

CEDU 2020 Preliminary Peak Analysis

Presented to the Demand Analysis Working Group

Presenters: Nick Fugate, Energy Assessments Date: October 29, 2020



Objectives

- Preview high-impact elements of the IEPR 2020 forecast update
 - Weather normalized peaks
 - Economic impacts
- Engage stakeholders ahead of the year-end IEPR workshop, in time to consider additional input

Caveats

- All results shown here are preliminary
- Update is limited in scope
- Assumes some return to normalcy
- Impacts do not currently reflect revised electric vehicle or selfgeneration forecasts



DAWG has previously suggested assigning a percentile rank to the peak event day as an approximate indication of the magnitude and direction of any weather adjustment to peak load.

An approach:

- 1. Calculate a daily historical temperature index consisting of 70 percent daily maximum and 30 percent daily minimum
- 2. Take the max-value index from each year over the historical record
- 3. Fit a gumbel distribution to this sample
- 4. Use the fitted distribution to determine the probability of observing a max index greater than x



Temperature index corresponding to peak load day

TAC	Date	2020 Actual	Max	Min	Avg.70.30	Percentile
PGE	Aug 14	21,065	103.3	71.4	93.73	0.86
SCE	Aug 18	24,246	103.9	73.6	94.81	0.73
SDGE	Sept 5	4,412	100.7	70.3	91.58	0.78

Highest temperature index for each TAC

TAC	Date	Avg.70.30	Percentile	
PG&E	Sept 6	95.46	0.93	
SCE	Sept 6	100.88	0.97	
SDG&E	Sept 6	94.39	0.9	



2020 Weather Normalized Peaks





- 1. Data sources:
 - Hourly system loads by TAC (CAISO)
 - DR called event impact estimates (IOUs)
 - Hourly weather statistics
- 2. Estimate counter-factual daily peaks after adding DR impacts to recorded system load
- 3. Regress daily peaks against daily weather statistics and calendar effects using most recent three years of data
- 4. Use linear model to simulate daily peaks for historical weather years, including error term
- 5. Taking the maximum simulated value for each year, find the median



```
MW ~ MAX + k.80 + MIN + k.min.60 +
DOW + MONTH_7 + MONTH_8 + YEAR_2018 + YEAR_2019
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	674.67	1364.83	0.494	0.62138	
MAX	43.55	17.45	2.496	0.01303	*
k.80	188.13	20.39	9.228	< 0.0000000000000002	***
MIN	167.67	14.76	11.359	< 0.0000000000000002	***
k.min.60	-55.93	21.14	-2.645	0.00852	**
DOW	-1137.13	52.83	-21.525	< 0.0000000000000002	***
MONTH_7	534.46	62.97	8.488	0.00000000000000576	***
MONTH_8	562.74	65.02	8.654	< 0.0000000000000002	***
YEAR_2018	600.29	61.17	9.814	< 0.000000000000000	***
YEAR_2019	243.12	58.54	4.153	0.000041065483439061	***

Residual standard error: 453.9 on 356 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9423, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9408 F-statistic: 645.8 on 9 and 356 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022

PG&E – Linearity Check



Component+Residual(M^{*}



MIN



k.min.60





PG&E - Actual and Predicted, 2020





MW ~ MAX + MIN + k.min.60 + DOW + MONTH_6 + MONTH_7 + MONTH_8 + YEAR_2018 + YEAR_2019

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	-9975.656	3240.091	-3.079	0.00224	**
MAX	234.930	6.836	34.366	< 0.0000000000000002	***
MIN	96.841	54.235	1.786	0.07501	-
k.min.60	155.808	56.394	2.763	0.00603	**
DOW	-1548.310	73.329	-21.114	< 0.0000000000000002	***
MONTH_6	-685.193	101.597	-6.744	0.000000000623	***
MONTH_7	66.306	96.287	0.689	0.49151	
MONTH_8	650.777	98.688	6.594	0.000000001546	***
YEAR_2018	418.233	83.081	5.034	0.0000007640854	***
YEAR_2019	-71.450	82.241	-0.869	0.38555	

Residual standard error: 637 on 356 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9537, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9525 F-statistic: 814.2 on 9 and 356 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022





MAX















