

# DOCKET

09-AFC-9

DATE July 06 2011

RECD. July 06 2011

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
Energy Resources Conservation  
and Development Commission

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION  
FOR THE (SOLAR MILLENIUM)  
RIDGECREST SOLAR POWER  
PROJECT

DOCKET NO. 09-AFC-9

**INTERVENOR CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY'S  
OPPOSITION TO RSPP'S MOTION FOR JURISDICTIONAL WAIVER AND  
REQUEST TO CONTINUE SUSPENSION OF THE APPLICATION**

July 6, 2011

Lisa T. Belenky, Senior Attorney  
Center for Biological Diversity  
351 California St., Suite 600  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Phone: 415-436-9682 x 307  
Cell: 415-385-5694  
[lbelenky@biologicaldiversity.org](mailto:lbelenky@biologicaldiversity.org)

Ileene Anderson  
Public Lands Desert Director  
Center for Biological Diversity  
PMB 447, 8033 Sunset Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90046  
(323) 654-5943  
[ianderson@biologicaldiversity.org](mailto:ianderson@biologicaldiversity.org)

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
Energy Resources Conservation  
and Development Commission**

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION  
FOR THE (SOLAR MILLENIUM)  
RIDGECREST SOLAR POWER  
PROJECT

DOCKET NO. 09-AFC-9

**INTERVENOR CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY'S  
OPPOSITION TO RSPP'S MOTION FOR JURISDICTIONAL WAIVER AND  
REQUEST TO CONTINUE SUSPENSION OF THE APPLICATION**

**I. Introduction**

On or about June 17, 2011, Solar Trust of America ("STA") (which describes itself as "formerly Solar Millenium, LLC") submitted a document entitled "Motion for Order Affirming Application of Jurisdictional Waiver" requesting that the Committee retain jurisdiction over this matter although the applicant states that the project will be redesigned as a photovoltaic project (PV) and will no longer be proposed to be a solar-thermal project. The Motion also again requested that the Committee issue a revised scheduling order maintaining the suspension of the Application for Certification Proceeding for 12 months to allow a redesign of the RSPP project which STA states now intends to utilize solar photovoltaic technology ("PV") and "the DRECP Process to further enlighten the viability of the sites in and around the City of Ridgecrest including the current site." As detailed below, the Center opposes the request regarding the jurisdictional waiver and the request to continue the stay of the proceedings.

Also pending before the Committee is an earlier request dated March 24, 2011 from the applicant which overlaps with the new request. That earlier request asks the Committee to revise the scheduling order so as *not* to require the earlier-promised Mojave ground squirrel study be completed and instead requests that the Committee allow the stay of the application to remain in place for an additional 18 months to accommodate the applicant's desire "to wait for the outcome of the DRECP and to use this as the basis for determining how to proceed with the RSPP AFC Proceedings". The Center previously filed an opposition to that request and incorporates that opposition herein. The Center also asks that the Committee rule on both requests together as they are overlapping and related.

Lastly, the Center requests that the Committee dismiss the application for lack of jurisdiction based on the applicant's statements that it no longer intends to pursue a solar thermal project.

## **II. The Commission Has No Jurisdiction Over a Redesigned PV Project And Should Dismiss the Application**

The applicant has stated that it no longer intends to pursue a solar thermal project. The plain language of the Warren-Alquist Act shows that a “redesigned” PV project would not be within the Commission’s jurisdiction. *See* Public Resources Code §§ 25110, 25120. In response to the applicant’s creative but misguided arguments attempting to show that the jurisdictional limitations of the Act may be “waived” the Center supports and incorporates herein by reference the arguments on that issue provided in Staff’s Reply Brief filed July 5, 2011, pages 2-7.

Because the applicant has now stated that they have no intention of going forward with the application for a solar thermal project currently filed before the Commission, the Center requests that the application be dismissed. Although to date, there has been no notice of a redesigned application being filed with the commission, the applicant has asserted that the redesign will be a PV project and not a solar thermal project and therefore outside of the Commission’s jurisdiction.

