





School Bus Replacement Program/Clean Transportation Program

### **FINAL PROJECT REPORT**

### Sulphur Springs Union School District School Bus and Charging Infrastructure Project

**Prepared for: California Energy Commission** 

**Prepared by: Danielle Cuevas** 

September 2023 | CEC-600-2023-057

### **California Energy Commission**

Danielle Cuevas **Primary Author** 

Sulphur Springs Union School District 27000 Weyerhaeuser Way Santa Clarita, CA 91351 (661) 252-5131 www.sssd.k12.ca.us

**Agreement Number: ARV-19-024** 

Diana Friedrich

Commission Agreement Manager

Elizabeth John

Branch Manager

MEDIUM- AND HEAVY-DUTY ZERO-EMISSION TECHNOLOGIES

Hannon Rasool

Deputy Director

FUELS AND TRANSPORTATION

Drew Bohan **Executive Director** 

#### **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as the result of work sponsored by the California Energy Commission (CEC). It does not necessarily represent the views of the CEC, its employees, or the State of California. The CEC, the State of California, its employees, contractors, and subcontractors make no warrant, express or implied, and assume no legal liability for the information in this report; nor does any party represent that the use of this information will not infringe upon privately owned rights. This report has not been approved or disapproved by the CEC nor has the CEC passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the information in this report.

### **PREFACE**

Assembly Bill 118 (Núñez, Chapter 750, Statutes of 2007) created the Clean Transportation Program. The statute authorizes the California Energy Commission (CEC) to develop and deploy alternative and renewable fuels and advanced transportation technologies to help attain the state's climate change policies. Assembly Bill 8 (Perea, Chapter 401, Statutes of 2013) reauthorizes the Clean Transportation Program through January 1, 2024, and specifies that the CEC allocate up to \$20 million per year (or up to 20 percent of each fiscal year's funds) in funding for hydrogen station development until at least 100 stations are operational.

The Clean Transportation Program has an annual budget of about \$100 million and provides financial support for projects that:

- Reduce California's use and dependence on petroleum transportation fuels and increase the use of alternative and renewable fuels and advanced vehicle technologies.
- Produce sustainable alternative and renewable low-carbon fuels in California.
- Expand alternative fueling infrastructure and fueling stations.
- Improve the efficiency, performance, and market viability of alternative light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicle technologies.
- Expand the alternative fueling infrastructure available to existing fleets, public transit, and transportation corridors.
- Establish workforce-training programs and conduct public outreach on the benefits of alternative transportation fuels and vehicle technologies.

Senate Bill 110 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 55, Statutes of 2017) created the School Bus Replacement Program, appropriating up to \$75 million from the California Clean Energy Jobs Act (Proposition 39), an initiative that voters approved in 2012. The statute authorizes the CEC to provide school bus replacement grants to school districts, County Offices of Education, and Joint Power Authorities operating the oldest school buses in disadvantaged communities.

To be eligible for funding under the Clean Transportation Program, a project must be consistent with the CEC's annual Clean Transportation Program Investment Plan Update. The CEC issued GFO-17-607 to provide funding opportunities under both the School Bus Program to fund projects that replace the oldest diesel school buses in California with electric vehicle school buses and the Clean Transportation Program to fund infrastructure projects that support the electric vehicle school buses. In response to GFO-17-607, the recipient submitted an application which was proposed for funding in the CEC's notice of proposed awards October 16, 2019 and the agreement was executed as ARV-19-024 on September 23, 2019.

### **ABSTRACT**

Sulphur Springs Union School District submitted an application to receive grant funding under the California Energy Commission (CEC) Solicitation GFO-17-607 to replace nine old diesel school buses. Sulphur Springs Union School District was awarded funding for nine vehicle-to-grid ready electric school buses and the supporting electric vehicle charging infrastructure. Sulphur Springs Union School District purchased nine electric school buses and installed nine chargers through the CEC. The buses were placed into service January 10, 2022, and districts were offered workforce training to help support the successful deployment of the new electric fleet. The old, diesel-powered buses were also dismantled and removed from service. This project found that the nine electric school buses reduced lifetime greenhouse gas emissions by 1,637.59 short tons and provided cost savings of \$37,298.77 during the 12-month reporting period.

