

California Energy Commission
STAFF REPORT

LOCALIZED HEALTH IMPACTS REPORT

Addendum 1 for a Project With Location Changes Awarded
Funding Through the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and
Vehicle Technology Program Under Solicitation GFO-15-603
– DC Fast Chargers for California’s Interregional Corridors

California Energy Commission
Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor



November 2017 | CEC-600-2016-008-AD1

California Energy Commission

Patrick Brecht
Primary Author

Shaun Ransom
Project Manager

John P. Butler II
Office Manager
ZERO-EMISSION VEHICLE AND INFRASTRUCTURE OFFICE

John Y. Kato
Deputy Director
FUELS AND TRANSPORTATION DIVISION

Drew Bohan
Executive Director

DISCLAIMER

Staff members of the California Energy Commission prepared this report. As such, it does not necessarily represent the views of the Energy Commission, its employees, or the State of California. The Energy Commission, the State of California, its employees, contractors and subcontractors make no warrant, express or implied, and assume no legal liability for the information in this report; nor does any party represent that the uses of this information will not infringe upon privately owned rights. This report has not been approved or disapproved by the Energy Commission nor has the Commission passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the information in this report.

ADDENDUM 1

The *Localized Health Impacts (LHI) Report for Selected Projects Awarded Funding Through the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program Under Solicitation GFO-15-603* was posted November 10, 2016 (CEC-600-2016-008).¹ This addendum uses the same approach to assess the localized health impacts for a project with location changes. EV Connect, Inc. has proposed three new replacement electric vehicle charging station locations. The newly proposed locations are described in Table 1, along with environmental justice (EJ) indicators.² (See Appendix A.) Charger quantity and type for the new locations are identical to the original proposal.

Table 1: Original and New Site Locations for EV Connect Inc. Along With Environmental Justice Indicators

Original Site Locations	New Site Locations	EJ Indictors for New Location
1693 2 nd Avenue Beaumont, CA 92223	6071 Joshua Palmer Way Banning, CA 92220	Poverty and Minority
45116 Valley Central Way Lancaster, CA 93536	44933 North Fern Avenue Lancaster, CA 93534	Poverty, Unemployment, and Minority
1260 Rancho Vista Boulevard Palmdale, CA 93551	434 West Avenue Palmdale, CA 93551	Poverty, Unemployment, Minority, and Age

Source: California Energy Commission staff

1 Brecht, Patrick, 2016. *Localized Health Impacts Report*. California Energy Commission, Fuels and Transportation Division. Publication Number: CEC-600-2016-008.

2 The EJ indicators follow: (i.) minority subset represents more than 30 percent of a given city's population (2010), (ii.) city's poverty exceeds California's poverty level of 14.3 percent (2011-2015), (iii.) city's unemployment rate exceeds California's unemployment rate of 5.1 percent as of September 2017, and (iv.) city's percentage of persons younger than 5 years of age or older than 65 years of age is 20 percent higher than California's average. For the entire state, the percentage of persons under the age of 5 years is 6.8 percent, and the percentage of persons over the age of 65 years is 11.4 percent.

Air Quality and EJ Indicators

The original and the newly proposed station locations (electric vehicle charging stations) are in a nonattainment zone for ozone, particulate matter (PM³) 2.5 and PM 10. If a project site is in a nonattainment zone and has more than one EJ indicator, as shown in Table 1, with further detail in Table 2, it is considered a high-risk community, according to the Environmental Justice Screening Method.⁴ According to staff's assessment, Banning, Palmdale and Lancaster are all considered high-risk communities.

Table 2: EJ Indicators Compared With California
Yellow highlighted percentages indicate EJ indicators

	Below Poverty Level (2011-2015)	Black Persons (2010)	American Indian and/or Alaska Native (2010)	Asian and/or Pacific Islander (2010)	Persons of Hispanic or Latino Origin (2010)	Persons Under 5 Years of Age (2010)	Persons Over 65 Years of Age (2010)	Unemployment (September 2017)
California	14.3%	6.2%	1.0%	13.0%	37.6%	6.8%	11.4%	5.1%
	>14.3%	>30%	>30%	>30%	>30%	>8.16%	>13.8%	>5.1%
Banning	21.2%	7.3%	2.2%	5.2%	41.1%	6.2%	10.9%	5.0%
Lancaster	23.5%	20.5%	1.0%	4.3%	38.0%	8.0%	3.4%	5.4%
Palmdale	21.3%	14.8%	0.9%	4.3%	54.4%	8.3%	6.6%	6.5%

