



**CALIFORNIA
ENERGY COMMISSION**



California Energy Commission
Clean Transportation Program

FINAL PROJECT REPORT

Plug-In Hybrid Medium-Duty Truck Demonstration and Evaluation

Prepared for: California Energy Commission

**Prepared by: South Coast Air Quality Management District
Electric Power Research Institute**

**Gavin Newsom, Governor
January 2020 | CEC-600-2020-010**

California Energy Commission

Joseph Impullitti, South Coast Air Quality Management District

Mark Kosowski, Electric Power Research Institute

Primary Author(s)

South Coast Air Quality Management District

21865 Copley Drive

Diamond Bar, CA 91765

[South Coast Air Quality Management District Site](http://www.aqmd.gov/) (<http://www.aqmd.gov/>)

Electric Power Research Institute

3420 Hillview Avenue

Palo Alto, CA 94304

[Electric Power Research Institute Site](https://www.epri.com/#/?lang=en-US) (<https://www.epri.com/#/?lang=en-US>)

Agreement Number: ARV-09-003

Lindsee Tanimoto

Project Manager

John P. Butler II

Acting Office Manager

ADVANCED VEHICLE INFRASTRUCTURE OFFICE

Kevin Barker

Deputy Director

FUELS AND TRANSPORTATION

Drew Bohan

Executive Director

Disclaimer

Staff members of the California Energy Commission prepared this report. As such, it does not necessarily represent the views of the Energy Commission, its employees, or the State of California. The Energy Commission, the State of California, its employees, contractors and subcontractors make no warrant, express or implied, and assume no legal liability for the information in this report; nor does any party represent that the uses of this information will not infringe upon privately owned rights. This report has not been approved or disapproved by the Energy Commission nor has the Commission passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the information in this report.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Electric Power Research Institute prepared this report.

Principal Investigator: M. Kosowski

Contributors: D. Bowermaster, S. Chhaya, J. Dunckley, McCollough.

This report describes research sponsored by the Electric Power Research Institute, the United States Department of Energy, the California Energy Commission, and the South Coast Air Quality Management District. Department of Energy award number FOA-28, EE0002549; South Coast Air Quality Management District contract number 10659.

This material is based upon the work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under award number DE-EE0002549.

PREFACE

Assembly Bill 118 (Núñez, Chapter 750, Statutes of 2007) created the Clean Transportation Program, formerly known as the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program. The statute authorizes the California Energy Commission (CEC) to develop and deploy alternative and renewable fuels and advanced transportation technologies to help attain the state's climate change policies. Assembly Bill 8 (Perea, Chapter 401, Statutes of 2013) reauthorizes the Clean Transportation Program through January 1, 2024, and specifies that the CEC allocate up to \$20 million per year (or up to 20 percent of each fiscal year's funds) in funding for hydrogen station development until at least 100 stations are operational.

The Clean Transportation Program has an annual budget of about \$100 million and provides financial support for projects that:

- Reduce California's use and dependence on petroleum transportation fuels and increase the use of alternative and renewable fuels and advanced vehicle technologies.
- Produce sustainable alternative and renewable low-carbon fuels in California.
- Expand alternative fueling infrastructure and fueling stations.
- Improve the efficiency, performance and market viability of alternative light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicle technologies.
- Retrofit medium- and heavy-duty on-road and nonroad vehicle fleets to alternative technologies or fuel use.
- Expand the alternative fueling infrastructure available to existing fleets, public transit, and transportation corridors.
- Establish workforce-training programs and conduct public outreach on the benefits of alternative transportation fuels and vehicle technologies.

To be eligible for funding under the Clean Transportation Program, a project must be consistent with the CEC's annual Clean Transportation Program Investment Plan Update. The CEC issued solicitation PON-08-010 to provide funding opportunities under the Assembly Bill 118 program for projects that had been awarded funding from the U.S. Department of Energy under a federal funding opportunity announcement for specified transportation projects. In response to PON-08-010, the recipient submitted an application which was proposed for funding in the CEC's notice of proposed awards on March 18, 2010, and the agreement was executed as ARV-09-003 on September 2, 2010.

ABSTRACT

The U.S. Department of Energy, using funding from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, sponsored the Plug-In Hybrid Medium-Duty Truck Demonstration and Evaluation program. The purpose of the program is to develop a path to migrate plug-in hybrid vehicle technology to medium-duty vehicles by demonstrating and evaluating vehicles in diverse applications. The program allows the fleets to develop an interest in the technology and understand the infrastructure for the vehicles. The participants are deploying these vehicles in the field with their operators to achieve real-life experience with the vehicles. A total of 296 vehicles were delivered to the field under this program. Two developers were able to make plug-in hybrid pickup trucks, vans, and Class 6 to 8 medium-duty utility trucks for the utility industry. The pickup trucks and vans are plug-in series hybrid vehicles that have more than 40 miles of all-electric range and another 300 miles of gasoline range. The Class 6 to 8 trucks are parallel plug-in hybrid vehicles and can improve fuel economy by up to 50 percent. These developers now have capabilities to produce more of these vehicles. The program team analyzed costs to understand the potential for future cost reductions. The team also surveyed the truck operators, with positive results.

Keywords: Department of Energy, plug-in hybrid electric vehicle, medium-duty truck, electric drive trucks, Odyne Systems, VIA Motors.

Please use the following citation for this report:

Kosowski, Mark. Electric Power Research Institute. Impullitti, Joseph. South Coast Air Quality Management District. 2020. *Plug-In Hybrid Medium-Duty Truck Demonstration and Evaluation*. California Energy Commission. Publication Number: CEC-600-2020-010.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgements	i
Preface.....	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents.....	v
List of Figures.....	vii
List of Tables	xi
Executive Summary.....	1
CHAPTER 1: Introduction	3
Project Objective	3
Project Activities	3
Organization.....	4
Summary	4
CHAPTER 2: Program Participants	6
CHAPTER 3: Odyne Design, Development, and Manufacturing	10
System Design	10
Performance.....	15
Mass and GVWR.....	15
Fuel Economy and Emissions	16
Odyne Certification Observations.....	26
Crash and Safety	26
Odyne Engineering Process	26
Odyne Manufacturing Accomplishments	27
Odyne Vehicle Manufacturing Testing	31
Odyne Cost Analysis	34
Odyne Data Analysis	35
Odyne Survey Results	53
Opportunities for the Future	57
Design Refinements.....	57
Business Opportunities	57
CHAPTER 4: VIA Development, Performance, and Manufacturing.....	59
System Design	59
Overall System Design	59
Battery Pack	61
Export Power	62
Dashboard Display	65

Shift Control.....	66
Electric Vehicle Operating Modes	66
Battery Electric Mode.....	66
Extended-Range Electric Mode	67
Regenerative Braking Mode	68
Performance.....	69
Mass and GVWR.....	69
Acceleration	70
Towing	71
Fuel Economy and Emissions.....	73
Vehicle Certification	75
Crash and Safety	77
VIA Engineering Process	79
VIA Manufacturing Accomplishments	80
VIA Cost Analysis.....	83
VIA Data Analysis	84
VIA Survey Results	93
VIA Program Delays.....	98
Battery Supplier	98
Chevrolet Redevelopment of the Pickup Truck.....	98
Opportunities for the Future.....	98
Design Refinements.....	98
Business Opportunities.....	99
VIA Current Status.....	99
CHAPTER 5: Common Systems for the Vehicles	100
Data Acquisition System.....	100
Controller-Area Network Bus Interface.....	101
iPhone and Application	102
CAN Bus Server	102
Smart Charging System.....	104
System Requirements.....	104
Requirements for the Smart Grid Interface.....	107
MPR Hardware Development and Characterization	107
Electric Vehicle Supply Interface Development	112
Field Deployment	113
Lessons Learned.....	115
Glossary	116
APPENDIX A: Odyne System Specifications	A-1
APPENDIX B: VIA System Specifications	B-1

APPENDIX C: Odyne Drive Cycles.....	C-1
APPENDIX D: VIA Performance Test Protocol.....	D-1
APPENDIX E: Early Program History	E-1
Eaton and Azure (November 2009)	E-1
Odyne and Azure (June 2011)	E-1
Odyne, VIA, and Quantum Technologies (May 2012)	E-1

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1: States that Have Program Vehicles	6
Figure 2: Odyne Truck Body Types.....	7
Figure 3: Number of Odyne Trucks Produced, by Manufacturer.....	8
Figure 4: Number of Odyne Trucks Produced, by Class.....	8
Figure 5: VIA Van and Truck Body Types Produced	9
Figure 6: Odyne Powertrain Configuration	10
Figure 7: Odyne Hybrid Architecture	10
Figure 8: Odyne Chassis Assembly	12
Figure 9: Chassis Configurations	14
Figure 10: Odyne System Diagram.....	15
Figure 11: Mass Study Results	16
Figure 12: Mild vs. Aggressive Performance—Acceleration	17
Figure 13: Mild vs. Aggressive Performance—Vehicle Speed	18
Figure 14: Charge-Sustained Mode Modifier.....	19
Figure 15: Plot of Real Data for Work Time vs. Distance.....	21
Figure 16: Histogram of Drive Distance	21
Figure 17: Histogram of Stationary Work Time.....	22
Figure 18: Histogram of Idle Time.....	22
Figure 19: Odyne Fuel Consumption.....	23
Figure 20: Odyne Carbon Dioxide Emissions	24
Figure 21: Odyne NOx Emissions	24
Figure 22: Chassis with Hybrid System Installed	27
Figure 23: Final Configuration as a Digger Derrick.....	28
Figure 24: Inland Power Group-Allison Transmission Dealer/Service Center in Butler, Wisconsin	29
Figure 25: Manufacturing Floor at Inland.....	30
Figure 26: Installing a Battery onto a Chassis	31

Figure 27: Using the Automatic Tester for Testing the Final Assembled Hybrid System.....	32
Figure 28: End of Line Load Test—Run Screen.....	33
Figure 29: End of Line Load Test—Manual Screen.....	33
Figure 30: Odyne Cost Analysis.....	34
Figure 31: Battery Energy Tradeoff	35
Figure 32: Fleet Summary—Totals	36
Figure 33: Fleet Summary—Mean Plus or Minus Standard Deviation.....	37
Figure 34: SOC, Large Aerial Truck Example	38
Figure 35: SOC, Digger Derrick Example.....	38
Figure 36: SOC, Walk-In Van Example.....	39
Figure 37: Comparison of SOC for a Low-Usage and a High-Usage Vehicle	40
Figure 38: Modal Time of Day	41
Figure 39: Plug-In Charging.....	41
Figure 40: Vehicle Usage	42
Figure 41: Driving—Distance vs. Speed	43
Figure 42: Driving—Daily Drive Time	43
Figure 43: Driving—Daily Distance	44
Figure 44: Driving—Average Speed	44
Figure 45: Torque Calibration—Energy vs. Distance	45
Figure 46: Torque Calibration—Daily Fuel Economy.....	45
Figure 47: Torque Calibration—Drive Energy	46
Figure 48: Stationary—Energy vs. Time	47
Figure 49: Stationary—Job Site Time.....	47
Figure 50: Stationary—Idle Time.....	48
Figure 51: Stationary—Power Takeoff Energy	48
Figure 52: Typical—Mode Distribution	49
Figure 53: Typical—Drive Time	50
Figure 54: Typical—Stationary Time	50
Figure 55: Typical—Start of Charge Plug-In	51
Figure 56: Typical Day—Job Site vs. Distance	51
Figure 57: Typical Day—Drive Time.....	52
Figure 58: Typical Day—Stationary Time	52
Figure 59: Typical Day—Idle Time.....	53
Figure 60: Years of Experience Driving a Commercial Work Truck (N = 32).....	53
Figure 61: Satisfaction Ratings (N = 33).....	54
Figure 62: Overall Satisfaction (N = 27—29).....	55
Figure 63: Would Choose the Plug-In Hybrid Vehicle as Main Work Truck (N = 32).....	55

Figure 64: Preferred Features (N = 28)	56
Figure 65: Desired Improvements (N = 27)	56
Figure 66: Reasons for Investigating the Use of Plug-In Hybrid Vehicles (N = 31).....	57
Figure 67: VIA Pickup Configuration	60
Figure 68: VIA Van Configuration	61
Figure 69: Pickup Truck Battery Pack Configuration.....	62
Figure 70: Export Power Panel for the Van	63
Figure 71: Export Power Panel for the Pickup Truck	64
Figure 72: Power Splitter Cable.....	64
Figure 73: Van Dashboard Display	65
Figure 74: Pickup Truck Dashboard Display	65
Figure 75: Shift Control	66
Figure 76: Battery Electric Mode	67
Figure 77: Extended-Range Electric Mode.....	68
Figure 78: Regenerative Braking Mode	69
Figure 79: Pickup Truck Mass Analysis (1500 Four-Wheel-Drive Crew Cab, 6.5 Foot Box)	69
Figure 80: Passenger Van Mass Analysis (3/4 Ton Van)	70
Figure 81: Truck Acceleration Times (Stock Truck Compared to VIA Truck)	70
Figure 82: Van Acceleration Times (Stock Van Compared to VIA Van)	71
Figure 83: Towing.....	72
Figure 84: Fuel Economy Comparison.....	73
Figure 85: Fuel Economy as a Function of Distance.....	74
Figure 86: VIA Vehicle Certification Overview.....	76
Figure 87: VIA Engine and Motor Speed vs. Vehicle Speed Scatter	77
Figure 88: Van Frontal Crash	78
Figure 89: Van Rollover Test.....	79
Figure 90: VIA Engineering and Manufacturing Facility in Orem, Utah	81
Figure 91: Vehicles Being Manufactured	82
Figure 92: Technicians Assembling Vehicles.....	82
Figure 93: VIA Cost Analysis	83
Figure 94: VIA Vans—Operational Modes by Time of Day	86
Figure 95: VIA Pickup Trucks—Operational Modes by Time of Day	87
Figure 96: VIA Charge Times	88
Figure 97: VIA Vans—Energy Type by Distance.....	89
Figure 98: VIA Pickup Trucks—Energy Type by Distance	90
Figure 99: VIA Initial SOC of Drive Events	91
Figure 100: VIA Delta SOC of Drive Events	92

Figure 101: VIA Final SOC of Drive Events	93
Figure 102: Years of Experience Driving a Commercial Work Truck (N = 15)	94
Figure 103: Satisfaction Ratings (N = 14—15)	95
Figure 104: Would Choose the Plug-In Hybrid Vehicle as Main Work Vehicle (N = 14).....	95
Figure 105: Preferred Features (N = 12)	96
Figure 106: Desired Improvement (N = 14).....	97
Figure 107: Reasons for Investigating the Use of Plug-In Hybrid Vehicles (N = 12).....	97
Figure 108: Data Acquisition System Used on Both Odyne and VIA Vehicles	100
Figure 109: CAN Bus Hardware Interface Module	101
Figure 110: Server Screenshot of Utility Segments	103
Figure 111: Website Visualization.....	104
Figure 112: Conceptual Layout of Interface between PHEV and Smart Grid Based on SAE J2847/1 and SAE J2931/1.....	104
Figure 113: Variety of Scenarios for Interface between PHEV and Smart Grid	105
Figure 114: Complete System Functional Diagram.....	106
Figure 115: Single Plug-In Vehicle Communication Architecture	106
Figure 116: Three Generations of MPRs—Generation 3 was Deployed for the PHEV Program	108
Figure 117: Generation 3 MPR Details and Feature Set.....	109
Figure 118: Generation 3 MPR Architecture Layout for Initial Build	110
Figure 119: Reference Design	111
Figure 120: Physical Characteristics of the MPR	112
Figure 121: EVSE Communication Box Layout and Contents	113
Figure 122: Smart Charging Setup and Layout at the Knoxville Utility Board.....	114
Figure 123: Communication Box Connected to EVSE	114
Figure 124: Odyne System Specifications.....	A-1
Figure 125: VIA System Specifications.....	B-1
Figure 126: Odyne Combined International Local and Commuter Cycle Drive Cycle.....	C-1
Figure 127: Odyne Heavy-Heavy-Duty Diesel Truck Emissions Test Drive Cycle	C-1
Figure 128: Odyne Orange County Transportation Authority Drive Cycle.....	C-2
Figure 129: Odyne Heavy-Duty Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule Drive Cycles.....	C-2
Figure 130: VIA Performance Test Protocol.....	D-1