**Keywords**: GFO-17-607, grant funding, electric school bus, diesel, greenhouse gas emissions, cost savings, vehicle-to-grid, electric vehicle charging infrastructure, workforce training.

Please use the following citation for this report:

Cuevas, Danielle. 2023. *Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus and Infrastructure Project*. California Energy Commission. Publication Number: CEC-600-2023-057.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
Preface	i
Abstract	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Figures	iv
List of Tables	iv
Executive Summary	1
CHAPTER 1: Introduction  Background  School Bus Replacement Program Objectives	2
CHAPTER 2: Project Details	5 7
CHAPTER 3: Workforce Training Funding	9
CHAPTER 4: Data Collection  12-Month Data Collection  Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 121  Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 221  Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 321  Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 421  Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 521  Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 621  Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 721  Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 821  Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 921	10 10 10 11 11 11 12
CHAPTER 5: Conclusion	13
Glossary	14

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Page

Figure 1: Sulphur Springs Union School District Electric Sch	ool Bus7
Figure 2: Example of acceptable method to dismantle vehic	cle chassis 8
LIST OF TABLES	5
	Page
Table 1: School Bus Replacement Program Awarded Bids	Error! Bookmark not defined.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The priority of Sulphur Springs Union School District has always been student health and educational success. Sulphur Springs Union School District embraces continuous improvements to its transportation department and fleet to help keep students safe and healthy. This project sought to replace old diesel school buses with zero-emission electric school buses. The replacement buses will improve air quality and reduce school energy and maintenance costs while providing students with necessary school transportation.

The first stage focused on installation of charging infrastructure. This included coordinating with the local utility company, production of engineering and design drawings by subcontractors, purchasing charging equipment, and construction of the charging station. The electric vehicle charging infrastructure is located and maintained at 27000 Weyerhaeuser Way Santa Clarita, California, 91351 (Los Angeles County).

The second stage dealt with the procurement of electric school buses. Sulphur Springs Union School District applied for and was awarded grant funding for the purchase of nine new electric school buses. Sulphur Springs Union School District selected a school bus manufacturer, placed a purchase order for bus procurement, and placed the buses into service January 10, 2022.

The third stage required Sulphur Springs Union School District to scrap the old diesel school buses within 12 months from the delivery of the new electric school buses. This disposal is to ensure that the old diesel school buses do not continue to produce emissions.

The final stage involved 12 months of data collection on the electric school buses. These data were used to analyze the economic and environmental impacts that resulted from the electric for diesel school bus replacement.

Sulphur Springs Union School District's electric school buses have helped save money on fuel and maintenance costs. The new buses have also improved the health of students by reducing their exposure to toxic air contaminants. Sulphur Springs Union School District recommends school districts across the state to replace their old diesel-polluting school buses with clean, all-electric school buses.

## CHAPTER 1: Introduction

### **Background**

Senate Bill 110 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 55, Statutes of 2017) appropriated funds to establish the School Bus Replacement Program at the California Energy Commission (CEC). The CEC provided one-time funding of \$75 million from Proposition 39 for the replacement and scrappage of old diesel school buses in disadvantaged and low-income communities throughout California.

To allow wider coverage of the program, the funds were distributed among four regions in California: Northern California, Central California, Southern California, and Los Angeles County. Additional funding of almost \$14 million from the CEC's Clean Transportation Program was leveraged to provide the schools the necessary charging infrastructure to operate the buses. Also, \$1 million in Clean Transportation Program funds were set aside for workforce training and development to ensure proper operation and maintenance of the buses.

The CEC received more than 200 applications for more than 1,600 diesel school buses requested for replacement, some buses as old as 1978. CEC staff then evaluated the buses based on three factors: age of bus, applicant's percentage of free and reduced-price meals recipients, and applicant's disadvantaged community score according to the CalEnviroScreen 3.0. From the applications received, an initial list of ranked buses was released in November 2018.