The applicant has also asserted that the redesign will reduce the project footprint, reduce impacts to sensitive resources, and that the applicant is considering other sites within the area. The Center appreciates that such changes as part of a redesign – particularly moving the project to an alternate site – could significantly reduce project impacts, however, none of these changes except for the change in technology to PV have been disclosed to the public to date. Because the applicant is proposing a PV project over which the Commission has no jurisdiction, the application should be terminated and dismissed.

## **III. There is No Need To Wait For the Outcome of the DRECP Process For the Committee to Take Action And Dismiss the Application**

On May 4, 2011, the Center filed an opposition to the RSPP’s earlier request for an extension of the suspension of the application for an additional *18 months* based on the same argument again put forward in the June 17 motion that the suspension was needed to wait for the outcome of the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation plan (DRECP). The basis for that opposition remains and is summarized below.

The original suspension was approved in order to provide time for an applicant-funded study of Mojave ground squirrel to be undertaken. That study has now been abandoned by the applicant. Even without that study, based on data presented at CEC workshops and professional opinion of your staff and other experts, it is clear that the proposed project site has important conservation values for the rare and threatened species that the proposed project site has already been determined to support. The evidence already before the Commission shows that the application should be denied because of the high conservation value of these lands and the unacceptable impacts that would occur if the proposed project were constructed on this site.

While it is clear to the Center that the value of this site for wildlife and plants and the inappropriateness of the site for an industrial scale development will ultimately be formally recognized in the DRECP, there is no need for the Committee to wait an additional 12 or 18 months or wait for a final DRECP before acting to make a determination on this particular application (or as noted above to terminate the application now that it is being redesigned as a PV project).

Moreover, if the argument proffered by the applicant were accepted, then logically the Commission should stay the proceedings for all pending application within the DRECP planning area until it is completed. However, that is not the process contemplated by the NCCP Act which is structured so as to provide for an interim process (Fish & Game Code § 2810(b)(8)) that allows project review to go forward during the time the DRECP is being developed. The DRECP adopted an interim process for reviewing consistency of pending projects with the emerging conservation direction of the plan that stresses “early engagement with the applicable agencies while there is maximum flexibility in project siting” (Memorandum Re: Interim Process for the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan, February 28, 2011, From Kevin Hunting, Chief Deputy Director, Department of Fish and Game to Renewable Energy Action Team Agencies (including the Commission)). The DRECP Planning agreement also reflects the emphasis on early engagement. *See* Planning Agreement at 8.9.1. The Planning agreement also notes that in reviewing interim projects: “The Wildlife Agencies intend to recommend mitigation measures or project alternatives that will help achieve the preliminary conservation objectives of the DRECP and that will not preclude important conservation planning options or connectivity between areas of high habitat values.” Planning Agreement at 8.9.2.

As applied to the RSPP, the existing information has already shown that the proposed site is inappropriate because it would both “preclude important conservation planning options” and “connectivity between areas of high habitat values”. *Id.* In sum, the applicant’s request to stay the proceedings based on completion of the DRECP process is: inconsistent with the statutory structure of the NCCP Act, the DRECP Planning Agreement, and the Interim Process; and would frustrate the early engagement process that is intended to ensure that identification of potential conflicts between proposed projects and the evolving conservation strategy in the DRECP and that alternatives and other potential solutions are developed at the earliest possible time in the process.

In light of the discussion above and earlier information and argument presented in this matter, the Center opposes the motion and asks that the Committee deny the requests to retain jurisdiction over a redesigned PV project and to continue the suspension of the application. The Center further requests that the Commission terminate this application due to lack of jurisdiction.

