



Energy Research and Development Division

FINAL PROJECT REPORT

San Gabriel Valley Water Company "Plug-and-Play" In-Conduit Hydropower Development Project

Gavin Newsom, Governor May 2021 | CEC-500-2021-032

PREPARED BY:

Primary Authors:

Gene Goodenough Matthew Swindle Andrew Benjamin

NLine Energy Inc. 5170 Golden Foothills Parkway, El Dorado Hills, CA 95762 916-235-6852 www.nlineenergy.com

Robert DiPrimio

San Gabriel Valley Water Company 11142 Garvey Ave., El Monte, CA 91733 626-448-6183 https://www.sgvwater.com

Contract Number: EPC-16-024

PREPARED FOR: California Energy Commission

Michael Kane Project Manager

Jonah Steinbuck, Ph.D. Office Manager ENERGY GENERATION RESEARCH OFFICE

Laurie ten Hope
Deputy Director
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

Drew Bohan Executive Director

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as the result of work sponsored by the California Energy Commission. It does not necessarily represent the views of the Energy Commission, its employees, or the State of California. The Energy Commission, the State of California, its employees, contractors, and subcontractors make no warranty, express or implied, and assume no legal liability for the information in this report; nor does any party represent that the uses of this information will not infringe upon privately owned rights. This report has not been approved or disapproved by the California Energy Commission, nor has the California Energy Commission passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the information in this report.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was partially funded by California Energy Commission (CEC). This project would not have been possible without the insights, efforts, and dedication of many individuals and organizations. These include the CEC contract manager and the technical advisory committee members listed.

Contract Manager: Michael Kane

Technical Advisory Committee:

- Matthew Swindle, NLine Energy
- David Powell, PE, NLine Energy
- Robert DiPrimio, PE, San Gabriel Valley Water Company
- Gene Mancebo, PE, Amador Water Agency
- Mohammad Badruzzaman, PhD, Stantec

The authors thank the following companies for their contribution to the development of the project:

- San Gabriel Valley Water Company
- NLine Energy, Inc.
- EETS, Inc.
- RC Foster Corporation
- Tesco Controls, Inc.
- Canyon Hydro

PREFACE

The California Energy Commission's (CEC) Energy Research and Development Division supports energy research and development programs to spur innovation in energy efficiency, renewable energy and advanced clean generation, energy-related environmental protection, energy transmission and distribution and transportation.

In 2012, the Electric Program Investment Charge (EPIC) was established by the California Public Utilities Commission to fund public investments in research to create and advance new energy solutions, foster regional innovation and bring ideas from the lab to the marketplace. The CEC and the state's three largest investor-owned utilities—Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Edison Company—were selected to administer the EPIC funds and advance novel technologies, tools, and strategies that provide benefits to their electric ratepayers.

The CEC is committed to ensuring public participation in its research and development programs that promote greater reliability, lower costs, and increase safety for the California electric ratepayer and include:

- Providing societal benefits.
- Reducing greenhouse gas emission in the electricity sector at the lowest possible cost.
- Supporting California's loading order to meet energy needs first with energy efficiency and demand response, next with renewable energy (distributed generation and utility scale), and finally with clean, conventional electricity supply.
- Supporting low-emission vehicles and transportation.
- Providing economic development.
- Using ratepayer funds efficiently.

San Gabriel Valley Water Company "Plug-and-Play" In-Conduit Hydropower Development Project is the final report for Contract Number EPC-16-024 conducted by San Gabriel Valley Water Company. The information from this project contributes to the Energy Research and Development Division's EPIC Program.

For more information about the Energy Research and Development Division, please visit the <u>CEC's research website</u> (www.energy.ca.gov/research/) or contact the CEC at ERDD@energy.ca.gov.

ABSTRACT

California has many small, in-conduit hydroelectric stations with generation potential less than 100 kilowatts. Many of these stations have similar pressure and flow characteristics as well as design layouts, lending them to modularization and standardization. Recent technological advances in off-the-shelf, low-cost turbine technologies for these types of stations have reduced costs, but a significant barrier remains to broader development of existing conduits that would enable the extraction of power. This includes the high cost associated with the civil, mechanical, and electrical design, interconnection, and construction. Thus, further advancements in modularizing and standardizing in-conduit hydroelectric technology is needed to reduce costs and accelerate implementation.

The San Gabriel Valley Water Company "Plug-and-Play" In-Conduit Hydropower Development Project designed, developed, and demonstrated a modular in-conduit hydropower water-towire package that offers a standard design and equipment that can be installed in hundreds of in-conduit sites throughout California with generation potential of less than 100 kilowatts. This plug-and-play design template will advance the modularization of critical electrical and civil components common to small, in-conduit hydropower stations to take advantage of recent advances in modularization of the mechanical turbine technologies. The new design will maximize the cost-effective generation of the under-used sub-100 kilowatt hydroelectric sector throughout California — leading to increased generation of in-conduit hydropower in the statewide energy mix and contributing to the state's goal to provide 100 percent of its electricity from renewable and zero-carbon sources by 2045.

Keywords: in-conduit hydropower; small hydropower; energy recovery; energy efficiency; renewable energy

Please use the following citation for this report:

DiPrimio, Robert, Oscar Ramos, Matthew Swindle, Gene Goodenough, and Andrew Benjamin. 2021. San Gabriel Valley Water Company "Plug-and-Play" In-Conduit Hydropower Development Project. Publication Number: CEC-500-2021-032

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
PREFACE	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Introduction	1
Project Purpose	1
Project Approach	2
Project Objectives	2
Project Implementation	2
Project Results	3
Technology/Knowledge Transfer/Market Adoption (Advancing the Research to Market))4
Benefits to California	4
CHAPTER 1: Introduction	5
CHAPTER 2: Project Approach	7
Market Analysis / Data Gathering	7
Civil / Mechanical / Electrical Engineering Plans and Specifications	7
Project Site Overview	7
Pipes Valves	13
Foundation Design	14
Powerhouse	14
Standardized Civil / Mechanical Plans	15
Turbine Technologies	15
Pump-as Turbines	15
In-Line Turbine	17
Seismic Standards	18
Building Selection	19
CHAPTER 3: Project Results	20
Design Standardization and Project Cost Reduction	20
Future Project Costs	23
Project 1 Pressure Reducing Station – 37 kW	24
Project 2 – Pressure Reducing Station – 75 kW	26

B24 Hydro Project Results	28
CHAPTER 4: Technology/Knowledge/Market Transfer Activities	33
Technology/Knowledge/Market Transfer Activities	33
CHAPTER 5: Conclusions/Recommendations	34
CHAPTER 6: Benefits to Ratepayers	36
Local Benefits of the B24 Pilot Project	36
California Market Implications	37
GLOSSARY AND ACRONYMS	38
APPENDIX A: Design Specifications	A-1

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1: Project Vicinity Map	9
Figure 2: Site Map	10
Figure 3: B24 Plant	11
Figure 4: Detailed Site Plan	12
Figure 5: Cornell Pump-as Turbine-Generator in a Vertical Configuration at B24	16
Figure 6: Cornell Pump-as-Turbine Model 6TR1	17
Figure 7: In-Line Turbine	18
Figure 8: Cost Estimate for 37-kW Pressure Reducing Station	25
Figure 9: Cost Estimate for 75-kW Pressure Reducing Station	27
Figure 10: B24 Hydro Project Permission to Operate	29
Figure 11: Turbine Performance Test Results	31

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1: San Gabriel Valley Water Company B24 Project Cost Comparison	20
Table 2: Canyon Generator Performance	32

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

California intends to provide 100 percent of its electricity with renewable and zero-carbon energy sources by 2045. A number of renewable energy sources contribute to this goal, with solar power providing the largest share. However, solar power poses several challenges given its intermittent nature. Therefore, California also must look to other clean alternatives, such as battery storage, and other baseload renewable energy sources, such as hydroelectric, to meet the goals of Senate Bill 100 (De León, Chapter 312, Statutes of 2018) goals, including for 50 percent and 60 percent of California's electricity to be powered by renewable resources by 2025 and 2030, respectively.

California has been a leader in developing large hydroelectric resources over the years, but the hundreds of small, in-conduit hydroelectric stations with generation potential of less than 100 kilowatts (kW) are often overlooked due to the installation and equipment costs relative to the volume of energy generated. Many of these small stations have similar pressure, flow characteristics, and design layouts — lending them to modularization and standardization.

There is a need to develop and demonstrate a "plug-and-play" package to expand use of small, in-conduit hydropower stations throughout California to meet the state's energy goals, expanding the statewide mix of generation resources and reducing costs.

Project Purpose

The San Gabriel Valley Water Company "Plug-and-Play" In-Conduit Hydropower Development Project (San Gabriel Project) advanced the research and pre-commercial development of an innovative, plug-and-play packaged hydroelectric station and associated guidance documentation to improve the economic competitiveness of the sub-100-kW market by reducing the overall installation cost. The initial grant application outlined six goals:

- 1. Design a plug-and-play low-cost, in-conduit hydroelectric guidance package that addresses the sub-100-kW market.
- 2. Demonstrate improved efficiency and performance to maximize the capture of wasted energy in water supply networks.
- 3. Demonstrate the long-term operational capacity of an in-conduit turbine/generator system to provide renewable energy for the state energy mix.
- 4. Demonstrate benefits to California investor-owned utility electric ratepayers, including reduction of energy costs and greenhouse gas emissions and efficient use of ratepayer money.
- 5. Validate the method, tools, and technology implementation to expand the use of hydropower in California for the sub-100-kW market, help achieve the state's renewable energy initiatives, and improve the understanding of the grid benefits.
- 6. Develop a plan to share information gained and project results to the public and key decision-makers.

Project Approach

The project applicant, San Gabriel Valley Water Company, is an investor-owned, public utility water company headquartered in El Monte in the San Gabriel Valley. The company provides water utility service to a population of more than 481,000 residents of the Los Angeles County and Fontana Water division service areas.

NLine Energy, the project subcontractor based in El Dorado Hills, California, is a full-service developer of in-conduit hydroelectric projects. NLine Energy is currently responsible for more than 91 percent of all hydroelectric development of less than 5 megawatts in California and 30 percent nationally.

Tesco Controls, headquartered in Sacramento, California, served as the system integrator, focused on supervisory control and data acquisition, communications, and control needs working from its state-of-the-art UL manufacturing facility.

The San Gabriel Valley Water Company, NLine Energy, Tesco Controls team worked together previously on the successful implementation of the San Gabriel Sandhill in-conduit hydroelectric project, one of the first in-conduit hydroelectric projects to be implemented in Southern California Edison territory in more than 20 years. This project was one of the first "net-neutral" energy sites, whereby the generation from the in-conduit hydroelectric unit completely offset the electricity requirements of the water treatment plant on an annual basis. The site is only the third in the state to receive a Self-Generation Incentive Program grant, classified as a Pressure-Reducing Turbine.

Project Objectives

To achieve the project goals, the project team set the following objectives and targets:

- 1. Design a standardized civil, mechanical, and electric powerhouse, coupled with a plug-and-play, water-to-wire equipment package that can reduce implementation costs by at least 20 percent for future projects.
- 2. Implement a 73-kW water-to-wire, in-conduit hydroelectric station.
- 3. Generate 11,039,000 kilowatt-hours (kWh) of renewable energy while reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 8,040 metric tons carbon dioxide equivalent over a 30-year asset life.
- 4. Provide long-term monitoring, measurement, and verification of the mitigation measures and strategies, as well as in-conduit hydroelectric station performance.
- 5. Provide education and outreach to local and regional California water agencies, hydropower asset owners and state policymakers.

Project Implementation

The team's first task was to analyze sub-100-kW project sites in an effort to identify commonalities amenable to a plug-and-play design, including the types of hydroelectric projects, their likely locations, and cost challenges associated with hydroelectric development for these projects. The team made a concerted effort to minimize project costs on both non-construction and construction elements by standardizing the designs, shortening construction time frames, streamlining interconnection processes, and working with vendors to use more

prefabricated and off-the-shelf equipment. Toward that end, the team identified, researched, and implemented the following:

- Civil/mechanical engineering plans and specifications
- Multiple turbine technologies
- Potable water standards
- Seismic standards
- Building selection
- Electrical engineering plans and specifications.

A modular powerhouse design was implemented using a pre-engineered fiberglass building, within which was housed the turbine, generator, pressure relief assembly and controls. The project team selected and arranged components so as to maximize standardization while allowing a certain degree of customization to accommodate a range of site-specific requirements. The power assembly consisted of an off-the-shelf pump-as-turbine which was installed vertically to minimize its footprint. The load distribution characteristics of the fiberglass building allowed for use of a turned-down mat slab style foundation with continuous footing which could be achieved with only one concrete pour.

Modifications were made to San Gabriel Valley Water Company's B24 pumping station to accommodate the modular powerhouse assembly, which together with the balance-of-plant comprised the B24 hydroelectric station. Start-up, commissioning, and performance testing the B24 hydroelectric station was conducted on August 14, 2019. The team collected six weeks of flow, pressure, and power data to better assess the performance. In a comparison of theoretical to actual power generation, the generator produced power above anticipated levels at all flow rates tested through the turbine.

Project Results

The San Gabriel Project successfully met its outlined goals and objectives.

- The team successfully developed a standardized low-cost civil, mechanical, and electrical hydroelectric design package that can be used in future sub-100-kW projects. It is estimated that the standardization of the engineering design will result in a 50 percent reduction in these specific design/engineering project costs on future projects. The design and specifications for this project are included in the appendix.
- The team implemented a 73-kW pilot project employing the modular powerhouse design that is fully operational at San Gabriel Valley Water Company B24 Pumping Station. Based on monitoring the limited operations of the project to date, it is estimated that the project will meet the annual generation of 403,000 kWhs annually and 11,662,000 kWhs over its 30-year useful asset life.
- The B24 hydroelectric pilot project cost approximately \$1,462,000 to implement, and it is estimated that future projects of similar size can be developed at a cost ranging between \$900,000 and \$1,100,000. At this specific cost range, projects of similar size and generation profiles will be economically viable under current California electric rate tariffs.