The second phase of the program included selecting a manufacturer or dealer that could design, construct, and deliver electric school buses. In November 2018, the CEC released a solicitation to establish a bulk purchase price for replacement buses. Applications were evaluated and scored for the technical evaluation portion based on the following criteria:

- Relevant experience and qualifications
- Project readiness and implementation
- Client references
- Battery and fuel range
- Warranty, service, and support
- Innovation
- Economic benefits to California
- Ability to leverage funding

Applications passing the technical evaluation advanced to the next screen, where the lowest-cost bid was selected for each school bus type (Type A<sup>1</sup>, Type C<sup>2</sup>, Type D<sup>3</sup>, and each type with or without chair lifts). The bus bid forms were ranked in order from lowest to highest cost per bus by type. Table 1 shows the manufacturer's awarded bids, which did not include an awarded Type B bus.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A Type "A" school bus is a van conversion or bus constructed utilizing a cutaway front section vehicle with a left-side driver's door. This definition includes two classifications: Type A-I, with a Gross Vehicle Weight Rating (GVWR) less than or equal to 14,500 pounds; and Type A II, with a GVWR greater than 14,500 pounds and less than or equal to 21,500 pounds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A Type "C" school bus is constructed utilizing a chassis with a hood and front fender assembly. The entrance door is behind the front wheels. A "type C school bus" also includes a cutaway truck chassis or truck chassis with cab, with or without a left side door, and with a GVWR greater than 21,500 pounds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A "type D school bus" is a body installed upon a chassis, with the engine mounted in the front, midship or rear, with a gross vehicle weight rating of more than 10,000, designed for carrying more than ten persons. The engine may be behind the windshield and beside the driver's seat; it may be at the rear of the bus, behind the rear wheels, or midship between the front and rear axles. The entrance door is ahead of the front wheels. A type D school bus has a maximum length of 45 feet.

**Table 1: School Bus Replacement Program Awarded Bids** 

Applicant	Bus Type	Bid Amount
The Lion Electric Co.	Type A Without Chair Lift	\$271,389
A-Z Bus Sales, Inc. – California (Micro Bird)	Type A With Chair Lift	\$293,424
The Lion Electric Co.	Type C Without Chair Lift	\$321,184
The Lion Electric Co.	Type C With Chair Lift	\$329,627
The Lion Electric Co.	Type D Without Chair Lift	\$332,009
The Lion Electric Co.	Type D With Chair Lift	\$339,370

Source: CEC

Once the manufacturers were selected, CEC staff was able to allocate funding based on bid price, using the rank list to determine which applicants would be awarded buses. From the initial rank list of buses, the CEC was able to fund 228 electric school buses, with an additional \$60,000 in infrastructure funding per bus.

Recipients also had the option to procure their buses outside the CEC awarded manufacturer bid, as long as the recipients used their own established procurement procedures while adhering to all applicable state and local laws and terms and conditions of the grant agreement.

### **School Bus Replacement Program Objectives**

The School Bus Replacement Program is helping schools throughout the state transition from old, polluting diesel school buses to electric school buses, reducing exposure to harmful emissions and helping the state reach its climate and air quality goals. This program also supports the state's overall energy goals such as the Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) target for 2030 and the Senate Bill 32 (Pavley, Chapter 249, Statutes of 2016) target goal to reduce greenhouse gas emissions 40 percent below the 1990 level by 2030. The agreement objective is to purchase electric school buses to replace diesel buses that will be removed from service and scrapped and install or upgrade electric bus charging infrastructure at grant recipient transportation sites.

# CHAPTER 2: Project Details

### **Electric School Bus Funding**

Sulphur Springs Union School District was awarded \$3,221,277.00 to replace nine old diesel school buses with nine all-electric school buses, as well as purchase and install the associated charging infrastructure. The district selected one Type D with wheelchair lift, one Type D without wheelchair lift, five Type A with wheelchair lift, and two Type A without wheelchair lift, based on the following needs:

- Total cost of bus (CEC share)
- Quoted bus range and battery capacity (kWh)
- Bus Route Profiles
- Upgrade Options Available

Sulphur Springs Union School District is responsible for transporting 675 children per year, with an average route distance of 65 miles. Sulphur Springs Union School District has a fleet composed of 21 school buses featuring a total of nine electric school buses, seven diesel buses, and five Propane buses.

Sulphur Springs Union School District decided to procure electric school buses from A-Z Bus Sales because they were able to test drive the vehicles and at the time thought it was the best choice. The Type D and Type A buses had air conditioning upgrades. These upgrades were necessary due to weather conditions in the area. The total cost for the new electric school buses were \$2,717,041. Of that total, 99 percent was covered by the CEC. Figure 1 below shows one of the district's new electric school buses funded by the CEC.