Dated: July 6, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lisa T. Belenky  
Lisa T. Belenky, Senior Attorney  
Center for Biological Diversity  
351 California St., Suite 600  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Direct: 415-632-5307  
Fax: 415-436-9683  
[lbelenky@biologicaldiversity.org](mailto:lbelenky@biologicaldiversity.org)

Ileene Anderson  
Public Lands Desert Director  
Center for Biological Diversity  
PMB 447  
8033 Sunset Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90046  
(323) 654-5943  
[ianderson@biologicaldiversity.org](mailto:ianderson@biologicaldiversity.org)



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT  
COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814  
1-800-822-6228 – [WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV](http://WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV)

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION  
For the *RIDGECREST SOLAR POWER  
PROJECT***

**Docket No. 09-AFC-9  
PROOF OF SERVICE  
(Revised 7/5/2011)**

**APPLICANT**

**\*Solar Trust of America**

Billy Owens  
Director of Project Development  
1111 Broadway, 5th Floor  
Oakland, CA 94607  
[owens@solarmillennium.com](mailto:owens@solarmillennium.com)

**\*Solar Trust of America**

Alice Harron  
Senior Director of  
Project Development  
1111 Broadway, 5th Floor  
Oakland, CA 94607  
[harron@solarmillennium.com](mailto:harron@solarmillennium.com)

**AECOM**

Elizabeth Copley, Project Manager  
2101 Webster Street, Suite 1900  
Oakland, CA 94612  
[elizabeth.copley@aecom.com](mailto:elizabeth.copley@aecom.com)

**Galati/Blek, LLP**

Scott Galati  
Marie Mills  
455 Capitol Mall, Suite 350  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
[sgalati@gb-llp.com](mailto:sgalati@gb-llp.com)  
[mmills@gb-llp.com](mailto:mmills@gb-llp.com)

**Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker,  
LLP**

Peter Weiner, Matthew Sanders  
55 2nd Street, Suite 2400-3441  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
[peterweiner@paulhastings.com](mailto:peterweiner@paulhastings.com)  
[matthewsanders@paulhastings.com](mailto:matthewsanders@paulhastings.com)

**\*Solar Trust of America**

Jim Migliore, Associate  
Environmental Management  
1111 Broadway, 5th Floor  
Oakland, CA 94607  
*E-mail preferred*  
[migliore@solarmillennium.com](mailto:migliore@solarmillennium.com)

**INTERVENORS**

Desert Tortoise Council  
Sidney Silliman  
1225 Adriana Way  
Upland, CA 91784  
[gssilliman@csupomona.edu](mailto:gssilliman@csupomona.edu)

**California Unions for Reliable Energy  
(CURE)**

Tanya A. Gulesserian  
Elizabeth Klebaner  
Marc D. Joseph  
Adams, Broadwell, Joseph  
& Cardozo  
601 Gateway Boulevard,  
Suite 1000  
South San Francisco, CA 94080  
[tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com](mailto:tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com)  
[eklebaner@adamsbroadwell.com](mailto:eklebaner@adamsbroadwell.com)

**Basin and Range Watch**

Laura Cunningham  
Kevin Emmerich  
P.O. Box 70  
Beatty, NV 89003  
[bluerockiguana@hughes.net](mailto:bluerockiguana@hughes.net)

**Western Watersheds Project**

Michael J. Connor, Ph.D.,  
California Director  
P.O. Box 2364  
Reseda, CA 91337-2364  
[mjconnor@westernwatersheds.org](mailto:mjconnor@westernwatersheds.org)

Kerncrest Audubon Society  
Terri Middlemiss, Dan Burnett  
P.O. Box 984  
Ridgecrest, CA 93556  
[catbird4@earthlink.net](mailto:catbird4@earthlink.net)  
[imdanburnett@verizon.net](mailto:imdanburnett@verizon.net)

**\*Center for Biological Diversity**

Ileene Anderson  
Public Lands Desert Director  
PMB 447  
8033 Sunset Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90046  
*E-mail preferred*  
[ianderson@biologicaldiversity.org](mailto:ianderson@biologicaldiversity.org)

