

California Energy Commission

## **STAFF REPORT**

# **Localized Health Impacts Report**

**Addendum 5 for Selected Projects Awarded  
Funding Through the Clean Transportation  
Program Under Solicitation GFO-16-605  
Innovative Service Mobility Demonstration  
with Zero-Emission Vehicles**

**March 2022 | CEC-600-2017-009-AD5**



# California Energy Commission

Michael Comiter  
**Primary Author**

Dustin Schell  
**Commission Agreement Manager**

Charles Smith  
**Office Manager**  
**TRANSPORTATION INTEGRATION AND PRODUCTION OFFICE**

Hannon Rasool  
**Deputy Director**  
**FUELS AND TRANSPORTATION DIVISION**

Drew Bohan  
**Executive Director**

## **DISCLAIMER**

**Staff members of the California Energy Commission (CEC) prepared this report. As such, it does not necessarily represent the views of the CEC, its employees, or the State of California. The CEC, the State of California, its employees, contractors, and subcontractors make no warrant, express or implied, and assume no legal liability for the information in this report; nor does any party represent that the uses of this information will not infringe upon privately owned rights. This report has not been approved or disapproved by the CEC nor has the Commission passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the information in this report.**

# ADDENDUM 5

The Localized Health Impacts (LHI) Report for Selected Projects Awarded Funding Through the Clean Transportation Program Under Solicitation GFO-16-605 was posted November 7, 2017 (CEC-600-2017-009).<sup>1</sup> This addendum uses the same approach to assess the localized health impacts for a project with location changes. The GFO-16-605 awardee, Envoy Technologies, Inc. (Envoy), is proposing to add 15 Level 2 electric vehicle (EV) charging station locations. The newly proposed locations are described in Table 1 and Table 2 for agreements ARV-17-012 and ARV-17-013, respectively, along with environmental justice (EJ) indicators.<sup>2</sup> EJ indicator definitions are in Appendix A of this LHI report.

**Table 1: Sacramento Metro & North San Joaquin Region Project Details Along with EJ Indicators**

Awardee	Project Title	New Site Locations	EJ Indicators for New Location
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	3000 Lillard Ave, Davis, CA	Poverty
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	7271 Florin Mall Dr, Sacramento, CA	Poverty
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	2900 Channel Court, Sacramento, CA	Poverty
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	200 Bicentennial Circle, Sacramento, CA	Poverty
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	2920 Ramona Ave, Sacramento, CA	Poverty
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	1611 Madrone Ave, Sacramento, CA	Poverty
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	2501 Hurley Way, Sacramento, CA	Poverty

Source: California Energy Commission staff

<sup>1</sup> Brecht, Patrick, 2016. *Localized Health Impacts Report*. California Energy Commission, Fuels and Transportation Division. Publication Number: CEC-600-2017-009.

<sup>2</sup> EJ indicators developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Office of Policy, <https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen/environmental-justice-indexes-ejscreen>.

**Table 2: Bay Area Region Project Details Along with EJ Indicators**

<b>Awardee</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>New Site Locations</b>	<b>EJ Indicators for New Location</b>
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	1500 San Pablo Ave, Berkeley, CA 94702	Poverty
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	3706 San Pablo Ave, Emeryville	Poverty
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	2270 W El Camino, Mountain View	Minority
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	3555 Monroe St, Santa Clara, CA	Minority
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	1395 22nd St, San Francisco, CA	Minority
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	1355 Lombard St, San Francisco, CA	Minority
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	1699 Market St, San Francisco	Minority
Envoy Technologies, Inc.	Sustainable Shared Mobility Project	1140 Harrison St, San Francisco, CA 94103	Minority

Source: California Energy Commission staff

## **Air Quality and EJ Indicators**

The newly proposed station locations (electric vehicle charging stations) are in a nonattainment zone for ozone, particulate matter (PM<sup>3</sup>) 2.5 and PM 10. If a project site is in a nonattainment zone and has more than one EJ indicator, as shown in Table 1 and Table 2, with further detail in Table 3, it is considered a high-risk community, according to the Environmental Justice Screening Method.<sup>4</sup>

---

3 "Particulate matter" is unburned fuel particles that form smoke or soot and stick to lung tissue when inhaled. The numbers stand for microns in diameter.

4 California Air Resources Board (CARB), *Air Pollution and Environmental Justice, Integrating Indicators of Cumulative Impact and Socio-Economic Vulnerability Into Regulatory Decision-Making, 2010*. (Sacramento, California) Contract authors: Manuel Pastor Jr., Ph.D., Rachel Morello-Frosch, Ph.D., and James Sadd, Ph.D.