- The project provided direct benefits to investor-owned utility ratepayers in San Gabriel's service territory, reducing energy costs, greenhouse gas emissions, and other harmful air emissions.
- Successful implementation of this sub-100-kilowatt project enabled the team to identify similar sub-100-kilowatt hydroelectric projects in California, Oregon, and Maryland and therefore use the research and development from this project to develop additional sub-100-kilowatt hydroelectric projects.
- The project team developed an information-sharing plan and has made numerous presentations to interested stakeholders and the public introducing the replicable nature, details, and results of the project.

Technology/Knowledge Transfer/Market Adoption

The project team conducted a series of presentations to highlight the attributes of the project so that the research effort could be replicated by the United States target market for inconduit hydroelectric technologies, namely municipal water systems such as water districts, irrigation districts, cities, counties, and other public and private water companies.

Results of this project were shared with more than 450 municipal water agencies, known as the Association of California Water Agencies, during several statewide, semi-annual conferences in 2017 and 2018. Additionally, a case study was shared at several presentations for the National Hydropower Association and the international hydropower conference, Hydrovision, from 2017 to 2019. Lastly, knowledge of this project was shared with other California Energy Commission Electric Program Investment Charge awardees through an informal information sharing workshop at the request of California Energy Commission staff.

Benefits to California

Overall, the San Gabriel Project was a substantial success for residents in the San Gabriel Valley as measured by results of the 73-kW B24 Pumping Station pilot project, and for California ratepayers as measured by forecasted economic and environmental benefits.

The B24 Pumping Station pilot project was implemented at a total project cost of around \$1,462,000 and is expected to generate 403,000 kWhs annually, resulting in energy cost savings of \$56,000 per year. The project will provide direct benefits for investor-owned utility electricity ratepayers in the San Gabriel Valley Water Company service area, including greenhouse gas reductions, air emission reductions, and energy cost reductions. The project will produce 11,662,000 kWhs of renewable energy and reduce 8,550 metric tons of greenhouse gas emissions over its 30-year life. In addition, the project will demonstrate the ability to develop cost-effective projects in the sub-100-kW market, which will help expand the deployment of these small in-conduit hydropower systems throughout California.

For California, the San Gabriel Project provides a basis for expanding in-conduit hydropower throughout the state, particularly in under-used small-hydro sites. This project will open the sub-100-kW market, resulting in development of projects previously viewed as not financially viable. The researchers estimate success of this project will lead to additional deployments of at least 9,000 kW of annual renewable energy or 48,360,000 kWh of annual renewable generation, resulting in an annual reduction of 34,198 metric tons of carbon dioxide.

CHAPTER 1: Introduction

In September 2018, former Governor Edmund G. Brown, Jr. signed Senate Bill 100 (de León, Chapter 312, Statutes of 2018) into law, establishing an ambitious goal to supply 100 percent of the state's electricity from renewable and zero-carbon energy sources by 2045. This law requires that 60 percent of power purchased by California utilities come from renewable sources by 2030. The additional 40 percent of power delivered by California utilities to residents and businesses must be from zero-carbon resources by 2045. Renewable energy as defined by California includes a multitude of energy sources such as biogas, wind, solar, hydro, and wave. Today a significant portion of California's renewable energy is generated from solar and wind assets. Solar and wind pose particular issues to the energy grid as they are intermittent. For example, solar energy production peaks mid-day and this causes net (of solar) electricity demand for other sources to drop off. Then later in the evening, as solar drops off and consumer demand increases, other generation sources are required by the grid. Researchers in California have labeled this relationship between solar generation and demand the "duck curve." The overarching issue is that one or two intermittent renewable energy sources such as solar or wind cannot sufficiently supply California's energy grid without other complementary resources. Therefore, California must identify alternative solutions such as energy storage or baseline renewables. At the moment, energy storage, whether it be smallscale lithium batteries or large-scale pump storage projects, is not yet financially viable. Baseline renewable energy sources such as hydro are a natural solution until energy storage becomes more cost effective.

Hydropower was one of the first forms of renewable energy developed and is still widely used throughout the United States. Hydroelectric power is electricity derived from turbines that convert the potential energy of falling or fast-flowing water into mechanical energy. Most people are familiar with large-scale hydropower dams like the Hoover Dam, but California defines renewable energy hydropower as small-scale hydroelectric sites of 30 megawatts (MW) or less. A number of rules established in the California's In-Conduit Hydropower Implementation Guidebook define what gualifies as a hydropower renewable energy source in the state, but the majority of the opportunities generating less than 30 MW reside with inconduit hydro projects. In-conduit hydropower is defined as the hydroelectric generation potential in engineered conduits such as tunnels, canals, pipelines, aqueducts, flumes, ditches, or similar man-made water conveyances that are operated for the distribution of water for agricultural, municipal, and industrial consumption. California has done well to develop sub-30 MW in-conduit hydroelectric projects; however, as projects get smaller, they become less economically viable. Historically, hydroelectric projects sized at 100 kilowatts (kW) or less were not developed as it was extremely difficult, without significant grant funding, to get the projects to pencil out. The lack of development of these small-scale hydroelectric sites has created an interesting market opportunity that aligns with California's renewable energy needs, resources, and goals.

This under-developed market of sub-100-kW hydroelectric sites is due to many barriers that include high civil, mechanical, and electrical costs; the high-cost of turbine-generator and

associated equipment; the high-cost of custom-designed control and switchgear equipment; the high cost and schedule delays associated with compliance with the California Public Utility Commission's Rule 21 interconnection process; and other engineering design and project development costs.

Given the economies of scale of these projects, the sub-100-kW, in-conduit hydroelectric market remains challenged largely based on economic benefit relative to project costs. In 2012, an Oak Ridge National Laboratory report had two main recommendations for cost-cutting for sub-100-kW micro-hydropower sites:(1)standardize the turbines for lower costs, as projects of this size are more sensitive to cost than efficiency, and(2)standardize pre-fabricated and pre-assembled civil configurations for the powerhouse. Since the report was published, little progress has been made to advance the sub-100-kW in-conduit hydropower market in California. Since 2013, more than 22 projects totaling 12 MW of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) qualifying conduit facilities-designated hydropower sites have been approved through FERC. Only one of these sites, sized less than 100 kW, is located in California and has not yet been constructed.

Project sites with flows ranging from 3 to 20 cubic feet per second (cfs) and available head pressure ranging from 80 to 400 feet are common hydraulic characteristics witnessed throughout existing pressure-reducing valve (PRV) stations in California. While there are multiple hydroelectric turbine technologies that can address these hydraulic conditions, only a subset of technologies are efficient and small; provide downstream pressure (for example, reaction-style turbines); are simple to operate; and can meet potable water standards. Additionally, these turbines require coupling to a generator that is both efficient and cost-effective — and can also meet California's interconnection requirements.

Typically, the 100-kW or less sites are located in either below-grade vaults or open-air aboveground structures that are not suited for the addition of a complete in-conduit hydroelectric system that includes a turbine, generator, valves, hydraulic power unit, control panel, switchgear panel, batteries, and other equipment that comprise the entire water-to-wire package. To accommodate a water-to-wire system at these sites, considerable resources are spent designing a custom powerhouse to include civil, mechanical, and electrical equipment and systems. These projects may also be located at remote locations (for example, a PRV vault) and are required to interconnect to the local investor-owned utility (IOU) distribution electrical circuit. Since hydroelectric generators are reactive-power based (noninverter), they require additional scrutiny, cost, and time as part of the Rule 21 Interconnection process.

These common characteristics of sub-100-kW sites present an opportunity to integrate a standard civil, mechanical, electrical powerhouse design (above or below grade), with an integrated water-to-wire system constituting a plug-and-play in-conduit hydroelectric packaged system.

CHAPTER 2: Project Approach

This chapter describes the approach and analysis conducted for the San Gabriel Valley Water Company "Plug-and-Play" Hydropower Development Project (San Gabriel Project) to advance the research and pre-commercial development of an innovative, plug-and-play packaged hydroelectric station. The intent was to improve the economic competitiveness by reducing the overall installation cost for sub-100-kW projects.

Market Analysis / Data Gathering

Prior to developing the plug-and-play design project goals and objectives, the team needed to identify the market whereby by commonality among project sites could be standardized with a common plug-and-play design and components. NLine Energy is currently responsible for more than 91 percent of all hydroelectric development of less than 5 MW in the state and 30 percent nationally. NLine Energy has walked or received data on more than 200 potential hydroelectric project sites in California. NLine developed a database with all of this project site data, which was then the basis for the California's In-Conduit Hydropower Implementation Guidebook, recently developed for the California Energy Commission (CEC). During NLine Energy's evaluation of potential hydroelectric sites in California the company consistently encountered sub-100-kW sites similar to the B24 project site. Unfortunately, NLine typically did not qualify these sub-100-kW sites and did not gather data from the sites as it was believed the sites' development was not feasible. As energy prices increased, technology improved, and NLine gained experience, it was determined that many of the project sites in the sub-100-kW market had similar design characteristics and costs could be reduced enough to make these projects viable.

NLine Energy examined its database and found that the majority of potential sub-100 kilowatt sites had flows ranging from 0 to 13 cubic feet per second and pressure ranging from 100 to 450 feet of net head. Therefore, all aspects of the project, including turbine technology, standardize design, and facilities, would have to meet these pressure and flow requirements. One additional benefit realized from this project is that based on the pressure and flow ranges described, larger hydroelectric sites can use the standardized plug-and-play package to reduce project costs. Hydroelectric projects with name plate ratings as large as 500 kW could use plug-and-play standardized design as described in this paper to reduce costs as long as the proper pressure and flow characteristics are met. The project team identified, researched, and developed the following items in an effort to reduce project costs.

Civil / Mechanical / Electrical Engineering Plans and Specifications

This section describes the operation of the hydroelectric project and standardized civil and mechanical design plans.

Project Site Overview

Plant B24 is a water storage facility and pumping station located at 14632 Nelson Ave E, La Puente, California 91744 (34° 2'9.69" N; 117°58'15.61" W) owned and operated by San

Gabriel Valley Water Company. The site receives potable water from the Reservoir 5 / 6 site, through a water distribution pipeline and breaks pressure using a CLA-Val pressure-reducing valve (PRV) before filling either the B24 or B24A storage tanks rated at 1.5 million gallons, 30-feet (ft) tall each. Potable water is pumped from the storage tanks, via six 150-horsepower (hp) booster pumps to LAD service areas based on demand.

Figure 1 provides an overview of the site; the yellow box represents the proposed location for the hydroelectric project. Figure 2 illustrates the location of the current pipe and PRV entering the B24 site that was used in the hydroelectric project.

The team determined the most feasible position for the hydroelectric facility would be northwest of the existing B24A tank, which consists of an open gravel area approximately 20 ft by 20 ft. An existing 17-inch supply pipeline and Southern California Edison (SCE) electrical conduit reside in this area and were re-routed. Figure 3 shows an aerial view of the project site.

Design considerations were made to optimize the size of the powerhouse, pipe tie in locations, site access, and tie in to existing electrical infrastructure. Figure 4 is a plan view of the site.



Figure 1: Project Vicinity Map

Source: Google Maps

Figure 2: Site Map



Source: San Gabriel Valley Water Company

Figure 3: B24 Plant



Source: Google Maps

Figure 4: Detailed Site Plan



Source: NLine Energy

The hydroelectric station design re-routes high-pressure flows from the B24 tank to the turbine and returns low-pressure water to the system downstream of the existing pressure reducing bypass valve (Cla-Val).

Originally, a horizontally oriented turbine layout was designed but limited site access near the tank. A horizontal spacing of 6 feet between the tank and powerhouse was desired to allow for small vehicle access. Positioning the turbine in a vertical orientation reduced the horizontal dimension of the powerhouse enough to provide 6 feet of clearance from the tank.

The pipeline layout and valving were designed with the goal of maintaining the existing visual and site access features of the site while minimizing effects to existing infrastructure. The existing underground 17-inch supply line would be re-routed to allow for ease of access for maintenance and avoid the powerhouse foundation zone of influence. A new 18-ft stretch of above-ground pipeline was situated adjacent to the existing above-ground pipe segment for positioning of the flow meter and turbine control valve. The flow meter was allotted 5 and 2 pipe diameters in length upstream and downstream, respectively, for laminar flow. Positioning the new segment of pipeline adjacent to the existing above-ground pipelines provides easier site access and workability.

Underground pipeline depths were selected to maintain minimum cover, avoid interference of zones of influence for nearby infrastructure, minimize sheeting and shoring, and allow for site workability.

Pipes Valves

At the B24 site, San Gabriel Valley Water Company (SGVWC) uses cement-lined and coated steel pipe for underground applications. Above-ground applications consist of cement-lined and coated steel pipe. All new aboveground and underground pipeline was fitted to match this specification. At a maximum flow rate of 12 cubic feet per second (cfs), velocity in a 12-inch pipeline would be approximately 15 feet per second (ft/s).

The existing 12-inch Cla-Val pressure reducing valve is model number 90-01. These valves specialize in regulating downstream pressure. This valve is currently configured in an open position and not used for flow or pressure control. The existing Cla-Val was retrofitted to perform the function of a primary pressure-reducing bypass for the hydroelectric station. Cla-Val representatives were contacted in April 2018 to assure that a retrofit could be made to the existing valve to perform the desired function. The Cla-Val will require anti-cavitation trim and new pilot tubing. Cla-Val provided budgetary estimates and lead times. A new Cla-Val, model 131-01 was positioned upstream of the turbine to regulate pressure and flow.