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1: Matrix of Chassis and Engine Models and Transmission Series (Allison 2000, 3000, 4000)	13
Table 2: Matrix of Seven Primary Applications and Seven Final-Stage Manufacturers	13
Table 3: Odyne Payload Analysis	16
Table 4: Fuel Economy for Drive Cycles	19
Table 5: Odyne Fuel Consumption	23
Table 6: Air Resources Board Test Results	25
Table 7: Odyne Design Reviews	27
Table 8: Usage Summary for the Second Quarter of 2015	40
Table 9: Drive Torque Calibration Comparison	46
Table 10: VIA Emissions	74
Table 11: VIA Emissions Results during Charged, Sustained Operation	75
Table 12: VIA Van Design Reviews	80
Table 13: VIA Pickup Truck Design Reviews	80
Table 14: VIA Data Collection	84

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The U.S. Department of Energy, using funding from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, sponsored the Plug-In Hybrid Medium-Duty Truck Demonstration and Evaluation program. The purpose of the program was to develop a path to migrate plug-in hybrid electric vehicle technology to medium-duty vehicles by demonstrating and evaluating vehicles in diverse applications. The program provided three production-ready plug-in hybrid electric vehicle systems: Odyne Systems Class 6 to 8 trucks; VIA Motors half-ton pickup trucks; and VIA three-quarter-ton vans. The program contractors designed, developed, validated, produced, and deployed the medium-duty plug-in trucks. The program also gathered data and ran tests to understand the performance improvements, allow cost reductions, and provide future design changes. A smart charging system was developed and produced during the program.

The funding partners included the U.S. Department of Energy, the CEC, the South Coast Air Quality Management District, the Electric Power Research Institute, Odyne Systems, VIA Motors, Southern California Edison, and additional utility and municipal industry participants.

The reference project numbers are DOE FOA-28 award number EE0002549 and South Coast Air Quality Management District contract number 10659. CEC funding was \$5 million. Total program funding was over \$95 million, with \$45.4 million coming from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and \$45.4 million coming from private match partners.

Objectives: The objectives of the program were to develop three production-ready plug-in hybrid electric systems and to demonstrate these technologies to the field for evaluation in diverse applications.

Approach: The approach was to locate hybrid truck developers and develop a plug-in system for medium- and light-duty applications. After developing the system with engineering prowess, the goal was to produce about 280 vehicles and deploy them across the nation in diverse applications. During normal work, data were recorded that were analyzed to determine better designs for the customer, to reduce emissions and costs, and to provide an opportunity for the participant to consider future developments.

Results: The program designed, developed, validated, produced, and deployed 296 plug-in hybrid electric trucks: 119 Class 6 to 8 trucks; 52 three-quarter-ton vans; and 125 half-ton pickup trucks. Program participants remained within budget. Two developers (VIA and Odyne) are now in production with the vehicles produced from this program. The participants are becoming familiar with the new technology and will be adding these types of trucks to their fleets. Charging and operating data have been gathered during vehicle events, including drive, charge, and stationary events. Participants analyzed data and provided test results. Program participants also developed a smart charging system.

CHAPTER 1:

Introduction

The Plug-In Hybrid Medium-Duty Truck Demonstration and Evaluation program was approved in August 2009 and officially began on November 30, 2009. The \$95.8 million program consists of \$45.4 million from the U.S. Department of Energy (U.S. DOE) using American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds, \$5 million from the CEC, and \$45.4 million of private cost share from the program participants. The reference project numbers are DOE FOA-28 award number EE0002549 and South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) contract number 10659.

Project Objective

The primary goal of this project is to develop a near-production plug-in hybrid electric vehicle (PHEV) powertrain system that is suitable for widespread use in utility service vehicles. The project required simultaneous execution of two advanced hybrid powertrain development projects, along with chassis integration efforts. This project has developed a path to migrate PHEV technology to medium-duty vehicles by accomplishing the following:

- Demonstrating and evaluating vehicles in diverse applications.
- Developing two production-ready PHEV systems—one for Class 1 to 2 trucks and vans (less than 10,000 pounds [lbs.] gross vehicle weight) and the other for Class 6 to 8 trucks (19,501 lbs. to greater than 33,001 lbs. gross vehicle weight).
- Establishing production at a ship-through facility for commercial production and installation of the PHEV system.
- Developing production-ready smart charging capability for the vehicles and the supporting charging infrastructure.
- Using project results for system development to optimize performance and reduce costs.
- Starting the project on November 30, 2009, and ending the project on July 31, 2015.

Project Activities

The early program started with Eaton Corporation (Eaton) being the main hybrid developer. Eaton dropped out, and Odyne Systems (Odyne) and Azure Dynamics (Azure) became the lead developers of two systems. Azure then dropped out, and Odyne, VIA Motors (VIA), and Quantum Technologies. Then Quantum dropped out, which left Odyne and VIA as the primary project developers (see Appendix E). Both Odyne and VIA contributed matching development funds. Both companies went through extensive design, development, validation, manufacturing, and service phases. Design reviews were held to determine the levels of the design and whether to proceed to the next milestone. The VIA developments required getting both United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and California Air Resources Board (ARB) executive orders for emissions. U.S. EPA approved the Odyne system and ARB

provided an executive order for the trucks. The owners have placed the new hybrid trucks in the field and they will remain in service until the owners no longer value the trucks. Significant fuel economy benefits have been realized and are described later in this report. Odyne and VIA are now taking orders from new customers for their products.

While changing the developers, the participants also had to rethink their activity in the program. Many participants remained a part of the program, but some left the program due to timing and budget constraints. The original program was developing Class 5 aerial boom trucks for the utilities. As the program changed over time, the Class 5 trucks were not developed but rather Class 6 to 8 aerial and hydraulic trucks and Class 1 and 2 pickup trucks and vans were delivered. The total number of vehicles delivered is 296 (119 Class 6 to 8 trucks, 125 Class 1 pickup trucks, and 52 Class 2 vans). The cost share for the participants was to purchase the base vehicle and install electric vehicle supply equipment (EVSE) for each vehicle.

Quarterly telecasts or face-to-face meetings were held to keep the participants informed. All vehicles were delivered to the participants in the last year of the program. A smart charging system was developed, produced, and deployed on the vehicles, as well as a data acquisition system that is collecting data. Data will be collected from the vehicles even after the end of the program.

Organization

- SCAQMD is the prime recipient of the award from the U.S. DOE.
- SCAQMD contracted with the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) to perform program management, technical guidance, fleet coordination, data collection, and data analysis.
- The CEC provided funds for the program, and the program participants provided the base vehicles and infrastructure.
- Both Odyne and VIA were hybrid system developers and producers.
- Pathway Technologies, Inc., developed and produced the smart charging system.
- Southern California Edison provided the facilities and testing time for the vehicles.

Summary

This program has accomplished the following:

- Designed, developed, validated, certified, and produced three different PHEVs: the Class 6 to 8 trucks from Odyne, and the pickup trucks and vans from VIA.
- Deployed 296 vehicles to 62 different customers (more than \$27 million worth of base trucks), 52 VIA vans, 125 VIA pickup trucks, and 119 Odyne Class 6 to 8 trucks.
- Producing these vehicles significantly reduced nitrogen oxides (NO_x), improved fuel economy, and expanded the types of trucks with PHEV tanker trucks and pickup trucks.
- Implemented state-of-the-art telematics for analysis and diagnostics.


- Collected and analyzed data from all vehicles and provided raw data to the National Renewable Energy Laboratory and the Idaho National Laboratory.
- Developed, validated, produced, and assembled a smart charging system for the vehicle.
- Completed an operator survey with positive results.
- Southern California Edison conducted laboratory testing on the vehicles.
- The participants of the program are beginning to understand the new hybrid technology and are putting it to good use.
- Higher customer acceptance is resulting in repeat vehicle orders without subsidies.

The program was officially completed on July 31, 2015, within budget constraints.

CHAPTER 2: Program Participants

Sixty-two different utilities, municipalities, and companies participated in the hybrid truck program (Figure 1).

Figure 1: States that Have Program Vehicles



Source: EPRI

The participant responsibilities for the program included the following:


1. Each participant was obligated to order and purchase base trucks without hybrid systems and then to purchase and install EVSE for each truck.
2. The participant was to use the truck in the same duty cycle as petroleum-fueled trucks were used in their fleets.
3. Each participant was required to allow data acquisition on the hybrid trucks and to take a survey.
4. The participants own the new hybrid trucks. However, upon sale of the trucks, about 50 percent of the sale proceeds will be returned to the federal government, unless it is sold for less than \$5,000. The VIA base trucks were purchased by participants in the range of \$25,000—\$37,000 each, and the Odyne trucks were in the range of \$115,000—\$450,000 each. The truck and EVSE purchase and installation costs constituted the participants' cost share.

The trucks with the Odyne hybrid system included five types of bodies: bucket trucks, walk-in vans, digger derricks, compressor trucks, and tanker trucks (Figure 2). The utility bucket trucks represented the largest number of trucks, with 72 percent of the fleet. The bucket trucks are used to put operators and utility technicians up on poles for repairing or maintaining utility lines. The walk-in vans provide workspace and electric power for a work crew on the job. The digger derricks provide a large drill bit and mechanism for drilling a hole in the

ground for a utility pole to be set into and jaws that are used to pick up a pole to set it into the hole. The compressor trucks are used to run an air compressor for providing fresh air into manholes while workers are in the chamber.

The hybrid truck developers also built three fuel tankers that are used on the grounds of a nuclear site to dispense fuel into vehicles on the grounds, rather than taking the vehicles off the site.

Figure 2: Odyne Truck Body Types




Source: EPRI

The Odyne hybrid system can be installed on numerous original equipment manufacturer (OEM) vehicles. This program has used trucks from four different OEMs: Freightliner, International, Ford, and Kenworth. Figure 3 shows the number of units of each that were built for this program. The bodies for these trucks were made by six final-stage manufacturers: Altec, DUECO, Terex, Vanair, Utilimaster, and Amthor.

The participants were responsible for ordering and purchasing the base vehicle and installing the charging stations at their locations. The PHEV system and other systems on the vehicles were the responsibility of the program.


Figure 3: Number of Odyne Trucks Produced, by Manufacturer



Source: EPRI

The participants selected almost 50 percent of the Odyne fleet as the large Class 8 trucks, with Class 7 being the second most (Figure 4). Class 6 trucks have a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) of 19,501 to 26,000 lbs. Class 7 trucks have a GVWR of 26,001 to 33,000 lbs. Class 8 trucks are 33,000 lbs. and greater.


Figure 4: Number of Odyne Trucks Produced, by Class



Source: EPRI

VIA delivered two different body types, a pickup truck, and a van. There were more pickup trucks than vans. The vans came in three configurations: a passenger van with multiple seats, a cargo van without extra seats, and an accessible cargo van that has access into the van through the side panels. Figure 5 shows the breakdown of the vehicle types.

Figure 5: VIA Van and Truck Body Types Produced



Source: EPRI


CHAPTER 3:

Odyne Design, Development, and Manufacturing

System Design


The Odyne hybrid system is a simple, parallel hybrid system that allows the torque of the electric motor to augment the torque output of the diesel engine, thus saving fuel. The motor speed is synchronized with the engine speed through the power takeoff (PTO) unit. The traction motor drives the PTO, adding torque to the rear axle, or converts torque from the PTO into power to charge the hybrid batteries (Figures 6 and 7). Odyne has six patents for this system, and other patents are pending.

Figure 6: Odyne Powertrain Configuration



Source: Odyne

Figure 7: Odyne Hybrid Architecture



Source: Odyne

The motor can also drive the hydraulic pump that controls the aerial device. A clutch in the PTO allows the motor to drive the hydraulic pump for the aerial device. If the clutch is closed, the diesel engine torque drives the pump and concurrently charges the hybrid batteries through the traction motor.

The advantages of the electrically driven hydraulic pump are reduction in sound level at the job site, improved fuel consumption, and reduced emissions. The diesel engine need not idle during the hydraulic pump control. The pump is activated only when the operator provides the control to move the hydraulics. This feature saves energy when the aerial device is being used.

The Odyne parallel hybrid solution provides a redundant system for the operator to minimize any downtime. If the motor or part of the system breaks, the truck can still be used in its conventional way. It provides the ability to retrofit existing trucks in the field and allows for low validation and capital equipment costs.

The Odyne system requires no modifications to the OEM drivetrain. The hybrid system is simply an added system. It is a simplified system with integration with the PTO. The system uses the compatible "Society of Automotive Engineers J1939 Recommended Practice for Serial Control and Communications Heavy-Duty Vehicle Network" (SAE J1939) vehicle controller area network (CAN) communications.


The Odyne operational modes are driving mode, stationary mode, and charge mode, as follows:

- **Drive mode:** The launch assist and regenerative braking operate automatically in a charge-depleting condition during normal drive when state of charge (SOC) is greater than 5 percent. The vehicle enters a charge-sustaining when SOC is between 5 and 0 percent. Drive mode is automatically disabled during an antilock braking system event and can be manually disabled by a cab switch.
- **Stationary mode:** Also called electric PTO operation. Provides engine-off mechanical power through the hybrid motor/battery to run hydraulic or pneumatic equipment. It also provides electric power for equipment or heating and air conditioning through 12 volt (V) and 120/240 V inverters. During the stationary mode when the SOC is low, an engine charge occurs. The engine charge is capable of field charging the battery using the vehicle internal combustion engine/hybrid motor. The system provides automatic engine start and power transfer at 5 percent SOC, and it maintains all work functions while charging from 5 to 30 percent SOC. When the SOC reaches 30 percent, the engine is automatically shut down and reverts to full-electric operation. This is done for efficiency and to allow the engine to shut down for noise reasons.
- **Charge mode:** The state in which the vehicle is connected to the grid through the EVSE and power flows to the vehicle through the onboard charger to the batteries.

In the standard configuration, the truck has two battery packs, one on each side of the chassis rails, and the cooling system is mounted at the rear of the cab on the driver's side. The inverter and motor are mounted between the rails, and the smart charging system is mounted

on the charger, near the left side battery (Figure 8). Some vehicles may have slightly different configurations due to chassis or application packaging constraints.

