating costs, and an extended lifetime for school buildings, but also provides family-supporting wages for construction workers and a pathway to middle class careers for apprentices.


<sup>4</sup> See “Prevailing Wage Requirements,” Department of Industrial Relations, State of California. Accessed at: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/Public-Works/Prevailing-Wage.html> .

<sup>5</sup> See “Index 2016-2 General Prevailing Wage Journeyman Determinations,” Department of Industrial Relations, State of California. Accessed at: <http://www.dir.ca.gov/OPRL/2016-2/PWD/index.htm#Journeyman>

### Job Creation Methodology

Three annual appropriations have been made to support K-12 clean energy programs since the Proposition 39 program began. As of 2016 Q III, 977<sup>6</sup> projects have been approved for a grant amount of \$705.4 million (\$752 million in 2016 dollars).<sup>7</sup> The distribution of grant funds between construction, energy managers, and training activities is reported in Figure A1. This information was derived from the author’s examination of final project reports obtained from the California Energy Commission and reveals that 98% (\$737 million) of grant funds were allocated to construction activity with 2% (\$15 million) spent on energy managers and training of school district personnel.

*Figure A1: Distribution of K-12 LEA Proposition 39 Grants between Construction, Energy Managers, and Training Activities.*



Source: Authors’ analysis of final project reports obtained for the California Energy Commission.

The calculated jobs impact is based on the disbursement of \$752 million in grant funds, as final program expenditures are not reported until one year after project completion. Data reported in Table 1 indicate that \$752 million in grant spending and 4,417 direct jobs results in 5.9 million jobs per million.<sup>8</sup> The employment multiplier of 2.3 is based on the economic impact of \$752 million in grant spending allocated to the construction, energy management, and training activities as reported in Figure 1.<sup>9</sup>

The economic impact of K-12 energy efficiency projects is measured using the IMPLAN economic

<sup>6</sup> See “Expenditure Plans Listing,” Approved Energy Expenditure Plans, California Energy Commission. Accessed at: <http://www.energy.ca.gov/efficiency/proposition39/>.

<sup>7</sup> The adjustment to 2016 dollars is made to provide consistent measurement of the number of jobs per million over the period. Since 98% percent of approved grants are allocated to construction activity, the adjustment to 2016 dollars is based on the “Producer Price Index by Commodity for Construction,” *U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics*. Accessed at: <https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/WPU80>.

<sup>8</sup> The data reported in Table 1 have been rounded to the nearest tenth (decimal point). More precisely, 4,417 direct jobs divided by \$751.7 million equals 5.876 jobs per million in grant spending.

<sup>9</sup> The employment multiplier is rounded to 2.3 in Table 1. A more precise measure is 2.2767 ( $2.2767 \times 4,4147 = 10,056$ ).

impact software. This economic impact analysis is based on the ripple effect, or multipliers, associated with the flow of new tax revenues into California's economy. Specifically, this software is used to estimate the impact on state-level economic activity, employment, and state and local tax revenue. IMPLAN (IMPact analysis for PLANning) was originally developed by the U.S. Department of Agriculture to assist the Forest Service with land and resource management planning. The Minnesota IMPLAN Group (MIG) started work on the data-driven model in the mid-1980s at the University of Minnesota. The software was privatized in 1993 and made available for public use. The software contains an input-output model with data available at the zip-code, county, state, and national levels.

Input-output analysis measures the inter-industry relationships within an economy. Specifically, input-output analysis is a means of measuring the market transactions between businesses and between businesses and consumers. This framework allows for the examination of how a change in one sector affects the entire economy. In this way, input-output analysis is able to analyze the economic effects of the multistate business tax change by measuring the multiplier, or ripple effect, as Proposition 39 K-12 grant spending stimulates further changes in transactions between other businesses and households.

The results reported in this study are based on planned K-12 energy efficiency grants, information from the 2012 *Economic Census of Construction*, and the most recent IMPLAN data for the state of California (2015). IMPLAN deflators are used to adjust for changes in prices over time. The results are reported in 2016 dollars.

The 10,056 jobs that are created by K-12 Proposition 39 spending can be divided into direct, indirect and induced jobs. These details are reported in Figure 2 and indicate that 4,417 construction, energy manager, and training jobs directly involved in K-12 projects create and support an additional 1,934 *indirect* jobs in California industries that provide supplies, materials, fuels, and other inputs to these projects. The spending of wages and business income earned from work on K-12 projects induces an additional 3,705 jobs in a variety of industries (mostly retail and service).

### *Job Quality Methodology*

The analysis of job quality is based on certified payroll records reported to the Department of Industrial Relations by contractors who were awarded Proposition 39 projects in K-12 schools, as part of compliance with prevailing wage regulations. The Department of Industrial Relations provided over 43,000 certified payroll records for K-12 energy efficiency projects extending from early 2014 to QIII 2016. These data are used to derive the job classification, hours worked, and wage information reported in the study. To clean this data, payroll records reporting zero or negative hour worked and wage rates less than \$9.00 per hour (the minimum wage in California in 2014) and over \$180 per hour were deleted. Also excluded from the analysis were payroll records

that indicated the job classification was “not provided” or if insufficient information prevented the determination of the type of work. Also deleted were supervisory positions that appeared in the certified payrolls, but are not covered by California’s prevailing wage policy.<sup>10</sup> After these records were deleted, a sample of approximately 33,000 payroll records was used to derive the data reported in the report. Information on the construction jobs that have formal training opportunities (reported in Figure 5) was obtained from “Apprenticeship Program Information – Search,” Department of Industrial Relations, State of California.<sup>11</sup>

### *Data Limitations*

The researchers had originally hoped to estimate the number of direct jobs created from Proposition 39 investments using the DIR certified payroll records (CPRs) which record hours worked. If that had been possible, IMPLAN would have then been used to estimate total jobs, including the multiplier effect. However, using the CPRs for direct jobs required matching of the CPRs with the expenditure data from the clean energy grants disbursed by the California Energy Commission so that total investment could be directly linked to hours worked. The CPR data did not record the CEC project numbers so there was no way to match on project number. We also tried to match by project name, school address and worksite address but there was too much inconsistent information to match with an acceptable degree of certainty. We were unable to quantitatively assess the response rate due to the data matching problems just cited, but we believe it is very low because the total number of hours in the CPR data set is much lower than expected, given the grant disbursements and the jobs per million dollars of investment derived from our IMPLAN analysis. In addition, we were not able to verify, for each project, if all the CPRs were for that project were reported, and we believe there is a significant under-reporting issue somewhere in the CPR submission process.

Because of this, we used IMPLAN to estimate direct jobs from the disbursed grant dollar amounts rather than by tracking hours from the CPRs. We did use the CPRs to examine the job quality indicators documented in this report. We should note that while we were able to obtain 33,000 complete CPR observations for this analysis, it is not a random sample of CPRs, and may not reflect the entire universe of Proposition 39 projects.

---

<sup>10</sup> For additional information see “Prevailing Wage Requirements,” Department of Industrial Relations, State of California. Accessed at: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/Public-Works/Prevailing-Wage.html>.

<sup>11</sup> Accessed at: <http://das.ca.gov/databases/das/aigstart.asp>.