The existing butterfly valve with an AUMA Actuators, Inc. operator was swapped positions with the existing Cla-Val. The valve will allow bypass flows to enter the existing Cla-Val. This will also reduce wear on the existing butterfly valve.

SGVWC staff uses and has familiarity with Pratt butterfly valves and Water Specialties flow meters. Valving and meters from the aforementioned manufacturers have been included in the specification.

Pipe supports were included for all above-ground piping segments. Weight of the fluid, valves, pipeline, and couplings was taken into consideration. Restrained flexible couplings and Victaulic couplings were used to adjoin piping segments that could not be welded.

Combination air release/vacuum valves from Dezurik/APCO have been included at high points and isolation points in the system to allow for laminar flow and to prevent pipe vacuum effects during a dewatering event. A 1-inch saddle for a potable water supply connected to a hose bib outside the building has been included. The hose bib is equipped with a Wilkins 975 XL2 backflow and pressure regulation assembly to comply with potable water standards.

Foundation Design

The foundation design is dictated by several factors including seismic code requirements/geotechnical report recommendations, ease of installation for the general contractor, anchor depth of the steel vertical turbine support structure, and the building submittal.

Powerhouse

The hydroelectric station is located northwest of the existing B24A tank. Flow from the existing 17-inch pipeline main inlet pipe will divert from an above-ground tee into a 12-inch pipeline, through the hydroelectric station and discharge into an underground pipeline that ties in upstream of the B24A tank. The powerhouse building is a pre-engineered metal building, approximately 13 ft by 16 ft. The siding thickness is six inches. The dimensions inside the powerhouse are approximately 12 ft by 15 ft. The powerhouse features a metal roof with a 4-ft by 4-ft roof hatch, meant to allow hoisting of the turbine/generator system for maintenance, if needed. The side wall height of the building is 10 feet and features a sloping 3 to 1 gable. The Cornell 6TR1 is vertically fit inside the building with an 8 ft side wall height. However, this design was meant to accommodate the array of turbines discussed earlier in the report. Therefore, a side wall height of 10 feet was selected.

The building features gutters and downspouts large enough to contain and channel expected water flows. The gutters are 6 inches deep and include 3-inch by 4-inch downspouts. The side wall and roof feature insulation thicknesses of R-13 and R-30 respectively for environmental control and noise mitigation.

The gable nearest the generator features a Greenheck exhaust fan, opposite the side of the louvers. This design allows for air crossflow through the powerhouse for proper ventilation. The powerhouse features a 32-inch man door, nearest the panels to allow for entry to the building. A manually operated, 4-ft by 8-ft rollup door was positioned on the opposite side of the building to allow for ease of access during construction, maintenance, and equipment hauling.

To create a smaller powerhouse as part of the modular design, the control valve, flow meter, air relief valve, and panels are positioned outside. The turbine, generator, and pressure relief assembly are positioned inside the powerhouse. A two-tier nickel cadmium battery rack was positioned inside the powerhouse to provide backup power for the programmable logic controller (PLC) in a load-shedding event. The battery system is rated at 24V, 140Ah and is sized to accommodate most sub-100-kW turbine arrangements, while maintaining a more compact size compared to lead acid. The main generator disconnect switch was encased in a

National Electric Manufacturers Association 3R enclosure attached to the outside of the powerhouse. The electrical conduits were positioned as such to allow for replicability on future designs. The orientation of equipment inside the powerhouse can be rotated on a site-to-site basis to accommodate different pipe inlet and outlet locations.

Standardized Civil / Mechanical Plans

The final design specifications are included in the appendix of this report. Due to the commonality in projects of this size, these final design specifications will require minimal changes resulting in minimal engineering costs for future projects. Common design components of this project include the design and arrangement of equipment inside the powerhouse. Most existing pressure-reducing stations include existing metering, pressure transmitters, and a pressure-reducing valve, which are unique in location to each site. The team agreed that each site will require a certain level of customization based on the pipeline tie-in location and presence of existing piping, valving, and utilities. Therefore, the components included in the powerhouse were arranged and selected so that each site would comply with the package that is provided in the powerhouse. Items custom to each site include the pipeline tie-in location, routing of pipeline to the hydroelectric station, valving outside the powerhouse, and excavation.

Turbine Technologies

NLine Energy analyzed several different off-the-shelf turbine technologies that would fit the expected pressure and flow ranges for these types of sites. Based on the pressure ranges of 100 to 450 feet of net head and flow ranges of 0 to 13 cfs, NLine identified two specific technologies that would best fit this project. The design enables all of these technologies to fit within the same powerhouse footprint and consist of an identical arrangement inside the powerhouse, with the exception of the exact turbine selected. The technologies selected and analyzed in further detail for this site were the Cornell's pump-as-turbine and Canyon Hydro's in-line turbine.

Pump-as Turbines

Pump-as-turbine, or PaT, is essentially what the name implies, a pump operating as a hydroelectric turbine. PaTs are considered reaction turbines, which discharge under positive head. Reaction turbines are driven by the flow of water through the runner blades. A PaT operates best at a single flow and head and generally does not operate well below approximately 50 percent of rated flow. Generally, pressure reducing valves are positioned upstream of a PaT to cut head based on the incoming flow rate. When there are variations in flow, these pressure reducing valves adjust the pressure to operate the PaT at its peak point of efficiency. PaTs have much higher efficiencies than other turbines when running within their optimum flow and pressure range. PaTs are low-cost options for sites that operate with flows from 1 to 30 cfs and with pressure head typically ranging between 100 to 400 feet. A number of different manufacturers provide PaTs, but our analysis focused on the Cornell PaT due to Cornell's wide range of products, manufacturing location, and low cost.Figure 5 shows a vertically mounted Cornell PaT configuration used at the B24 site. This PaT vertical configuration is the standard design that all models of the PaT would use.

For the B24 project a Cornell 6TR1 PaT was selected for implementation. The 6TR1 operates with flows ranging from approximately 4.5 to 8.5 cfs and net head ranging from 65 to 165 feet. Figure 6 displays the efficiency curve for the Cornell PaT model 6TR1.



Figure 5: Cornell Pump-as Turbine-Generator in Vertical Configuration at B24

Source: San Gabriel Valley Water Company



Figure 6: Cornell Pump-as-Turbine Model 6TR1

Source: Cornell Pump Company Turbine Catalog

In-Line Turbine

The vertically mounted in-line turbine is manufactured by Canyon Hydro out of Deming, Washington. The design is similar to a PaT but uses internal wicket gates to control flow, which makes the in-line turbine a variable geometry unit similar to a traditional Francis turbine. The efficiency remains more constant across a wider range of flows and therefore can produce more power at sites with variable flows than the fixed geometry PaT.Figure 7 displays a typical in-line turbine installation.

Figure 7: In-Line Turbine



Source: Canyon Hydro

Once again, this vertical-mounted installation is standard for the plug-and-play design as it enables a small footprint for the powerhouse, thus reducing overall project costs. For the B24 project, a Canyon Hydro model ILT08 was considered for implementation. The in-line turbine would generate more electricity annually than the pump-as-turbine but was considerably more expensive than the pump-as-turbine. In addition, the in-line turbine was a relatively new technology with few installations at the time of design for this project. Therefore, it was determined that the least risky option was to select the pump-as-turbine, although future projects may elect the in-line turbine technology.

Seismic Standards

According to the California Building Code (2016), the B24 project is situated in a Seismic Zone 4, a densely populated region in a seismically active area. Seismic Zone 4 regions are considered to have the highest possibility of earthquake danger. The hydroelectric station would classify as a Risk Category 3 structure due to its power production capability. Based on the soil type, seismic zone, risk category, and spectral response acceleration, the hydroelectric station was designed to seismic design Class D criteria. The seismic design classes range from A through F, with Class A requiring the least structural design strength, and Class F requiring the most structural design strength. All structural equipment associated with building, including the foundation, slabs, battery rack, and turbine vertical support structure, will need to be designed in accordance with seismic code. For future designs throughout the country, unless the building is to be situated near a fault line, the structural components selected may be replicated without additional consideration for seismic events.

Building Selection

The team performed an extensive search to find a cost effective yet structurally sound building that met sound attenuation requirements. The team considered prefabricated metal, fiberglass, concrete masonry unit (CMU), and custom wood structure. With recent increases in steel tariff prices, the metal building cost about twice as much as previously anticipated and did not come with pre-built configurations such as lighting, receptacles, exhaust fan, louvers, doors, and roof hatch. Additionally, a metal building distributes most weight to the columns of the building, requiring a bulky and separate concrete column pour, followed by a foundation footing pour. The team wanted to use a turned-down mat slab style foundation with continuous footing, thus requiring only one concrete pour. Similarly, a CMU building added additional concrete and design costs. The prefabricated fiberglass building included pre-built configurations and distributes its weight evenly along the outer portions of the building, thus not requiring separate bulky column footings. When considering the cost of multiple concrete pours, additional concrete, custom design, steel costs, and pre-built configurations, the fiberglass building and construction cost about half the price of the other building types examined.

CHAPTER 3: Project Results

Overall the San Gabriel Valley Water Company "Plug-and-Play" In-Conduit Hydroelectric Project was a success. The team successfully designed a standardized plug and play, low cost mechanical and electrical hydroelectric design package that can be used in future sub-100-kW projects. In addition, the team implemented a 73-kW hydroelectric project that will benefit the San Gabriel Valley Water Company and the surrounding community. This section describes in detail the project results as they relate to the following:

- Design standardization and project cost reduction
- Estimation of future project costs
- B24 project implementation and financials

Design Standardization and Project Cost Reduction

The plug and play design described in Chapter 2 details the innovative low cost, standardized mechanical and electrical hydroelectric package that will be implemented in all future projects. It is estimated that the new design will result in cost savings related to engineering and design of approximately 20 percent. Table 1 compares the current non-construction project costs associated with the project as well as the forecasted costs assuming the same project would be developed now with the new standardized plug and play design package.

Table 1: San Gabriel Valley	y Water Compan	y B24 Projec	t Cost Com	parison

		inpansen
	Current Project Costs	Estimated Future Costs
Preliminary Design	\$80,000	\$40,000
50% Design	\$91,000	\$45,500
Final Design & Specifications	\$84,338	\$42,169
Electrical Design	\$67,994	\$33,997
Interconnection & Tariff Analysis	\$55,000	\$40,000
Engineering During Construction - Submittal Review	\$48,000	\$48,000
Startup and Commissioning	\$30,643	\$30,643
FERC, Permits	\$18,000	\$18,000
Environmental	\$4,700	\$4,700
CEC EPIC Grant - Administrative Activities	\$25,000	\$0
CEC EPIC Grant - Knowledge Transfer	\$25,000	\$0
CEC EPIC Grant - Project Benefit Analysis	\$25,000	\$0
TESCO - R&D Electrical Package Design	\$35,000	\$0
Total Non-Construction Costs	\$589,675	\$303,009
Total Construction and Equipment	\$785,636	\$748,636
Total Project Cost	\$1,375,311	\$1,051,645

Source: San Gabriel Valley Water Company

The table represents the project cost as it relates to actual cash payments by SGVWC. In addition to these project costs, SGVWC incurred significant in-kind labor costs. In-kind labor contribution is not considered in this analysis as each company has varying labor requirements, wages, overhead, and accounting methodology that make any comparison from agency to agency impossible. In addition, the preliminary design costs of \$80,000 were not included in the CEC initial estimates as they were incurred prior to approval of the project by the CEC. Regardless, these preliminary design costs are necessary for design of the project and included in analysis for future projects.

Overall, it is estimated that non-construction costs would decrease by approximately \$287,000 or 49 percent. This estimated cost reduction is driven by two elements, the elimination of certain tasks specific to the grant or research and development (R&D) associated with the project and the reduction of design costs related to the ability to reuse the standardized design. The team evaluated the project and estimated future costs, given the standardized plug-and-play package and the cost of any required customized design components. The estimation per line item is provided in the table above. A review of each of these line items follows.

- Preliminary Design The preliminary design or feasibility assessment evaluates the
 project to ensure that it is viable from an environmental, technical, regulatory, and
 economic perspective. The analysis requires that the team model the pressure and flow
 of the site, perform a preliminary design, select potential turbine technology, and
 provide a high-level financial analysis. It is estimated that this cost would be
 significantly reduced based on the limiting of turbine technologies to evaluate as well as
 the standardized design. In other words, the team would not need to model or
 potentially design turbine technologies other than the three described earlier in this
 report. In addition, the standardized vertical turbine positioning, electrical design, and
 powerhouse size limits additional research, engineering, and design work.
- 50 Percent Design The 50 percent design phase of the project takes the preliminary design to the next stage of the project. In this phase the specific turbine technology is selected and applied to the design of the project. Similar to the preliminary design, it is estimated that the standardized documentation will require limited customization for a new site. Therefore, estimated design costs have been significantly reduced for this line item.
- Final Design and Specification The final design and specifications conclude the design of the project. The final design and specifications for the B24 hydroelectric project are included in the appendix. It is estimated that the specifications and drawings will require minimal customization based on the standardized plug-and-play design.
- Interconnection and Tariff Analysis This line item represents the work required to
 model and select the specific tariff for the project site as well as work through the Rule
 21 interconnection process. The cost associated with analyzing potential tariffs to use for
 a particular site is project specific and varies based on where the project is located and
 what tariffs are available. Therefore, no cost reduction is estimated for this task. The
 interconnection component of this line item consists of working through the Rule 21
 interconnection process to get permission to connect the hydroelectric project. This
 process requires a single-line electrical design that will need only slight customization

for future sites. In addition, much of the electrical design as it relates to the interconnection process can be reused for future projects of this size. Therefore, a slight decrease in cost is related to this line item for future projects.