Figure 8: Odyne Chassis Assembly



Source: Odyne

The Odyne system also provided a 3 kilowatt (kW) charging system to charge the batteries. During the design phase, discussions were held to determine the required charge time. Most Class 6 to 8 trucks are used during only one shift, leaving up to 12 hours to charge the batteries on the off shifts. Therefore, the 3 kW charger was selected for the truck. It requires less than a seven-hour charge time for this configuration, leaving plenty of time until the truck is needed again. The charging connector and interface are compliant with "Society of Automotive Engineers J1772 Recommended Practice for Electric Vehicle and Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle Conductive Charge Coupler" (SAE J1772). Each truck was also provided with a 120 V Level 1 EVSE (cord set).

The Odyne trucks were also provided with a 6 kW, 120/240 V, pure sinusoidal 60 hertz (Hz) export power. The walk-in trucks all had two 6 kW export power units, providing 12 kW of export power. Each truck had its own export power interface that was provided by the FSM. The Odyne system specifications are listed in Appendix A. Tables 1 and 2 list the design matrices.

Table 1: Matrix of Chassis and Engine Models and Transmission Series (Allison 2000, 3000, 4000)

Manufacturer	Chassis Model	Engine Model
International	4300/4400	DT, N9
International	7300/7400/7500	DT, N9, N10, ISB6.7, ISL9
Kenworth	T370	PX-9
Ford	F750	ISB6.7
Freightliner	108SD	ISB6.7, ISL9
Freightliner	114SD	ISL9, DD13
FCCC	MT55	ISB6.7

Source: EPRI

Table 2: Matrix of Seven Primary Applications and Seven Final-Stage Manufacturers

Primary Power	Application	Final-Stage Manufacturer
Hydraulic	Aerial	Altec, Dueco, Terex
Hydraulic	Digger	Altec, Dueco, Terex
Hydraulic	Fuel pumper	Amthor
Hydraulic	Compressor/vacuum	Cobalt/Vanair
Pneumatic	Boss compressor	Dueco
Pneumatic	Vanair compressor	Cobalt, Hudson Valley
Electric	Walk-in	Utilimaster

Source: EPRI

During the Odyne design phase, each OEM provided drawings for each different truck to determine which truck specifications and dimensions could accommodate the hybrid system. Figure 9 shows the approved configurations for this program. Participants could order only the chassis that fit the approved criteria. Typically, the longer the cab-to-axle (CA) dimension, the easier it is to the package.

The FSMs were required to provide a fiber optic system to insulate the control of the aerial device from the bucket control. The boom itself is insulated from ground to ensure that it does not provide an electrical path to ground if the bucket accidentally hits a high power line. Because the PHEV trucks are most efficient as on-demand systems, the hydraulic controls that normally go to the bucket were replaced by a fiber optic control circuit to provide system demand signals while maintaining the insulation of the aerial device. This fiber optic system is an extra cost for the truck because the battery pack is on the truck. This added system should be taken into account with the economics of the trucks.

Figure 9: Chassis Configurations


Chassis	Drivetrain	Cab	Exhaust	<102" CA	102" CA	108" CA	110-118" CA	120" CA	126" CA	128" CA	134" CA	140" CA	
International 4300 / 4400	4 x 2	Standard	Vertical	Not Approved	Potential Risk	Approved							
			Horizontal	Not Approved			7BDB	7BDB		Approved			
		Extended	Vertical	Not Approved		Potential Risk	Approved						
			Horizontal	Not Approved			7BDB	7BDB	Approved				
	6 x 4	Standard	Vertical	Not Approved							Potential Risk	Approved	
			Horizontal	Not Approved								Potential Risk	
Freightliner M2 106	4 x 2	Standard	Vertical	Not Approved			Potential Risk	Approved					
			Horizontal	Not Approved					Potential Risk	Approved			
		Extended	Vertical	Not Approved					Potential Risk	Approved			
			Horizontal	Not Approved					Potential Risk	Approved			
Ford F-750	4 x 2	Standard	Vertical	Not Approved	Potential Risk	Approved							
			Horizontal	Not Approved				Approved					
		Extended	Vertical	Not Approved	Potential Risk	Approved							
			Horizontal	Not Approved					Approved				

Source: Odyne

The controls were a large effort for the vehicle development. A simulation package was used to develop the hybrid control software. Using current industry best practices, failure modes and effects analysis, design reviews, and system simulation, the control systems were totally revamped from the earlier, first-generation Odyne development.

A simple system diagram is shown in Figure 10. The system consists of the electric motor, inverter, direct current (DC)-to-DC converter, charger, hybrid controller, cooling system, battery pack, and battery controller.

Figure 10: Odyne System Diagram



Source: Odyne

Performance

Mass and GVWR

The added mass for the hybrid system is 1,860 lbs. (Table 3). This mass reduces the payload by the same amount. The highest mass components are the two battery packs. The battery packs are electrically configured in parallel and are mounted on the outside of the rails on both sides of the truck. Heavy cantilevered brackets are used to house and hold the battery packs. The next design phase should concentrate to eliminate some bracket mass while reliably maintaining the integrity of the system.

Table 3: Odyne Payload Analysis


Item	Standard (lb)	Hybrid (lb)
Gross vehicle weight rating	36,220	36,220
Bare chassis	11,740	11,740
Hybrid system	n/a	1,860
Body and equipment	15,520	15,520
Finished bucket truck	27,260	29,120
Payload	8,960	7,100
Payload change: $\frac{1860}{7100 + 1860} = (21\%)$		

Source: Odyne

The Mass Study results are shown in Figure 11.

Figure 11: Mass Study Results

- Chassis (Extended Cab)
 - FA: 7,253 lb
 - RA: 4,487 lb
 - Total: 11,740 lb
 - HCG (RA): 133 in.
- Hybrid Chassis
 - FA: 7,826 lb
 - RA: 5,774 lb
 - Total: 13,600 lb
 - HCG (RA): 124 in.
- Odyne Hybrid System
 - FA: 573 lb
 - RA: 1,287 lb
 - Total: 1,860 lb
 - HCG (RA): 66 in.



Source: Odyne

Fuel Economy and Emissions

The fuel economy of these vehicles depends on whether the vehicle is charge frequently and whether the vehicle uses all the electric energy available during the daily operation. Obviously, if more electric energy is used, less diesel fuel will be used.


Two calibrations were completed for the Odyne vehicles. One calibration was considered aggressive (strong), and the other was considered mild. The difference is that the aggressive calibration caused the battery energy to be depleted more quickly during the drive phase to the job site than did the mild calibration. The mild calibration would allow more battery energy to be used at the job site than the aggressive calibration. The objective was to determine which one would be used more.

The calibration is changeable through the telematics system, but the operator cannot change it. The trucks with the calibrations were determined quite randomly, but with some judgement.

Some participants have requested that the other calibration be used.

The mild calibration applies less motor torque and limits the amount of launch assist to save more energy for the job site later. The aggressive calibration has a higher torque limit and increases the amount of launch assist to increase fuel economy benefits while driving (Figure 12).


Figure 12: Mild vs. Aggressive Performance—Acceleration



Source: Odyne

The mild calibration focuses on lower-speed operation and limits the speed range of launch assist to save energy for the job site. The aggressive calibration extends to higher vehicle speeds. It extends the range of launch assist to cover more driving conditions and increase fuel economy benefits while driving (Figure 13).

Figure 13: Mild vs. Aggressive Performance—Vehicle Speed




Source: Odyne

During the charge-sustained mode, as the battery SOC approaches 0 approach, the system reduces the torque available for launch by the percentage indicated by the modifier graph in Figure 14.

Regeneration rates remain the same. This method allows the vehicle to dynamically sustain charge, usually around 1 to 2 percent SOC.

Figure 14: Charge-Sustained Mode Modifier



Source: Odyne

Development tests were performed at Southwest Research Institute. Four drive cycles representing the range of utility industry driving were evaluated:

1. The Combined International Local and Commuter Cycle, which was developed under the U.S. EPA for the utility service industry.
2. The Heavy-Heavy-Duty Diesel Truck emissions test, which was originally recommended by the ARB.
3. The Orange County Transportation Authority test, which represents city applications.
4. The U.S. EPA Heavy-Duty Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule, which also represents city driving conditions. See Appendix C for drive cycles.

Testing was performed on the same vehicle in conventional and hybrid modes under both driving and job site conditions. The results shown in Table 4 are the average of three valid test runs. The results indicate that there is improvement with both the aggressive and mild calibrations. The mild calibration improved fuel economy by 12 to 15 percent, and the aggressive calibration had a 30 to 46 percent improvement.

Table 4: Fuel Economy for Drive Cycles


Cummins/Ford G2V2 (December 2013)	Fuel Economy (mpg)	Improvement
Combined International Local and Commuter Cycle		

Cummins/Ford G2V2 (December 2013)	Fuel Economy (mpg)	Improvement
Average conventional	5.27	
Average hybrid mild calibration	6.07	15.2%
Average hybrid aggressive calibration	7.72	46.5%
Orange County Transportation Authority cycle		
Average conventional	3.96	
Average hybrid mild calibration	4.44	12.1%
Average hybrid aggressive calibration	5.57	40.7%
Heavy-Heavy-Duty Diesel Truck transient cycle		
Average conventional	4.81	
Average hybrid mild calibration	5.46	13.5%
Average hybrid aggressive calibration	7.09	32.2%

Source: Odyne


One of the benefits of the Odyne system is to combine the fuel and emissions savings while driving with the engine-off benefits of hybrid job site operation. To accurately assess the system, the combined benefits are calculated in the full day work cycle. Using the data that were gathered on the fleet, an average day's parameters can be calculated. These data are shown in Figures 15 through 18. The average drive distance is 26 miles, the average stationary work is complete in 2.8 hours, and the average idle time is 1.6 hours.

Figure 15: Plot of Real Data for Work Time vs. Distance




Source: EPRI

Figure 16: Histogram of Drive Distance




Source: EPRI

Figure 17: Histogram of Stationary Work Time



Source: EPRI

Figure 18: Histogram of Idle Time



Source: EPRI

Using the fuel and emissions test results from the dynamometer testing and the average use case, Odyne system benefits can be calculated as shown in Table 5 and Figures 19 through 21 for a full-day work cycle. The results are the following:


- Reduces full-day fuel use by 50 percent or more
- Reduces greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 50 percent or more
- Reduces NOx emissions by 80 percent or more

Table 5: Odyne Fuel Consumption

Mode	Baseline Vehicle	Odyne Mild Calibration	Odyne Aggressive Calibration
Driving (26 miles/day)	3.52	3.23	2.58
Electric PTO at job site (2.8 hours/day)	3.07	0	0
Idle at job site (1.7 hours/day)	0.70	0	0
Engine charge (if needed)	N/A	0	0
Workday total	7.29	3.23	2.58
Total savings (gal)		4.06	4.71
Total savings (%)		55.7%	64.6%


Source: Odyne

Figure 19: Odyne Fuel Consumption




Source: Odyne

Figure 20: Odyne Carbon Dioxide Emissions



Source: Odyne

Figure 21: Odyne NOx Emissions



Source: Odyne

Testing for ARB certification submission was performed at the University of California, Riverside's Center for Environmental Research and Technology test facility. The results are a workday summary consisting of weighted Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS), consisting of cold and hot start cycles, and stationary cycle (two-hour idle plus 30-minute engine charge). Two project vehicles were used for testing. Only mild calibration was tested and submitted. Using ARB-recommended procedures, the Odyne system delivered a full-day fuel and CO2 reduction of 40 to 50 percent and a NOx reduction of 70 to 80 percent, including a field engine charge (Table 6).

Table 6: Air Resources Board Test Results

	CO2 (grams per test)	NOx (grams per test)	Fuel Usage (gallons per test)
Vehicle 1			
Conventional	49,415	64.882	4.976
Hybrid	23,823	18.440	2.399
Difference	-51.8%	-71.6%	-51.8%
Vehicle 2			
Conventional	40,792	198.404	4.108
Hybrid	24,148	39.409	2.430
Difference	-40.8%	-80.1%	-40.8%

Source: Odyne

The Odyne system was reviewed by the U.S. EPA in January 2014, who determined that no further review or information was needed for Odyne to proceed with production. Odyne is now beginning development of system enhancements to meet the 2017 U.S. EPA heavy-duty vehicle onboard diagnostics requirements.

Odyne has submitted an application for exemption from the prohibition of California Vehicle Code Sections 27156 and 38391. Odyne was sent the test letter in October 2014. The test letter explained that two vehicles over two drive cycles should be planned to cover the Odyne range of engine families. Odyne began testing in February 2015 and submitted data in the middle of March 2015. The NOx increases while driving were duly noted. A revised test plan established by ARB that included a stationary cycle was received in July 2015. Results were submitted and accepted, and vehicles were released. Odyne has received an executive order from the ARB for the final approval; the Odyne system was found not to reduce the effectiveness of the applicable vehicle pollution control system. The 15 trucks in the field with only hydraulic control and without traction assist will now have the software changed to enable the traction control.

Odyne Certification Observations

Current certification paradigms did not fit the Odyne system, which has made approval more difficult for both Odyne and the ARB in the following ways:

- Experimental exemption. This requires removal of the system after one year, which is not economically viable for Odyne or advantageous for the customer.
- Certification. Odyne is not certifying an engine family, but applying an auxiliary assist mechanism (during driving) across many engine platforms that are customer and application driven.
 - Odyne would not have the resources to perform full engine certification for so many engines.
 - The initial volume for one engine family is not sufficient to interest OEMs at this time.
- Aftermarket exemption.
 - Written for much smaller aftermarket components. The ARB and Odyne struggled in applying all the deliverables (installation manuals, parts lists, and so on) to such a large system.
 - Technically, Odyne is an intermediate-stage manufacturer, as defined by Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS). Therefore, the commercial rules for aftermarket have proven to be challenging. Odyne cannot skip a PHEV to some customers because it would then violate the aftermarket definition.
 - Test procedures and facility capabilities were not available. Odyne has codeveloped with the ARB the facilities used during the process.
 - Test-to-test variation is still questionable.
 - Full-day cycle is just now being defined.
 - Facilities do not have the capability to do a good job on stationary cycles.

Crash and Safety

Safety is an important design feature. Odyne uses a high-voltage interlock loop and isolation fault protection that will disable the hybrid system if an electrical fault is detected. In addition, an inertia switch will disable the system if a crash event is detected. Odyne had no direct requirements to crash the vehicles.

Odyne Engineering Process

Odyne's engineering process was complete with development, validation, and production plans; design failure modes and effects analysis; risk assessments; and bills of materials. The bills of materials have more than 760 lines, which included 183 new parts and 17 unique subassemblies. Design reviews were held periodically during the engineering process (Table 7).

Table 7: Odyne Design Reviews

Design Review	Title
O1	Technical program kickoff
O1a	System requirements and design
O1b	System requirements and design and long-lead release review
O2	Production validation and release review
O3	Production validation complete
O4	Production release review
O5	Initial hybridization of production chassis
O6	Delivery of 12 production chassis

Source: EPRI

Odyne Manufacturing Accomplishments

The manufacturing process that Odyne is using adds another step to the typical process for Class 6 to 8 trucks. Conventionally, the customer orders the chassis from one of the major OEMs and it is sent directly to an FSM that adds the body to chassis for the end product that is sent to the customer. In the hybridization process, the chassis is first sent to Odyne, where Odyne modifies and adds the hybrid components and makes the truck drivable as a chassis only. The hybridized chassis is then sent to the FSM for final body completion. Figure 22 shows the chassis as received from the OEM with the hybrid system installed, and Figure 23 shows the final configuration as a digger derrick.