```
MW ~ MAX + k.75 + MIN + k.min.65 +
DOW + MONTH_6 + MONTH_7 + MONTH_8 + YEAR_2018 + YEAR_2019
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	2433.885	575.522	4.229	0.000029901227367307	***
MAX	-7.969	6.719	-1.186	0.236399	
k.75	61.513	7.218	8.522	0.0000000000000454	***
MIN	14.337	4.105	3.492	0.000539	***
k.min.65	40.127	6.209	6.463	0.00000000338840518	***
DOW	-178.797	14.346	- 12.4 63	< 0.000000000000000	***
MONTH_6	-229.973	22.031	-10.439	< 0.000000000000000	***
MONTH_7	-131.039	18.823	-6.962	0.00000000016329029	***
MONTH_8	-29.006	19.872	-1.460	0.145270	
YEAR_2018	81.688	16.748	4.877	0.000001624651716356	***
YEAR 2019	-13.101	16.109	-0.813	0.416601	

Residual standard error: 123.8 on 355 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9369, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9351 F-statistic: 527 on 10 and 355 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022









MIN

Component+Residual(M



k.min.65

SDG&E – Residuals vs Predicted









	MAPE		Simulation Results		
	Overall	Top 10	Without Error	With Error Term	
PGE	2.20%	1.36%	20,287	20,370	
SCE	2.76%	3.00%	23,069	23,364	
SDGE	3.04%	4.39%	4,134	4,173	

	CED 2019		
	2019 Normalized	2020 Forecast	
PGE	20,779	20,486	
SCE	23,623	23,343	
SDGE	4,194	4,138	

Peak weather variants

- 1-in-x weather variants are derived by applying a constant factor to each year of the 1-in-2 annual peak forecast
- Related to the weather normalization process, these factors are derived by examining historical weather patterns
- Staff are not proposing to alter these factors for the current update
- EAD will coordinate with ERDD to examine ways in which planned climate modeling efforts might inform our expectation of load variation over time



Peak Forecast Impact





- Updated annual consumption forecasts have been applied to CED 2019 hourly load ratios
- All demand modifiers are (currently) unchanged
- Load ratio profile has been adjusted such that managed net peak aligns with the weather normalized annual peak in 2020
- Changes in growth rate reflect changes in underlying economic projections
 - Near term recovery period drives increased growth relative to CED 2019 assumptions
 - Lower long term growth
- Timing of SCE peak shift

SDG&E – Annual Peak Comparison











SCE – Annual Peak Comparison

Peak Hour					
YEAR CED 2019 Update*					
2019	15				
2020	16	15			
2021	16	16			
2022	16	16			
2023	16	16			
2024	16	16			
2025	16	16			
2026	17	16			
2027	17	17			
2028	19	17			
2029	19	17			
2030	19	19			





- Underlying consumption forecast projects a significant decline from 2019 to 2020
- Observed summer loads do not indicate a comparable decline in peak load from 2019 to 2020
- Has the effect of propagating the abnormal 2020 load factor through the forecast, causing significant near term growth in peak
- One option could be to calibrate only 2020 hourly loads to 2020 weather normal peak, but subsequent years to 2019
- Staff welcome additional insights on near term peak projections



- Further discussion with stakeholders as staff revise and complete the forecast
- November DAWG meeting
 - Updated self-gen and electric vehicle forecasts
 - Further-revised sales and annual peak forecasts
 - CAISO-coincident and monthly peaks
- December 3 IEPR workshop
- January CEC Business Meeting
- January/February IEPR Workshop
 - Economic outlook
 - Structural changes to transportation and business practices



Thank You!