- Engineering Services During Construction This task consists of all engineering-related tasks required during the construction of the projects. Items such as submittal reviews or change orders to the project are included in this line item. Unfortunately, each project is different, and much of this cost component is driven by the construction contractor. Therefore, it is impossible to estimate any cost reductions by the engineering/design for the project. The hope is that the simplified, standardized plugand-play package will result in fewer change orders or submittal reviews, which would reduce the cost of this line item. But in an effort to be conservative, this line item was not reduced.
- Startup and Commissioning This line item consists of the effort by the entire team to start up and commission the project. The bulk of the cost associated with this task is related to bringing all team members on site for the week or weeks required to test, start up, and commission the project. Ultimately the goal is to receive the permissionto-operate from the electric utility. Note that some minor cost reductions are expected by the standardization of documentation related to this task, such as the operations manual and final commission report, although the effort and cost reduction would be minor. Consequently, no reduction in the future costs for this line item is included in this estimation.
- FERC and Other Permits This line item is related to all costs associated to securing the appropriate permits necessary for the projects. Certain permits such as FERC are required for all projects, while other permits such as Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System (WREGIS) are optional. Therefore, each potential project is different, and it is estimated that there will be no reduction in effort or costs for this line item.
- Environmental This line item is related to the environmental analysis required of the project such as the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) or the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This cost is specific to the project site, and no cost reduction is estimated for this line item.
- CEC Tasks (Administration, Knowledge Transfer, Benefits Analysis) This line item is
 related to the specific tasks required by the CEC for the EPIC grant. The CEC required
 several administrative tasks such as monthly progress reports, meetings, and
 reimbursement documents. In addition, the CEC required the team to transfer
 knowledge of the project via webinars and conferences and evaluate the benefits of the
 project via items such as this final report. These tasks will not be required in future
 projects, so the cost is estimated at \$0 for all three-line items.
- R&D Electrical Panel Design Tesco researched and designed an electrical control
 panel to comply with the utility standard IEEE 1547 and UL 1741 requirements. Tesco
 created a 480V main switchgear and 120V human machine interface PLC control,
 housed inside a National Electrical Manufacturers Association 3R-rated metal enclosed
 structure. The panel is comprised of standardized and certified components meant to
 accommodate a wide range of generator types up to 500 kW. This research and

development is a one-time cost associated with the project. The cost reductions to the electrical components of the project are reflected in the construction costs and equipment. For future projects, this task is unnecessary, so the cost is estimated at \$0.

The CEC tasks and R&D represent \$110,000 of the cost reduction, while the standardization of the plug-and-play package represents approximately \$177,000. The cost reduction in engineering tasks only is approximately 20 percent.

The overall construction would not have significant cost reductions in future projects as the cost reductions are already incorporated into this project. The research and development prior to the design of the project enabled the team to implement several innovative components, which resulted in lower project costs, as detailed in Chapter 2, Project Approach. This cost reduction is already included in the overall project cost for the current project and reflected in the future estimated project cost.

The B24 hydroelectric project had a total of three change orders during the project that accounted for approximately \$111,000 in cost increase. The majority of the items associated with the change orders were normal requirements such as additional piping or tie-ins for the project, which were not considered initially. The project team would expect these additional costs to be reflected in similar projects or would be necessary if this project was built in the future. The first change order included an approximate \$37,000 cost increase due to the hydro unit being part of a potable water system. Hydroelectric projects that are part of potable water systems need specific certifications verifying the system is safe for drinking water. San Gabriel Valley Water Company had the option to go through a lengthy process of having the selected pump-as-turbine certified for this project or purchasing equipment that was pre-certified. In the interest of time, because the EPIC grant had time limitations, SGVWC elected to pay the additional \$37,000 and use the pre-certified equipment. Therefore, the team can eliminate this additional equipment cost in future projects.

Future Project Costs

The standardized plug-and-play package used for the B24 project is already being used by NLine Energy to develop projects. During the development of the B24 project, two opportunities arose and were evaluated with the plug-and-play design, providing real time project cost estimates to use as benchmarks for similar-sized projects.

The following section provides the detailed project cost estimates for both of the projects based on the preliminary design performed by NLine Energy. These costs are estimates only and are subject to change based on further design, but provide a point of comparison for future similar-sized project costs that use the plug-and-play design. In addition, the Oregon projects have different permitting requirements, interconnection costs, and labor costs. Nevertheless, they are good reference points for comparison.

Both projects are located in Oregon. To keep the projects and site information confidential, the project team will refer to the sites as Project 1 and Project 2. The comparable sizes of each project are Project 1, 37 kW, and Project 2, 75 kW. Both projects are pressure reducing stations (vales), less than 100 kW, and will use single-mounted vertical turbines.

Project 1 Pressure Reducing Station – 37 Kilowatts

In the preliminary design for the Project 1 hydroelectric station, NLine Energy selected a Canyon Hydro in-line turbine with a name plate rating of 32 kW for the technology. As shown in Figure 8, the cost estimate includes \$310,000 in non-construction costs and \$516,000 for construction/equipment cost for a total estimated project cost of \$826,000. This project is expected to cost significantly less that the San Gabriel Valley Water Company B24 hydro project. The best apples-to-apples comparison to evaluate the expected benefits of the standardized plug-and-play design is to compare the estimated future cost of the B24 project to the estimated costs for Project 1. The overall project cost of Project 1 is approximately \$225,000 less than the project cost of the B24 project. In addition, the non-construction cost of \$310,000 is only slightly higher than the estimated non-construction project cost of the B24 project. The primary driver for the difference on overall project cost is the construction/ equipment costs. Project 1 uses a less costly and smaller turbine. In addition, Project 1 can be sited in an existing building so a new powerhouse is not necessary. Project 1 cost is below the target cost range of \$900,000 to \$1,100,000, which was a goal of the EPIC research project. More importantly, the non-construction costs have reduced significantly and are realizing the benefits of the plug-and-play design package.

Figure 8: Cost Estimate for 37-Kilowatt Pressure Reducing Station

Project 1						
Engineer's Preliminary E	Estimate of Pro	bable Costs				
Option 2 - Canyon 3	2 kW In-Line-T	Turbine				
Description	Estimated	Theits	Un	it Price		Amount
Description	Quantity	Units	(in	stalled)		Amount
CONSTRUCTION						
Mobilization, Demobilization, Bonds, Insurance	1	LS	\$	30,000	\$	30,000
	Subt	otal - Mobilizatio	n and S	ite Work:	\$	30,000
Pipe, Valves and Fittings						
Dewater & Tie into Exisitng facilities.	1	LS	\$	15,000	\$	15,000
Retrofit 4" PRV for Surge Relief Capability	1	LS	\$	1,000	\$	1,000
Automation and Control of Existing PR Valve	1	LS	\$	2,000	\$	2,000
6" Fab Steel Pipe	35	LF	\$	125	\$	4,400
6" Butterfly Valve	2	EA	\$	2,500	\$	5,000
6" Flow Meter	1	EA	\$	2,000	\$	2,000
2" ARV	2	EA	\$	3,800	\$	7,600
Fittings, couplings, Reducers, and pipe supports	1	LS	\$	15,000	\$	15,000
				Subtotal :	\$	52,000
Powerhouse Building and Misc. Structural						
Relocate Existing Ladder	1	LS	\$	1,500	\$	1,500
Turbine Pad and Concrete	3	СҮ	\$	800	\$	2,400
Misc Building and Structural	1	LS	\$	5.000	\$	5.000
U U		Subtot	tal - Pox	werhouse:	\$	8,900
Turbine / Generator System		Subto		· cino user	Ŷ	0,500
II T 06 Turbine Generator HPLI TSV Switchgear and PLC	1	EA	\$	147 200	\$	147 200
Shinning	1	IS	¢	2 000	¢	2 000
Startun and Commissioning	1	IS	¢	10,000	¢	10,000
Install Turbing/Conorators	1		ф ¢	5,000	ф ¢	5 000
		ф ф	3,000			
	Subt	total - Turbine / Generator System:			\$	164,200
Electrical and Controls		10	¢	(000	<i>ф</i>	6.000
Utility Disconnect Switch	1	LS	\$	6,000	\$	6,000
DC Power (Panel, Batteries and Charger)	1	LS	\$	8,000	\$	8,000
AC Panel and Step-down Transformer (480V to 120V)	1	LS	\$	7,000	\$	7,000
Site Electrical	1	LS	\$	25,000	\$	25,000
Pressure Transmitter	2	EA	\$	1,200	\$	2,400
PLC programming for existing system controls	1	LS	\$	25,000	\$	25,000
Communications; Cellular equipment and setup	1	LS	\$	8,000	\$	8,000
Power Coordination/Arc Flash and Certified Relay Testing.	1	LS	\$	8,500	\$	8,500
NEMA 4 Rated Panel	1	LS	\$	5,000	\$	5,000
Interconnection: New 3-phase power. Primary meter, CT/PT,						
Utility Disconnect, Step-up transformer, and ground fault						
detection equipment if required.	1	LS	\$	80,000	\$	80,000
		Subtotal - El	ectrical	& Utility:	\$	174,900
				5		
		CONSTRUCT	ION SL	JBTOTAL:	\$	430,000
Construction contingency Costs - 20%					\$	86,000
		CONSTRU	UCTIO	N TOTAL:	\$	516,000

NON-CONSTRUCTION					
Mechanical, Electrical, Civil Design	1	LS	\$	150,000	\$ 150,000
Surge Analysis	1	LS	\$	10,000	\$ 10,000
GC Bid Package / Bid	1	LS	\$	15,000	\$ 15,000
Engineering Services during Construction	1	LS	\$	15,000	\$ 15,000
Startup and Commissioning	1	LS	\$	20,000	\$ 20,000
Project management	1	LS	\$	60,000	\$ 60,000
Interconnection application and analysis	1	LS	\$	15,000	\$ 15,000
FERC QCF NOI	1	LS	\$	5,000	\$ 5,000
Environmental (NEPA) CatEx	1	LS	\$	15,000	\$ 15,000
Regulatory Fees	1	LS	\$	5,000	\$ 5,000
	NON-CONSTRUCTION COSTS TOTAL:			\$ 310,000	
		TOTAL	PROJ	ECT COST:	\$ 826,000

Source: San Gabriel Valley Water District

Project 2 – Pressure Reducing Station – 75 Kilowatts

In the preliminary design for the Project 2 hydroelectric site, NLine Energy selected a Cornell Model 4TR3 pump-as-turbine with a name plate rating of 75 kW for the technology. As shown in Figure 9, the cost estimate includes \$350,000 in non-construction costs and \$584,000 for construction/equipment cost for a total estimated project cost of \$934,000. This project is expected to cost significantly less that the San Gabriel Valley Water Company B24 hydro project. The best apples-to-apples comparison to evaluate the expected benefits of the standardized plug-and-play design is to compare the estimated future cost of the B24 project to the estimated costs for Project 2. The overall project cost of Project 2 is approximately \$120,000 less than the project cost of the B24 project. In addition, the non-construction cost of \$350,000 is higher than the estimated non-construction project cost of the B24 project because of some unique elements to consider. Project 2 will require more customized design, land acquisition, and a more complicated environmental process, all driving the cost of nonconstruction higher when compared to the estimated B24 non-construction cost estimate, although, Project 2 non-construction costs are still estimated to be significantly less than the original B24 project. The primary driver for the difference in overall project cost is the construction/equipment cost. Project 2 uses a slightly less costly turbine, and less foundation and piping is required for the project, resulting in lower costs. Project 2 cost is in the target cost range of \$900,000 to \$1,100,000, which was a goal of the EPIC research project. Due to the similar size and technology of the project, it is a good validation for the success of the plug-and-play package.