Figure 22: Chassis with Hybrid System Installed



Photo credit: Odyne

Figure 23: Final Configuration as a Digger Derrick



Photo credit: Odyne

Odyne manufacturing was completed by Inland Power Group-Allison transmission dealer/service center in Butler, Wisconsin. Odyne contracted the facility to install the Odyne hybrid systems on the Class 6 to 8 trucks. Inland is a manufacturer within 15 minutes of Odyne's Waukesha engineering offices and development area. Inland has had good experience with Allison and has provided modifications to Allison transmissions before sending them to the Jamesville General Motors Assembly Plant. The facility handles three truck build sites, one test site, and a sick bay. Up to 20 trucks per month can be produced at this facility. Chassis were accepted at the Odyne facility and transported to the Inland site for hybrid installation. All parts were delivered to Inland, and subassemblies were made for the trucks. The build began at the end of July 2013. The last vehicle was delivered to the FSM in October 2014. The original development builds were slow, but Odyne achieved their production rate when

production began. Figures 24 and 25 show the Inland Power Group facility and the manufacturing floor.

Figure 26 shows technicians installing a battery pack.

Figure 24: Inland Power Group-Allison Transmission Dealer/Service Center in Butler, Wisconsin



Photo credit: Odyne

Figure 25: Manufacturing Floor at Inland



Photo credit: Odyne

Figure 26: Installing a Battery onto a Chassis



Photo credit: Odyne

Odyne Vehicle Manufacturing Testing

An automatic tester was installed in the test stall that would cycle the vehicles through all modes of operation, including beeping the horn when the test was completed (Figure 27). This equipment was highly effective in its execution of the test and could identify any issues to be repaired and provide a report for successful completion of the test.


Figure 27: Using the Automatic Tester for Testing the Final Assembled Hybrid System



Photo credit: Odyne


Each truck was verified using the automatic test procedure, which takes 60—90 minutes to complete (Figures 28 and 29). The procedure includes hydraulic loads to discharge the battery. Each accessory is tested, including heater, air conditioner, and export power. CAN messages and performance are measured and analyzed before acceptance of the test.

Figure 28: End of Line Load Test—Run Screen



Source: Odyne

Figure 29: End of Line Load Test—Manual Screen




Source: Odyne

Odyne Cost Analysis

Odyne performed a cost analysis of their PHEV system. The current system is at low volume and is based on recent shop orders. The cost of 15 components make up 93 percent of the component material cost. Increasing the volume to 5,000 units can reduce the costs by 21 percent. The battery pack has the largest cost of the entire system, at about 38 percent of the cost (Figure 30). Figure 30 shows costs for both the 28 kilowatt-hour (kWh) and 14 kWh systems at various production volumes. The cost analysis does not include the cost of the fiber-optic system that is required for this system by the FSMs.

Figure 30: Odyne Cost Analysis




Source: EPRI

Odyne has continued to work on reducing the cost of the system. The first step in the process was to determine if there were any quick or easy adaptations. Figure 31 shows that a majority of the duty cycles do not require the full 28 kWh system and could possibly be handled by a 14 kWh system. This led to considering a 14 kWh system as a method of reducing the capital cost of the system. The ability to offer a system with one less battery pack was fairly significant. The data show that the cost could be reduced by about 20 percent if only half the battery energy was required. Calculations indicate that the net cost of ownership can be reduced in these applications by using a single battery pack with a slight increase in engine charging events.

Figure 31: Battery Energy Tradeoff

Average Energy Use by Vehicle



Source: Odyne

The costs experienced to date are based on low production volumes. Odyne's suppliers have provided pricing on components based on the economies of scale associated with higher volumes. If the volumes reach higher levels (see Figure 30) the component cost reductions will translate to a lower sale price.

Odyne has been working with third-party installers to reduce the hours required to install a system. There has been a significant drop from the start of the program to the most recent units built.

Odyne will be working on a full system redesign in 2015—2016. They plan to use the lessons learned from the current system to help design a less costly system. The current system may continue to be a product offering for certain duty cycles, but Odyne is convinced that many customers would be better served by a system designed for their lower duty cycles.

Odyne Data Analysis

Data were collected using the data acquisition system described in Chapter 5, as well as Odyne's data acquisition system. The results are described in this section.

All 119 Odyne vehicles are equipped with a data acquisition system that collects data at a rate of up to 1 Hz. Data are collected during the day and sent to the server daily. Data collected include the following:

- Motor current and voltage

- Battery current and voltage
- Charger current and voltage
- Motor and engine torque and speed
- Export power current and voltage
- Odometer
- Vehicle speed
- Accelerator and brake pedal position
- Fuel used
- Charger time
- Software and calibration level

Data for the year include 150,366 miles driven in more than 7,267 hours, with a total of 12,412 job site hours. Of the total distance traveled, 95 percent was charge depleting.

Figure 32 presents the fleet summary totals, and Figure 33 presents the mean plus or minus standard deviation.

Figure 32: Fleet Summary—Totals

	All Applications			Digger		Medium Aerial		Large Aerial	
	Both	Mild	Agg	Mild	Agg	Mild	Agg	Mild	Agg
# Vehicles	95.0	51.0	44.0	7.0	5.0	21.0	24.0	12.0	10.0
# Vehicle-Days	11278.0	5618.0	5660.0	513.0	615.0	3918.0	3153.0	807.0	1161.0
Net Distance (mi)	150365.7	73561.1	76804.5	3802.5	3652.6	43685.1	58769.6	18798.9	10254.1
Net Distance CD (mi)	143308.3	72974.7	70333.6	3631.8	3403.5	43348.3	52766.2	18726.3	10046.1
Net Distance CS (mi)	7423.8	527.0	6896.9	168.6	246.5	327.8	6361.7	15.1	281.5
Net Charge Energy (kWh)	59287.3	23599.3	35688.1	2125.9	2102.5	14909.8	28444.1	5079.3	4440.1
Net Drive Energy (kWh)	30323.2	9482.4	20840.8	557.3	1250.1	5938.1	16519.2	2220.9	2625.9
Net ePTO Energy (kWh)	25284.6	13100.8	12183.8	1001.9	866.5	7984.3	9082.8	2912.5	1797.1
Net Eng. Charge Energy (kWh)	4399.3	1385.8	3013.4	133.0	165.5	865.5	2513.6	153.2	317.1
Net Plug-In Hours	163007.7	78911.1	84096.6	8872.8	8842.4	42375.1	57360.3	16139.3	11884.7
Net Driving Hour	7267.0	3704.5	3562.5	170.2	138.8	2170.1	2853.0	973.5	418.7
Net Jobsite Hour	12412.3	6226.3	6186.0	266.0	274.6	3908.4	4551.3	1085.7	687.2
Net Stat. Idle Hours	11369.1	5116.3	6252.8	272.6	287.3	3183.4	4527.1	1203.6	1156.1
Net Drive Fuel (gal)	24956.3	12482.8	12473.6	775.0	676.8	7493.6	9761.1	3358.7	1625.1
Net Eng Charge Fuel (gal)	693.9	216.6	477.3	22.6	27.2	136.4	397.9	31.4	51.4
Net Stat. Idle Fuel (gal)	5967.7	2745.9	3221.8	145.5	160.0	1784.0	2346.9	607.6	602.3

Source: Odyne


Figure 33: Fleet Summary—Mean Plus or Minus Standard Deviation

	All Applications			Digger		Medium Aerial		Large Aerial	
Note: all averages are daily	Both	Mild	Agg	Mild	Agg	Mild	Agg	Mild	Agg
# Vehicles	95.0	51.0	44.0	7.0	5.0	21.0	24.0	12.0	10.0
# Vehicle-Days	11278.0	5618.0	5660.0	513.0	615.0	3918.0	3153.0	807.0	1161.0
Ave. Distance (mi)	23.8±16.8	23.8±17.3	23.7±16.3	18.8±15.5	20.5± 15.6	22.7±16.5	23.9± 15.7	29.9±19.9	26.6± 18.2
Ave. Distance CD (mi)	22.9±16.4	23.8±17.3	22.1±15.5	18.1±15.5	19.3± 14.1	22.7±16.5	21.8± 14.9	30.4±19.7	26.6± 17.8
Ave. Distance CS (mi)	10.30±10.79	6.51±7.25	10.78±11.07	0.84±4.57	1.40±3.98	0.17±1.24	2.63±7.31	0.02±0.43	0.74±2.92
Ave. Charge Energy (kWh)	10.1±7.8	8.2±6.3	11.8±8.6	8.3±7.6	10.4± 7.9	8.8±6.4	12.4± 8.7	8.0±5.9	12.3± 8.0
Ave. Drive Energy (kWh)	6.2±5.8	3.9±2.8	8.6±7.0	3.7±3.2	9.1± 6.2	4.1±3.1	8.9± 7.1	3.9±2.3	9.2± 6.7
Ave. ePTO Energy (kWh)	5.2±4.6	5.3±5.1	5.0±4.2	6.7±5.3	6.3± 5.2	5.5±4.8	4.9± 4.1	5.1±5.0	6.3± 4.2
Ave. Eng. Charge Energy (kWh)	5.7±4.2	5.1±4.1	6.0±4.3	0.9±2.2	1.2± 2.5	0.6±2.0	1.4± 3.3	0.3±1.5	1.1± 2.8
Ave. Plug-In Hours	15.9±6.9	15.4±7.0	16.3±6.8	15.7±8.4	18.6± 6.5	15.2±6.9	15.9± 6.8	14.8±6.8	16.5± 6.8
Ave. Driving Hour	1.5±0.9	1.5±1.0	1.4±0.8	1.3±0.7	1.1± 0.5	1.4±0.9	1.5± 0.8	1.9±1.0	1.4± 0.8
Ave. Jobsite Hour	2.6±1.8	2.6±1.9	2.5±1.8	1.9±1.3	2.0± 1.4	2.6±1.8	2.4± 1.7	2.4±1.7	2.5± 1.7
Ave. Stat. Idle Hours	2.0±1.7	1.9±1.7	2.1±1.7	1.6±1.4	1.7± 1.5	1.8±1.7	2.0± 1.6	2.2±2.0	2.9± 2.2
Ave. Drive Fuel (gal)	4.0±2.7	4.1±2.8	3.9±2.6	3.9±2.9	3.8± 2.7	3.9±2.6	4.0± 2.5	5.4±3.5	4.3± 2.7
Ave. Eng Charge Fuel (gal)	1.0±0.7	0.9±0.6	1.1±0.7	0.2±0.4	0.2± 0.4	0.1±0.3	0.2± 0.5	0.1±0.3	0.2± 0.5
Ave. Stat. Idle Fuel (gal)	1.0±1.0	0.9±0.9	1.0±1.0	0.8±0.8	0.9± 0.8	1.0±1.0	1.0± 1.0	1.0±0.9	1.5± 1.3
Ave. KI (1/mi)	1.9±1.5	1.8±1.5	1.9±1.5	2.3±1.9	1.6± 1.6	1.9±1.6	2.1± 1.5	1.3±1.0	1.0± 1.0
Ave. Fuel Economy (mpg)	5.9±1.5	5.8±1.5	6.1±1.6	4.6±0.9	5.2± 1.1	5.6±1.2	5.9± 1.3	5.5±1.0	6.1± 1.5
Ave. Drive Energy/Distance (kWh/mi)	0.4±0.3	0.2±0.2	0.5±0.4	0.4±0.3	0.5± 0.3	0.3±0.3	0.5± 0.4	0.2±0.2	0.5± 0.4

Source: Odyne


Figures 34 through 36 show examples of typical days for the trucks. The charts plot the SOC of the battery against the time of day on the horizontal axis. Several modes are illustrated, including SOC while plugged in, SOC change due to the PTO, engine charge SOC, drive SOC, and idle SOC. These charts have become known as *SOC V charts* because, under optimum conditions, the plot looks like a V. Ideally, the V would start at or near the 100 percent SOC point, go to 0 percent, and then return to 100 percent. The left side of the V uses the energy from the battery to drive or move the hydraulics, and the right side is the battery charge.

Figure 34: SOC, Large Aerial Truck Example




Source: Odyne

Figure 35: SOC, Digger Derrick Example



Source: Odyne

Figure 36: SOC, Walk-In Van Example




Source: Odyne

As shown in Figures 34 through 36, there was some unwanted idle time that was not necessary because it is a hybrid and does not require idle time. If the idle time can be eliminated, there is a potential to achieve more fuel savings, as shown in the figures. In Figure 34, it is estimated that 7.4 gallons of fuel were saved over a conventional vehicle. If the idle time could be eliminated, a total of nine gallons of diesel fuel could be saved. Figures 35 and 36 show other examples of this. Figure 35 has an example of an engine charge. The truck was run until the battery energy was gone, and then the engine came on near the 19:00 hours mark to charge the battery.

Simple charts like Figure 37 show how the vehicles are being used. The layout of the data is by day of the month, like a calendar. The data shown are SOC versus time of day, or an SOC V chart, as defined earlier. The data shown in Figure 37 compare the SOC of two project vehicles for one month. Vehicle A shows little activity, whereas vehicle B shows much more activity, including many full charges. The project contains a mix of high- and low-use vehicles; however, usage appears to be increasing with time. To provide reasonable payback, hybrid systems should be targeted toward more high-usage applications.

Figure 37: Comparison of SOC for a Low-Usage and a High-Usage Vehicle



Source: Odyne

Table 8 shows data for the most recent quarter (the second quarter of 2015). Many fleets took weeks or months to put their hybrid vehicles into regular use. Some contributing factors to the delay were licensing, registration, facility assignment, crew assignment, training, and equipment transfer. Sporadic early use results in low-usage averages.

Table 8: Usage Summary for the Second Quarter of 2015


Usage Summary	
Number of vehicles	90
Number of vehicle days	4,873
Total distance (mi)	74,619
Total driving time (hr)	3,604
Total job site time (hr)	6,424
Total idle time (hr)	4,230
Total drive fuel (gal)	11,365
Total engine charge fuel (gal)	269

Usage Summary	
Total idle fuel (gal)	1,869

Source: Odyne

Typically, the vehicles were operated during the early daytime hours and plugged in during the afternoon and night (Figure 38). Most utilities appear to start their shifts around 5 to 6 a.m. and finish using the vehicles by 1 to 3 p.m. Most of the work outside that timeframe is due to emergencies or local restrictions.


Figure 38: Modal Time of Day



Source: Odyne

As Figure 39 shows, more than 60 percent of plug-ins occurred between noon and 4 p.m., and the peak load on the grid occurs around 4 p.m. Much of the charging is completed by midnight. This shows the opportunity for smart charging—to delay charging until there is no peak demand for the electricity.


Figure 39: Plug-In Charging



Source: Odyne

Most vehicles average less than two hours of driving in a working day, and average daily job site usage ranges from one to six hours (Figure 40).


Figure 40: Vehicle Usage



Source: Odyne


Most utility trucks are driven only a short portion of the working day—the fleet average was about one-and-a-half hours and 26 miles per day (see Figures 40 through 44). These trucks generally work in local communities and respond to problems within a short distance.

Figure 41: Driving—Distance vs. Speed




Source: Odyne

Figure 42: Driving—Daily Drive Time




Source: Odyne

Figure 43: Driving—Daily Distance



Source: Odyne

Figure 44: Driving—Average Speed




Source: Odyne

Across the fleet, mild versus aggressive calibration did not affect the basic usage metrics. Measurements such as speed, distance, and hours were quite similar regardless of calibration.