Figure 1: Sulphur Springs Union School District Electric School Bus



Source: Sulphur Springs Union School District

The replaced diesel buses must be scrapped and removed from service within 12 months from delivery of the new bus. Each district was required to show proof of scrappage, which included photographs of bus/engine destruction, vehicle identification number, engine serial number, and method used to dismantle the engine and non-engine components. Figure 2 illustrates one of the acceptable methods of scrapping the chassis of a vehicle. As of September 23, 2022, all nine of Sulphur Springs Union School District buses have been scrapped.

Bus No. 597/VIN: 162787/Sulphur Springs USD.
Photo: Bus cut in two parts/CEC Grant No. ARV-19-024.

Figure 2: Example of Acceptable Method to Dismantle Vehicle Chassis

Source: Sulphur Springs Union School District

### **Infrastructure Funding**

The CEC's Clean Transportation Program allocated \$14 million to the School Bus Replacement Program to fund electric school bus charging infrastructure. The CEC provided up to \$60,000 per awarded bus for purchase and installation of the associated infrastructure. This allocation enabled Sulphur Springs Union School District to install two DC Fast Chargers and seven AC Chargers. The infrastructure was completed in January 2022 and began operating January 10, 2022.

The CEC worked with electric utilities, both public and private, to assist in upgrading electrical infrastructure required to charge the awarded buses while emphasizing the need to plan for future electrical capacity needs. Electric vehicle supply equipment was required to be, at a minimum, a Level 2<sup>4</sup> ENERGY STAR®-certified, networked charger capable of charging a vehicle at a minimum of 6.2 kilowatts (kW); however, the CEC recommended electric vehicle supply equipment capable of charging at 19.2 kW. These high capacity 19.2 kW chargers only require 6–8 hours of charging time to power a school bus battery from 0 to 100 percent, as indicated by the school bus manufacturers selected for the School Bus Replacement Program. Networked electric vehicle supply equipment provides recipients with the ability to set charging

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Level 2 Chargers operate between 208 and 240 Volts with output of anywhere between 3 kW and 19 kW of alternating current power.

for buses to off-peak-demand hours, provide remote diagnostics, and allow remote start of connected vehicles.

### **Obstacles, Delays, and Lessons Learned**

Sulphur Springs Union School District's biggest obstacle and delay was the COVID-19 pandemic. The pandemic caused a global shutdown that led to supply chain issues and labor shortages. The project was completed on time but took longer than expected because of limited resources.

Also, another issue was the buses were delivered before the infrastructure was complete. This caused delays in being able to charge the buses with the new charging stations. Fortunately, the bus manufacturer was able to provide the district with temporary chargers until they received their charging equipment.

Once the infrastructure was complete, the district had to adjust for range issues and charging schedules. This put extra strain on district staff because they had to be on top of making sure which buses needed to be charging at any given time. Overall, the district would have purchased more DC fast chargers to charge the buses quicker and save time.

Lastly, all seven Type A buses had to have their transmission replaced. This caused inconvenience to the district because the buses were out of service for months. The bus manufacturer worked with the district to make sure the replacement of the transmission was taken care of before the end term of the agreement.

# CHAPTER 3: Workforce Training Funding

In anticipation of the CEC's School Bus Replacement Program, in 2018 the CEC began to work with California school districts, county offices of education, and joint power authorities to understand the importance and role of school bus training for zero-emission school bus technology. Many school districts expressed the need for training of school bus maintenance and service technicians, as well as training for bus operators for battery-electric technology.

In 2019, the CEC approved a \$1 million contract with Cerritos Community College to develop and implement the "Electric School Bus Training Project." Cerritos Community College developed the curriculum with the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium and college faculty throughout the state. Faculty from the colleges provided training in the school bus regions through a hybrid of in-person and online training.