Sources: Unemployment information from the State of California, Employee Development Department Labor Market Information Div.: <http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/data/unemployment-and-labor-force.html#Tool>. U.S. Census Bureau, <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045215/0664000.06.00> and http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/community_facts.xhtml

Location Analysis and Community Impacts

The newly proposed station locations are assessed according to the original LHI. The environmental justice indicators have not changed from the original station locations,

³ "Particulate matter" is unburned fuel particles that form smoke or soot and stick to lung tissue when inhaled. The numbers stand for microns in diameter.

⁴ California Air Resources Board (ARB), *Air Pollution and Environmental Justice, Integrating Indicators of Cumulative Impact and Socio-Economic Vulnerability Into Regulatory Decision-Making*, 2010. (Sacramento, California) Contract authors: Manuel Pastor Jr., Ph.D., Rachel Morello-Frosch, Ph.D., and James Sadd, Ph.D.

the charger quantity and type along with the estimated gasoline gallons displaced are also identical, and the anticipated impacts to the communities where the electric vehicle chargers will be located remains positive in terms of cleaner air and anticipated greenhouse reductions.

APPENDIX A:

Localized Health Impact Report Assessment Method

This LHI Report assesses the potential impacts to communities because of the projects proposed by the ARFVTP. This report is prepared under the *California CARB AQIP Guidelines, California Code of Regulations, Title 13, Motor Vehicles, Chapter 8.1 (CCR § 2343)*:

“(6) Localized health impacts must be considered when selecting projects for funding. The funding agency must consider environmental justice consistent with state law and complete the following:

(A) For each fiscal year, the funding agency must publish a staff report for review and comment by the public at least 30 calendar days prior to approval of projects. The report must analyze the aggregate locations of the funded projects, analyze the impacts in communities with the most significant exposure to air contaminants or localized air contaminants, or both, including, but not limited to, communities of minority populations or low-income populations, and identify agency outreach to community groups and other affected stakeholders.

(B) Projects must be selected and approved for funding in a publicly noticed meeting.”

This LHI Report is not intended to be a detailed environmental health impact analysis of proposed projects nor is it intended to substitute for the environmental review conducted during the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review. This LHI Report includes staff’s application of the Environmental Justice Screening Method (EJSM) to identify projects located in areas with social vulnerability indicators and the greatest exposure to air pollution and associated health risks⁵.

The EJSM was developed to identify low-income communities highly affected by air pollution for assessing the impacts of climate change regulations, specifically Assembly Bill 32 (Núñez, Chapter 488, Statutes of 2006), the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006. The EJSM integrates data on (i.) exposure to air pollution, (ii.) cancer risk, (iii.) ozone concentration, (iv.) frequency of high ozone days, (v.) race/ethnicity, (vi.) poverty level, (vii.) home ownership, (viii.) median household value, (ix.) educational attainment, and (x.) sensitive populations (populations under 5 years of age or over 65 years of age).

⁵ California Air Resources Board (ARB). *Air Pollution and Environmental Justice, Integrating Indicators of Cumulative Impact and Socio-Economic Vulnerability Into Regulatory Decision-Making*, 2010. (Sacramento, California) Contract authors: Manuel Pastor Jr., Ph.D., Rachel Morello-Frosch, Ph.D., and James Sadd, Ph.D.

To determine high-risk communities, environmental justice (EJ) indicators for locations of the electric vehicle charging stations are compared to data from the U.S. Census Bureau or other public agency. Staff identifies high-risk communities by using a two-part standard. For a community to be considered high-risk, for this assessment, it must meet both Parts 1 and 2 of this standard.

Part 1:

- Communities located in nonattainment air basins for ozone, PM 2.5 or PM 10

Part 2:

- Communities having more than one of the following EJ indicators: (1) minority, (2) poverty, (3) unemployment and (4) high percentage of population under 5 years of age and over 65 years of age. The EJ indicators follow:
 - A minority subset represents more than 30 percent of a given city's population.
 - A city's poverty level exceeds California's poverty level.
 - A city's unemployment rate exceeds California's unemployment rate.
 - The percentage of people living in that city are younger than 5 years of age or older than 65 years of age is 20 percent higher than the average percentage of persons under 5 years of age or over 65 years of age for all of California.