Aggressive calibration improved the average fleet fuel economy by 8 percent compared to vehicles with mild calibration bus used more than twice the battery power to achieve it. On average, aggressive calibration saved an additional 0.3 gallons of diesel fuel and used 4.8 kWh more electricity than mild calibration. Figures 45 through 47 and Table 9 all illustrate the results.

Figure 45: Torque Calibration—Energy vs. Distance




Source: Odyne

Figure 46: Torque Calibration—Daily Fuel Economy



Source: Odyne

Figure 47: Torque Calibration—Drive Energy



Source: Odyne

Table 9: Drive Torque Calibration Comparison


Parameter	Mild Calibration	Aggressive Calibration
Drive fuel (gal)	4.1	3.8
Fuel economy (mpg)	6.2	6.7
Drive energy (kWh)	3.7	8.5
Drive energy/distance (kWh/100 mi)	20	50

Note: All values are daily averages.

Source: Odyne


The Odyne system focuses on providing engine-off support for stationary work applications. The average for the fleet was 2.8 hours of stationary work per day, with a broad distribution into the five- and six-hour range. The fleet also idled while stationary for an average of 1.7 hours per day without hybrid assist (a 0.7 gallons per vehicle-day opportunity), which may be an indicator of the need for follow-up training. On average, 5.5 kWh of energy were used in the stationary work mode (Figures 48 through 51).

Figure 48: Stationary—Energy vs. Time




Source: Odyne

Figure 49: Stationary—Job Site Time




Source: Odyne

Figure 50: Stationary—Idle Time



Source: Odyne


Figure 51: Stationary—Power Takeoff Energy



Source: Odyne


A typical vehicle is used for single-shift operation (with extended days) that starts between 5 a.m. and 8 a.m. Driving and stationary work are interspersed throughout the day. The majority of plug-ins occur between noon and 4 p.m. but can extend beyond midnight. Figures 52 through 55 show the results for a typical vehicle.

Figure 52: Typical—Mode Distribution




Source: Odyne

Figure 53: Typical—Drive Time




Source: Odyne

Figure 54: Typical—Stationary Time



Source: Odyne


Figure 55: Typical—Start of Charge Plug-In



Source: Odyne


A typical day consists of a drive time of one to three hours, an average distance of 26 miles, and an average speed of 21 miles per hour (MPH). The vehicle is involved in stationary work for up to six hours and idle time of up to two hours. The average time plugged in is 15.7 hours. Figures 56 through 59 show the data for a typical day.

Figure 56: Typical Day—Job Site vs. Distance




Source: Odyne

Figure 57: Typical Day—Drive Time




Source: Odyne

Figure 58: Typical Day—Stationary Time



Source: Odyne

Figure 59: Typical Day—Idle Time




Source: Odyne

Odyne Survey Results

The project team developed a survey and solicited feedback from both Odyne and VIA vehicle operators. The objective of the survey was to assess drivers' opinions of the vehicles with respect to performance, ease of use and charging, most-used features, overall impressions, and so on. The survey was completed online and took about 20 minutes to complete. It covered Odyne Class 6, 7, and 8 vehicles.

The majority of respondents (84 percent) had more than years of commercial driving experience (Figure 60).


Figure 60: Years of Experience Driving a Commercial Work Truck (N = 32)



Source: EPRI

The overall satisfaction rating, on a scale of 0 to 10, was 8.2. The highest ratings were for ease of use and charging, and the lowest were for intuitiveness of controls and ease of hydraulics use (Figure 61).


Figure 61: Satisfaction Ratings (N = 33)



Source: EPRI

The majority of respondents (62 percent) preferred the PHEV over their regular work trucks, and drivers clearly preferred the noise level of the PHEV's hydraulic device (Figure 62).


Figure 62: Overall Satisfaction (N = 27—29)



Source: EPRI

The majority of drivers would choose the PHEV as their main work truck (Figure 63).


Figure 63: Would Choose the Plug-In Hybrid Vehicle as Main Work Truck (N = 32)



Source: EPRI

Preferred features include quietness, acceleration, drive quality, and bucket operation (Figure 64).


Figure 64: Preferred Features (N = 28)



Source: EPRI

The most desired improvements included the cab design/amenities, storage space, charging issues, controls operation, and body design/layout (Figure 65).


Figure 65: Desired Improvements (N = 27)



Source: EPRI

When asked their opinions about the reasons for employers investigating the use of PHEV trucks, drivers most frequently cited improved fuel economy, reduced emissions, and reduced job site noise (Figure 66).

Figure 66: Reasons for Investigating the Use of Plug-In Hybrid Vehicles (N = 31)



Source: EPRI

Opportunities for the Future

Design Refinements

Several opportunities were identified, including the following:

- Packaging and cost
 - Improve battery space and upfit conflicts (smaller battery, 14 kWh, single-battery systems)
 - Reduce complexity; consolidate components and reduce the “little bits”
 - Use field data to optimize component and system requirements (14 kWh systems, smaller motors, inverters, and so on)
- Performance
 - Improve driving battery use; optimize power used per mile
 - Use multimode calibrations to optimize driving versus stationary days
- Other opportunities
 - Expand applications for Odyne engine-off heating and air conditioning
 - Further refine full-day test cycles
 - Investigate hybrid NOx effects (potential project with National Renewable Energy Laboratory)

Business Opportunities

Several business opportunities were also identified, as follows:

- Ongoing sales—2015 sales have exceeded the business plan
 - A total of 75 units; new and repeat customers
 - Strong growth in walk-in segment

- Orders have been closed for 2015 and begun for 2016
- Inland Power (Butler, Wisconsin) remains the primary manufacturing location, with Valley Power (Ontario, California) available for expansion and additional locations under investigation.
- New active projects
 - CEC and CALSTART: Established production at Valley Power Systems (Ontario, California); two of four vehicles delivered
 - CEC and EPRI: Develop retrofit capabilities at Valley Power (two of five trucks in process)
 - SCAQMD 14222: Develop retrofit system (in design; one SCE vehicle planned)
- Potential future projects
 - Further integration of engine-off fuel and emissions saving opportunities (alternative architecture yielding notably smaller and lower-cost solutions; improved heating and air conditioning integration, improved controls, smart auto-off systems)
 - Optimization of duty cycles (maximizing driving versus stationary energy tradeoff; user interfaces, telematics, smart, learning systems)
 - Investigation and improvement of hybrid NOx effects

CHAPTER 4:

VIA Development, Performance, and Manufacturing

System Design

Overall System Design

The VIA design is a series PHEV system. The electric motor provides all the propulsion power directly to the wheels. The gasoline engine provides torque to a generator that provides power to the battery pack and traction motor. The vehicles have up to 47 miles of all-electric range before the engine turns on and provides load-follower torque to the driveshaft while running in charge-sustaining mode. The general assembly process is that VIA purchases completed 2014 trucks from Chevrolet, removes the transmissions, and replaces them with generators. A motor and gearbox are attached to the propshaft for traction torque, and two inverters are used to control the generator and the motor.

The VIA Class 1 PHEV pickup truck design is as follows:


- Series hybrid system with single-speed gearbox
- 4.3 liter (L) gasoline V6 engine
- High-energy lithium-ion batteries (23 kWh, A123)
- Blended regenerative braking
- Onboard charger (14.5 kW)
- Charging level 1 (120 Vac) and level 2 (240 Vac)
- Reduces payload by about 950 lbs.
- Vehicle can be driven without being charged
- Extended cab
- Export power (14.4 kW, 120/240 Vac, 60 Hz)

The expected performance of the pickup truck is as follows:

- All-electric range up to 47 miles
- Range between refills up to 400 miles
- Charge time less than six hours with level 2 (240 Vac) charging
- Charge time less than 100 minutes with level 2 (240 Vac) charging and 14.5 kW
- FMVSS compliant
- Limited warranty eight years/150,000 miles

Figure 67 shows the pickup configuration.

Figure 67: VIA Pickup Configuration



Source: VIA

The VIA Class 2 van design is as follows:

- Series hybrid system with single-speed gearbox
- 4.8 L gasoline V8 engine
- Rear-wheel drive, 135 inch wheel base
- High-energy lithium-ion batteries (23 kWh, A123)
- Blended regenerative braking
- Onboard charger (14.5 kW)
- Charging level 1 (120 Vac) and level 2 (240 Vac)
- Reduces payload by about 865 lbs.
- Vehicle can be driven without being charged
- Cargo van or 12-seat arrangement
- Export power (14.4 kW, 120/240 Vac, 60 Hz)


The expected performance of the van is the following:

- All-electric range up to 48 miles
- Range between refills up to 400 miles
- Charge time less than six hours with level 2 (240 Vac) charging
- Charge time less than 100 minutes with level 2 (240 Vac) charging and 14.5 kW

- FMVSS compliant
- Limited warranty, eight years/150,000 miles

Figure 68 shows the van configuration.

Figure 68: VIA Van Configuration



Source: VIA

Battery Pack

The battery pack is cooled and heated depending on the environment of the battery. The pack is installed under the vehicle. The 23 kWh battery contains A123 lithium-ion prismatic cells configured in both series and parallel configurations (Figure 69).

Figure 69: Pickup Truck Battery Pack Configuration





Photo credit: VIA

A high-power charger was included in the design. The 14.5 kW charger allows for a 90 minute charge. The charging connector and interface are compliant with SAE J1772. The same electronics are also used for the 14.4 kW export power unit. A smart charging system was developed in conjunction with the charging system. This system is described in Section 4.2.

Export Power


Each VIA vehicle is equipped with export power. Export power provides the capability of plugging in electrical equipment at the job site. VIA provides 14.4 kW of power with either 240 Vac or 120 Vac. The export panel is equipped with a ground fault circuit interrupt (GFCI), a key to enable the power output, and switches to enable the power. The two 240 V receptacles are different from one another to accommodate two different available plugs. A power splitter cord is included to split the voltage into two or more 120 V receptacles. The export power output is inverted from the 350 V battery pack. When the battery is low on charge, the engine turns on and generates power to the battery to be used as export power. The export power panels are shown in Figures 70 and 71, and the power splitter cable is shown in Figure 72.

Figure 70: Export Power Panel for the Van



Source: VIA

Figure 71: Export Power Panel for the Pickup Truck



Display

The export power panel displays the following information:

APU = Internal combustion engine/generator OFF or ON

VIA export power = OFF or ON

Volts AC

Available Watts (W)

Photo credit: VIA

Figure 72: Power Splitter Cable



Photo credit: VIA

Dashboard Display

The dashboard display has been modified to allow the hybrid displays. A power gauge, a generator gauge, and an energy gauge, as well as a few new telltales, have been incorporated into the dashboard for driver reference. Figure 73 shows the van display, and Figure 74 shows the pickup truck display.

Figure 73: Van Dashboard Display



Source: VIA

Figure 74: Pickup Truck Dashboard Display



Source: VIA

Shift Control

The shift control (park, reverse, neutral, drive, manual [PRNDM]) on the VIA vehicles (Figure 75) is used just as in conventional vehicles. In the drive and reverse modes, if the SOC is high enough, the vehicle will drive in electric or charge-depleting mode. When the SOC is low, the engine will turn on and generate power to the battery and output motor in a charge-sustaining manner. If the SOC is less than 90 percent, shifting to the manual (M) position will cause the engine to turn on and maintain the SOC at this level. This can be used to save the stored energy in the battery to be used later for driving in all-electric mode or for exporting power. The manual mode (M position) can also be used to improve vehicle performance under extreme cold conditions or steep grade with lower SOC.

Figure 75: Shift Control




Photo credit: EPRI


Electric Vehicle Operating Modes

The extended-range electric truck has two modes for driving: battery electric and extended-range electric. In both modes, the vehicle is propelled by the electric traction motor. The traction motor uses electrical energy either from the high-voltage battery or from engine operation to drive the wheels. The level of performance is the same in either mode.

Battery Electric Mode

If the high-voltage battery is fully charged, the vehicle will operate using the high-voltage battery for an initial period (as far as 47 miles). The engine will not start until the battery reaches a low-level SOC. During this time, vehicle operation is quiet, no fuel is used, and no tailpipe emissions are produced. Figure 76 illustrates the battery electric mode.

Figure 76: Battery Electric Mode




Source: VIA

Extended-Range Electric Mode

When the battery charge falls to a low level (approximately 20 percent SOC), the vehicle switches to extended-range electric mode, and the gasoline-powered internal combustion engine starts automatically. The sound of the engine can be heard during operation. The engine is connected to a generator that produces electricity to propel the vehicle. The engine/generator combination is known as an auxiliary power unit (APU). Figure 77 illustrates the extended-range electric mode.

Figure 77: Extended-Range Electric Mode




Source: VIA

Regenerative Braking Mode

Regenerative braking enables the traction motor to operate as a generator when coasting or braking. This provides energy to charge the high-voltage battery. Both the hydraulic brakes and the drive motor provide braking. The braking system is computer-controlled and blends the regenerative braking with the conventional hydraulic disc brakes to meet any requirements for deceleration. As with all hydraulic-assist vehicles, the brake pedal may be harder to push and the stopping distance may be longer in the event of a controller problem. Figure 78 illustrates the regenerative braking mode.

Figure 78: Regenerative Braking Mode



Source: VIA

Performance

Mass and GVWR

Both the van and pickup truck added mass to the conventional vehicle (Figures 79 and 80). When the engineering tests were run, they were run at a higher GVWR than the conventional vehicle. This was done to provide a higher payload for these work trucks. The vehicles were crashed at the higher GVWR, as well, to allow the higher payload. Currently, the pickup loses about 950 lbs. of payload due to hybridization; future testing may improve that by about 400 lbs. The van loses about 865 lbs. due to hybridization.

Figure 79: Pickup Truck Mass Analysis (1500 Four-Wheel-Drive Crew Cab, 6.5 Foot Box)

1500 4WD Crew Cab Truck 6'6" Box		VIA		Stock
	Front	Rear	Total	Total
Curb Weight (lbs)	3820	2920	6740	5359
		Current	Projected*	
GVWR (lbs)		7500	7900	7100
Payload (lbs)		760	1160	1710
Payload Difference (lbs)		950	550	

Notes:

* Re-running FMVSS135 at 7900 lbs.

Source: VIA

Figure 80: Passenger Van Mass Analysis (3/4 Ton Van)


Passenger VAN 3/4 ton		VIA		Stock
	Front	Rear	Total	Total
Curb Weight (lbs)	3900	3610	7510	5873
GVWR (lbs)			9350	8600
Payload (lbs)			1840	2705
Payload Difference (lbs)			865	

Source: VIA

Acceleration

The van and pickup truck acceleration times are similar to that of conventional vehicles (Figures 81 and 82).


Figure 81: Truck Acceleration Times (Stock Truck Compared to VIA Truck)



TRUCK: 2014 Silverado 1500 4WD , 1WT CREW			
Vehicle characteristics	Stock	VIA (Pure EV)	VIA (APU)
0-60mph	12.1seconds	11.85 seconds	11.3 seconds
0-10mph	1.6seconds	1.8 seconds	1.8 seconds
0-20mph	3.0seconds	3.5 seconds	3.5 seconds
20-40 mph	3.6seconds	3.3 seconds	3.2 seconds
40-60 mph	5.4seconds	4.9 seconds	4.6 seconds

Source: VIA

Figure 82: Van Acceleration Times (Stock Van Compared to VIA Van)



VAN: 2014 Cargo			
Vehicle characteristics	GM (stock)	VIA (Pure EV)	VIA (APU)
0-60mph	11.3 seconds	11.1seconds	10.9seconds
0-10mph	1.3 seconds	1.8seconds	1.8 seconds
0-20mph	2.8 seconds	3.3seconds	3.4 seconds
20-40mph	3.5 seconds	3.1seconds	3.1 seconds
40-60mph	5.0 seconds	4.6seconds	4.5 seconds

Source: VIA

Towing

VIA conducted tests for towing heavy boats (4,780 lbs.), as shown in Figure 83. The truck maintained 60 MPH on a Provo Canyon climb.