Figure 9: Cost Estimate for 75-Kilowatt Pressure Reducing Station

Proj	ject 2			
Engineer's Preliminary E	stimate of Pro	bable Costs		
Canyon 75 kW F	ump-As-Turbi	ine		
Description	Estimated Quantity	Units	Unit Price (installed)	Amount
<u>CONSTRUCTION</u>				
Mobilization, Demobilization, Bonds, Insurance	1	LS	\$18,845	\$18,800
Site Prep.; Clearing, Grubbing, Survey Staking and Grading	1	LS	\$10,000	\$10,000
Excavation	10	CY	\$50	\$500
Foundation Prep and Forms	1	LS	\$5,000	\$5,000
Backfill and Compaction	5	CY	\$25	\$100
Roadbase for Drive and Parking area	6	CY	\$35	\$200
	Subt	total - Mobilizatio	n and Site Work:	\$34,600
Pipe, Valves and Fittings				
Dewater & Tie into Exisitng facilities	2	EA	\$20,000	\$40,000
8" Tee DI MJxMJ	2	EA	\$4,000	\$8,000
Automation and Control of Existing PR Valve	1	LS	\$2,000	\$2,000
8" Supply and Discharge Pipeline CMLC DI	50		\$140	\$7,000
8" Butterfly Valve	3	EA	\$2,200	\$6,600
8° Flow Meter	5	EA	\$6,000 ¢1,800	\$6,000
2" ADV	2	ЕА	\$1,000	\$9,000 ¢7,600
Z AKV 4" Curren Paliaf Valua	∠ 1	EA EA	\$1,500	\$7,000 @1 500
4 Surge Rener Valve	40	LA	φ1,000 ¢50	\$1,500 \$1,500
4 Surge Kener ripe Di	40	EA	\$3.000 \$3.000	\$2,000 \$2,000
	2	EA	#2,000 #200	\$3,000 #1,600
Thrust restraint (Concrete)	2		000 0¢\$	\$1,600
Misc Fittings, couplings and supports	1	LS	\$30,000 Carbonal	\$30,000
P house Putt line and Mice Structure]			Subtotai	\$124,300
Powerhouse Building and Misc. Structural				
Prefabricated Building -Keinforced Fiberglass PaT 16'x16', ILT 12'x16'				
	1	LS	\$25,000	\$25,000
Foundation & Slab Concrete	10	CY	\$800	\$8,000
Misc Building and Structural	1	LS	\$3,000	\$3,000
		Subto	tal - Powerhouse:	\$36,000
Turbine / Generator System				
Turbine, Generator, HPU, Switchgear and PLC	1	EA	\$105,000	\$105,000
Install Turbine/Generators	1	LS	\$5,000	\$5,000
	Subt	total - Turbine / G	enerator System:	\$110,000
Electrical and Controls				
Utility Disconnect Switch	1	LS	\$6,000	\$6,000
DC Power (Panel, Batteries and Charger)	1	LS	\$8,000	\$8,000
AC Panel and Step-down Transformer (480V to 120V)	1	LS	\$7,000	\$7,000
Site Electrical	1	LS	\$30,000	\$30,000
Pressure Transmitter	2	LS	\$1,200	\$2,400
PLC programming for existing system controls	1	LS	\$30,000	\$30,000
Communications; Cellular equipment and setup	1	LS	\$10,000	\$10,000
Power Coordination/Arc Flash and Certified Relay Testing.	1	LS	\$8,500	\$8,500
Interconnection: New 3-phase power approximately 4100 ft.				
and ground fault detection equipment if required.	1	IS	\$60.700	\$60.700
and ground name detection equipment in required.	1	E		¢162.600
		Subtotal - El	ectrical & Utility:	\$162,600
		CONSTRUCT	ON SUBTOTAL.	\$467 500
Construction contingency Costs - 25%		constructi	ON SOBIOTAL.	\$116.875
		CONSTRU	CTION TOTAL:	\$584.375

NON-CONSTRUCTION				
Mechanical, Electrical, Civil Design	1	LS	\$175,000	\$175,000
Land Acquisition	1	LS	\$5,000	\$5,000
Survey	1	LS	\$10,000	\$10,000
Surge Analysis	1	LS	\$10,000	\$10,000
GC Bid Package / Bid	1	LS	\$15,000	\$15,000
Engineering Services during Construction	1	LS	\$15,000	\$15,000
Startup and Commissioning	1	LS	\$20,000	\$20,000
Project management	1	LS	\$60,000	\$60,000
Interconnection application and analysis	1	LS	\$15,000	\$15,000
FERC QCF NOI	1	LS	\$5,000	\$5,000
Environmental (NEPA) CatEx	1	LS	\$15,000	\$15,000
Regulatory Fees	1	LS	\$5,000	\$5,000
	NON-CONSTRUCTION COSTS TOTAL:			\$350,000
		TOTAL	PROJECT COST:	\$934,000

Source: San Gabriel Valley Water District

B24 Hydro Project Results

The implementation and commissioning of the B24, 73-kW hydroelectric project was a successful milestone for the San Gabriel Project. This successful commissioning proved that a low cost, innovative, plug-and-play package could be implemented. Southern California Edison granted permission to operate on August 14, 2019, as shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10: B24 Hydro Project Permission to Operate



PERMISSION TO OPERATE Self-Generation Facility Interconnected to SCE's Electric Grid

8/14/2019

San Gabriel Valley Water Company <u>14650 Nelson Ave East</u> City of Industry, CA 91744

Dear Customer:

Southern California Edison Company ("SCE") completed its inspection of San Gabriel Valley Water Company's ("Customer") Electrical Components serving Customer's Generating Facility and reviewed the documentation showing compliance with the technical provisions of SCE's Rule 21 Tariff. Accordingly, as of the date of this letter, Customer is authorized to interconnect and operate its Generating Facility in parallel with SCE's Distribution System subject to the terms of the Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement ("GFIA") and/or Interconnection Facilities Financing and Ownership Agreement ("IFFOA") for NST-32071. If, at any time, SCE determines that this Generating Facility is not in compliance with the terms of the GFIA and/or IFFOA, this Permission to Operate may be revoked due to breach of contract.

Generating Facility

Address:

14650 Nelson Ave East City of Industry, CA 91744 Small Hydroelectric Generation

New Technology Type: Existing Technology Type (if applicable): Capacity (kW): 93.25 SA: 3028641719

SCE may inspect your electrical service panel to ensure it meets SCE's electrical service requirements for the Generation Facility you have selected. Electric service panels not meeting SCE's requirements will be required to be corrected in order for SCE to allow continued operation of your Generating Facility in parallel with SCE's electrical system. For further details regarding service panel requirements, please review <u>SCE's Electrical Service Requirements</u> (ESR).

For questions related to this interconnection and NEM billing rate, please refer to the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ).

If you have any additional questions, please feel free to contact me by phone at (626) 302-9112 or by e-mail at <u>Janae.Camacho@sce.com</u>.

Sincerely,

Janae Camacho Contract Manager - Grid Contract Management Southern California Edison

This is a system generated email.

Start-up, commissioning, and performance testing of San Gabriel Valley Water Company's B24 hydroelectric station was conducted on August 14, 2019. Start-up and commissioning tests show that the hydroelectric station meets the design objectives for power systems, controls, outfall function, and power generation. These systems are all operational and within anticipated performance expectations. The emergency stop (e-stop) test results showed that the actual magnitude and duration of e-stop-generated transient pressure is below the allowable surge pressures.

Performance testing was conducted by collecting flow, pressure, and electrical power production data while operating the turbine/generator unit through a variety of flow ranges. The team collected six weeks of flow, pressure, and power data to better assess the performance. In a comparison of theoretical to actual power generation, the generator produced power above anticipated levels at all flow rates tested through the turbine.

However, the turbine is producing, on average, less power than anticipated because the pressures and flows that the turbine is processing are less than previously modeled in 2015 and 2016 data. The data shows the turbine control valve (TCV) reduces the pressure by about 10 pounds per square inch (psi) on average. A reduction in 10 psi of available pressure also reduces the flow that the turbine will capture by about 315 gallons per minute (gpm), which equates to about 10 kW (14 percent) of lost power generation. The TCV can be adjusted to make up for the lost power. Making this adjustment will allow the hydroelectric station to exceed the expected annual power generation.

Tesco Controls provided six weeks of post commissioning data from August 26 through October 15, 2019. The data included 15-minute interval recordings of the bypass valve

position, turbine control valve position, turbine power output (kW), turbine outlet pressure/tank levels (ft), turbine flow meter (gpm), plant flow meter (gpm) turbine inlet pressure (psi), and plant inlet pressure (psi).

Figure 11 shows the actual turbine data compared to theoretical performance. The grey and blue curves show the manufacturer operating curve and actual head and flow values respectively. The actual performance indicates that the turbine is able to capture flows and pressures less than the advertised operating curve. Additionally, the blue curve appears shifted left from the manufacturer curve by about 150 gpm. The project team believes this to be a discrepancy in the flow meter accuracy. The orange and yellow curves show the actual versus manufacturer operating curve for flow versus power production respectively. This curve indicates that the turbine is primarily operating between 2,000 and 3,000 gpm, and exceeding power production at the pressures and flows that the turbine is witnessing. Additionally, the turbine is able to process flows less than the manufacturer minimum of 2,300 gpm, effectively expanding its operating range. Table 2 shows three distinct flow and pressure scenarios and the corresponding actual performance data compared to the Canyon Hydro performance guarantee metrics for the generator.



Figure 11: Turbine Performance Test Results

Source: NLine Energy

Results	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3
Contract Requirements			
Flow (gpm)	3606.4	3561.6	3427.2
Net Pressure (psi)	69.3	67.5	62.8
Net Pressure Head (ft)	160	156	145
Energy (kW)	79	77	69
Efficiency (%)	0.72	0.73	0.73
Recorded Data			
Flow (gpm)	3330	3317	3191
Pressure (psi)	68.31	67.53	62.77
Pressure Head (ft)	157.8	156	145
Energy (kW)	71.4	70.6	62.7
Efficiency (%)	0.72	0.72	0.72
Results			
Efficiency	Pass	Pass	Pass
Flow	N/A	3561>3317	3427>3191

Table 2: Canyon Generator PerformanceSGVWC- B24 Hydro Performance Test Results

Source: NLine Energy

The results indicate that the turbine/generator efficiency meets the performance requirements of the contract. However, at given net head values, less flow is being delivered to the turbine than anticipated. This is likely a result of the bypass Cla-Val processing a minimal amount of flow to keep a pressure differential across the valve. It is anticipated that venting the turbine control valve to the atmosphere will aid by sending more pressure and flow to the turbine. The generator performance guarantee is met.

Overall, the commissioning and testing of the installed B24 hydro project has met or exceeded the expectations of the team, with the exception of the 10-psi loss due to the TCV. The team has implemented plans post project commissioning to mitigate the loss of pressure from the TCV. Therefore, the project is expected to meet or exceed the annual generation estimate based on historical pressure and flow. The specific financial and environmental benefits to California ratepayers are detailed in Chapter 6.

CHAPTER 4: Technology/Knowledge/Market Transfer Activities

Technology/Knowledge/Market Transfer Activities

The project team conducted a series of presentations at several key conferences starting in 2017 to highlight the attributes of the project so that the research effort could be replicated by the United States target market for in-conduit hydroelectric technologies, namely municipal water systems such as water districts, irrigation districts, cities, counties, and other public and private water companies.

Results of this project were shared with more than 450 municipal water agencies, known as the Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA) during several statewide, semi-annual conferences in 2017 and 2018. Additionally, a case study was shared at several presentations for the National Hydropower Association and the international hydropower conference, Hydrovision, from 2017 to 2019, and the Northwest Hydroelectric Association's National Conference in 2019. The project case study details the roadmap by which a municipal agency can take a step-wise approach to permitting and inform on policy changes at the water agency level to encourage in-conduit hydroelectric development.

Commissioning of the project was shared via social media targeting state and local officials, state regulatory agencies, existing hydropower owners, and California water infrastructure owners. Lastly, knowledge of this project was shared with other CEC EPIC awardees as part of Technical Advisory Committee or informal information sharing at the request of CEC staff.

CHAPTER 5: Conclusions/Recommendations

The San Gabriel Valley Water Company "Plug-and-Play" In-Conduit Hydropower Development Project successfully developed a standardized modular package for in-conduit hydroelectric power stations generating less than 100 kW and demonstrated the package's potential to advance this untapped market, thus adding to the state's energy mix, helping to meet SB 100 goals, and saving significant costs in the process.

The team developed a standardized low-cost civil, mechanical, and electrical hydroelectric design package that can be used in future sub-100-kW projects. The modularized plug-and-play concept will reduce the levelof customization previously pursued at individual sites and require minimal changes to future projects similar in size, pressure, and flow. The design uses standard off-the-shelf turbine technology able to fit a number of pressure and flow ranges and considers the largest of 15 turbine technologies so any of the other 14 technologies could fit within the same powerhouse footprint. The package panels comply with IEEE and UL standards. The equipment designated for the project meets or exceeds ANSI-61 potable water drinking standards, and the civil design accommodates for locations of Seismic Design Category D, a standard that accommodates most locations in the country. Finally, the project team chose a pre-fabricated fiberglass building that requires minimal concrete pours; is pre-equipped with lighting, receptacles, exhaust fan, roof hatch, and louver; meets seismic standards; and is less than half the cost of a metal or pre-cast concrete building.

The team installed the package in a fully operational 73-kW pilot project at San Gabriel Water Valley Company's B24 Pumping Station to demonstrate improved efficiency and performance to maximize the capture of wasted energy in water supply networks. The pilot project will demonstrate the long-term operational capacity of an in-conduit turbine/generator system to provide renewable energy for the state energy mix and has already demonstrated qualitative and quantitative benefits to San Gabriel Valley's investor-owned utility electric ratepayers, including reduction of energy costs and greenhouse gas emissions and efficient use of ratepayer money.

Based on monitoring the limited operations of the project to date, it is estimated that the project will meet the annual generation of 403,000 kilowatt-hours (kWh) annually and 11,662,000 kWh over its 30-year useful asset life.

It is estimated that the standardization of the engineering design will result in a 50 percent reduction in these specific design/engineering project costs on future projects. The design and specifications for this project are included in the appendix.

The B24 hydroelectric pilot project cost approximately \$1,462,000 to implement, and it is estimated that future projects of similar size can be developed at a cost ranging between \$900,000 and \$1,100,000. At this specific cost range, projects of similar size and generation profiles will be economically viable under current California electric rate tariffs.

The project provided direct benefits to investor-owned utility ratepayers in San Gabriel's service territory, reducing energy costs, greenhouse gas emissions, and other harmful air emissions.

The success of this project suggests that a sub-100-kW in-conduit hydroelectric project is achievable for less than \$1 million. Water infrastructure owners can conduct evaluations of their system to identify areas of excess pressure differential where conduit hydroelectric power production may be possible, leveraging the data from this project to inform development opportunities and design options.

CHAPTER 6: Benefits to Ratepayers

Ratepayers in the San Gabriel Valley will immediately benefit from the San Gabriel Valley Water Company (SGVWC) "Plug-and-Play" In-Conduit Hydroelectric project, and all of California as well as the rest of the United States will benefit from the project's replicable results. The B24 hydroelectric pilot project in San Gabriel Valley has been operational since August 2019 and is producing clean, reliable baseload power for the community. In addition, because electricity costs are one the largest expenses for SGVWC, the cost savings realized by SGVWC from the B24 project will help the water company keep water rates low for its consumers. The plug and play package developed for this project will enable other California water agencies to develop similar-sized hydroelectric projects, opening up the development of sub-100-kW projects in California.