**Table 3: EJ Indicators by Project Location City Demographic**

Site Location	Below Poverty (2019)	Black or African American (2019)	American Indian and Alaska Native (2019)	Asian and Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander (2019)	Hispanic or Latino Race (2019)	Persons Under 5 Years of Age (2019)	Persons Over 65 Years of Age (2019)	Unemployment (January 2022)
<b>California</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>39.4%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>5.5 %</b>
<b>EJ Indicator Threshold</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>26.0%</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>
Berkeley	19.2%*	7.9%	0.5%	21.5%	11.4%	3.6%	14.3%	4.4%
Davis	29.8%*	2.2%	0.4%	22.9%	13.6%	3.5%	11.3%	5.0%
Emeryville	13.9%*	15.1%	0.5%	29.3%	9.6%	3.7%	12.8%	4.4%
Mountain View	6.7%	1.6%	0.4%	32.1%*	18.3%	6.2%	11.0%	3.3%
Sacramento	16.6%*	13.2%	0.7%	19.6%	28.9%	6.6%	13.1%	5.4%
San Francisco	10.3%	5.9%	0.8%	37.4%*	15.2%	4.5%	15.4%	3.5%
Santa Clara	6.7%	3.0%	0.3%	44.1%*	17.3%	6.8%	11.4%	3.3%

Sources: CEC staff, Employment Development Department, and U.S. Census Bureau. \*The city/county names in **bold** indicate a high-risk community, while the asterisk (\*) next to the percentages indicate which categories exceed the EJ indicator threshold.

### Location Analysis Summary

The newly proposed station locations are assessed according to the original LHI. According to staff’s assessment, none of the seven cities are considered a high-risk community, and the anticipated impacts to the communities where the electric vehicle chargers will be located remains positive in terms of cleaner air and anticipated greenhouse gas reductions.

### Public Comment

As provided by Title 13 CCR Section 2343, a 30-day public review period applies to this LHI report from the date it is posted on the CEC website. The [original posting date for this report](#) is at <https://www.energy.ca.gov/altfuels/documents/>.

The CEC encourages comments by email. Please include your name or organization’s name in the name of the file. Send comments in either Microsoft® Word format (.doc) or Adobe® Acrobat® format (.pdf) to [FTD@energy.ca.gov](mailto:FTD@energy.ca.gov).

The public can email comments to [FTD@energy.ca.gov](mailto:FTD@energy.ca.gov) or send them to:

California Energy Commission  
Fuels and Transportation Division  
715 P Street, MS-44

Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

All written comments will become part of the public record and may be posted to the internet. News media should direct inquiries to the Media and Public Communications Office at (916) 654-4989 or by email at [mediaoffice@energy.ca.gov](mailto:mediaoffice@energy.ca.gov).

# APPENDIX A:

## Localized Health Impacts Report Method

---

This LHI Report assesses the potential health impacts on communities from projects proposed to receive Clean Transportation Program funding. This LHI Report is prepared under the *California Code of Regulations, Title 13, Motor Vehicles, Chapter 8.1 (CCR Section 2343)*:

“(6) Localized health impacts must be considered when selecting projects for funding. The funding agency must consider EJ consistent with state law and complete the following:

(A) For each fiscal year, the funding agency must publish a staff report for review and comment by the public at least 30 calendar days prior to the approval of projects. The report must analyze the aggregate locations of the funded projects, analyze the impacts in communities with the most significant exposure to air contaminants or localized air contaminants, or both, including, but not limited to, communities of minority populations or low-income populations, and identify agency outreach to community groups and other affected stakeholders.

(B) Projects must be selected and approved for funding in a publicly noticed meeting.”

This LHI Report is not intended to be a detailed pollution analysis of proposed projects, nor is it intended to substitute for the environmental review conducted during CEQA. This LHI report includes staff’s application of the EJSM developed by the U.S. EPA to help identify projects in areas where social vulnerability indicators, high exposure to pollution, and greater health risks are present.

CEC staff identifies high-risk community project locations using data from CARB, the U.S. Census Bureau, and other public agencies. The data are analyzed to assign EJ indicators for each project location specified in the LHI Report. The proposed project location must meet a two-part standard:

### **Part 1 – Environmental Standard:**

- Communities located within an air quality nonattainment zone for ozone, PM 2.5, or PM 10, as designated by CARB for criteria pollutants.

### **Part 2 – Demographic Standard:**

- Communities having more than one of the following EJ indicators for (1) minority, (2) poverty, (3) unemployment, and (4) age. The EJ indicator thresholds is defined by staff as:
  - 1) A minority subset represents more than 30 percent of a given city’s population.
  - 2) A city’s poverty level exceeds the state average poverty level.

- 3) The city (or county if city data is unavailable) unemployment rate exceeds the state average unemployment rate.
- 4) The percentage of people living in a city who are younger than 5 years of age or older than 65 years of age is 20 percent higher than the state average for persons under 5 years of age or over 65 years of age.



## ROUTING LOG

Target Publish Date: No targeted business meeting at the moment but there is urgency as this needs publication to start project construction at the new sites.

Router: Spencer Kelley

<b>Email Notice Approval Chain</b>	<b>@Mention:</b>
Supervisor	Tami Haas
Division Chief	Melanie Vail
Legal	N/A
Media	Carol Robinson
Executive Office	Gaylene Cooper
Lead Commissioner	N/A