Figure 83: Towing



Photo credit: VIA

Fuel Economy and Emissions

The fuel economy of the VIA vehicles is significant if the vehicle is charged often. The electric range is about 48 miles for the van and 47 miles for the pickup truck, using the assumptions shown in Figure 84.

Figure 84: Fuel Economy Comparison

TRUCK (Crew Cab 4x4) Weight 6670 Lbs / tires 255 @ 35 psi				
		VIA Vtrux	Conv Vehicle	% Improvement
Battery Electric Range (Charge Depleting)	City Drive	47.6 miles ave.		
	Highway Drive	47.5 miles ave.		
Fuel Economy (Charge Sustaining)	City Drive	19.6 mpg ave.	13.6 mpg (20% idle)	44%
	Highway Drive	22.2 mpg ave.	22 mpg (0% idle)	1%
Combined Fuel Economy (70% City/30% Hwy)	Combined Average	102 mpg Equiv.	16.1 mpg	534%

VAN (Cargo) Weight 6655 Lbs / tires 245 @ 70 psi F 80 psi R				
		VIA Vtrux	Conv Vehicle	% Improvement
Battery Electric Range (Charge Depleting)	City Drive	48.1 miles ave.		
	Highway Drive	48.2 miles ave.		
Fuel Economy (Charge Sustaining)	City Drive	16.6 mpg Ave.	9 mpg (20% idle)	84%
	Highway Drive	21.4 mpg Ave.	16 mpg (0% idle)	33%
Combined Fuel Economy (70% City/30% Hwy)	Combined Average	96 mpg Equiv.	11.1 mpg	765%


VIA Current Assumption:

Test was run at 45 mph city and 55 mph highway without cabin conditioning.
 Real world results should reflect higher highway speeds and cabin conditioning.
 Anticipated results given these two factors are 40 miles battery electric range
 and probable 20% reduction in combined fuel economy from the reported test results.

Source: VIA

If the driving is limited to the electric range, the fuel economy is infinite. After the engine turns on, the fuel economy reduces as vehicle is driven with gasoline until it approaches the charged sustained fuel economy of a hybrid (Figure 85). The fuel economy can be improved by charging more frequently during the day.

Figure 85: Fuel Economy as a Function of Distance



Source: EPRI

Both the van and the pickup truck have received executive orders from the ARB for vehicle sale. The U.S. EPA has also approved both vehicles for sale.

VIA worked with each agency to establish the requirements, and then VIA conducted and successfully passed the required tests, which included tailpipe emissions and evaporative emissions. Unlike the Chevrolet Volt, the VIA vehicles do not have a sealed fuel tank containing the evaporative emissions. Therefore, the ARB and the U.S. EPA wanted to ensure that the purge canister would be purged regularly to avoid releasing excess hydrocarbons into the atmosphere. VIA resolved this issue by turning the engine on for 15 minutes at least every five days, and the agencies allowed it. This is an annoyance to the customer, but it resolved the certification issue. VIA is currently researching other solutions to avoid this annoyance.

The emissions results are presented in Table 10, assuming 60 miles per day and an all-electric range of 40 miles. Table 11 presents the VIA tailpipe emissions results.

Table 10: VIA Emissions

Electric Miles per Charge	Charges per Day	Electric Vehicle Drive Percentage (Charge Depleting)	Charge-Sustain Mode	Emissions Improvement (Compared to Conventional Vehicle)
40	1	66%	34%	66%

Electric Miles per Charge	Charges per Day	Electric Vehicle Drive Percentage (Charge Depleting)	Charge-Sustain Mode	Emissions Improvement (Compared to Conventional Vehicle)
40	1.5	100%	0%	100%
15,000 miles annual driving = 60 miles per day; electric vehicle range on single charge = 40 miles				

Source: VIA

Table 11: VIA Emissions Results during Charged, Sustained Operation

Van UDDS Test Results			Results	
	CO (g/mi)	NOx (g/mi)	CO (g/mi)	NOx (g/mi)
Van	1.3	0.03	Pickup with DF 0.56	0.004
Standard	4.2	0.2	Standard 2.1	0.04
Aged catalyst equivalent to 120,000 miles Canister load 100 g			CARB DF 0.16	0.002

Source: VIA

Vehicle Certification

VIA is compliant with both the ARB and the U.S. EPA. Both the van and the pickup truck are fully certified with the U.S. EPA. Currently, VIA has executive orders from the ARB indicating that the vehicles are certified with exception. The exception is that all the onboard diagnostic monitors are not being set as frequently as they should be. VIA is working on these exceptions and plans to meet the full certification dates shown in Figure 86. Figure 86 also provides a summary of the compliance procedures.


Figure 86: VIA Vehicle Certification Overview

COMPLIANT WITH REGULATORY AGENCIES:

- California Air Resource Board – CARB
- Environmental Protection Agency - EPA

SUMMARY TEST PROCEDURES:

- Emissions
 - Charge depleting
 - Charge sustaining
- Evaporative
 - 2-day Diurnal test (shed)
 - Purge mode
- On-Board Diagnostic
 - Monitor setting
 - Fault detection



VEHICLE SCHEDULE FOR FULL CERTIFICATION:


- VAN
 - OBD Fault Detection - OCT 2015
- TRUCK
 - OBD Monitor Setting - OCT 2015
 - OBD Fault Detection - DEC 2015

Source: VIA

In charge-sustaining mode, the emissions requirements make the controls stay within a tolerance of plus/minus 1 percent around the affected SOC, which causes unconventional engine speed changes (see Figure 90). With respect to vehicle certification requirements, a recommendation was identified to relax the SOC constraint when the engine is on. The current requirement is that when the engine is on (APU is active), the SOC of the high-voltage battery should be sustained to the APU start value with an accuracy of plus/minus 1 percent, so that there is no use of electrical energy to pass the engine emissions test during certification. The recommended requirement is that when the engine is on (APU is active), the SOC of the high-voltage battery should be maintained at least to the APU start value -1 percent but can be increased by Y percent using the engine. This would result in fewer engine power transients and greater fuel economy, while still using no electrical energy to pass the engine emissions test during certification.

The blue trace in Figure 87 shows the relationship between the road speed and motor speed. It is quite linear. The red trace shows the relationship between the generator/engine speed and the road speed. It is quite variable and unpredictable. This unpredictability is the cause of engine noise issues. The VIA vehicles are limited to 75 MPH due to efficiency considerations.

Figure 87: VIA Engine and Motor Speed vs. Vehicle Speed Scatter



Source: EPRI

Crash and Safety

Safety was an important design feature. The vehicle was tested with a higher GVWR than the conventional General Motors vehicle. The higher GVWR provided nearly the same payload as the base production vehicle. The added battery, motor/generator, and other high-power components added about 1,300 lbs. to the chassis mass. All crash tests were passed successfully. Both the van and the pickup truck were required to be crashed and certified for safety in all respects (Figure 88). The vehicles also had to be turned over (Figure 89).

Figure 88: Van Frontal Crash



Photo credit: VIA

Figure 89: Van Rollover Test



Photo credit: VIA

VIA Engineering Process

VIA's engineering process was complete with development, validation, and production plans; design failure modes and effects analysis; risk assessments; and bills of materials. The bills of materials for the pickup truck have more than 746 lines, which bills of materials include all new parts and 77 unique subassemblies. The bills of materials for the van have a similar number. Design reviews were held periodically during the engineering process (Tables 12 and 13).

Table 12: VIA Van Design Reviews

Design Review	Title
VV1	System requirements and design
VV2	Prototype vehicle complete
VV3	Production validation complete
VV4	Production release review
VV5	First end-user production vehicle

Source: EPRI

Table 13: VIA Pickup Truck Design Reviews

Design Review	Title
VP1	System requirements and design
VP2	Prototype vehicle complete
VP3	Production validation complete
VP4	Production release review
VP5	All end-user production vehicles

Source: EPRI

VIA created a hot/cold room around a chassis dynamometer at their Orem facility to accommodate engineering development. This site provided cold and hot environments for development, while allowing the vehicle to be driven on the chassis dynamometer.

VIA Manufacturing Accomplishments

The VIA manufacturing facility for these vehicles is located in Orem, Utah (Figure 90). The vehicles were built on six hoists (Figure 91) with technicians underneath assembling the parts (Figure 92). The battery packs were assembled on a production line at VIA in Orem, Utah.

Figure 90: VIA Engineering and Manufacturing Facility in Orem, Utah



Photo credit: VIA

Figure 91: Vehicles Being Manufactured



Photo credit: VIA

Figure 92: Technicians Assembling Vehicles




Photo credit: VIA

Future VIA production will include an assembly plant in San Luis Potosi, about 100 miles from the General Motors truck assembly plant in Silao, Mexico. This will allow shorter shipping times and a direct path from one plant to another.

VIA Cost Analysis

VIA performed a cost analysis of their PHEV system. The results are shown in Figure 93. The data indicate that the total component costs can be reduced by about 38 to 40 percent by increasing the volume to 3,000 units. The reductions come by providing higher-volume tooling and higher unit volumes; changing suppliers; and redesigning some components such as the fuel tank. The most costly component is the battery pack, which can be reduced by about 24 percent with incremental volume.

Figure 93: VIA Cost Analysis



Source: EPRI

VIA Data Analysis

Data were collected using the data acquisition system described in Chapter 5.

All 177 VIA vehicles are equipped with a data acquisition system that collects data at a rate of up to 1 Hz. Data are collected during the day and sent to the server daily. SCAQMD has provided funding to continue gathering data for the next 12 months. Data collected include the following:

- Motor current and voltage
- Battery current and voltage
- Charger current and voltage
- Motor and engine torque and speed
- Export power current and voltage
- Odometer
- Vehicle speed
- Accelerator and brake pedal position
- Fuel used
- Charger time
- Software and calibration level

Data for the month period include 82,520 miles driven, more than 3,985 drive events, and 1,658 charging events. The data reflect primarily the VIA vans; the pickup trucks were recently added. Table 14 presents the usage summary.

Table 14: VIA Data Collection


January 1, 2015 to August 31, 2015	Vans	Trucks	Total
Fleet size	51	100	151
Number of events	1,461.0	4,306.0	5,767.0
Total time (hours)	3,994.6	12,225.4	16,220.0
Total miles	24,172.3	58,347.7	82,520.0
Electric miles	9,568.7	18,440.5	28,009.2
Approximate fuel gallons displaced (20 mpg)	478.4	922.0	1,400.4
Percentage of miles electric	39.6%	31.6%	33.9%
Fuel miles	14,603.6	39,907.2	54,510.8
Total energy (fuel plus electric; kWh)	33,980.8	59,224.0	93,204.8
Electric energy (kWh)	1,734.0	3,546.0	5,280.0
Fuel energy (kWh)	35,714.7	62,724.3	98,439.0
Fuel gallons used	1,059.8	1,861.3	2,921.1
Number of drive events	983	3,002	3,985

January 1, 2015 to August 31, 2015	Vans	Trucks	Total
Number of charge events	444	1,214	1,658
Number of operate events	34	45	79
Net drive (hours)	2,467	3,941	6,408
Net operate time (hours)	42	16	58
Net charge time (hours)	1,485	2,156	3,641
Number of drive days	197	116	313
Number of charge days	158	102	260
Number of operate days	28	32	60
Average miles per drive event	16.5	13.6	14.3
Average length (hours) per charge event	3.3	1.8	2.2
Average length (hours) per operate event	1.2	0.3	0.7
Average miles driven per drive day (whole fleet)	122.7	503.0	263.6
Average hours charge per charge day (whole fleet)	9.4	21.1	14.0
Average hours operation per operate day (whole fleet)	1.5	0.5	1.0

Source: EPRI


The peak driving time for the VIA vans and pickup trucks midday, and charging occurs primarily during the day (Figures 94 and 95). The export power has not been used significantly during stationary operation.

Figure 94: VIA Vans—Operational Modes by Time of Day



Source: EPRI


Figure 95: VIA Pickup Trucks—Operational Modes by Time of Day



Source: EPRI

Most charging was done using level 2 (240 V). There were many short-term (< five hours) charges and some overnight charges (Figure 96).


Figure 96: VIA Charge Times



Source: EPRI


More electric power was used on short-distance trips, and more fuel was used on longer-distance trips (Figures 97 and 98).

Figure 97: VIA Vans—Energy Type by Distance



Source: EPRI


Figure 98: VIA Pickup Trucks—Energy Type by Distance



Source: EPRI

About 15 to 20 percent of the VIA vehicles began their drives with more than 9 percent SOC. Many vehicles began below 30 percent SOC, or needed a charge to begin service (Figure 99).

Figure 99: VIA Initial SOC of Drive Events




Source: EPRI

Figure 100 shows the delta SOC used during a drive event. The data show that 12 to 18 percent of the drive events used less than 10 percent of SOC, whereas 16 percent of the drive events used more than 70 percent SOC.

Figure 100: VIA Delta SOC of Drive Events


Via Trucks and Vans Drive Event [Delta SOC], Jan 2015 - Aug 2015



Source: EPRI

Figure 101 shows the SOC at the end of the drive events. About 38 percent of the drive events ended with 10 to 30 percent SOC.

Figure 101: VIA Final SOC of Drive Events




Source: EPRI

VIA Survey Results

The project team developed a survey and solicited feedback from both Odyne and VIA vehicle operators. The objective of the survey was to assess drivers' opinions of the vehicles with respect to performance, ease of use and charging, most-used features, overall impressions, and so on. The survey was completed online and took about 20 minutes to complete.

The VIA respondents had a mix of driving experience (Figure 102).


Figure 102: Years of Experience Driving a Commercial Work Truck (N = 15)



Source: EPRI

The overall satisfaction rating, on a scale of 0 to 10, was 6.9. The highest ratings were for ease of use and charging, and the lowest were for driving enjoyment in hybrid mode and driving performance in both all-electric and hybrid modes (Figure 103).

Figure 103: Satisfaction Ratings (N = 14—15)



Source: EPRI

A slight majority of van drivers would choose the PHEV as their main work vehicle, although the results are fairly close (Figure 104). Pickup trucks are not included due to lateness.


Figure 104: Would Choose the Plug-In Hybrid Vehicle as Main Work Vehicle (N = 14)



Source: EPRI

Preferred features include all-electric mode, drive quality, and acceleration (Figure 105).


Figure 105: Preferred Features (N = 12)



Source: EPRI

The largest performance-related concern was starting on an incline. The next most-desired improvements included noise, throttle response and consistency, electric range, and ride quality (Figure 106).


Figure 106: Desired Improvement (N = 14)



Source: EPRI

When asked their opinions about the reasons for employers investigating the use of PHEV trucks, drivers most frequently cited improved fuel economy, reduced emissions, and reduced job site noise (Figure 107).