Local Benefits of the B24 Pilot Project

The cost of the B24 hydroelectric pilot project was approximately \$1,462,000, which also included all research and development for the plug-and-play package. The project received multiple grants, including \$500,000 from the CEC EPIC Program. The SGVWC B24 project will also qualify for the U.S. Department of Treasury investment tax credit. It is conservatively estimated that SGVWC will receive approximately \$450,000 in tax credits for the hydroelectric project.

The B24 hydroelectric project is estimated to generate 403,000 kWh annually, resulting in energy cost savings of \$56,000 per year. Energy rates are expected to increase over time, so annual cost savings are expected to increase by at least 2 percent per year. The asset life of the turbine ranges between 30 and 50 years. Therefore, the project is estimated to generate between 12,090,000 and 20,150,000 kWh, or \$1,680,000 to 2,800,000 kWh, over the life of the asset. Overall, given the substantial grant funding and projected annual savings, this project should provide a positive return for local rate-payers and continue to benefit them over the next 30 to 50 years.

The B24 hydroelectric project will also provide substantial environmental benefits to the community. Based on an annual generation of 403,000 kWh, the project will offset 285 metric tons of carbon in the first year. Over its life, the project should offset between 8,549 and 14,249 metric tons of carbon. Assuming a 50-year asset life, the clean power generated from this project is the energy equivalent to the following:

- 3,025 passenger vehicles driven for one year
- 2,485 homes' electricity costs for one year
- 32,990 barrels of oil consumed
- 15,577,478 pounds of coal burned

California Market Implications

The San Gabriel Project provides a basis for expanding in-conduit hydropower throughout California, particularly in under-used small hydro sites. Based on the assumptions that follow, the project will lead to at least 9,000 kW of annual renewable energy, or 48,360,000 kWh of annual renewable generation.

Since 2011, NLine Energy has evaluated more than 180 in-conduit hydroelectric sites across 100 different California water agencies. NLine Energy's initial data shows that 24 sites fit the sub-100-kW range that could be developed with a 20 percent total project cost reduction in engineering and design cost as previously seen in Table 1. More than 500 water agencies in California are of sufficient size to meet the minimum flow and available head requirements discussed in this report. Assuming that 13 percent (24 sub-100-kW sites / 180 sites evaluated) across 100 water agencies is a representative sample of the rest of California's water agencies, there are 120 potential sub-100-kW sites in California. Using the metrics of the San Gabriel B24 site to predict the total sub-100-kW market and using the quantitative metrics just presented regarding the B24 pilot project, the total addressable market is 9,000 kW (approximate calculation is 75 kW times 120 potential sites). These assumptions do not include the market potential of non-water utilities such as bottled water facilities, wineries, food and beverage processing, rock / sand / gravel, mining, refineries, oil and gas extraction / processing, chemical, pharmaceutical, ski resorts, or military bases in the total market. Given these additional inputs, the current 9,000 kW estimate for water utilities is attainable by 2030.

Expansion of the sub-100-kW market would have significant environmental benefits for California ratepayers. It is estimated that adding these small hydro units to the market would generate 48,360,000 kilowatt-hours per year or the equivalent of 34,198 metric tons of carbon dioxide annually. Assuming a 50-year asset life, the clean power generated from these projects could generate 2,418,000,000 kilowatt-hours over the life of the project, which is equivalent to the following:

- 1,709,891 metric tons of carbon dioxide
- 363,034 passenger vehicles driven for one year
- 298,182 homes' electricity costs for one year
- 3,958,759 barrels of oil consumed
- 1,869,297,310 pounds of coal burned

This project has demonstrated the significant opportunity for in-conduit hydropower to deliver financial and environmental benefits for California ratepayers.

GLOSSARY AND ACRONYMS

Term/Acronym	Definition
ACWA	Association of California Water Agencies
annual power generation	Power generated by a hydropower system in one year, usually expressed in kilowatt-hours
ANSI 61	A set of national standards that relates to water treatment and establishes stringent requirements for the control of equipment that comes in contact with either potable water or products that support the production of potable water
CLA-Val	A type of valve designed to serve multiple purposes, the most common being in a water supply system. When pumping water, the valve will not open until the pressure behind it reaches a predetermined amount of pressure.
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
cfs	cubic feet per second
CSWD	Crystal Springs Water District
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
ft	feet
generator	In electricity generation, a device that converts mechanical power into electrical power for use in an external circuit
GHG	greenhouse gas
gpm	gallons per minute
gross head	Overall head
head	The change in water levels between the hydropower system intake and discharge point
hydropower	Electricity generated from capturing potential energy of flowing water
IOU	investor-owned utility
in-conduit hydropower	Hydroelectric generation potential in man-made conduits such as tunnels, canals, pipelines, aqueducts, flumes, ditches, or similar man- made water conveyances that are operated for the distribution of water for agricultural, municipal, and industrial consumption
ILT	in-line turbine
kW	kilowatt
kWh	kilowatt-hour
MW	megawatt

Term/Acronym	Definition
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
net head	Gross head (overall head) minus the sum of all friction losses
O&M	operation and maintenance
PAT	pump-as-turbine
PLC	programmable logic controller
powerhouse	Hydropower system
psi	pounds per square inch
РТО	permission to operate
PRV	pressure-reducing valve: a pressure relief valve to control or limit the pressure in a system
SCADA	supervisory control and data acquisition
SCE	Southern California Edison
SGVWC	San Gabriel Valley Water Company
Turbine	A rotary machine that converts potential and kinetic energy of water into mechanical work
WREGIS	Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System

APPENDIX A: Design Specifications



			ER	
A - LES	COUNTY.	CA IFC	DRNIA	
PLA	TB2			
ROELEC	STRIC	PRO	JECT	
100% PLA	S SUBN			

SHEET #	PAGE #	TITLE
		<u>CIVIL</u>
1 2	G2	TITLSHT, LOCATION_MAP & IND_X O\AWINGS ABBR_VIATIONS & G_N_RAL_NOT_S
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C1 C2 C3 C5 C6 C7	OV_RALL PLAN SIT_ PLAN SIT_ LAYOUT ILDING PLANS S_CTIONS 1 S_CTIONS 2 _L_VATIONS
10 11 12	D1 D2 D3	D_TAILS 1 D_TAILS 2 D_TAILS 3
		<u>-L-CTRICAL</u>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	-1 -2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7	OV_RALL SIT_ PLAN LOCAL SIT_ PLAN BUILDING PLAN ON_ LIN_ DIAGRAM CONDUIT SCH_DUL_ LOGIC DIAGRAM — 1 LOGIC DIAGRAM — 2



SAN GABRIEL VALLEY WATER COMPANY 11142 G- \V_Y _NU_ _L MONT_, C- I- \NI-

COMPAUY

F.=.R. . PROJE ⁻⊤ NO. ⁻D017-17-000



GENERAL NOTES

- 1. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL CODES AND SPECIFICATIONS INCLUDING OSHA.
- 2. EXCAVATIONS SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN THE DRY AND PROVISIONS SHALL BE MADE TO PREVENT THE BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION FROM FLOODING AT ALL TIMES.
- 3. IT IS THE CONTRACTORS RESPONSIBILITY TO ASSURE JOB SAFETY. LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL, INCLUDING OSHA. LAWS AND RULES SHALL BE ENFORCED BY THE CONTRACTOR AT ALL TIMES.
- 4. ALL STRUCTURES AND FACILITIES DAMAGED BY CONTRACTOR SHALL BE REPAIRED OR REPLACED AT CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE.
- 5. POTHOLE SURVEY WAS PREPARED BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- 6. PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN SHALL BE PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIAL PROVISIONS OF THESE SPECIFICATIONS. SAFE VEHICULAR AND OPERATION STAFF ACCESS SHALL BE PROVIDED AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PROTECTION OF ALL EXISTING SURVEY MONUMENTS AND OTHER SURVEY MARKERS DURING CONSTRUCTION. ALL SUCH MONUMENTS OR MARKERS DESTROYED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE REPLACED AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE.
- 8. THE TYPES LOCATIONS. SIZES. AND/OR DEPTHS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THESE IMPROVEMENT PLANS WERE OBTAINED FROM SOURCES OF VARYING RELIABILITY. THE CONTRACTOR IS CAUTIONED THAT ONLY ACTUAL EXCAVATION WILL REVEAL THE TYPES, EXTENT, SIZES, LOCATIONS, AND DEPTHS OF SUCH UNDERGROUND UTILITIES. A REASONABLE EFFORT HAS BEEN MADE TO LOCATE AND DELINEATE ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITIES. HOWEVER, THE SGVWC CAN ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE COMPLETENESS OR ACCURACY OF THE DELINEATION OF SUCH UNDERGROUND UTILITIES NOR FOR THE EXISTENCE OF OTHER BURIED OBJECTS OR UTILITIES WHICH MAY BE ENCOUNTERED BUT WHICH ARE NOT SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO VERIFY ACTUAL LOCATIONS.
- 9. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM TO THESE PLANS AND THE LATEST EDITION OF SAN GABRIEL WATER COMPANY (SGVWC) STANDARD CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY SGVWC AT (626) 448-6183 48 HOURS PRIOR TO INTENDED START OF WORK TO ARRANGE A PRE-CONSTRUCTION FIELD MEETING AND SHALL VERIFY AT THIS TIME THAT THE INSPECTOR HAS RECEIVED COPIES OF THE APPROVED PLANS. NO CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE STARTED PRIOR TO THIS MEETING.
- 11. SGVWC IS A MEMBER OF THE UNDERGROUND SERVICES ALERT (USA) ONE CALL PROGRAM. THE CONTRACTOR OR ANY SUBCONTRACTOR FOR THIS CONTRACT SHALL NOTIFY MEMBERS OF USA 2 WORKING DAYS IN ADVANCE OF PERFORMING ANY EXCAVATION WORK BY CALLING THE TOLL FREE NUMBER 800-642-2444. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ALSO NOTIFY ALL OTHER UTILITIES, NOT IN USA, 48 HOURS PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION.
- 12. COMPLIANCE WITH NOISE RESTRICTIONS IS REQUIRED. HOURS OF CONSTRUCTION OPERATION SHALL BE LIMITED FROM 7:00 A.M. TO 6:00 P.M. WEEKDAYS. NO SATURDAY WORK SHALL BE ALLOWED UNLESS APPROVED BY SGVWC. NO SUNDAY WORK IS APPROVED. CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SHALL BE MUFFLED AND SHROUDED TO MINIMIZE NOISE LEVELS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 13. NO REFUELING, LUBRICATION, OR MAINTENANCE OF CONSTRUCTION VEHICLES SHALL BE DONE ANYWHERE ON THE SITE EXCEPT WITHIN APPROVED CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREAS.

CONSTRUCTION UTIITIES

- 1. ALL CONSTRUCTION WATER TO BE METERED AND OBTAINED FROM A HYDRANT LOCATION APPROVED BY SGVWC
- 2. ALL CONSTRUCTION POWER TO BE OBTAINED FROM SGVWC APPROVED LOCATION.



				DEL CC		DRAWN BY: ADAM MOTIES
				PHUT LOO	PROFESSIONA	DESIGNED BY: ANDREW BE
					all whet appendix	REVIEWED BY: DAVID POW
					S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	APPROVED BY:
				Example with a to		F.E.R.C. Project No.
				E50. 03/2020		SCALE:
					* Schricel Engineer *	JOB NO.
					STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
REVISIONS	BY	APP. BY	DATE	CALITY CALITY		

LEGEND & SYMBOLS

6 **– 1** – 3

6 S

≣∎

┎╺╋╋╸╹

<u>طلا</u>لے

	FLANGED JOINT	Ø	POWER/TELEPHONE
	MECHANICAL JOINT	W or	WATER SERVICE REGULATOR
— — ——	WELDED JOINT	WM	OR WATER METER
———	BELL & SPIGOT JOINT (LEADED)		FIRE HYDRANT
—(BALL JUINT GROOVED END JOINT	0	LIGHT POLE
	FLANGED COUPLING ADAPTER	s	SIGN
— <u></u>	FLEXIBLE COUPLING		ORIFICE PLATE
ŧ}-	FLEXIBLE COUPLING WITH	$-\overline{\mathcal{O}}$	PUMP, CENTRIFUGAL
+@+	STEEL BELLOWS EXP JOINT	$-\phi$	PUMP, VARIABLE SPEED
—-+()-(—	ELASTOMER BELLOWS EXP JOINT	<u></u>	PUMP, PROGRESSIVE CAVITY
O	ELBOW UP		SLEEVE STRAINFR
G	ELBOW DOWN	•	WATER SERVICE
	TEE DOWN	_× 1789.6	POINT ELEVATION
	LATERAL UP	\neg	SLOPE
• 0 →	LATERAL DOWN	(X)	TREES OR SHRUBBERY
لم	ELBOW 90°		LANDSCAPED AREA
<u>+</u>	CROSS	Carlos and the Carlos and the Barris	LANDSCAFED ANLA
+ <u>+</u> +	TEE	€ ^{TB-4}	TEST BORING LOCATION AND NO.
	ELBOW 45°		EXISTING BUILDING
<u> </u>	LATERAL		NEW BUILDING
— <u>_</u>	CONCENTRIC REDUCER		CONCRETE PAVEMENT
	LINION	r Salatatatatatata	
	CAP (WELDED)	<u>miniminininini</u>	DRAINAGE COURSE OR FLOW LINE
	TRAP	326-	EXISTING GROUND CONTOUR
↑	VENT	-326	FINISH GRADE CONTOUR
	BURIED VALVE W/ BOX		
Q	BALL VALVE	त्र स्टब्स व प्रसंग स्टब्स व प्रा	SINCEFORAL CONTINUATION
Ň	BUTTERFLY VALVE		CONCRETE
	CHECK VALVE DIAPHRAGM VALVE		GROUT
	GATE VALVE		ROAD BASE
	PRESSURE REDUCING VALVE		
—&—	PRESSURE RELIEF VALVE		DIAIN GRAVEL ON GRANDLAN MATERIAL
	ALVE 3 WAY VALVE		COARSE BASE ROCK
	4 WAY VALVE		FINISHED WOOD
	VACUUM RELIEF VALVE	\searrow	ROUGH WOOD, CONTINUOUS
	BACKFLOW PREVENTER		INSULATION
	BLIND FLANGE DOUBLE MECHANICAL JOINT		MASONRY BLOCK
	PVC JOINT		EARTH OR GRADE
	EXPANSION COUPLING		
	PIPE CONTINUATION		CHECKERED PLATE
\bigcirc	PIPE CONTINUATION, END VIEW		STEFI
	CLEAN OUT DRAIN OR BELL-UP	•	PIPE SUPPORTS
Ę	FILTER	+	CONDUIT
<u> </u>	FLEXIBLE HOSE OR TUBING	ELECT	ELECTRICAL BOX
FM	FLOWMETER	5	SEWER ACCESS
	FLOWIDDE FREF SURFACE		AIR RELEASE VALVE
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	GAGE, PRESSURE (W/COCK)	\oplus	METAL STRUT W/ CONC. FOOTING
Ť V Iup	HOSE BIBB 3/4" W/HOSE THREAD		IRON FENCING W/ CONC
HYD	YARD HYDRANT, FREEZE PROOF		- BASE & COLUMNS
	HOSE RACK		