The training project included automotive instructor led training to maintenance and service technicians for 96 hours. It also included 12 hours of school bus operator training. The training content consisted of:

- Electric Vehicle School Bus and Charging Infrastructure Familiarization.
- Circuit Diagnostic With Digital Volt Ohm Meter.
- Computerized Engine Management Systems.
- Complexity of the Harness and Computer Functions in the Modern Chassis.
- Programmable Logic Controller Input/Output Systems Diagnostics.
- Network Systems Electronics Diagnosis and Repair
- Electric Bus Driver Training Familiarization.
- Ecotune/Microbird Electric Bus 360 Certification Program

Workforce training is an important consideration when incorporating zero-emission school buses into a fleet. As with most new technologies, there is a learning curve and operational adjustments the fleet must make to maximize the benefits of the technology. Compared to conventional-fueled school buses, there are differences in zero-emission school bus maintenance and operation. For example, zero-emission school buses have fewer moving parts, do not have an exhaust system, or require oil changes, and the braking systems of these buses last longer. For these reasons, along with many more, electric school buses have proven to be a cost-effective solution.

## CHAPTER 4: Data Collection

#### 12-Month Data Collection

A requirement of the School Bus Replacement Program was to collect 12 months of data and metrics on the usage of the new buses. These data points will be used to determine the financial, environmental, and health benefits of replacement school buses funded by the CEC. Listed below are the specific data points for the nine buses funded by the School Bus Replacement Program.

### **Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 121**

When placed into service over a 12-month period, Sulphur Springs Union School District's Bus number 121 traveled 4,824 miles. The replaced diesel fuel bus averaged eight miles per gallon (mpg) during the reporting period. The miles traveled over the reporting period equate to a total reduction of 603 gallons of diesel. This reduction in total gallons of diesel equates to a reduction in 96.53 short tons of GHGs, 1.88 lbs. of particulate matter 2.5 ( $PM_{2.5}$ ), and 239.13 lbs. of oxides of nitrogen ( $NO_x$ ).

The average cost of a gallon of diesel fuel was \$5.37 during the reporting period. This equals a diesel cost savings of \$3,236.62. The total replaced diesel maintenance cost of the scrapped bus was \$911.18. Over the 12-month period, the new electric school bus used 6,271.2 kWh. The total cost for this electricity usage was \$1,905.59. Total maintenance cost for the new electric school bus amounted to zero dollars. During this 12-month period alone, Sulphur Springs Union School District was able to save \$2,245.83 with the new electric school bus.

### **Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 221**

When placed into service over a 12-month period, Sulphur Springs Union School District's Bus number 221 traveled 6,784 miles. The replaced diesel fuel bus averaged eight mpg during the reporting period. The miles traveled over the reporting period equate to a total reduction of 848 gallons of diesel. This reduction in total gallons of diesel equates to a reduction in 135.75 short tons of GHGs, 2.65 lbs. of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and 336.29 lbs. of NO<sub>x</sub>.

The average cost of a gallon of diesel fuel was \$5.37 during the reporting period. This equals a diesel cost savings of \$4,555.88. The total replaced diesel maintenance cost of the scrapped bus was \$911.8. Over the 12-month period, the new electric school bus used 8,819 kWh. The total cost for this electricity usage was \$2,658.73. Total maintenance cost for the new electric school bus amounted to zero dollars. During this 12-month period alone, Sulphur Springs Union School District was able to save \$2,808.95 with the new electric school bus.

### **Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 321**

When placed into service over a 12-month period, Sulphur Springs Union School District's Bus number 321 traveled 8,353 miles. The replaced diesel fuel bus averaged eight mpg during the reporting period. The miles traveled over the reporting period equate to a total reduction of 1,044.13 gallons of diesel. This reduction in total gallons of diesel equates to a reduction in 167.14 short tons of GHGs, 3.26 lbs. of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and 414.07 lbs. of NO<sub>x</sub>.

The average cost of a gallon of diesel fuel was \$5.37 during the reporting period. This equals a diesel cost savings of \$5,609.56. The total replaced diesel maintenance cost of the scrapped bus was \$911.80. Over the 12-month period, the new electric school bus used 8,353 kWh. The total cost for this electricity usage was \$2,527.23. Total maintenance cost for the new electric school bus amounted to zero dollars. During this 12-month period alone, Sulphur Springs Union School District was able to save \$3,994.13 with the new electric school bus.

### **Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 421**

When placed into service over a 12-month period, Sulphur Springs Union School District's Bus number 421 traveled 10,553 miles. The replaced diesel fuel bus averaged eight mpg during the reporting period. The miles traveled over the reporting period equate to a total reduction of 1,319.13 gallons of diesel. This reduction in total gallons of diesel equates to a reduction in 211.16 short tons of GHGs, 4.12 lbs. of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and 523.12 lbs. of NO<sub>x</sub>.