Figure 107: Reasons for Investigating the Use of Plug-In Hybrid Vehicles (N = 12)



Source: EPRI

VIA Program Delays

Battery Supplier

VIA chose A123 as the battery supplier for both the van and the pickup truck. The development of the battery pack was nearly complete when A123 went into bankruptcy and was taken over by a Chinese entity. After that, the cost of the batteries from the new A123 supplier increased significantly, and VIA decided to try a different battery supplier, Valence. A new battery pack was developed, but VIA found that the batteries, controls, and pack design were inferior. VIA went back to working with A123 for costing and delivery. Finally, VIA abandoned the Valence battery pack and designed a new pack with the A123 battery modules. The van and the pickup both have similar A123 battery modules that are heated and cooled with a proprietary battery climate control module. This extra development caused a delay in the program.

Chevrolet Redevelopment of the Pickup Truck

Late in the development of the pickup truck, Chevrolet brought out the next generation of the Silverado in 2014. VIA was almost ready to deliver the 2013 pickup trucks with the hybrid system when Chevrolet announced the next generation pickup model. VIA had to wait to obtain drawings and reengineer the new pickup truck. This also caused a delay and excess development costs for VIA.

Opportunities for the Future

Design Refinements

Potential future design refinements include the following:

- Cost reduction
 - Volume negotiations for production ramp-up with suppliers
 - Hard tool specific components to reduce costs
 - Simplify and refine design based on field results and experience
- Improve charge-sustaining fuel economy
 - Refine software to improve fuel economy
 - Use data to work with the ARB for change of strategy and limitations
- Improve performance
 - Modify designs to reduce weight using extensive finite element analysis and field results
 - Reduce weight to improve payload
- Proliferate extended-range electric vehicle architecture into similar models (such as extended cab, 2500, and so on)
- Increase sales to further reduce cost

Business Opportunities

Business opportunities for the future include the following:

- Vehicle to grid (V2G). VIA is the only manufacturer of a U.S. EPA/ARB-certified van that is V2G enabled with Underwriters Laboratories-approved components. VIA plans to expand this technology to the pickup truck platform as requested by several utilities and the U.S. Department of Defense. The current demonstration project at Los Angeles Air Force Base has 13 V2G vans.
- Vehicle to home or business (V2H). VIA trucks and vans are currently capable of V2H operation through its export power system. This application is perfect for emergency power backup applications.
- Consortium initiative. VIA is working with the utility industry and others on an aggregated purchase of trucks and vans. Group purchasing will allow for lower cost and payback (return on investment).
- High voucher incentive programs. VIA will continue to work to get incentive programs for their vehicles, including good incentive programs such as those offered in Chicago, Illinois (\$45,000 per vehicle), New York, and California.

VIA Current Status

VIA will continue to manufacture vehicles at its facilities in Orem, Utah, and Mexico. The jobs created by this project are intended to be permanent. VIA continues to sell trucks and vans to commercial customers across the United States and Canada regularly. There are currently 50 vehicles in production. VIA is working with several large commercial fleets on a 1,000-vehicle aggregated order for 2016. Sales are planned for a controlled growth, allowing for a smooth ramp-up. VIA's current projections anticipate sustainability with positive cash flow and net profits before the end of 2016.

CHAPTER 5:


Common Systems for the Vehicles

Data Acquisition System

The data acquisition system used on both the VIA vehicles and the Odyne trucks is shown in Figure 108. The system is relatively inexpensive and simply includes two GoPoint Technology modules, each connected to different CAN buses and an iPhone for storage and transmittal. The GoPoint modules collect up to 64 CAN messages per second, and the data are sent via Bluetooth to an iPhone in the vehicle, which stores the data. Once a day or whenever the phone gets a good signal, the data are broadcast to a server that holds the program data. This capability is also available to charge the onboard software using telemetry.

The GoPoint modules were purchased and the software contained within was developed by EPRI. The GoPoint modules and iPhones are programmable from an external source by telematics. The system is connected to the onboard diagnostic II bus and the SAE J1939 bus that passively listens to the vehicle CAN bus traffic. Selected CAN bus parameters were decoded and wirelessly sent to an iPhone for data storage and upload to a central data server. The operation of the data collection system is described in the following subsections.

Figure 108: Data Acquisition System Used on Both Odyne and VIA Vehicles



Source: EPRI

Controller-Area Network Bus Interface

The CAN bus hardware interface consists of two GoPoint SAE J1939 onboard diagnostic II bus modules. Figure 109 shows a photograph of one of the modules. These commercially available modules have been reflashed with EPRI-specific firmware to act as a Bluetooth wireless data gateway to the iPhone hardware and application to collect the CAN bus data streams. Internally, the GoPoint modules have preset CAN bus filters to specifically decode the parameters of interest, such as SOC, speed, and others. The preset filters are stored in the iPhone application and are transmitted to the modules at the start of the data collection session. These various parameters are vehicle-specific from the individual vehicle database container parameter files. Database container files contain the data and field names used by the Microsoft Visual FoxPro database.

Figure 109: CAN Bus Hardware Interface Module



Photo credit: EPRI

The modules have an enhancement of detecting bus traffic and waking up from a low-power sleep mode of operation to conserve energy taken from the vehicle. The modules will also

power down to sleep mode two seconds after CAN bus traffic ceases. Because the CAN bus operations of the electric vehicle and onboard diagnostic II buses operate independently, the modules will wake and sleep independently, developing on the bus function. For example, the onboard diagnostic II bus is inactive during a vehicle charge session, but the electric vehicle CAN bus remain active until the vehicle is fully charged.

Therefore, the onboard diagnostic II bus-connected module would be asleep during the charging session.

The CAN modules are capable of acquiring data at the rate of hundreds of parameters per second. The data acquired per bus will easily exceed one-second data collection per parameter. The ultimate data rate limits are the CAN bus data parameter specifications imposed by the specific vehicle.

iPhone and Application


The iPhone and application receive data from the two Bluetooth CAN modules, store the data, and upload the data to the central server at a predetermined time. The phone is physically located in the vehicle and externally powered from the vehicle system battery. Like the CAN modules, the phone will enter the low-power sleep mode when both modules have informed the phone that both modules are in sleep mode. When Bluetooth traffic from either or both of the CAN modules is detected, the phone will wake and acquire the data streams. Data collected by the phone from the vehicle is uploaded via cellular connection to the EPRI servers at 2 a.m. local time. If no cellular connectivity is found, the phone will store up to 30 days of data internally. Data are validated from the server before being deleted from the phone memory to ensure that data are successfully uploaded.

CAN Bus Server

The hardware server and associated server software permit secure data aggregation reporting from each vehicle. Several key features include secure password login, encrypted data, and implementation of a data segment based on each company in the program. Only vehicles in a specific utility data set are visible to that utility. No other utilities may view any other vehicle data. Only those EPRI individuals responsible for the data may view the entire data set. A rich graphic and report generator allow data to be presented in a graphic or table view, and data can be exported in a simple, comma-separated values (.csv) file format for further data analysis.

Figure 110 shows an example of the utility data.

Figure 110: Server Screenshot of Utility Segments




Source: EPRI

Figure 111 shows the vehicle assigned to each participant. The data are largely stored in an unstructured database on the server. Reports and graphics are generated on demand from the server. The graphical data can allow an instant visualization of vehicle performance. Figure 111 shows a typical recorded SOC graph during drive and charge events over a date range. Other graphs are available and data can be exported to a .csv file. Other enhancements include automatic application updating, additional graphics and reporting, cloud data services, and live vehicle map views.

Two data acquisition systems were used on the Odyne trucks. One was primarily used for data analysis, and the other was used for system diagnostics and analysis.

Figure 111: Website Visualization



Source: EPRI

Smart Charging System


Pathway Technologies, Inc., developed a smart charging system for the Odyne and VIA vehicles. The smart charger module is called a multi-protocol router (MPR). The system contains two MPRs—one is packaged on the vehicle near the charger and the other mounts and connects to the EVSE.

The smart charging system allows the vehicle to communicate with the utility grid and allows the grid to charge at a specified time of day. The system is capable of using multiple protocols to accomplish the smart charging. The protocol used for this development uses the pilot signal of the SAE J1772 connector to communicate with the utility grid.

System Requirements

SAE J2847/1 and J2931/1 defined the interface architecture between the PHEV and the smart grid (Figure 112).


Figure 112: Conceptual Layout of Interface between PHEV and Smart Grid Based on SAE J2847/1 and SAE J2931/1



Source: EPRI


The term MPR refers to the EPRI-embedded Linux computer that was specially developed to serve as the interface between the PHEV and the smart grid according to automotive and industrial requirements. The scenario shown in Figure 112 assumes that utility messages are being sourced from a utility smart meter. Figure 113 shows the other two scenarios involving a local electric service identifier providing the utility commands and a Smart Energy Profile 2.0 (SEP2) server that resides on the internet (Figure 114).

Figure 113: Variety of Scenarios for Interface between PHEV and Smart Grid



Source: EPRI

Figure 114: Complete System Functional Diagram



Source: EPRI

Figure 115 shows the configuration of a single PHEV with smart meter and Smart Energy 1.x plus a local SE 2.0 server.

Figure 115: Single Plug-In Vehicle Communication Architecture



Source: EPRI

Requirements for the Smart Grid Interface

The requirements for the MPR are to encapsulate the variability in communication protocols and physical layers on the smart grid side and still present a uniform, CAN-based interface on the vehicle. EPRI designed the MPR in such a manner that the same hardware can be used to implement a SEP2 client on the vehicle and link-layer bridge at the EVSE. The smart grid interface devices were required to connect either with the advanced metering infrastructure network and the ZigBee interface or with the SEP2 server across the internet.

The functional requirements are detailed in the SEP2.0 specification, version 1.0, which was released in May 2013. This open standards-based specification enables the implementation of the following:


- Device registration with the network
- Authorization and authentication of the end device (the PHEV)
- Association (through a programmable logic controller [PLC])
- Service discovery through xmDNS 1.2
- Security according to Transport Layer Security, version 1.2, 128-bit encryption
- Time synchronization
- Customer message broadcast
- Pricing, including real-time, critical peak, and time-of-use rates
- Demand response and load curtailment
- Flow reservation to assist scheduled charging and effective distribution load management
- Distributed energy resource function set that enables bidirectional power flow-capable PHEV to provide reverse power flow in response to utility conditions

These features and functions were required to be implemented on both the server side (residing locally or on the internet) and the client side (residing on the PHEV).

MPR Hardware Development and Characterization

The EPRI MPR concept originated from extensive discussions with member utilities during 2007—2008, when it was evident that the utility industry, by its very nature, has smart grid deployments that create diverse information pathways that are nonstandard and driven by the vendors. Furthermore, the physical link (wired or wireless) was also present in many scenarios. On the vehicle side, the single interface based on HomePlug Green PHY was agreed upon within SAE to be the standard interface. It therefore became necessary to create a general-purpose device based on popular hardware and software platforms—an ARM9 processor that is commonly used on a wide variety of smartphones and tablets and embedded Linux that is open source, freely available, and widely supported. The MPR deployed for this project was the third generation device. Figure 116 shows the three generations of MPRs.

Figure 116: Three Generations of MPRs—Generation 3 was Deployed for the PHEV Program




Source: EPRI

For the MPR to be an automotive-grade device, it was put in a water-tight enclosure (IP65 rated), and all the parts were chosen with industrial temperature range. Figure 117 shows the generation 3 MPR hardware feature set, and Figure 118 shows the software architecture layout.

Jetlun HPGP module w/ QCA7000 chipset

- CAN, HomePlug GreenPHY (SAE J2931) from Qualcomm/Atheros via Jetlun, Ethernet
- ARM9x25 Processor, 400MHz
- Industrial grade electronics
- Auto-qualified parts and enclosure
- For on-vehicle and on-EVSE application
- SE2.0 and OpenADR 1.0 capable

Figure 118: Generation 3 MPR Architecture Layout for Initial Build




Source: EPRI

The specifics of the on-vehicle integration were implemented by EPRI. The first iteration of the SEP2 integration involved AutoGrid Systems (who subsequently certified the SEP2 client that is currently deployed in field trial). EPRI and AutoGrid jointly defined a data interchange protocol between two asynchronous, concurrent processes running at utility (SEP2 client) and automotive (OEM application) periodicity.

Figure 119 illustrates a reference design for a medium-duty PHEV to smart grid interface using an MPR. This design connects a plug-in electric vehicle (PEV) with advanced metering infrastructure or home area network. It is an open, extensible architecture that uses off-the-shelf components and a ruggedized enclosure for on-vehicle implementation in accordance with SAE J1445. It uses a standard SE 2.0 application layer for data messages. The physical connections consist of SAE J1939 (CAN), RS-232, and USB on the vehicle side and ZigBee, WiFi, Ethernet, HomePlug, or GreenPHY on the meter side.


Figure 119: Reference Design



Source: EPRI

Figure 120 illustrates the physical characteristics of the MPR.

Figure 120: Physical Characteristics of the MPR



Source: EPRI

Electric Vehicle Supply Interface Development

The PHEVs were deployed with passive EVSEs that were SAE J1772 compliant but did not have the SAE J2931 capability or any physical layer communication interface with a home area network. EPRI therefore worked with Pathway to develop an SAE J2931/1-capable interface using the MPR and a WiFi access point to enable the EVSE to transmit PLC signals over the SAE J1772 pilot to the vehicle and to receive SEP2 signals from the utility smart grid over WiFi from a home area network or advanced metering infrastructure device, through an application layer gateway. A communication box was created to house the on-EVSE MPR, the WiFi bridge, and power supply, with a pigtail to connect to the EVSE to couple the PLC carrier signal to the pulse-width-modulator pilot of the SAE J1772 connector. Mounting provisions were created to ensure that the communication box can be mounted close to the EVSE on a pedestal. Figure 121 shows the internal layout of the EVSE communication box.

Figure 121: EVSE Communication Box Layout and Contents



Photo credit: EPRI

Field Deployment

Most vehicles were equipped with smart charging systems distributed throughout the United States. The MPR for the Odyne systems was mounted in front of a shield in the rear of the left battery pack. On the VIA van and pickup truck, the MPR was located above the onboard charger near the rear of the vehicle. The system was developed and demonstrated at the Knoxville Utilities Board in Knoxville, Tennessee. The method of testing varied, depending on the scenario. Figures 122 and 123 illustrate the accomplished system hardware and software.

Figure 122: Smart Charging Setup and Layout at the Knoxville Utility Board



Source: EPRI

Figure 123: Communication Box Connected to EVSE



Photo credit: EPRI

Lessons Learned

Several lessons were learned from this experiment, as follows:

- Whereas successful implementation of smart charging using the very first available hardware samples and prototype software was achieved, much remains to be done to ensure that the system survives in mass production and a wide variety of ambient conditions.
- Specifically, MPRs were found to be operating well outside their industrial temperature range; therefore, their vehicle packaging and ventilation will be critically important. Totally enclosed MPR boards, when again enclosed in an oven-like tool box with no air circulation, tended to become extremely hot and malfunctioned.
- Guaranteeing association between PHEV and EVSE or end-use measurement device is an issue with power spectral density differentiation through signal-level attenuation characterization unique to HomePlug Green PHY (and the reason for choosing HomePlug Green PHY) and must be made robust.
- Conducted noise immunity of an electromagnetic compatibility signal. When exposed to a certain frequency band, the external noise source (such as a police band radio) had the ability to completely jam the HomePlug Green PHY signal due to its low amplitude requirement. The peak-to-peak voltage was raised to partially offset this issue. Further study should be done to identify other approaches to alleviating this condition.
- Different utilities are different. Therefore, creating an open standards-based interface on the PEV side gives the PEV manufacturer some certainty as to the technical direction for a smart grid interface. Encapsulating utility-side variability in communications and connectivity through the use of MPR-like devices will be critical to making any mass-market smart grid integration technology's success.
- Since the advent of MPR, many new commercial gateway products have introduced features originally designed in the MPR. This has made it possible for the utilities to approach the "bring your own device" model by giving customers an incentive to procure a home gateway device that enables smart grid communications.