LINETYPES	3
	UNDERGROUND, NEW FACILITIES
	ABOVE GROUND, NEW FACILITIES
	UNDERGROUND, EXISTING FACILITIES
	ABOVE GROUND, EXISTING FACILITIES
<i>++++++</i>	EXISTING FACILITIES TO BE REMOVED
	EXISTING FACILITIES TO BE ABANDONED
———— E ————	EXISTING ELECTRICAL CONDUIT
OHE	EXISTING OVERHEAD ELECTRICAL
	RIGHT OF WAY

EVERY DROP OF ENERGY

EETSINC[™]

M MOTIEJUNAS	
NDREW BENJAMIN	
AVID POWELL	
lo.	CD017-17-000
	AS NOTED



SAN GABRIEL VALLEY WATER COMPANY 11142 GARVEY AVENUE EL MONTE, CALIFORNIA 91733

0

A.C.

A.B.

BF

BFV

B.M.

BOF

BOF*

BOP

BOS

BW

CIP

CLG

CLR

СМР

CONC.

CONT.

CPLG

CV

DET

DIA

DIP

DWG

ΕA

ECC

EG

EL

ELB

ELEC

ESEW

EW

EXH

(E)

FC

FD

FF

FG

FIG.

FL FLG

FFL

FT.

FTG.

GA.

GAL.

GALV.

GWBR

GWBG

HDW

ΗP

HR

IE

IN.

INSUL

INV.

JT.

KIP

KW

HORIZ

GV

FDN

FCA

FCTRY

С ТО С

CO

BLDG

ARV

ABBREVIATIONS

ANGLE AT POUNDS ASPHALT CONCRETE LB AGGREGATE BASE LF. LINEAR FEET LT. AIR RELEASE VALVE LEFT LR LONG RADIUS BLIND FLANGE BUTTERFLY VALVE MAX. MAXIMUM MECH MECHANICAL BUILDING MFR. MANUFACTURER BENCH MARK BOTTOM OF FLANGE MH MANHOLE BOTTOM OF FLANGE, MIN. MINIMUM NOT INCLUDING BOLTS MISC MISCELLANEOUS BOTTOM OF PIPE MJ MECHANICAL JOINT BOTTOM OF STAIRS МО MASONRY OPENING BACKWASH WATER NO, OR # NUMBER CAST IN PLACE NTS NOT TO SCALE CEILING (N) NEW CLEAR CENTERLINE 0.C. ON CENTER CORRUGATED METAL PIPE OF OVERFLOW CLEANOUT ΟZ OUNCE CONCRETE CONTINUOUS P.E. PLAIN END COUPLING PL. PLATE (STEEL) CENTER TO CENTER PLYWD PLYWOOD CHECK VALVE PRESS. PRESSURE PROPERTY LINE PENNY (NAIL SIZE) P.S.I. POUND PER SQUARE INCH DETAIL ΡW POTABLE WATER DIAMETER DUCTILE IRON PIPE R. OR RAD. RADIUS DRAWING RD. ROAD RDCR REDUCER EACH RDW REDWOOD ECCENTRIC RM ROOM EXISTING GRADE ROUGH OPENING RO ELEVATION RTN RETURN ELBOW RV ROOF FENT ELECTRIC, ELECTRICAL R/W RIGHT-OF-WAY EMERGENCY SHOWER & EYEWASH EACH WAY SCHED SCHEDULE EXHAUST SEC. SECTION EXISTING SH SHEET SHT'G SHEATHING FLEXIBLE COUPLING SPEC. **SPECIFICATIONS** FLANGED COUPLING ADAPTER SQ SQUARE FACTORY SST STAINLESS STEEL FLOOR DRAIN STA. STATION FOUNDATION STD STANDARD FINISH FLOOR STL STEEL FINISH GRADE STRL STRUCTURAL FIGURE STRUC1 STRUCTURE FLOOR FLANGE TAN TANGENT FLOW LINE TARV TOP OF AIR RELEASE VALVE FOOT OR FEET TBG TUBING FOOTING **TECH** TECHNICAL TEMPERATURE TEMP GAGE THD THREAD GALLON TOC TOP OF CURB GALVANIZED TOP OF GRATE TOG GATE VALVE TOP TOP OF PIPE 10 GAUGE STEEL, CEMENT TOR TOP OF ROCK LINED & COATED TOW TOP OF WALL 10 GAUGE STEEL, CEMENT TYP. TYPICAL LINED ΤW TREATED WATER HARDWARE UBC UNIFORM BUILDING CODE HORIZONTAL UNO UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE HORSEPOWER HOSE RACK V VENT, FOLT VAC VACUUM INVERT ELEVATION VENT THRU ROOF VTR INCH INSULATION WM WATER METER INVERT WW WASTE WATER WATER TREATMENT PLANT WTP JOINT THOUSAND POUNDS KILOWATT

PLANT B24 HYDRO

DRAWING

G2

ABBREVIATIONS & GENERAL NOTES









ı: - TI-10246 ⇒ 10266 ⇒ 102666 ⇒ 102666 ⇒ 102666 ⇒ 102666 ⇒ 1026666 ⇒ 1026666 ⇒ 1026666666 ⇒ 1026666 ⇒ 1026666666666 ⇒ 10266666666666 12 ISIONS ı – . B D-1-









VALLEY IPANY	PLANT B24 HYDRO	
AVENUE RNIA 91733	SECTIONS 1	C5 7 OF 19 SHEETS







SAN GABRIEL VALLEY WATER COMPANY 11142 GARVEY AVENUE EL MONTE, CALIFORNIA 91733









 $\frac{\text{SOUTH } -\text{L-V-TION}}{\text{SC } =: 1/2"=1'-0"}$

				DELCC/2		DR ı: ·
				PHOTLSSID	PROF=SS/ONA	D= IGN- 1: -
				See M· σε Co	at the approximation	R= I
						- \OV- I:
				Exemple when the second		=.R.C. jec-
						SC =:
					Techricel Engineer	•
					THE OF C-LIFORNIA	
12 ISIONS	1	B	D-1-	CAL II		