**\*Center for Biological Diversity**

Lisa Belenky  
Senior Attorney  
351 California Street, Suite 600  
San Francisco, CA 94104-2404  
*E-mail preferred*  
[lbelenky@biologicaldiversity.org](mailto:lbelenky@biologicaldiversity.org)

**INTERESTED AGENCIES**

California ISO  
*E-mail Preferred*  
[e-recipient@caiso.com](mailto:e-recipient@caiso.com)

U.S. Department of the Interior,  
Bureau of Land Management  
Janet Eubanks, Project Manager,  
California Desert District  
22835 Calle San Juan de los Lagos  
Moreno Valley, California 92553  
[janet\\_eubanks@ca.blm.gov](mailto:janet_eubanks@ca.blm.gov)

**INTERESTED AGENCIES (Cont.)**

Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division  
Michael Owens, Energy Coordinator  
1 Administration Circle  
China Lake, CA 93555-6100  
*E-mail preferred*  
[michael.t.owens@navy.mil](mailto:michael.t.owens@navy.mil)

Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division  
Michael Owens, Energy Coordinator  
575 "I" Avenue, Suite 1  
Point Mugu, CA 93042-5049  
*E-mail preferred*  
[michael.t.owens@navy.mil](mailto:michael.t.owens@navy.mil)

Naval Air Weapons Station, China Lake  
CAPT Jeffrey Dodson, Commanding Officer  
1 Administration Circle, Stop 1003  
China Lake, CA 93555-6100  
*E-mail preferred*  
[jeffrey.dodson@navy.mil](mailto:jeffrey.dodson@navy.mil)

**\*Naval Air Weapons Station, China Lake**

Tim Fox  
Community Plans & Liaison Officer  
429 E Bowen Rd, Stop 4003  
China Lake, CA 93555-6100  
*E-mail preferred*  
[timothy.h.fox@navy.mil](mailto:timothy.h.fox@navy.mil)

**ENERGY COMMISSION**

JAMES D. BOYD  
Vice Chair and Presiding Member  
[jboyd@energy.state.ca.us](mailto:jboyd@energy.state.ca.us)

Kourtney Vaccaro  
Hearing Officer  
[kvaccaro@energy.state.ca.us](mailto:kvaccaro@energy.state.ca.us)

Tim Olson  
Adviser to Commissioner Boyd  
[tolson@energy.state.ca.us](mailto:tolson@energy.state.ca.us)

Eric Solorio  
Project Manager  
[esolorio@energy.state.ca.us](mailto:esolorio@energy.state.ca.us)

Jared Babula  
Staff Counsel  
[jbabula@energy.state.ca.us](mailto:jbabula@energy.state.ca.us)

Jennifer Jennings  
Public Adviser  
*E-mail preferred*  
[Pao@energy.state.ca.us](mailto:Pao@energy.state.ca.us)

**DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, Lisa Belenky, declare that on July 6, 2011, I served and filed copies of the INTERVENOR CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY'S OPPOSITION TO RSPD'S MOTION FOR JURISDICTIONAL WAIVER AND REQUEST TO CONTINUE SUSPENSION OF THE APPLICATION, dated July 6, 2011. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [[http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/solar\\_millennium\\_ridgecrest](http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/solar_millennium_ridgecrest)].

The document has been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

**(Check all that Apply)**

**FOR SERVICE TO ALL OTHER PARTIES:**

- sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;
- by personal delivery;
- by delivering on this date, for mailing with the United States Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses **NOT** marked "email preferred."

**AND**

**FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:**

- sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below (***preferred method***);

**OR**

- depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:

**CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION**

Attn: Docket No. 09-AFC-9  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4  
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512  
[docket@energy.state.ca.us](mailto:docket@energy.state.ca.us)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ Lisa T. Belenky