GLOSSARY

AUXILIARY POWER UNIT (APU) – A portable, truck-mounted system that can provide climate control and power for trucks, without idling.¹

AZURE DYNAMICS (AZURE) – Principal business was the development and integration of its proprietary electric and hybrid electric drive technology, primarily for light- and heavy-duty commercial vehicles. Filed for bankruptcy protection in March 2012.²

CAB-TO-AXLE (CA) – Distance from the back of the cab to the centerline of the rear axle. Helps determine the length of body that can be mounted on the chassis.³

CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD (ARB) – The “clean air agency” in the government of California whose main goals include attaining and maintaining healthy air quality, protecting the public from exposure to toxic air contaminants, and providing innovative approaches for complying with air pollution rules and regulations.

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION (CEC) - The state agency established by the Warren-Alquist State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Act in 1974 (Public Resources Code, Sections 25000 et seq.) responsible for energy policy. The Energy Commission's five major areas of responsibilities are:

1. Forecasting future statewide energy needs
2. Licensing power plants sufficient to meet those needs
3. Promoting energy conservation and efficiency measures
4. Developing renewable and alternative energy resources, including providing assistance to develop clean transportation fuels
5. Planning for and directing state response to energy emergencies.

CONTROLLER AREA NETWORK (CAN) – A serial network technology that was originally designed for the automotive industry, especially for European cars, but has also become a popular bus in industrial automation as well as other applications. The CAN bus is primarily used in embedded systems, and as its name implies, is a network technology that provides fast communication among microcontrollers up to real-time requirements.⁴

1 [California Department of Transportation](https://dot.ca.gov/programs/traffic-operations/legal-truck-access/exemption-apu) (https://dot.ca.gov/programs/traffic-operations/legal-truck-access/exemption-apu)

2 [Wikipedia](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Azure_Dynamics) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Azure_Dynamics)

3 [Trailer/Body Builders](https://www.trailer-bodybuilders.com/distributors-upfitters/back-basicshow-match-bodies-chassis-equipment) (https://www.trailer-bodybuilders.com/distributors-upfitters/back-basicshow-match-bodies-chassis-equipment)

4 [Copperhill Technologies](https://copperhilltech.com/a-brief-introduction-to-controller-area-network/) (https://copperhilltech.com/a-brief-introduction-to-controller-area-network/)

EATON CORPORATION (EATON) – A power management company made up of over 99,000 employees that do business in more than 175 countries. Its energy-efficient products and services help customers effectively manage electrical, hydraulic, and mechanical power more reliably, efficiently, safely, and sustainably.⁵

ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE (EPRI) – An independent, nonprofit organization for public interest energy and environmental research that focuses on electricity generation, delivery, and use, in collaboration with the electricity sector, its stakeholders, and others. Conducts research, development, and demonstration projects to enhance quality of life by making electric power safe, reliable, affordable, and environmentally responsible.⁶

ELECTRIC VEHICLE SUPPLY EQUIPMENT (EVSE) – Infrastructure designed to supply power to EVs. EVSE can charge a wide variety of EVs including BEVs and PHEVs.

GROSS VEHICLE WEIGHT RATING (GVWR) – The maximum weight of the vehicle as specified by the manufacturer. Includes total vehicle weight plus fluids, passengers, and cargo.⁷

MULTI-PROTOCOL ROUTER (MPR) – A router that supports two or more communications protocols, such as IP and IPX. Used to switch network traffic between different local area networks located throughout an enterprise, as well as to switch local area network traffic to wide area networks.⁸

ODYNE SYSTEMS (ODYNE) – A leader in the development and sale of hybrid drive systems for medium- and heavy-duty vehicles. Odyne has fielded more plug-in hybrid systems for large work trucks to fleets throughout the United States than any other supplier. The company sells direct to truck manufacturers and through a global distribution and service network.⁹

ORIGINAL EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER (OEM) – Makes equipment or components that are then marketed by its client, another manufacturer, or a reseller, usually under that reseller's own name.

PLUG-IN ELECTRIC VEHICLE (PEV) - is a general term for any car that runs at least partially on battery power and is recharged from the electricity grid. There are two different types of PEVs to choose from - pure battery electric and plug-in hybrid vehicles.

PLUG-IN HYBRID ELECTRIC VEHICLE (PHEV) - PHEVs are powered by an internal combustion engine and an electric motor that uses energy stored in a battery. The vehicle can be plugged

5 [Eaton Corporation](https://www.eaton.com/us/en-us/company/about-us.html) (https://www.eaton.com/us/en-us/company/about-us.html)

6 [Electric Power Research Institute](https://www.epri.com/#/about/epri?lang=en) (https://www.epri.com/#/about/epri?lang=en)

7 [U.S. Department of Energy](https://afdc.energy.gov/data/10380) (https://afdc.energy.gov/data/10380)

8 [PC Magazine](https://www.pcmag.com/encyclopedia/term/47509/multiprotocol-router) (https://www.pcmag.com/encyclopedia/term/47509/multiprotocol-router)

9 [Odyne Systems](https://www.odyne.com/about-odyne/) (https://www.odyne.com/about-odyne/)

in to an electric power source to charge the battery. Some can travel nearly 100 miles on electricity alone, and all can operate solely on gasoline (similar to a conventional hybrid).

POWER TAKEOFF (PTO) – Secondary engine shaft (or equivalent) that provides substantial auxiliary power for purposes unrelated to vehicle propulsion or normal vehicle accessories such as air conditioning, power steering, and basic electrical accessories. A typical PTO uses a secondary shaft on the engine to transmit power to a hydraulic pump that powers auxiliary equipment, such as a boom on a bucket truck. You may ask us to consider other equivalent auxiliary power configurations (such as those with hybrid vehicles) as power takeoff systems.¹⁰

SOCIETY OF AUTOMOTIVE ENGINEERS (SAE) – A global association of more than 128,000 engineers and related technical experts in the aerospace, automotive, and commercial-vehicle industries. The leader in connecting and educating mobility professionals to enable safe, clean, and accessible mobility solutions.¹¹

SOCIETY OF AUTOMOTIVE ENGINEERS J1772 RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLE AND PLUG-IN HYBRID ELECTRIC VEHICLE CONDUCTIVE CHARGE COUPLER (SAE J1772) – Covers the general physical, electrical, functional, and performance requirements to facilitate conductive charging of EV/PHEV vehicles in North America. Defines a common EV/PHEV and supply equipment vehicle conductive charging method including operation requirements and the functional and dimensional requirements for the vehicle inlet and mating connector.¹²

SOCIETY OF AUTOMOTIVE ENGINEERS J1939 RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR SERIAL CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS HEAVY-DUTY VEHICLE NETWORK (SAE J1939) – Provides an open interconnect system for electronic systems. Intended to allow electronic control units to communicate with each other by providing a standard architecture.¹³

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT (SCAQMD) – The air pollution control agency for all of Orange County and the urban portions of Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino counties. This area of 10,740 square miles is home to over 17 million people—about half the population of the whole state of California. It is the second most populated urban area in the United States and one of the smoggiest. Its mission is to clean the air and

¹⁰ [EPA Glossary](#)

(https://ofmpub.epa.gov/sor_internet/registry/termreg/searchandretrieve/termsandacronyms/search.do?search=&term=power%20take-off&matchCriteria=Contains&checkedAcronym=true&checkedTerm=true&hasDefinitions=false)

¹¹ [Society of Automotive Engineers](#) (<https://www.sae.org/about/>)

¹² [Society of Automotive Engineers](#) (https://www.sae.org/standards/content/j1772_201001/)

¹³ [Society of Automotive Engineers](#) (https://www.sae.org/standards/content/j1939_201002/)

protect the health of all residents in the South Coast Air District through practical and innovative strategies.

STATE OF CHARGE (SOC) – Available capacity expressed as a percentage of its rated capacity.¹⁴

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (U.S. DOE) – The federal department established by the Department of Energy Organization Act to consolidate the major federal energy functions into one cabinet-level department that would formulate a comprehensive, balanced national energy policy. DOE's main headquarters are in Washington, D.C.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (U.S. EPA) – A federal agency created in 1970 to permit coordinated governmental action for protection of the environment by systematic abatement and control of pollution through integration or research, monitoring, standards setting, and enforcement activities.

VIA MOTORS (VIA) – Develops and markets extended-range and all-electric powertrain systems incorporating industry leading proprietary, VIA-developed vehicle software and control systems technology, which provides clean energy solutions for nearly all vehicle classes from light-duty through Class 8.¹⁵

¹⁴ [State of Charge Definition](https://www.mpoweruk.com/soc.html) (https://www.mpoweruk.com/soc.html)

¹⁵ [VIA Motors](https://www.viamotors.com/about-us.html) (https://www.viamotors.com/about-us.html)

APPENDIX A:

Odyne System Specifications

Figure 124: Odyne System Specifications

Odyne Systems	
	Truck
Hybrid Configuration	Parallel through the PTO
AER (up to miles)	0
EAER (up to miles)	7.2
Chassis Manufacturer	Ford, International, Freightliner, Kenworth
Chassis Size	Class 6, 7, or 8
Chassis Configuration	RWD
Battery Energy (kWh)	28.4
Battery Manufacturer	Johnson Controls
Battery Thermal	Liquid-Cooled
Motor Power (kW) Peak	71 @ 2200 rpm
Motor Power (kW) Cont.	42 @ 3000 rpm
Motor Torque (Nm)	315 @ 2000 rpm
Motor Manufacturer	Remy
Engine Displacement (l)	6.7, 8.9, 9.0, 9.3, 12.8
Engine Type	Diesel
Engine Manufacturer	Cummins, Navistar, Detroit Diesel
Transmission Manufacturer	Allison
Export Power (kW)	6 or 12 60 Hz 120/240 Vac
Charger Power (kW)	3.0
Power Steering	Standard
HVAC	Electric A/C
Heating	Hydronic
Brakes	Standard
Warranty	3 years/ 36,000 miles
Manufacturing Location	Butler, WI

Source: Odyne

APPENDIX B:

VIA System Specifications

Figure 125: VIA System Specifications


	VIA Motors	
	Van	Pickup Truck
Hybrid Configuration	Series	Series
AER (up to miles)	35	45
Chassis Manufacturer	Chevrolet	Chevrolet
Chassis Type	Express	Silverado
Chassis Size	3/4 ton	1/2 ton
Chassis Configuration	RWD	4X4, RWD
Battery Energy (kWh)	23	23
Battery Manufacturer	A123	A123
Battery Thermal	Liquid-Cooled	Liquid-Cooled
Motor Power (kW) Peak	190	190
Motor Power (kW) Cont.	115	115
Motor Torque (Nm) Peak	410	410
Generator Power (kW) Peak	190	190
Generator Power (kW) Cont.	115	115
Corner Speed (rpm)	4000	4000
Motor Manufacturer	Remy	Remy
Engine Displacement (l)	4.8	4.3
Engine Configuration	V8	V6
Engine Power (HP)	285 @ 5400 rpm	285 @ 5300 rpm
Engine Torque (Nm)	295 @ 4600 rpm	305 @ 3900 rpm
Engine Type	Gasoline	Gasoline
Engine Manufacturer	General Motors	General Motors
Export Power (kW)	2.4 @ 60 Hz 120 Vac & 7.2 @ 60Hz 240Vac	2.4 @ 60 Hz 120 Vac & 7.2 @ 60Hz 240Vac
Charger Power (kW)	14.5	14.5
Power Steering	Electric Hydro-Boost	Electric
HVAC	Electric A/C	Electric A/C
Brakes	Electric Hydraulic Assist	Electric Vacuum Assist
Warranty	8 years/ 150,000 miles	8 years/ 150,000 miles
Manufacturing Location		

Source: VIA Motors, Inc.

Source: VIA


APPENDIX C: Odyne Drive Cycles

Figure 126: Odyne Combined International Local and Commuter Cycle Drive Cycle




Source: Odyne

Figure 127: Odyne Heavy-Heavy-Duty Diesel Truck Emissions Test Drive Cycle




Source: Odyne

Figure 128: Odyne Orange County Transportation Authority Drive Cycle



Source: Odyne

Figure 129: Odyne Heavy-Duty Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule Drive Cycles



Source: Odyne

APPENDIX D:

VIA Performance Test Protocol

Figure 130: VIA Performance Test Protocol

CD/CS TEST PROTOCOL	Drives Test protocol	Internal Testing min and max of 3 vehicles, driven at the same time	3rd Party Testing 1 vehicle on 4 corner dyno
Charge Depleting: pre-conditions: battery charged (solid green) no canister purge, no DTCs, 1/4 tank of fuel run condition: from 100% to ICE started	City drive	Identified city route, Speed max 45 MPH, Ambient temp 18C to 28C, No AC or Heat, windows up Data log CAN1 & CAN2	UDDS cycles, Ambient temperature 25C, Data log CAN1 & CAN2
Charge Sustaining: pre-conditions: 1/2 fuel tank, SOC 20% cold start, no DTC run condition: Drive 60 Miles Measure fuel consumption by weighting fuel tank before and after the drive.	Highway Drive	Identified Highway route, Speed 55 MPH using CC, Ambient temp 18C to 28C No AC or Heat, windows up Data log CAN1 & CAN2	US06 & HWFET cycles, Ambient temperature 25C Data log CAN1 & CAN2

Source: VIA

APPENDIX E:

Early Program History

Eaton and Azure (November 2009)

The program originally had 378 PHEV Ford F-550 bucket trucks and PHEV Ford F-450 trucks. The F-550 trucks were to be hybridized by Eaton in Galesburg, Michigan, and bodies were to be produced by Altec, Inc. Azure was being contracted to bring the PHEV F-450 to production. Many of the original participants stayed through the program to the end, but some also lost interest as the truck program evolved with different products and designs.

The Eaton system had been used on several earlier demonstration trucks and was to be reengineered to a better production design for this program. Eaton designed and prototyped a design and, at the end, excluded a discharge capacitor, which caused a redesign and hindered the schedule significantly. Upon this discovery in March 2011, Eaton decided not to participate in the program any longer. The program immediately began a search for new partners.

Odyne and Azure (June 2011)

In Spring 2011, two other engineering and manufacturing companies were selected, and development began. Odyne was to develop the Class 6 to 8 trucks for the participants, and Azure changed their offering to include a Ford F-550 bucket truck and the F-450. This change took some time to conclude. In March 2012, before the new program was kicked off, Azure declared bankruptcy, leaving just Odyne with the large vehicles, and requiring the program team to find other PHEV sources.

Odyne, VIA, and Quantum Technologies (May 2012)

After searching for other sources of PHEVs, the project team located several others and selected VIA and Quantum Technologies. VIA would produce a series PHEV, and Quantum would produce a parallel PHEV, both achieving about the same 35 to 40 miles of all-electric range. Odyne would remain in the program and produce the Class 6 to 8 trucks.

All three development efforts began, but by November 2012, Quantum was experiencing difficulties and indicated that they could not meet the anticipated schedule. Quantum withdrew, leaving Odyne and VIA for the remainder of the program. VIA grew its production volume by the volume that Quantum had.