$\frac{\text{NORTH } -\text{L-V-TION}}{\text{SC } =: 1/2"=1'-0"}$



SAN GABRIEL WATER COM 11142 G- \V_Y _L MONT_, C- I- \

<u>N0</u>	T <u>-S:</u>										
1.	PIP-	P_N_TR-TION	D_T-ILS	P_R	BUILDING	М	_ `	TUR_R	SUBMIT	т	

VALLEY IPANY	PLANT B24 HYDRO	DRA	WING
=NU= ∖NI-	ELEVATIONS	9 O-	7 H=_TS



<u>NOTES:</u>

1. HOT DIP GALVANIZE, OR PAINT ALL STEEL PARTS AFTER FABRICATION













BAR SIZE	LENGTH OF LA FOR REINFOR	APPED SPLICES CING IN INCHES	LENGTH OF FOR END AN REINFORCING	EMBEDMENT CHORAGE OF IN INCHES			
	*TOP BARS	OTHERS	*TOP BARS	OTHERS			
3	17	<u> </u>	<u>13</u> 17	<u>12</u> 13			
5	41	32	32	24			
7	58	45	45	34			
8	75	51 57	51 57	44			
10	<u> </u>	65 72	65 72	50 55			
14			97	74			
* T(OP BARS ARE HOP	RIZ BARS SO PLA	CED THAT MOR	E THAN			
12" HOR	OF CONC IS CAST IZ BARS IN WALLS TOP BARS	IN THE MEMBER ARE TO BE PR	R BELOW THE B OVIDED W/LAPS	AR AS REQ'D			
EXC	EPT AS OTHERWIS	E INDICATED ON	THE PLANS, EN	IBEDMENT			
NOT	BE LESS THAN (NO MINUS TOLER	ANCE) SHOWN	ABOVE.			
	RFINFC	RCFMFNT	BAR				
		EMRFDMI		\mathbf{i}			
	NTS			<u>, </u>			
	ORMED SUREACES	S ADJACENT TO					
UNF FORI OR W/E OTH	MED OR TOP SUF SATURATED AIR, ARTH #6 OR LARGER #5 OR SMALLEI ER LOCATIONS: BARS IN BEAMS COLUMN SPIRA OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS #	S ADUACENT TO REACES EXPOSED SUBMERGED OR BARS R BARS S OR GIRDERS, I S OR TIES 7 OR LARGER 6 OR SMALLER STEEL SHALL NO	D TO WEATHER IN CONTACT NCL STIRRUPS	3" 1-1/2" 1-1/2" 1-1/2" BAR_ DIA 3/4" HAN_THE_MININ	IUM		
UNF FORI OR W/E OTH * CC GIVE MINI THA	MED OR TOP SUF SATURATED AIR, ARTH #6 OR LARGER #5 OR SMALLEI ER LOCATIONS: BARS IN BEAM COLUMN SPIRA OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OVER FOR REINF N ABOVE (NO MI MUM BY MORE TH N 24 INCHES.	RFACES EXPOSED SUBMERGED OR BARS R BARS S OR GIRDERS, I S OR TIES 7 OR LARGER 6 OR SMALLER STEEL SHALL NO NUS TOLERANCE HAN 1/4" WHER	D TO WEATHER IN CONTACT NCL STIRRUPS DT BE LESS TH D AND SHALL E THE CONC T	2" 1-1/2" 1-1/2" 1-1/2" BAR DIA 3/4" HAN THE MININ NOT EXCEED HICKNESS IS N	IUM THE 10RE		
UNF FORI OR W/E OTH OTH A GIVE MINI THA LAPI DETE THA BE S	MED OR TOP SUF SATURATED AIR, ARTH #6 OR LARGER #5 OR SMALLEI ER LOCATIONS: BARS IN BEAMS COLUMN SPIRA OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OVER FOR REINF IN ABOVE (NO MI MUM BY MORE TH N 24 INCHES. PED SPLICES SHA ERMINED BY THE N 12 BAR DIAME SPLICED WITHIN A	STEEL SHALL NO STEEL SHALL NO NUS TOLERANCE AN 1/4" WHER SUBMERGED OR BARS BARS SOR GIRDERS, I SOR GIRDERS, I SOR TIES OR SMALLER STEEL SHALL NO NUS TOLERANCE AN 1/4" WHER STEEL SHALL NO NUS TOLERANCE AN 1/4" WHER STEEL SHALL NO NUS TOLERANCE AN 1/4" WHER STEEL SHALL NO STEEL SHALL NO NUS TOLERANCE AN 1/4" WHER STEEL SHALL NO STEEL SHALL STEEL SHALL NO STEEL SHALL STEEL SHALL NO STEEL SHALL STEEL SHALL STEEL STEEL SHALL STEEL STEEL SHALL STEEL	D TO WEATHER IN CONTACT NCL STIRRUPS OT BE LESS TH D AND SHALL E THE CONC T DE AT POINTS SHALL NOT BE THAN 1/2 OF BAR DIAMETE	2" 1-1/2" 1-1/2" 1-1/2" BAR DIA 3/4" HAN THE MININ NOT EXCEED T HICKNESS IS N OF MAX STRES SPACED CLO THE BARS SH RS.	IUM THE MORE SS AS SER ALL		
UNF FORI OR W/E OTH * CC GIVE MINI THA LAPI DETI THA BE S ALL	MED OR TOP SUF SATURATED AIR, ARTH #6 OR LARGER #5 OR SMALLEI ER LOCATIONS: BARS IN BEAMS COLUMN SPIRAL OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OVER FOR REINF N ABOVE (NO MI MUM BY MORE TH N 24 INCHES. PED SPLICES SHA ERMINED BY THE N 12 BAR DIAME SPLICED WITHIN A REINFORCING TO	RFACES EXPOSED SUBMERGED OR BARS R BARS S OR GIRDERS, I S OR TIES 7 OR LARGER 6 OR SMALLER STEEL SHALL NO NUS TOLERANCE HAN 1/4" WHER ALL NOT BE MAL ENGINEER AND TERS. NO MORE A LENGTH OF 40 BE MIN #5@12"	D TO WEATHER IN CONTACT NCL STIRRUPS DT BE LESS TH DAND SHALL E THE CONC T DE AT POINTS SHALL NOT BE THAN 1/2 OF D BAR DIAMETE EWEF UNLESS		IUM THE IORE SS AS SER ALL WISE.		
UNF FORI OR W/E OTH * CC GIVE MINI THA LAPI DETE THA BE 3 ALL	MED OR TOP SUF SATURATED AIR, ARTH #6 OR LARGER #5 OR SMALLEI ER LOCATIONS: BARS IN BEAMS COLUMN SPIRAL OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OVER FOR REINF IN ABOVE (NO MI MUM BY MORE TH N 24 INCHES. PED SPLICES SHA ERMINED BY THE N 12 BAR DIAME SPLICED WITHIN A REINFORCING TO	RFACES EXPOSED SUBMERGED OR BARS R BARS S OR GIRDERS, I S OR TIES 7 OR LARGER 7 OR LARGER 6 OR SMALLER 6 OR SMALLER STEEL SHALL NO NUS TOLERANCE HAN 1/4" WHER ALL NOT BE MAD ENGINEER AND TERS. NO MORE A LENGTH OF 4C BE MIN #5@12"	DT BE LESS THE NCL STIRRUPS OT BE LESS THE DT BE LESS THE DAND SHALL E THE CONC T DE AT POINTS SHALL NOT BE THAN 1/2 OF BAR DIAMETE EWEF UNLESS		IUM THE TORE SS AS SER ALL WISE.		
UNF FORI OR W/E OTH * CC GIVE MINI THA LAPI DETE THA BE S ALL	MED OR TOP SUF SATURATED AIR, ARTH #6 OR LARGER #5 OR SMALLEI ER LOCATIONS: BARS IN BEAMS COLUMN SPIRAL OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OVER FOR REINF N ABOVE (NO MI MUM BY MORE TH N 24 INCHES. PED SPLICES SHA ERMINED BY THE N 12 BAR DIAME SPLICED WITHIN A REINFORCING TO	RFACES EXPOSED SUBMERGED OR BARS R BARS S OR GIRDERS, I S OR TIES 7 OR LARGER 6 OR SMALLER 6 OR SMALLER STEEL SHALL NO NUS TOLERANCE HAN 1/4" WHER ALL NOT BE MAL ENGINEER AND TERS. NO MORE A LENGTH OF 4C BE MIN #5@12"	DT BE LESS THE NCL STIRRUPS OT BE LESS THE DAND SHALL E THE CONC T DE AT POINTS SHALL NOT BE THAN 1/2 OF BAR DIAMETE EWEF UNLESS REINFORC	3" 2" 2" 2" 2" 2" 2" 2" 2" 2" 2" 2" 2" 2"	IUM THE IORE SS AS SER ALL WISE.		
UNF FORI OR W/E OTH * CC GIVE MINI THA LAPI DETE THA BE S ALL CONC NTS	MED OR TOP SUF SATURATED AIR, ARTH #6 OR LARGER #5 OR SMALLEI ER LOCATIONS: BARS IN BEAMS COLUMN SPIRAL OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OVER FOR REINF N ABOVE (NO MI MUM BY MORE TH N 24 INCHES. PED SPLICES SHA ERMINED BY THE N 12 BAR DIAME SPLICED WITHIN A REINFORCING TO	SADUACENT TO RFACES EXPOSED SUBMERGED OR BARS R BARS S OR GIRDERS, I S OR TIES 7 OR LARGER 7 OR LARGER 6 OR SMALLER 6 OR SMALLER STEEL SHALL NO NUS TOLERANCE HAN 1/4" WHER ALL NOT BE MAE ENGINEER AND TERS. NO MORE ALENGTH OF 4C BE MIN #5@12" /ER FOR I	D TO WEATHER IN CONTACT NCL STIRRUPS DT BE LESS TH D AND SHALL E THE CONC T DE AT POINTS SHALL NOT BE THAN 1/2 OF D BAR DIAMETE EWEF UNLESS REINFORC		IUM THE MORE SS AS SER ALL WISE. 3 TYP		
UNF FORI OR W/E OTH * CC GIVE MINI THA LAPI DETE THA BE 3 ALL CONC NTS	MED OR TOP SUF SATURATED AIR, ARTH #6 OR LARGER #5 OR SMALLEI ER LOCATIONS: BARS IN BEAMS COLUMN SPIRAL OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OTHER BARS # OVER FOR REINF N ABOVE (NO MI MUM BY MORE TH N 24 INCHES. PED SPLICES SHA ERMINED BY THE N 12 BAR DIAME SPLICED WITHIN A REINFORCING TO CRETE COV	STEEL SHALL NO STEEL SHALL NO NUS TOLERANCE AN 1/4" WHER STEEL SHALL NO STEEL SHALL STEEL SHALL NO STEEL SHALL STEEL SHALL NO STEEL SHALL STEEL SHALL STEEL STEEL SHALL STEEL STEEL SHALL STEEL STEEL SHALL STEEL STE	D TO WEATHER IN CONTACT NCL STIRRUPS DT BE LESS TH D AND SHALL E THE CONC T DE AT POINTS SHALL NOT BE THAN 1/2 OF D BAR DIAMETE EWEF UNLESS REINFORC		IUM THE MORE SS AS SER ALL WISE. 3 TYP		



- #4 BAR X 36" LONG (MIN) EA CORNER IN CENTER OF SLAB FOR CIRCULAR OR DIAGONAL OPNG

51



#6 BAR X 54" LON((MIN) EA CORNER IN CENTER OF SLAB FO CIRCULAR OR DIAGO OPNG

3. C = THE REQ'D LENGTH FOR LAPPED SPLICEFOR TOP BARS AS SHOWN ON REINF BAR LAP & EMBEDMENT DETAIL TABLE



SAN GABRIEL WATER COM 11142 GARVEY A EL MONTE, CALIFORM

	SEALANT BACKER ROD EXPANSION MATERIAL U </th <th></th>	
G N OR DNAL		
VALLEY IPANY	PLANT B24 HYDRO	DRAWING
AVENUE RIA 91733	DETAILS 2	D2 11 OF 19 SHEETS



BEARING AREA (SQ FT)							
22-1/4°	45°	90°	TEE OR DEAD				
BEND	BEND	BEND	ENDS				
13	26	48	39				
7	15	27	22				
6	12	21	17				
4	9	16	13				
3	6	12	10				
2	5	8	7				
1	3	5	4				
1	2	3	2				
0	1	1	1				

DRAWING











DEVICE	DESCRIPTION	MANUFACTURER	MODEL NO.	FUNCTION
_	_	_	_	-
MFR-1,-2	MULTIFUNCTION RELAY 27, 59, 810, 81U, 32R, 46, 51V	BECKWITH	M3425A	M-x-1 & M-x-2: 27, 59, 8 OPEN GEN CONTACTOR
27DC-1	DC UNDERVOLTAGE RELAY	TIME MARK	2601–24VDC	TRIP MAIN BREAKER
PM-1	POWER METER / POWER METER DISPLAY	CUTLER HAMMER EATON		POWER, CURRENT, VOLTA
TS	TEST SWITCH–CURRENT AND OR POTENTIAL (AS REQUIRED)	ABB	FT	PROVISIONS FOR TESTING
32F—1	FORWARD POWER DETECTION	BASLER	BE3—32—3—AINI	ALLOW CONTROL VALVE
CR	AUXILIARY CONTROL RELAY	ABB	MG-6	CONTACT MULTIPLIER

EVERY DROP OF ENERGY



	-	-		-
CONDUIT NUMBER FROM		ТО	CONDUIT SIZE	CONDUCTORS / NOTES
		POWER		
P001 P002 P003 P004 P005 P006 P007 P008 P009 P010 P010 P011 P012 P013 P014 P015 P016 P017 P018	(E) PLANT MAIN SWBD GENERATOR SWBD GENERATOR SWBD GENERATOR SWBD PANEL 'A' - PANEL 'A' PANEL 'A' PANEL 'A' PANEL 'A' PANEL 'B' PANEL 'B' PANEL 'B' - CONTROL PANEL PANEL 'B'	HYDRO DISCONNECT SWITCH HYDRO DISCONNECT SWITCH GENERATOR P.F. CAPACITOR STATION SERVICE XFMR STATION SERVICE XFMR - BATTERY CHARGER CONTROL PANEL (HYDRO. PUMP CONTROL) CONTROL PANEL (GEN. SPACE HEATER) GENERATOR SWBD (HEATERS/FANS) 24V DC BATTERY BANK BATTERY CHARGER CONTROL PANEL GENERATOR SWBD - FLOW METER BYPASS VALVE CONTROLLER	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 (3) 4/0 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #6 Cu. GRD. (3) 4/0 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #6 Cu. GRD. (3) 4/0 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #6 Cu. GRD. (3) #6 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (3) #8 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #6 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #10 Cu. GRD. (2) #8 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #10 Cu. GRD. (2) #8 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #10 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD.
CONDUIT NUMBER	FROM	то	CONDUIT SIZE	CONDUCTORS / NOTES
	Į	CONTROL		J
C001 C002 C003 C004 C005 C006 C007 C008	CONTROL PANEL CONTROL PANEL CONTROL PANEL CONTROL PANEL BYPASS VALVE CONTROLLER CONTROL PANEL CONTROL PANEL	GENERATOR (VS, TS) HYDRO. PUMP SOLENOID TSV (OPEN, CLOSE INDICATION) GENERATOR CONTROL VALVE GENERATOR (SPACE HEATER) BYPASS VALVE ROUTE TO INTRUSION SW'S & SMOKE DETECTOR HYDRO. PUMP MOTOR	3/4" 3/4" 3/4" 3/4" 3/4" 3/4" 3/4"	 (4) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (4) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (6) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (4) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (4) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (6) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (2) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD. (3) #12 Cu. THHN/THWN & (1) #12 Cu. GRD.
		INSTRUMENTATI	ON	
1001 1002 1003	CONTROL PANEL CONTROL PANEL	(E) PLANT CONTROL PANEL FLOW METER/PRESSURE XMTR (INCOMING) PRESSURE TRANSMITTER (TURBINE)	1" 1" 3/4"	(1) CAT 6 CABLE (1) 2/C #16 TWSP & (1) #12 Cu GRD (VIA NEMA 4 J. BOX (1) 2/C #16 TWSP & (1) #12 Cu GRD

REVISIONS

DWG



		PA	NEL	SCH	EDU	LE		
Panel: 'A' Type:	AS S	PECIFIED.		Fram	e: 1	00A	Mai	n 100A-2P
Service: 120/240V, 1ø-	-3WIRE	Ν	lount	: SURF	FACE			
LOAD	KW	СВ	#	S/N	#	СВ	KW	LOAD
CONTROL PANEL	-	20/1	1	Х	2	20/1	-	INTERIOR LIGHTS/EXIT LIGHTS
HYDRAULIC PUMP	-	20/1	3	Х	4	20/1	_	INTAKE LOUVER/EXHAUST FAN
GENERATOR HEATER	-	20/1	5	Х	6	20/1	_	RECEPTACLES
SPARE	-	20/1	7	Х	8	20/1	_	RECEPTACLES
SPARE	-	20/1	9	Х	10	20/1	_	SMOKE DETECTOR
SPARE	-	20/1	11	Х	12	20/1	_	SPARE
SPARE	-	20/1	13	Х	14	20/1	_	SPARE
SPARE	-	20/1	15	Х	16	20/2	0.4	BATTERY CHARGER
SPARE	-	20/1	17	Х	18	V	0.4	
SPACE			19	Х	20			SPACE
SPACE			21	Х	22			SPACE
SPACE			23	Х	24			SPACE

		₽٨	NEL	<u>с</u>	FDII			
Panel: 'B' Type:	AS S	PECIFIED.		Fram	e: 1	00A	Mai	in 60A-2P
Service: 24VDC	Mount:	SURFAC	Έ					
LOAD	KW	СВ	#	S/N	#	СВ	KW	LOAD
BATTERY CHARGER	1.0	30/2	1	Х	2	30/2	_	GENERATOR SWBD
			3	Х	4			
SPARE	-	30/2	5	Х	6	20/2	_	GENERATOR CTRL PNL
			7	Х	8			
SPARE	-	30/2	9	Х	10	20/2	_	BYPASS VALVE
	-	_	11	Х	12			CUNTROL PANEL
SPARE	-	20/2	13	Х	14	20/2	_	SPARE
	_	_	15	Х	16			
SPACE ONLY			17	Х	18			SPACE ONLY
			19	X	20			
			21	Х	22			
			23	X	24			▼









AL Al	LEY NY	
/EN IA	NUE 91733	

PLANT B24 HYDRO

drawing E5

CONDUIT SCHEDULE



				20122330		DRAWN BY: ADAM MOTIEJU
				PROFESSION	PROFESSIONA	DESIGNED BY: ANDREW BEN
				SEN M. DENCE	ALL WHEL F BACK ES	REVIEWED BY: DAVID POWE
					5	APPROVED BY:
						F.E.R.C. Project No.
				Exp. 03/2020	Prover 1. 30, 2020 5	SCALE:
					The locifical Engineer +	JOB NO.
					STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
REVISIONS	BY	APP. BY	DATE	OF CALIT		

VERY DROP OF ENERGY

12 ISIONS

ALLEY YANY	PLANT B24 HYDRO				
=NU= I-	logic diagrams – 2	19 O-	/ H==TS		