The average cost of a gallon of diesel fuel was \$5.37 during the reporting period. This equals a diesel cost savings of \$7,087.00. The total replaced diesel maintenance cost of the scrapped bus was \$911.80. Over the 12-month period, the new electric school bus used 10,553 kWh. The total cost for this electricity usage was \$3,186.62. Total maintenance cost for the new electric school bus amounted to zero dollars. During this 12-month period alone, Sulphur Springs Union School District was able to save \$4,812.18 with the new electric school bus.

#### **Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 521**

When placed into service over a 12-month period, Sulphur Springs Union School District's Bus number 521 traveled 9,773 miles. The replaced diesel fuel bus averaged eight mpg during the reporting period. The miles traveled over the reporting period equate to a total reduction of 1,221.63 gallons of diesel. This reduction in total gallons of diesel equates to a reduction in 195.56 short tons of GHGs, 3.81 lbs. of  $PM_{2.5}$ , and 484.46 lbs. of  $NO_x$ .

The average cost of a gallon of diesel fuel was \$5.37 during the reporting period. This equals a diesel cost savings of \$6,563.18. The total replaced diesel maintenance cost of the scrapped bus was \$911.80. Over the 12-month period, the new electric school bus used 9,773 kWh. The total cost for this electricity usage was \$2,943.73. Total maintenance cost for the new electric school bus amounted to zero dollars. During this 12-month period alone, Sulphur Springs Union School District was able to save \$4,531.25 with the new electric school bus.

### **Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 621**

When placed into service over a 12-month period, Sulphur Springs Union School District's Bus number 621 traveled 11,442 miles. The replaced diesel fuel bus averaged eight mpg during the reporting period. The miles traveled over the reporting period equate to a total reduction of 1,430.25 gallons of diesel. This reduction in total gallons of diesel equates to a reduction in 228.95 short tons of GHGs, 4.46 lbs. of  $PM_{2.5}$ , and 567.19 lbs. of  $NO_x$ .

The average cost of a gallon of diesel fuel was \$5.37 during the reporting period. This equals a diesel cost savings of \$7,684.02. The total replaced diesel maintenance cost of the scrapped bus was \$911.18. Over the 12-month period, the new electric school bus used 11,442 kWh. The total cost for this electricity usage was \$3,439.17. Total maintenance cost for the new electric school bus amounted to \$95.00. During this 12-month period alone, Sulphur Springs Union School District was able to save \$5,061.65 with the new electric school bus.

#### **Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 721**

When placed into service over a 12-month period, Sulphur Springs Union School District's Bus number 721 traveled 6,771 miles. The replaced diesel fuel bus averaged eight mpg during the reporting period. The miles traveled over the reporting period equate to a total reduction of 846.38 gallons of diesel. This reduction in total gallons of diesel equates to a reduction in 135.49 short tons of GHGs, 2.64 lbs. of  $PM_{2.5}$ , and 335.65 lbs. of  $NO_x$ .

The average cost of a gallon of diesel fuel was \$5.37 during the reporting period. This equals a diesel cost savings of \$4,547.15. The total replaced diesel maintenance cost of the scrapped bus was \$911.80. Over the 12-month period, the new electric school bus used 6,771 kWh. The total cost for this electricity usage was \$2,032.25. Total maintenance cost for the new electric school bus amounted to zero dollars. During this 12-month period alone, Sulphur Springs Union School District was able to save \$3,426.70 with the new electric school bus.

### **Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 821**

When placed into service over a 12-month period, Sulphur Springs Union School District's Bus number 821 traveled 11,336 miles. The replaced diesel fuel bus averaged eight mpg during the reporting period. The miles traveled over the reporting period equate to a total reduction of 1,417 gallons of diesel. This reduction in total gallons of diesel equates to a reduction in 226.83 short tons of GHGs, 4.42 lbs. of  $PM_{2.5}$ , and 561.94 lbs. of  $NO_x$ .

The average cost of a gallon of diesel fuel was \$5.37 during the reporting period. This equals a diesel cost savings of \$7,612.83. The total replaced diesel maintenance cost of the scrapped bus was \$911.80. Over the 12-month period, the new electric school bus used 11,336 kWh. The total cost for this electricity usage was \$3,433.08. Total maintenance cost for the new electric school bus amounted to zero dollars. During this 12-month period alone, Sulphur Springs Union School District was able to save \$5,091.55 with the new electric school bus.

### **Sulphur Springs Union School District Bus 921**

When placed into service over a 12-month period, Sulphur Springs Union School District's Bus number 921 traveled 12,003 miles. The replaced diesel fuel bus averaged eight mpg during the reporting period. The miles traveled over the reporting period equate to a total reduction of 1,500.38 gallons of diesel. This reduction in total gallons of diesel equates to a reduction in 240.18 short tons of GHGs, 4.68 lbs. of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and 595.00 lbs. of NO<sub>x</sub>.

The average cost of a gallon of diesel fuel was \$5.37 during the reporting period. This equals a diesel cost savings of \$8,060.77. The total replaced diesel maintenance cost of the scrapped bus was \$911.80. Over the 12-month period, the new electric school bus used 12,003 kwh The total cost for this electricity usage was \$3,646.04. Total maintenance cost for the new electric school bus amounted to zero dollars. During this 12-month period alone, Sulphur Springs Union School District was able to save \$5,326.53 with the new electric school bus.

The CEC's School Bus Replacement Program will help reduce tailpipe emissions of smogforming nitrogen oxides by 98,000 lbs. and toxic diesel soot by more than 2,500 lbs. Minimizing exposure to hazardous emissions reduces the risk to adolescent bus riders of developing respiratory diseases such as asthma and helps the state achieve emissions reductions goals.

# **CHAPTER 5:** Conclusion

The School Bus Replacement Program was vital to the long-term success of transporting students to and from school. Not only is the program saving districts time and money, it is also helping reduce the total amount of emissions released into the environment. Sulphur Springs Union School District is dedicated to contributing to California's overall goals of decreasing greenhouse gas emissions and improving overall air quality. Sulphur Springs Union School District's next step is to continue to apply for funding and grants to acquire new electric vehicles and infrastructure.

### **GLOSSARY**

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION (CEC) — The state agency established by the Warren-Alquist State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Act in 1974 (Public Resources Code, sections 25000 et seq.) responsible for energy policy. The CEC's seven major areas of responsibilities are:

- 1. Advancing State Energy Policy
- 2. Achieving Energy Efficiency
- 3. Investing in Energy Innovation
- 4. Developing Renewable Energy
- 5. Transforming Transportation
- 6. Overseeing Energy Infrastructure
- 7. Preparing for Energy Emergencies

KILOWATT (kW) — One thousand watts. A unit of measure of the amount of electricity needed to operate given equipment. On a hot summer afternoon, a typical home — with central air conditioning and other equipment in use — might have a demand of 4 kW each hour.

KILOWATT-HOUR (kWh) — The most commonly used unit of measure telling the amount of electricity consumed over time, means 1 kilowatt of electricity supplied for 1 hour. In 1989, a typical California household consumed 534 kWh in an average month.

LOW CARBON FUEL STANDARD (LCFS)—A set of standards designed to encourage the use of cleaner low-carbon fuels in California, encourage the production of those fuels, and therefore reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The LCFS standards are expressed in terms of the carbon intensity of gasoline and diesel fuel and their respective substitutes. The LCFS is a key part of a comprehensive set of programs in California that aim cut greenhouse gas emissions and other smog-forming and toxic air pollutants by improving vehicle technology, reducing fuel consumption, and increasing transportation mobility options.

NITROGEN OXIDES (OXIDES OF NITROGEN, NOx)—A general term pertaining to compounds of nitric oxide (NO), nitrogen dioxide (NO2), and other oxides of nitrogen. Nitrogen oxides are typically created during combustion processes and are major contributors to smog formation and acid deposition. NO2 is a criteria air pollutant and may result in numerous adverse health effects.

PARTICULATE MATTER (PM)—Unburned fuel particles that form smoke or soot and stick to lung tissue when inhaled. A chief component of exhaust emissions from heavy-duty diesel engines.

SHORT TON—An imperial unit of mass equal to 2,000 pounds.